THE COTTON TRADES.
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## THE COTTON TRADES.

## Introductory.*

Firms whose main or sole business was the spinning of cotton yarns or the manufacture of fabrics and other goods of cotton were, at the Census enquiries of 1907 and 1912, required to furnish particulars relating to their production on schedules of identical form. For the purposes of the third Census, two forms of enquiry were used for the Cotton Trades, the spinning and doubling of yarn being dealt with separately from the working-up of the yarn into other goods. Firms engaged both in spinning and in manufacturing were required to furnish separate particulars for these departments of their business, whether the yarns spun by them were used in their own establishments or were sold for use elsewhere. Moreover, in the Census of 1924, but not in those of 1907 and 1912, manufacturers of hosiery who spun cotton yarns were required to furnish separate Returns relating to their cotton spinning departments on schedules for the Cotton Spinning Trade.

In the following Report, the Cotton Spinning Trade and the Cotton Weaving Trade are first considered separately, with such comparisons between production in 1924 and in the two earlier censal years as are practicable. Fuller comparisons between the results of the three Censuses are made in the section which then follows, where the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades are treated as a combined whole ; in this section the qualifications applying to comparisons between the results for 1924 and those for the two previous censal years are fully explained (pp. 48-9).

Cotton Spinning.
Number of Returns.- The number of separate Returns included in the aggregates for the Cotton Spinning Trade for 1924 was 1,148. Thirteen firms to which schedules were sent did not furnish Returns, but the omission due to this cause is not of importance since, on the basis of the information available it is estimated that they did not employ more than 250 persons and that their net output probably lay between $£ 40,000$ and $£ 50,000$.

## Production. $\dagger$

Total make of single yarn.-The following table states the quantities of cotton yarn, in the single stage, spun in the years 1924, 1912 and

* See also the Notes on pp. vi-xiv. + See Tables II A and II B, pp. 64-5.

1907, as shown in the Returns for 1924 and 1912 and estimated from the particulars available for 1907.


No particulars relating to the proportions of the different counts spun in 1912 or 1907 are available. The table shows that the weight of the single yarn produced in 1924 was about 30 per cent. less than that of the production of 1912 and about $22 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. below the estimated production of 1907.
A precise statement of the value of the total yarn produced, taken as single yarn, cannot be made. The particulars of value required from firms related to the value of goods in the form in which they were delivered. Thus, where yarns were both spun and doubled by the same firm, the value of the single yarn was not shown separately, the sum returned being the value of the doubled yarn, and including, in some cases, the value of yarns purchased for doubling. As indicated above, firms that carried on spinning and weaving in the same establishment were required to show the value of all yarns spun, even if used for weaving purposes in that establishment. In that case, firms were instructed to show the value at which the yarns were booked to the weaving department, and there was no necessarily uniform standard for such valuations, the values given being dependent on the systems of costing followed by the individual firms. Calculating the values of the yarns made on the basis of the average value returned for each range of counts by firms making returns of single yarns disposed of, and adding the charges for bleaching, dyeing, etc. raw cotton or cotton yarn (cf. p. 192) the total value of all yarns spun in 1924 is estimated at approximately $£ 157,000,000$ as compared with a total (estimated on the same basis) of about $£ 88,000,000$ in 1907 . The average value per lb., calculated at about 1s. for 1907, works out at about $2 s .3 d$. for 1924.

Yarns (including doubled yarns) delivered or added to stock.In the following statement showing the output of cotton yarns (whether by the Cotton Spinning Trade or by other trades) in the
censal year 1924, the figures relate to the condition in which the yarns were delivered during the year or added to stock at the end of the year.

| Counts of yarns. |  |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quantity | Value. |
| Yarns made for sale :Up to No. 40 |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Th. } 079,775}}{\text { lb. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} f^{\prime} 000 \\ 110,643 \end{gathered}$ |
| Over No. 40 to No. $80^{\circ}$ |  | $\cdots$ | 1,0767,722 | 10,643 57,495 |
| Over No. 80 to No. 120 |  | . | 75,475 | 17,944 |
| Over No. 120 .. .. |  | . | 5,724 | 1,946 |
| Total |  | .. | 1,528,696 | 188,028 |
|  |  |  |  | Received for work done. |
| Yarns made on commission :- |  |  | Th. lb. | ${ }_{\text {f }}{ }^{\prime} 000$. |
| Up to No. 40 .. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | .. | 3,013 | 86 |
| Over No. 40 to No. 80 |  | . | 4,666 | 208 |
| Over No. 80 to No. 120 |  | $\cdots$ | 2,553 | 224 |
| Over No. 120 .. .. | .. | .. | 49 | 6 |
| Total .. .. | . | . . | 10,281 | 524 |

The excess of the quantities here shown over those relating to the total make of single yarn is due to the fact that, in this table, yarns bought for doubling and sold after doubling are shown at both stages, sewing cotton being included among the doubled yarns made. The differences, while extending to all ranges of counts distinguished, are greater for higher than for lower counts. Doublers who purchased the whole of their supplies of yarn showed a total output of $82,909,000 \mathrm{lb}$. weight ; and, of the total weight of yarns returned by firms working on commission, $9,185,000 \mathrm{lb}$., or about nine-tenths, consisted of doubled yarn. Yarn is, however, doubled by firms who spin the yarns used for doubling or who spin part of the single yarn used and purchase the remainder from other firms. The quantity of doubled yarn made by firms of this class is not known with any precision, but the information available indicates that it may have been between $70,000,000 \mathrm{lb}$. and $75,000,000 \mathrm{lb}$. including, it would appear, about $50,000,000 \mathrm{lb}$. of purchased yarn.

The yarns shown in the Returns of output for 1912 and 1907, including doubled yarns and sewing cotton, amounted to the following totals :-

Yarns made for sale-Value

| 1912. | 1907. |
| ---: | ---: |
| $£^{\prime}, 000$. | $f^{\prime} 000$. |
| 86,290 | 84,534 |

Amount received for work done 202248
These totals are exclusive of yarns spun and woven in the same establishment, no statement of the value of such yarns having been obtained for those years.

Other products.-The output of cotton-spinning firms in 1924 included, in addition to the yarns specified above, the following :-

|  | Quantity. | $V$ alue. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Th. lb. | $£^{\prime} 000$. |
| Cotton waste | 239,019 | 5,618 |
| Cotton yarns, reeled and wound | . . | 977 |
| Other cotton manufactures |  | 81 |
| Other textile manufactures |  | 335 |
| Total |  | 7,011 |

The particulars of yarns reeled and wound which were furnished to the Census office did not in all cases specify the weight of the yarns. In respect of yarns reeled and wound on commission, for which a sum of $£ 66,000$ is recorded as received for the work done, there was also an omission in some cases to state the quantity handled. The Returns showed, further, $£ 3,000$ as received for other work done on commission.

Net output.-Taking together the value of the goods made and the amounts received for work done on commission for other firms, the total value of the output of the firms making their Returns on schedules for the Cotton Spinning Trade was returned for 1924 as $£ 195,348,000$. The cost of materials used was recorded as $£ 147,958,000$ and that of work given out as $£ 459,000$. The net output amounted therefore to $£ 46,931,000$ or $£ 186$ per head of the average number of persons employed at the establishments to which the particulars relate.

Ring- and mule-spun yarns.-Spinners were requested to furnish voluntarily information showing the quantities of yarn spun by them according to the type of frame used. Particulars were obtained from firms whose aggregate output of cotton yarn amounted to $966,081,000 \mathrm{lb}$., or 69.2 per cent. of the total cotton yarn spun in the censal year. This aggregate was divided as follows :-

Th. lb.
Ring-spun yarns .. .. .. .. 325,103
Mule-spun yarns .. .. .. .. 640,978
and was distributed between the various ranges of counts as follows :-

| Counts. | Total single yarn made. | Yarn made by firms furnishing information. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Th. 1b. | Th. lb. | Per cent. of total make. |
| Up to No. 40 | 1,021,985 | 690,041 | 67.5 |
| Over No. 40 to No. 80 | 313,710 | 228,985 | $73 \cdot 0$ |
| Over No. 80 to No. 120 | 55,874 | 44,211 | $79 \cdot 1$ |
| Over No. 120 | 3,623 | 2,844 | $78 \cdot 5$ |
| Total | 1,395,192 | 966,081 | $69 \cdot 2$ |

It will be noted that the percentage regarding which this information was furnished was higher for fine than for coarse counts. For
counts above 40 's the information relates to 74 per cent. of the make, as compared with less than 68 per cent. of the counts up to 40 's. Even if all the ring-spun yarn were in the lowest range of counts, less than half the yarn under 40's spun by the firms furnishing this information would be ring-spun. The absence of particulars regarding over 330 million lb . of yarn in the lowest range of counts deprives the information furnished of much of its importance.

Employment in 1924.*
The number of persons employed in the Cotton Spinning Trade in the week ended 18th October, 1924, was returned as 257,194, viz., 249,783 returned as operative staff (wage-earners) and 7,411 returned as administrative, technical and clerical staff. The distribution of these by sex and age was as follows :-

| Week ended Sex actober age. 18 th, 1924. | Operatives. | Aministrative, techniial and clerical <br> clerical. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Males :- }}$ |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years. <br> Over 18 years | $\begin{aligned} & 18,752 \\ & 82,197 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 514 \\ 5,954 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,266 \\ & 88,151 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total-Males | 100,949 | 6,468 | 107,417 |
| Females :- |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years.. Over 18 years .. | $\begin{array}{r} 31,925 \\ 116,909 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & 822 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,046 \\ 117,731 \end{array}$ |
| Total-Females | 148,834 | 943 | 149,777 |
| Total-Males and femalies | 249,783 | 7,411 | 257,194 |

The variation in the numbers of operatives employed in the course of the year is shown by the following total numbers employed in a week in each month of the censal year.

| Week ended: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of of } \\ \text { operatives. } \end{gathered}$ | Per cent. of average. | Week ended: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { Noperatives. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent. } \\ \text { vereage. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 12th. | 241,714 | 98.5 | July 19th | 242,421 | 98.8 |
| Feb. 16th. | 240,249 | $97 \cdot 9$ | Aug. 16th | 243,089 | 99.1 |
| March 15th | 240,997 | 98.2 | Sept. 13th | 245,489 | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| April 12th | 244,392 | 99.6 | Oct. 18th | 249,783 | $101 \cdot 8$ |
| May 17th | 244,810 | 99.8 | Nov. 15th | 253,093 | 103.1 |
| June 21st. | 242,371 | 98.8 | Dec. 13th | 256,219 | 104.4 |

The numbers ranged from $2 \cdot 1$ per cent. below the year's average, in February, to 4.4 per cent. above the year's average, in December, the last two months of the year showing larger deviations from the average than any other month. The average for the 12 weeks was 245,386 persons, of whom 99,471 were males and 145,915 were females.

## Mechanical Power in 1924. $\dagger$

The engines installed in the establishments at which the numbers employed were as given in the preceding section were of the * See Tables III A and III B, page $66 . \quad \dagger$ See Table IV, page 67.
description shown in the statement which follows, where engines ordinarily in use in the censal year are distinguished from engines in reserve or idle in that year. The kilowatt capacity of electric generators which were driven by engines of the several classes is shown, for convenience, in the same table :-

| Kind of engine. | Prime movers (by kind of engine). |  | Electric generators (by kind of motive power). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. |
| Reciprocating steam engines | $\underset{965,763}{\text { H.P. }}$ | $\underset{56,924}{\text { H.P. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Kw} . \\ 37,366 \end{gathered}$ | Kw. 4,488 |
| Steam turbines .. .. | 56,282 | 4,234 | 33,099 | 801 |
| Gas engines .. .. .. | 2,076 | 704 | 390 | 162 |
| Petrol and light oil engines | - | 7 | - 75 | - |
| Heavy oil engines. | 180 |  | 75 | - |
| Water power .. | 4,101 | 600 | 2,392 | - |
| Total | 1,028,402 | 62,469 | 73,322 | 5,451 |

In addition to the mechanical power shown in the above table under the head Prime movers, there were installed electric motors driven by purchased electricity, the total capacity of such motors ordinarily in use being 134,513 horse-power and that of motors in reserve or idle, 8,562 horse-power. Electric motors driven, or intended to be driven, by electric current obtained from the generators, particulars of which are given in the above table, were also installed, the capacity of such motors ordinarily in use in the censal year being 75,550 horse-power and that of motors in reserve or idle, 2,411 horse-power.

## Consumption of cotton.

The information required to be furnished under the terms of the Census of Production Act could not include particulars of the different materials used in spinning, but a voluntary question was included in the schedule issued to firms engaged in cotton spinning, asking for a statement of the amount of each kind of cotton used. Information as to the quantities of raw cotton used was furnished by firms whose output of yarn amounted to $856,607,000 \mathrm{lb}$. or about $61 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of the total of yarns spun. The distribution of these yarns by ranges of counts was as shown below :-

| Counts of yarns. |  |  | Total output returned on schedules for the Cotton Spinning Trade. | Returned by firms stating their consumption of cotton. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Quantity. | Proportion of total make. |
| Up to No. 40 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Th. Ib. } \\ 1,020,645 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Th. lb. } \\ 615,013 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent. $60 \cdot 2$ |
| Over No. 40 to No. 80 |  | $\ldots$ | 1,313,709 | 199,612 | $63 \cdot 6$ |
| Over No. 80 to No. 120 |  | . | 55,874 | 39,598 | $70 \cdot 9$ |
| Over No. 120 |  |  | 3,623 | 2,384 | $65 \cdot 8$ |
| Total | . . | . . | 1,393,851 | 856,607 | $61 \cdot 5$ |

The classes of cotton used by the firms furnishing information regarding their consumption of cotton were as follows :-


The circulars of the Liverpool Cotton Association show that the cotton forwarded to mills during 1924 amounted to about 1,415 to 1,420 million lb . ; and about $44 \cdot 1$ million lb . of cotton waste for re-spinning were imported and retained. Mill stocks were lower at the end of January, 1925, than a year earlier by about $10 \cdot 5$ million lb. weight of cotton, and, if a like amount were drawn from stocks in the calendar year 1924, the total quantity of raw cotton available in that year was about 1,425 to 1,430 million 1 lb . to which may be added such quantities of other textile fibres (wool, artificial silk, etc.) as were used for mixing with raw cotton before spinning. The recorded produce from this material and so much of the imported waste as was utilised in the Cotton Spinning Trade was 1,395 million lb . of single yarns ; and 51 million lb. of waste for re-spinning were exported. In addition, the manufacturers of bedding and upholsterers and possibly other trades were supplied with cotton spinning waste for use in their work. The amount of waste so supplied is not ascertainable from information in the Census Returns or from other sources generally available. The weight of the yarns made, 1,395 million lb., was nearly 98 per cent. of the aggregate weight of the raw cotton available as estimated above.

The proportion of yarn made to raw cotton used was $88 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. in the case of the production of the firms making returns of the amount and kind of cotton used by them, but account must also be taken of other materials (cotton waste and textile fibres other than cotton) used by these firms in spinning, and of cotton waste as well as of cotton yarn sold by them. No information is available as to the quantities of textile fibres other than cotton which were used by the firms in question. As regards cotton waste, it is clear that, in considering the group of firms as a whole, account should be taken only of that portion of the cotton waste used which was purchased from outside the group, and that portion of the cotton waste sold which was sold to firms outside the group. The quantity of purchased cotton waste which was included in the recorded particulars of materials used by the firms in the group was $37,851,000 \mathrm{lb}$., but it
is not known how far this waste was purchased from other firms in the group or from firms outside it. Moreover, the whole of this cotton waste was used by firms spinning mainly from waste, who recorded in addition the use of 3.8 million lb . of raw cotton, and whose production of yarn was 36 million lb. out of the total of 856.6 million lb. shown above as the produce of the group of firms that stated their consumption of cotton ; the Returns do not show whether cotton waste was used in substantial quantities (in addition to raw cotton) by the remaining firms in the group. The total quantity of waste recorded as sold by all the firms in the group was $142,460,000 \mathrm{lb}$., but the Returns do not enable this total to be divided into waste sold to (a) firms within the group, (b) cotton spinning firms outside the group, or (c) firms in other trades. It may be added that the total quantity of cotton waste recorded as sold by all firms in the Cotton Spinning Trade in 1924 was about 239 million lb. ; and the quantity of cotton yarn which can be identified as the produce of firms spinning entirely or predominantly from waste was about 93 million lb.

## Machinery equipment of spinning mills.

A further voluntary enquiry was included in the schedule furnished to cotton spinning firms, asking for the number of carding machines, spinning spindles and doubling spindles at their mills at the end of 1924, distinguishing between machinery " in use " and machinery "idle " at that time and excluding obsolete machinery. -Information was received from firms whose output amounted to about four-fifths of the total single yarn made in the censal year, and is summarised in the following table:-

| Kind of machine. | In use. | Idle. | Total. | Percentage idle. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number. | Number. | Number. |  |
| Carding machines :- <br> Single revolving flat cards | $59,300$ | 1,500 | $60,800$ | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| Roller and clearer cards |  |  | $6,550$ | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| Total | 65,680 | 1,670 | 67,350 | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| Spinning spindles :- | Thous. | Thous. | Thous. |  |
| Mule | 35,845 | 1,096 | 36,941 | $3 \cdot 0$ |
| Ring . . | 9,007 | 631 | 9,638 | $6 \cdot 5$ |
| Throstle | 77 | 21 | 98 | $21 \cdot 2$ |
| Total.. | 44,929 | 1,748 | 46,677 | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| Doubling spindles :- | Thous. | Thous. | Thous. |  |
| Twiner | 1,154 | 127 | 1,281 | $9 \cdot 9$ |
| Ring ... . | 1,395 | 172 | 1,567 | $11 \cdot 0$ |
| Flyer or throstle | 44 | 24 | 68 | $35 \cdot 3$ |
| Total.. | 2,593 | 323 | 2,916 | $11 \cdot 1$ |

The output in 1924 of the establishments equipped with these machines included the following :-

> Per cent. of total for the

Single yarn made
$1,115,206$ trade Yarn made for sale Yarn made on commission

In this case the counts over $40^{\prime}$ s and up to $80^{\prime}$ 's were best sented and counts up to 40 's yielded a higher relative supply of information than counts over 80 's.
Particulars were also furnished regarding machinery equipment in 1912 and 1907. The information obtained in the three years is summarised in the following statement :-

| Kind of machinery. | 1924. | 1912. | 1907. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carding machines. | 67,350 | 62,420 | 55,130 |
| Spinning spindles (thousands) | 46,677 | 46,056 | 37,832 |
| Mule spindles : per cent. of total | $79 \cdot 1$ | $82 \cdot 0$ | $84 \cdot 2$ |
| Single yarn made by firms (thousand lb.) | 1,115,206 | 1,608,642 | 1,335,630 |
| Per cent. of total yarn spun .. .. | $80 \cdot 0$ | $81 \cdot 1$ | $74 \cdot 2$ |

The average output of single yarn per mule spindle in use in 1924 and included in these Returns, was 20 lb ., and the output per ring spindle in use was 40 lb . For comparison with the Returns for earlier years, when the proportion of spindles not in use was not obtained, the relation of yarn spun to total spindles must be considered. The output in 1924 was about 19 lb . per mule spindle and 38 lb . per ring spindle on this basis. The corresponding figures for 1912 were about 29 lb . per mule spindle and about 62 lb . per ring spindle ; for 1907 the averages were about 30 lb . per mule spindle and about 60 lb . per ring spindle. The lower figures for 1924 may be associated with the reduction in the normal working hours and the extensive short-time worked in that year. In what, if any, degree a rise in the average count of yarns spun may have been a contributing factor, the available information is insufficient to show.

## Cotton Weaving.

## Number of Returns.

The number of separate Returns for this trade in 1924 was 1,555 Fifty firms to which schedules were sent did not furnish Returns, but these firms for the most part had relatively small establishments, and they included a number which ceased operations in the course of the censal year. On the basis of the information available it is estimated that they did not employ more than 1,650 persons, and that their net output probably lay between $£ 200,000$ and $£ 250,000$.

## Production.*

Piece-goods.
The following table furnishes a summary of the particulars relating to the production of cotton piece-goods in 1924 by the firms making their Returns on schedules for the Cotton Weaving Trade. The output of cotton piece-goods in 1924, wherever made, is also shown in comparison with that of 1912 and 1907.

| Piece-goods of cotton or of cotton mixed with other materials. | Unbleached, grey (including unbleached dhooties dhooties). | Manufactured wholly or in part of dyed yarn, commonly ". coloured | Total of piece-goods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Made for sale. 1924. <br> Returned on schedules for the Cotton Weaving Trade : |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards .. .. | 5,066,937 | 461,896 | 5,528,833 |
| Thousand square yards | 5,525,211 | 436,595 | 5,961,806 |
| Thousand cwts. .. | 9,297 | ${ }^{884}$ | 10,181 |
| Value : £'000 | 144,405 | 17,529 | 161,934 |
| Returned on schedules for other trades $\dagger$ :- |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards .. .. | 7,991 | 7,074 | $\begin{aligned} & 15,065 \\ & \mathbf{1 6} \text { Kn } \end{aligned}$ |
| Thousand square yards | 9,989 | 6,613 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,602 \\ 53 \end{array}$ |
| Thousand cwts. <br> Value : $£^{\prime} 000$ | 36 733 | 17 507 | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 1,240 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards |  |  |  |
| Thousand square yards Thousand cwts. .. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 , 5 3 5 , 2 0 0} \\ 9,333 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 443,208 \\ 901 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,978,408 \\ 10,234 \end{array}$ |
| Value : £'000 | 145,138 | 18,036 | 163,174 |
| 1912. |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards | 7,277,624 | 659,035 | 7,981,955 |
| Value : £'000 | $82,753$ | 10,833 | 94,228 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards | 6,379,893 | 643,293 | 7,030,713 |
| Value : £'000 | $7,527 \ddagger$ 71,589 | 9,784 | 81,504 |
|  | $131+$ |  |  |

[^0]| Piece-goods of cotton or of cotton mixed with other materials. | Unbleached, grey (including unbleached dhooties). | Manufactured wholly or in part of dyed yarn, commonly known as "coloured | Total <br> of piece-goods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Made on commission. <br> 1924. <br> Returned on schedules for the Cotton Weaving Trades :- |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards .. .. | 12,216 | 30,499 | 42,715 |
| Thousand square yards | 12,492 | 32,883 | 45,375 |
| Thousand cwts. .. | 31 | 73 | - 104 |
| Received for work done: £'000 | 123 | 257 | 380 |
| Returned on schedules for other trades*:- |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards | 674 | 2,716 | 3,390 |
| Thousand square yards | 691 | 2,897 | 3,588 |
| Thousand cwts. .. | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| Received for work done: £'000 | 7 | 31 | 38 |
| Total :- |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards | $\begin{aligned} & 12,890 \\ & 13,183 \end{aligned}$ | 33,215 35,780 | 46,105 48,963 |
| Thousand cwts. .. | 18,183 | -81 | 114 |
| Received for work done : £'000 | 130 | 288 | 418 |
| 1912. |  |  |  |
| Thousand finear yards | 8,086 | 60,102 | 68,188 |
| Received for work done : $£^{\prime} 000$ | 39 | 271 | 310 |
| $190 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Thousand linear yards | 11,121 | 45,846 | 56,967 |
| Received for work done : £'000 | 57 | 215 | 272 |

* The particulars of quantities shown include estimates made for such output as was returned by value only.

In the main, the particulars of goods made on commission do not duplicate those of goods made for sale, since the goods were in most cases woven from yarns supplied by merchants. The total quantity of piece-goods made in 1924 may, therefore, be stated, with but trifling error, as $6,027,000,000$ square yards. The linear yardage, stated at $5,590,000,000$, includes the estimated equivalent of a small quantity of goods in respect of which only the square yardage was furnished.

The goods made on commission were less than 1 per cent. of the total, and, consequently, any error resulting from an estimate of their value on the assumption of an average value equal to that of the goods made for sale may be treated as negligible for practical purposes. Such an estimate yields a total of $£ 165,000,000$ as the value of all piece-goods woven in 1924

The linear yardage of the output of piece-goods in 1924 was $30 \cdot 6$ per cent. less than that of 1912, and $21 \cdot 1$ per cent. less than that of 1907, the yardage for those years being taken, for this
purpose, to include the small amount of bleached goods returned by weaving firms in 1912 and 1907. The value of the 8,050 million yards of piece-goods shown in the Returns for 1912, estimated as above, was $£ 95,287,000$, and that of the 7,088 million yards recorded for 1907 was $£ 82,324,000$.
Part of the cotton goods woven enter into consumption at home, or are exported, as unbleached goods. The greater part are, however, bleached, printed or dyed, before passing into the hands of consumers. The quantities of cotton piece-goods bleached, printed or dyed, in 1924, were as follows (see table on p. 192) :-

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Th. sq. } \\ \text { yards. }\end{array}$ | Th. lin. |
| :---: | :---: |
| yards. |  |$\}$| $1,914,608$ | $1,852,342$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 981,079 | 980,864 |
| 833,854 | 799,927 |
| $3,729,541$ | $3,633,133$ |

Of the total output of cotton piece-goods, amounting to $6,027,000,000$ square yards, the quantity bleached, dyed or printed represented, on the assumption that these processes resulted in no reduction or increase of the yardage of the goods treated, nearly two-thirds. The exports during 1924 of grey goods and coloured cottons amounted to $1,673,658,000$ square yards, and adding this total to the total bleached, dyed or printed, the aggregate, amounting to $5,403,200,000$ square yards, falls short of the total woven by about $624,000,000$ square yards. This latter figure, with the addition of the yardage of any fabrics which, being partly or wholly woven of dyed yarn and subsequently bleached, may be included both in the total of goods bleached and in the exports of coloured cottons, would appear to express the yardage of grey piece-goods and unbleached coloured cottons available from the year's production for use at home or for making up for export in a more manufactured form.

The total value of the work of bleaching, dyeing, printing and finishing carried out in the United Kingdom in 1924 on cotton piece-goods was returned as $£ 26,500,000$ (cf. page 192). If this be added to the estimated value at factory of unbleached piece-goods and coloured cottons ( $£ 165,000,000$ ), a total of $£ 191,500,000$ is obtained. The cost of packing and making-up, when carried out in separate establishments or departments, must also be taken into account. Packing firms were not asked to show separately the charges for packing different kinds of goods, but the value of that part of their work that related to cotton piece-goods may be estimated at between $£ 2,000,000$ and $£_{2}^{2,500,000}$ (cf. page 275), raising the
total value to about $£ 193,800,000$. This figure will need to be further increased by the handling expenses and profits of merchants on whose account the finishing processes were carried out, in order to arrive at the value of cotton piece-goods sold for home consumption or for export.

## Other Cotton Manufactures.

Particulars of the value, and, where available, the quantity of cotton goods, other than those specified in the preceding pages, which were mainly produced by firms engaged in cotton manufacturing, are stated below for each of the years 1924, 1912 and 1907 :-

| Products. | 1924. |  |  | 1912. | 1907. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Returned schedules for othe trades. | Total. | Total. | Total. |
| Goods made for sale :Machinery belting (woven hair or cotton) <br> (Quantity in cwit.) <br> Tapes and smallwares |  | Selling value. |  |  |  |
|  | $£^{\prime} 000$. | $\iota^{\prime} 000$. | $£^{\prime} 000$. | $\chi^{\prime} 000$. | $£^{\prime} 000$. |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 857 \\ (48,000) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 857 \\ (48,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 653 \\ (90,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 438 \\ (55,000) \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,789 \\ & 3,381 \end{aligned}$ | 159 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,948 \\ & 3,381 \end{aligned}$ | \} 4,120 | 3,084 |
| Cotton wool and wadding .. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 1,024 \end{array}$ | 398 |  |  |
| Yarns, reeled and wound .. | 241 |  | 1,265870 | 1,089 | 866 |
| Manufactured cleaning waste (Quantity in th. lb.) | $\begin{array}{r} 870 \\ (57,642) \end{array}$ | - |  | . | . |
| Other cotton waste .. .. | 557 |  | 557 | . | .. |
| (Quantity in th. lb.) | $(19,530)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other cotton manufactures*. | 968 | 129 | 1,097 |  | . |
| Total-Goods made for sale | 9,039 | 1,334 | 10,373 | 5,862 | 4,388 |
|  | Amounts received for work done. |  |  |  |  |
| Goods made on commission :Yarns, reeled and wound .. Other cotton manufactures*. | $\AA^{\prime} 000$. | $\ell^{\prime} 000$. <br> 98 | $£^{\prime} 000$. | $£^{\prime} 000$. | $£^{\prime} 000$. |
|  | 302 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1059 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total-WORK done on COMMISSION | 32 | 98 | 130 | 85 | 114 |

* This heading applies substantially to woven goods of cotton, and does not cover such products as hosiery and other knitted fabrics, lace, gloves, etc.


## Other Products.

In addition to the manufactures of cotton specified above, there were also included in the Returns made for 1924 by cotton weaving firms, particulars of textile and other goods mainly produced by other trades, the total value of such goods made by these firms being
$£ 780,000$, while $£ 32,000$ was received for work done on commission on such goods. The particulars of these goods are shown below.


The goods whose values are here shown are dealt with in the Reports on the various trades in which the major part of similar products was made.

> Net Output.

The total value of the goods made, together with the amount received for work done on commission for other firms, during the censal year by the firms making Returns on schedules for the Cotton Weaving Trade amounted to $£ 172,197,000$. The cost of the yarns and other materials used was returned as $£ 135,106,000$, and that of work given out as $£ 366,000$. The net output amounted, therefore, to $£ 36,725,000$ or $£ 133$ per head of the average number of persons employed at the establishments to which the particulars relate.

## Employment in 1924.*

The number of persons employed in these establishments, in the week ended 18th October, 1924, was returned as 278,371, viz., 270,052 operatives and 8,319 administrative, technical and clerical staff. The division of these by sex and age was as follows :-

| Sex and age. <br> Week ended October 18th, 1924. | Operatives. | Administrative, technical and clerical. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males :Under 18 years. . Over 18 years .. | $\begin{array}{r} 9,272 \\ 76,037 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 551 \\ 6,501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,823 \\ 82,538 \end{array}$ |
| Total-Males | 85,309 | 7,052 | 92,361 |
| Females :Under 18 years. . Over 18 years | $\begin{array}{r} 24,242 \\ 160,501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 214 \\ 1,053 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,456 \\ 161,554 \end{array}$ |
| Total-Females | 184,743 | 1,267 | 186,010 |
| Total-Males and females | 270,052 | 8,319 | 278,371 |

*See Tables III A and III B, pages 69-70.

The variations in the numbers of operatives employed in the course of the year are shown by the following total numbers employed in a week in each month of the censal year.

| Week ended : | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { operatives. } \end{gathered}$ | Per cent. of average. | Week en | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { operatives. } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent. average. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 12th | 263,932 | $98 \cdot 8$ | July 19th | 265,784 | 99.5 |
| Feb. 16th.. | 264,941 | 99.2 | Aug. 16th | 265,898 | $99 \cdot 5$ |
| March 15th | 265,200 | $99 \cdot 3$ | Sept. 13th | 274,554 | $102 \cdot 6$ |
| April 12th | 264,706 | $99 \cdot 1$ | Oct. 18th | 270,052 | $101 \cdot 1$ |
| May 17th.. | 266,406 | $99 \cdot 8$ | Nov. 15th | 270,523 | $101 \cdot 2$ |
| June 21st. . | 262,592 | $98 \cdot 3$ | Dec. 13th | 271,767 | 101.7 |

The numbers ranged from 1.7 per cent. below the year's average, in June, to $2 \cdot 6$ per cent. above the year's average, in September. As in the case of cotton spinning, the numbers of operatives working in the last four months of the year were above the year's average, and in the other months they were below that average. The average for the 12 weeks was 267,196 persons, of whom 84,623 were males and 182,573 were females.

## Mechanical Power in 1924.*

The engines installed in the establishments at which the numbers employed were as given in the preceding section were of the description shown in the statement which follows, where engines ordinarily in use in the censal year are distinguished from engines in reserve or idle in that year. The kilowatt capacity of electric generators which were driven by engines of the several classes is shown, for convenience, in the same table :-

| Kind of engine. | Prime movers(by kind of engine). |  | Electric generators (by kind of motive power). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. |
| Reciprocating steam engines | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.P. } \\ & 277,962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.P. } \\ & 32,129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kw. } \\ & 10,780 \end{aligned}$ | Kw. $2,539$ |
| Steam turbines .. | 8,130 | 2,550 | 4,398 | 1,532 |
| Gas engines.. | 3,693 | 659 | 323 | 8 |
| Petrol and light oil engines | 72 | 35 | 8 | - |
| Heavy oil engines | 132 | 23 | 17 | - |
| Water power | 3,136 | 231 | 565 | - |
| Total | 293,125 | 35,627 | 16,091 | 4,079 |

In addition to the mechanical power shown in the above table under the head Prime movers, there were installed electric motors driven by purchased electricity, the total capacity of such motors ordinarily in use being 34,251 horse-power and that of motors in reserve or idle 3,819 horse-power. Electric motors driven, or intended to be driven, by electric energy obtained from the generators, particulars of which are given in the above table, were also *See Table IV, page 71.
installed, the capacity of such motors ordinarily in use in the censal year being 13,982 horse-power and that of motors in reserve or idle 484 horse-power. Further, firms whose Returns included a gross output valued at $£ 24,309,000$, or $14 \cdot 1$ per cent. of the total gross output, and in whose establishments 35,760 persons were employed, or 13.0 per cent. of the total number employed in the trade, reported that they rented the whole of the power used to drive their machinery. The horse-power utilised was not specified.

## Consumption of cotton yarn.

The greater part of the available supply of cotton yarn was consumed by the Cotton Weaving Trade in the manufacture of piecegoods, quilts, blankets, smallwares and other goods; important quantities were also used in the manufacture of hosiery, lace, elastic webbing, rope and twine, as well as in the Linen, Silk and Artificial Silk, and Woollen and Worsted Trades, in the production of fabrics of mixed materials. While the information furnished to the Census office was not sufficient to permit of a precise computation of the cotton yarn requirements for each of these purposes, particulars furnished by firms engaged in cotton weaving and in the manufacture of hosiery, lace and elastic webbing, in response to voluntary questions regarding the quantities used by them in the year, together with information obtained from other sources, afford material for roughly approximate estimates.
In the Cotton Weaving Trade, particulars were furnished of cotton yarn used in making about 54 per cent., by weight, of all piece-goods made in the censal year, the quantity of yarn so returned being $623,663,000 \mathrm{lb}$. The proportion in which the weight of yarns is increased by sizing varies greatly. The Returns show that for the piece-goods made by firms furnishing these particulars, about $1,060 \mathrm{lb}$. weight of woven goods was on the average made from $1,000 \mathrm{lb}$. weight of yarn, and that about 65 lb . of waste occurred in manufacture. If these proportions are typical, it would follow that 935 lb . of yarn, with 125 lb . weight added in sizing and dressing, were contained in $1,060 \mathrm{lb}$. of piece-goods, taking the average of all classes. These proportions, however, express the average of little more than one-half of the output of the Cotton Weaving Trade, and wide variations are shown in the Returns of different firms.
In the Hosiery Trade, particulars were furnished by makers of about 35 per cent. by value of the total estimated make of hosiery, wholly or mainly of cotton*; in the Lace Trade, the voluntary information regarding cotton yarns used was supplied in reference to about 50 per cent. of the gross value of the output of cotton lace and cotton lace manufacturest; and in the Elastic Webbing Trade the firms furnishing particulars included in their total output goods whose value amounted to about 92 per cent. of the gross value of all goods made by that trade in which cotton yarn formed a material. $\ddagger$

[^1]If the information furnished in each case may be taken as representative of the whole trade concerned, the consumption of cotton yarn may be estimated at the following approximate amounts in the several trades :-


Calculations relating to the requirements of cotton yarn in the manufacture of sewing cotton, rope and twine, and gloves, and for use with other yarns in the manufacture of silk and artificial silk, linen, woollen and worsted and other textile goods, appear to indicate that not less than 100 million lb . would be needed. Taking account of the fact that 163 million lb. were exported in 1924 and that the net imports were between 7 million and 8 million lb., the requirements thus roughly estimated appear to amount in the aggregate to rather more than the year's make of yarn. In view of the uncertainty arising from the lack of completeness of the replies to the voluntary questions bearing on this subject, and the absence of comprehensive information regarding the relation of the weight of the yarn as first spun and its weight when finally used in manufacture, this result may be mainly of importance in its indication that the proportion of total weight of yarn used to total weight of cotton piece-goods made, shown in the Returns which included this information, may have been fairly representative of the position in the trade as a whole.

## Machinery equipment of weaving establishments.

The schedules issued to firms in the Cotton Weaving Trade included a request to state voluntarily the number of the looms* at their works at the end of 1924, with particulars of the kind of looms and their width, and distinguishing between those in use, and those idle. These particulars were furnished by firms whose gross output in the censal year was valued at $£ 123,608,000$ or nearly 72 per cent. of the gross output of the trade. Of this total, the output recorded in respect of piece-goods was $£ 119,375,000$ (of which $£ 315,000$ consisted of payments received for commission work), that of quilts, counterpanes, towels, sponge cloths, cotton duck and smallwares was $£ 3,544,000$, and the remaining $£ 689,000$ represented other goods such as artificial silk manufactures, machinery belting, etc.

The figures given in the table below are exclusive of the looms of firms manufacturing quilts, counterpanes, towels and other goods

* Excluding obsolete looms.
included in the above-mentioned sum of $£ 3,544,000$. These firms owned 6,008 looms of which 1,599 were idle.

| Kind of loom. | Width in reed space. |  |  |  |  | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Up to 40 inches. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40-50 } \\ & \text { inches. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50-60 \\ \text { inches. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60-70 \\ & \text { inches. } \end{aligned}$ | Over 70 inches. |  |
| Total installed :-Plain | Th. looms. | Th. looms. | Th. looms. | Th. looms. | Th. looms. | Th. looms. |
|  | $145 \cdot 34$ | $177 \cdot 93$ | $43 \cdot 47$ | $7 \cdot 22$ | $10 \cdot 12$ | 384.08 |
| Motion | 71.32 | $43 \cdot 59$ | $12 \cdot 17$ | $9 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 25$ | $139 \cdot 97$ |
| Fancy . . | $25 \cdot 91$ | $26 \cdot 60$ | $14 \cdot 71$ | $3 \cdot 94$ | $1 \cdot 16$ | $72 \cdot 32$ |
| Not specified . . | 1.55 | $1 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 11$ |  | $0 \cdot 08$ | $2 \cdot 91$ |
| Total | $244 \cdot 12$ | $249 \cdot 29$ | $70 \cdot 46$ | $20 \cdot 80$ | $14 \cdot 61$ | $599 \cdot 28$ |
| In use :- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motion | $60 \cdot 67$ | $39 \cdot 64$ | $10 \cdot 84$ | $8 \cdot 74$ | $2 \cdot 80$ | $122 \cdot 69$ |
| Fancy | $19 \cdot 69$ | $23 \cdot 33$ | $13 \cdot 16$ | $3 \cdot 27$ | $0 \cdot 89$ | $60 \cdot 34$ |
| Not specified | $1 \cdot 43$ | $1 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | - | $0 \cdot 08$ | $2 \cdot 74$ |
| Total in use .. | $204 \cdot 72$ | $220 \cdot 67$ | 63.09 | $18 \cdot 39$ |  | 519.78 |
| Total not in use | $39 \cdot 40$ | $28 \cdot 62$ | $7 \cdot 37$ | $2 \cdot 41$ | $1 \cdot 70$ | $79 \cdot 50$ |
|  | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| Percentage not in use :- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plain | $15 \cdot 5$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 0$ |
| Motion.. | $14 \cdot 9$ | $9 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 9$ | $9 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 4$ |
| Fancy .. | $24 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $17 \cdot 0$ | $23 \cdot 3$ | $16 \cdot 6$ |
| All kinds | $16 \cdot 1$ | $11 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 3$ |

The output of piece-goods recorded by the firms in whose establishments these looms were installed is shown in the following table, in which the goods made on commission are included at their full sales value (cf. page 39).

| Piece-goods of cotton. |  |  |  |  | Th. linear <br> yards. | Th. square <br> yards. | Th. cwts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Value: |
| ---: |
| $£^{\prime} 000$. |

* Estimated value of piece-goods for the weaving of which $£ 315,000$ was received.

The particulars stated above show that the looms used in making about one-quarter of the piece-goods woven in the year were not covered by the particulars furnished. Of the looms included in the table, the narrowest class showed the greatest proportion of equipment out of use. These looms, with a reed space of 40 inches or less, were, in proportion to the total number returned, $40 \cdot 7$ per cent., and the looms with a reed space of over 40 but not over 50 inches, formed
roughly the same proportion of the total, being $41 \cdot 6$ per cent. The looms of 50 to 60 inches reed space were 11.8 per cent., those of 60 to 70 inches reed space 3.5 per cent., and looms of over 70 inches reed space were 2.4 per cent. of the total number covered by the Returns. These proportions cannot, however, be assumed to apply to the whole of the looms owned by cotton weaving firms.

It will be found that the average width of the piece-goods woven in the looms recorded in the preceding table was $39 \cdot 3$ inches, the piece-goods woven in establishments not furnishing particulars being, on average, narrower by 2 inches. It appears possible, therefore, that the proportion of narrow looms in use in the latter establishments was greater than would correspond to the distribution shown in the table.

For 1912 and 1907, cotton weaving firms were asked to state the total number of power looms at their works, without distinction of those in use and not in use. The following table shows the particulars recorded by makers of piece-goods for those years in comparison with the corresponding figures for 1924 :-


The average linear yardage woven on the looms in use in 1924 was about 8,000 yards, the average for all looms, including idle looms, being rather over 6,900 yards. If the Returns for 1912 and 1907 were representative of the entire trade in those years, it may be calculated that the average yardage woven was about 10,000 yards per loom in 1912 and about 9,250 yards in 1907. While these figures for the different years cannot be taken as closely comparable, they may furnish some indication of the effect of the reduction in working hours, and the irregular working during 1924 in reducing output.

Cotton Spinning and Weaving, 1924, 1912, and 1907.

## Introductory.

For the purposes of any general comparison of the results of the three Censuses of Production, the particulars for 1924 relating to cotton spinning and those relating to cotton weaving must be combined, since at the earlier enquiries the separation of these two branches of the industry was not made.
The following table shows the main results of the three Censuses, but comparisons between these results are subject to the qualifications mentioned in the next paragraph. The aggregates shown are not
quite exhaustive, since 63 firms to which schedules were sent did not furnish Returns in 1924, but these firms for the most part had relatively small establishments and included a number which ceased operations in the course of the censal year. On the basis of the information available it is estimated that they did not employ more than 1,900 persons and that their net output probably lay between $\AA 240,000$ and $£ 300,000$.

| Particulars. | Unit. | 1924. | 1912. | 1907. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of goods made and work done (Gross output) | $£^{\prime} 000$ | 367,545 | 191,757 | 174,601 |
| Cost of materials used .. .. .. | L | 283,064 | 140,138 | 128,697 |
| Paid for work given out to other firms .. | " | 825 | 1,069 | 897 |
| Net output | , | 83,656 | 50,550 | 45,007 |
| Average number of persons employed.. | No. | 528,312 | 621,516 | 572,062 |
| Net output per person employed | f | 158 | 81 | 79 |
| Mechanical power available :Prime movers | Th. H.P. | 1,420 | 1,403 | 1,239 |
| Electric motors driven by purchased electricity | ,, | 181 | 30 | (not recorded) |

Qualifications affecting comparisons.-In considering the above table and the other tables in this Report which show figures for the different censal years, the following qualifications should be borne in mind :-
(1) The comparability of figures relating to value or cost is affected by the changes which have taken place in the general purchasing power of money.
(2) The Censuses of 1907 and 1912 covered the whole of Great Britain and Ireland, but that of 1924 applied only to Great Britain and Northern Ireland. The exclusion of Southern Ireland in 1924 does not seriously affect the comparability of the figures since, in the Report on the Census of Production taken by the Government of the Irish Free State in respect of the year 1926, the only output of the cotton industry separately published was 637,183 sq. yds. of piece-goods, valued at £27,243.
(3) The Censuses of 1907 and 1924 extended to all firms, however small, but in 1912 firms employing not more than five persons (excluding the proprietors) were merely required to state the average number of persons employed by them in the year. Such small firms are rare in the cotton industry ; and, according to the information supplied the average number of persons employed in the establishments thus excluded totalled 500 , or less than 0.1 per cent. of the number employed by the remaining firms as shown in the above table.
(4) In establishments engaged in both the spinning and weaving sections of the trade, and using in their weaving departments yarns prepared in their spinning departments, such yarns have been recorded as products of the latter and materials of the former departments in 1924, while they were not so recorded in like Returns for 1912 and 1907.
(5) The figures shown above for 1924 include particulars relating to the spinning of cotton yarn by hosiery manufacturers, but corresponding particulars are not included in the figures for 1907 and 1912. The comparability of the figures for the three censal years is not affected by this difference, since the total quantity of cotton yarns spun in mills owned by hosiery manufacturers in 1912 (the only year for which the amount is recorded) was returned as $616,700 \mathrm{lb}$.

## Production.

Duplication.-The value of the goods made and work done, as shown in the first line of the table, is the aggregate of the values shown for their output by the firms making Returns, and should not be interpreted as indicating that the Cotton Trades as a whole produced goods of which the sums stated were the aggregate values. Similarly, the total shown in the table for materials used does not express the cost to the Cotton Trades as a whole of the materials purchased from outside those trades and worked up into their products. The goods made by some of the firms making Returns on the schedules for the Cotton Trades form the materials of other firms in the same trades, and hence, in the total value of goods made, there are included a certain number of double or multiple records of the same articles at different stages of manufacture. Similarly, the total cost of materials used not only represents the cost of materials (e.g. raw cotton) purchased from outside the Cotton Trades, but also includes a record (possibly repeated more than once) of values added to such materials by the firms that successively handled them in the course of their preparation for sale outside the Cotton Trades.

Value of output of cotton goods free from duplication. -In the Report on the first Census of Production an estimate was given of the value free from duplication of the output in 1907 of all cotton goods of the kinds mainly produced in the cotton trade, i.e., all kinds except cotton lace, cotton hosiery, elastic webbing and cotton gloves. The goods included in the estimate covered both those returned on schedules for the Cotton Trades and goods of the same kinds returned on other schedules; and their value was taken as in the bleached, dyed or printed condition. The amount of the estimate thus reached was $£ 132,000,000$, a figure which the Report stated might be in
excess or defect by about $£ 1,000,000$. An approximate estimate similarly constructed for 1924 gives the following result :-

Million $£$.
Cotton yarn exported ( $£ 27,782,000$ f.o.b.), value at mill, about
Cotton piece-goods made (grey, white, dyed, printed, etc.)
Other cotton manufactures made ...
Estimated value of cotton yarns and waste used in other trades
Cotton waste exported ( $£ 2,300,000 \stackrel{\circ}{\text { f.o.b. })}$ ), value at mill, about

Total ..
This total of $£ 256,000,000$ may be in excess or defect by $£ 2,000,000$ to $£ 3,000,000$. It includes the value of the work done on cotton goods by the Textile Finishing Trades and by the Packing Trade, i.e. goods are taken as ready for delivery for export or for the home trade.

Duplication in output value of Cotton Trades.-The amount included in the above total of $£ 256,000,000$ as representing goods of cotton which were returned on schedules other than those for the Cotton Trades is between $£ 2,000,000$ and $£ 2,250,000$. The value excluded from the total as representing goods not of cotton which were returned on schedules for the Cotton Trades is between $£ 1,000,000$ and $£ 1,250,000$. Hence, the value, free from duplication, of the total output of the Cotton Trades (i.e. of firms making Returns on schedules for those trades) may be estimated at a mean figure of about $£ 255,000,000$. The extent of duplication is indicated by comparison of this total with the total value of goods made and work done shown in the table on page 48, with the addition to the latter total of the cost of finishing processes and of packing, i.e. with a total of about $£ 397,000,000$. The mean figure of $£ 142,000,000$ thus obtained as the measure of the duplication in the value of the total output is between 55 and 56 per cent. of the mean value of the output, free from duplication. For 1907 the similar figure of duplication amounted to $f 55,000,000$, or nearly 42 per cent. of the value of the output, free from duplication. The percentage is almost of necessity greater for 1924, since some part of the yarns not duplicated in the Returns for 1907 was necessarily duplicated in those for 1924 owing to the requirement of separate Returns in that year for spinning departments of firms carrying on both spinning and weaving.

Cost of materials and work given out.-The cost of materials used by firms making their Returns on schedules for the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades was returned as $£ 283,064,000$ in 1924 , a sum
which, by the exclusion of purchases of the products of other firms in these trades, may be reduced to a figure of about $£ 142,000,000$, an amount which may be in excess or defect by $£ 2,000,000$ to $£ 3,000,000$. The corresponding net figure for 1907 was estimated at about $£ 75,000,000$.

The amount paid to other firms for work given out to them was returned as $£ 825,000$ in $1924, £ 1,069,000$ in 1912 and $£ 897,000$ in 1907.

Net output.-The net output in 1924 of the firms making their Returns on schedules for the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades (whose gross output was valued at $£ 367,545,000$ ) was $£ 83,656,000$; that sum representing, without duplication, the total amount by which the value (at works) of the aggregate output exceeded the cost (at works) of the materials used and the amount paid to other firms for work given out to them.
The net output per head of persons employed in the censal year 1924 was $£ 158$, as compared with $£ 81$ in 1912 and $£ 79$ in 1907.

## Employment.

Average numbers employed in 1924, 1912 and 1907.-The average numbers of persons employed by firms whose Returns were made on schedules for the Cotton Trades in 1924, 1912 and 1907 are shown below. For the purpose of this comparison the average numbers of operatives of each sex returned for 1924 (as shown in Tables IIIB on pp. 66 and 70) have been divided between the two age-groups in the proportion shown by the data relating to the week ended 18th October, as given on pages 33 and 42 above. The numbers of administrative, technical and clerical staff returned for that week have been added to the calculated averages for operatives in order to obtain the numbers shown in the column of Total employed for 1924.

| Sex and age. | 1924. |  | 1912. |  | 1907. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operative staff. | Total employed. | Wage earners. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { employed. } \end{gathered}$ | Wage earners. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total } \\ \text { employed. } \end{array}$ |
| Males :- <br> Under 18 years Over 18 years | $\begin{array}{r} 27,581 \\ 156,513 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,646 \\ 168,968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,834 \\ 176,694 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,660 \\ 189,627 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,082 \\ 157,886 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,153 \\ 168,827 \end{array}$ |
| Females :Under 18 years Over 18 years | 184,094 | 197,614 | 229,528 | 243,287 | 207,968 | 219,980 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 55,293 \\ 273,195 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,628 \\ 275,070 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} 95,154 \\ 282,549 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95,227 \\ 283,002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,689 \\ 261,916 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,761 \\ 262,321 \end{array}$ |
| Total$\begin{gathered} \text { Total-Males and } \\ \text { Females .. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 328,488 | 330,698 | 377,703 | 378,229 | 351,605 | 352,082 |
|  | 512,582 | 528,312 | 607,231 | 621,516 | 559,573 | 572,062 |
| (38487) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Apart from the fall in the aggregate numbers employed in 1924 as compared with the earlier years, the most notable feature is the large share in that fall taken by the numbers under 18 years of age, more than two-thirds of the entire decrease between 1912 and 1924 falling in this age-division, while the total number of persons over 18 years was greater in 1924 than in 1907. The raising of the school age and the almost complete disappearance of the half-time system played an important part in the decrease of numbers of operatives under 18. The total number of half-timers was nearly 19,000 both in 1912 and 1907, and of these about 10,000 were females.

Employment in 1924 and 1912 compared with 190\%.-The proportions of the numbers employed in 1924 and in 1912 to the corresponding numbers employed in $1907(=100)$ are shown in the following statement, in preparing which two half-timers have been counted as equivalent to one full-timer.

| Sex and age. | Staff of 1924. |  |  | Staff of 1912. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operative | Administrative, technical, etc. | Total. | Wage | ( Salaried | Total. |
| Males :- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 18 years | $60 \cdot 4$ $99 \cdot 1$ | 99.4 113.8 | $61 \cdot 3$ $100 \cdot 1$ | $111 \cdot 9$ | $118 \cdot 2$ | $112 \cdot 3$ |
| Total | $90 \cdot 4$ | $112 \cdot 5$ | 91.7 | $110 \cdot 6$ | 114.5 | $110 \cdot 8$ |
| Females :- <br> Under 18 years | $65 \cdot 3$ | $470 \cdot 8$ | $65 \cdot 6$ | $106 \cdot 4$ | 101.4 | $106 \cdot 4$ |
| Over 18 years | $104 \cdot 3$ | $463 \cdot 0$ | $104 \cdot 9$ | $107 \cdot 9$ | $111 \cdot 8$ | $107 \cdot 9$ |
| Total.. | $94 \cdot 8$ | $463 \cdot 3$ | $95 \cdot 3$ | $107 \cdot 5$ | $110 \cdot 3$ | $107 \cdot 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total-Males } \\ & \text { and Females } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $93 \cdot 2$ | $125 \cdot 9$ | $93 \cdot 9$ | 108.7 | 114.4 | $108 \cdot 8$ |

While, between 1907 and 1912, the numbers employed were increasing, except in the case of males under 18 engaged in clerical and associated work, the staff of 1924 showed, as compared with 1907, decreases in the aggregate number of operatives, and in the total staff, both due almost entirely to a very marked decrease of young operatives. The growth of the clerical staff is shown by the large relative increases in female staff in the administrative, technical and clerical section. It is worthy of note that those enumerated as Salaried persons in 1912 were relatively somewhat more numerous than in 1907, so that the marked relative expansion of this section in 1924 is not a wholly new feature of the post-war census. For the purposes of the above table it has been assumed that the Wage earners of the earlier Censuses and the Operative staff of the 1924 Census relate to classifications of those employed which were similar.

If that be not the case, comparison is only possible for the total numbers employed in each age-group and of each sex.

Variations in relative importance of each group of employees.-The proportion of each of the groups shown in the table of numbers employed to the aggregate number of persons employed at each Census is shown in the following table, two half-timers being counted as equivalent to one full-timer.

| Sex and age. | 1924. |  | 1912. |  | 1907. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operative staff. | Total employed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { earners. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { employed. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { earners. } \end{aligned}$ | Total employed. |
| Males :Under 18 years Over 18 years | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 4 \\ 30 \cdot 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 4 \\ 32 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1 \\ 29 \cdot 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 1 \\ 31 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 3 \\ 28 \cdot 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \cdot 3 \\ 30 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ |
| Total | $35 \cdot 9$ | $37 \cdot 4$ | $37 \cdot 7$ | $39 \cdot 1$ | $37 \cdot 0$ | $38 \cdot 3$ |
| Females :- <br> Under 18 years Over 18 years | $10 \cdot 8$ $53 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 5$ $52 \cdot 1$ | $15 \cdot 0$ $47 \cdot 3$ | $14 \cdot 7$ $46 \cdot 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \cdot 4 \\ & 47 \cdot 6 \end{aligned}$ | $15 \cdot 1$ $46 \cdot 6$ |
| Total | $64 \cdot 1$ | $62 \cdot 6$ | $62 \cdot 3$ | $60 \cdot 9$ | $63 \cdot 0$ | $61 \cdot 7$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total-Males and } \\ \text { females.. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |

The male staff, after increasing slightly in proportion to the total between 1907 and 1912, was a somewhat smaller proportion of the total staff in 1924 than in 1907. The adult staff, after increasing from $76 \cdot 6$ per cent. to $77 \cdot 3$ per cent. of the total between 1907 and 1912 , was, owing to the causes already mentioned, $84 \cdot 1$ per cent. of the total in 1924.

Seasonal variation in employment.-The seasonal variations in employment, so far as the records of the earlier Censuses suffice for their determination, are shown below, the figures representing the numbers of operative staff (wage earners) only.


The average for the twelve monthly returns obtained in 1924 was 512,582 or somewhat in excess of that for the four months to which, (38487)
in the earlier years, the particulars obtained were confined. The month for which the numbers returned showed the greatest total was December ( 527,986 ), and that for which the total was least was June ( 504,963 ).
In 1907 and 1912, the numbers relate to those employed on the last Wednesdays of the months named, and in 1924 to those employed in the second or third week of the month.

## Mechanical Power.

The detailed information relating to mechanical power in 1924 which has already been given for the Cotton Spinning and Cotton Weaving Trades separately, is combined in this section to admit of comparison with the information recorded for 1912 and 1907. The following table sets out the particulars for the three censal years relating to the capacity and kinds of prime movers, and the capacity of electric generators installed at cotton spinning and weaving factories.

| Power equipment. | 1924. |  |  | 1912. | 1907. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Total. | Total. | Total. |
| Prime movers :- | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. |
| Reciprocating steam engines | 1,243,725 | 89,053 | 1,332,778 | 1,357,148 | 1,210,374 |
| Steam turbines | 64,412 | 6,784 | 71,196 | 25,380 | 1,210,593 |
| Gas engines | 5,769 | 1,363 | 7,132 | 9,523 | 8,890 |
| Oil engines .. | 384 | 65 | 449 | 181 | 8,890 |
| Water power .. | 7,237 | 831 | 8,068 | 10,769 | 11,355 |
| Total | 1,321,527 | 98,096 | 1,419,623 | 1,403,001 | 1,239,212 |
| Electric generators :Driven by- | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. |
| Reciprocating steam engines | 48,146 | 7,027 | 55,173 | 41,819 | 28,906 |
| Steam turbines | 37,497 | 2,333 | 39,830 | 21,313 | 2,681 |
| Gas engines | 713 | 170 | 883 |  |  |
| Oil engines .. | 100 | - | 100 | \} 1,337 | 487 |
| Water power | 2,957 | - | 2,957 |  |  |
| Total | 89,413 | 9,530 | 98,943 | 64,469 | 32,074 |

The capacity of electric motors at factories in 1924 and in 1912 was as shown below :-

| Electric motors. | 1924. |  |  | 1912. <br> Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ordinarily } \\ & \text { in use. } \end{aligned}$ | In reserve or idle. | Total. |  |
|  | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. |
| Driven by- Electricity generated in own works | 89,532 | 2,895 | 92,427 | 46,530 |
| Purchased electricity .. .. | 168,764 | 12,381 | 181,145 | 29,903 |

Particulars of the capacity of electric motors were not required for 1907. The total number of Board of Trade units of electricity purchased for power and lighting purposes in that year was returned as $10,408,000$; and $24,475,000$ units were generated by dynamos in cotton factories whose aggregate capacity was 22,966 kilowatts, the total capacity of dynamos at cotton factories in that year being returned, as shown above, at 32,074 kilowatts.

In addition to the power generated at factories, or purchased as electrical energy, some establishments were operated wholly by rented power. Such establishments in the Cotton Trades returned, in 1924, $6 \cdot 6$ per cent. of the total value of output reported, and employed 6.8 per cent. of the persons employed in those trades. The proportion of output in 1912 reported by firms renting all the power they used was 8.0 per cent., and in 1907, $7 \cdot 2$ per cent. In 1924 such firms were nearly all in the weaving section of the Cotton Trades (see p. 44).
Mechanical and electrical transmission of power of prime movers.Taking 746 kilowatts as equivalent to 1,000 horse-power, and assuming that, except as regards steam turbines, which are generally coupled direct to the electric generators driven by them, a loss of 10 per cent. occurs in the conversion of mechanical into electrical energy, the estimated capacity of prime movers whose power was transmitted electrically and mechanically, was as follows in 1924, 1912 and 1907 :-

| Power transmitted. | 1924. |  |  | $1912 .$ <br> Total. | 1907. <br> Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Total. |  |  |
| Electrically Mechanically | $\begin{gathered} \text { H.P. } \\ 127,588 \\ 1,193,939 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { H.P. } \\ & 13,847 \\ & 84,249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { H.P. } \\ 141,435 \\ 1,278,188 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { H.P. } \\ 92,847 \\ 1,310,154 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { H.P. } \\ 47,373 \\ 1,191,839 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

The proportion transmitted electrically may thus be estimated to have increased from less than 4 per cent. in 1907 to nearly 10 per cent. in 1924. The power transmitted electrically, as shown in the above tabular statement, includes electrical energy used for lighting and heating as well as that used for driving motors. The proportion of the latter to the total motive power used was thus, in each year, less than is expressed by the percentages calculable from the table. The replies furnished to the voluntary question in the Census schedule, relating to fuel used, suggest that the expansion in the use of electric motors was responsible for the major part of the increase in the proportion of the power of prime movers which was transmitted electrically. The fact that the prime movers in reserve or idle cannot, in part, be definitely allocated to either mode of transmission has, it will be seen, little importance in the general comparison.

Proportion of available motive power applied electrically.-In the Cotton Trades, the electrical application of power covers a comparatively small part of the total field. Making a suitable allowance in respect of electrical energy used for heating and lighting, it appears that, in 1924, little more than one-sixth of all the power available for other purposes, such as the driving of machinery, was applied electrically. Even this relatively small proportion shows a marked increase in comparison with the corresponding figure for 1912, which was less than 6 per cent.

Power per head of operatives employed. - If the horse-power of the electric motors be added to that of the prime movers whose power was transmitted mechanically, the total for 1924 is about $1,552,000$ horse-power, and that for 1912, 1,387,000 horse-power. For 1907, it appears probable that the total may have been not far from $1,225,000$ horse-power. The power equipment in establishments, other than those covered by the above statement of power rented, amounted on the average in 1907 to about $2 \cdot 4$ horse-power, and in 1912 to about $2 \cdot 5$ horse-power per operative employed. In 1924, this proportion had increased to about $3 \cdot 25$ horse-power per head. While the numbers of operatives employed decreased (from 1912 to 1924) by about 15 per cent. in these establishments, the horse-power available increased by 12 per cent., the available horse-power per head increasing by over 30 per cent. Compared with 1907, the relative increase, it would appear, approached 40 per cent.

Quantity of electricity used.-In 1924 the returns made voluntarily in respect of establishments having dynamos of a capacity of 30,754 kilowatts in use (or $34 \cdot 4$ per cent. of the total capacity in use) showed that $40,232,000$ Board of Trade units of electricity were generated, $30,775,000$ units being used for power, $2,780,000$ units for heating and lighting the factories and for transport, etc., the remaining $6,677,000$ units being used for purposes not distinguished. At the establishments furnishing these particulars there were ordinarily in use, in 1924, electric motors of a capacity of 34,249 horse-power driven from the generators in those establishments. This capacity represents 38.3 per cent. of the total capacity of all motors (in use) driven by generators owned by cotton spinning and weaving firms. Voluntary particulars as to the quantity of electricity purchased were given by firms in whose works motors aggregating 116,454 horse-power, driven by purchased electricity, were in use, or $69 \cdot 0$ per cent. of the total capacity of all motors (in use) driven by purchased electricity owned by cotton spinning and weaving firms. The number of units of electricity purchased by the firms furnishing these particulars was $134,307,000$, of which $80,481,000$ units were for power, $3,485,000$ units for heating and lighting the factories, transport, etc., and the remaining $50,341,000$ units for purposes not distinguished.

## Exports and Imports.

## Yarns.

The total quantities of cotton yarns produced in the United Kingdom during the censal years 1924, 1912 and 1907 are shown in the following table in relation to the exports from and the net imports into the United Kingdom in the calendar years 1924, 1912 and 1907.


* Total single yarn made, whether sold as such or as doubled yarns or thread.

It appears from these comparisons that the increase of 10 per cent. in the quantity of yarn spun in 1912 as compared with 1907 was retained for use in the United Kingdom. The proportion of the total make that was exported as yarn was about $13 \cdot 4$ per cent. in 1907, $12 \cdot 3$ per cent. in 1912 and 11.7 per cent. in 1924. It will be observed that the imports in 1924 were mainly of yarns of the lowest range of counts. If yarns and sewing cotton be taken together, the weight of the exports represented about 15 per cent. of the weight of single yarn made in 1907, $13 \cdot 4$ per cent. in 1912 and $13 \cdot 0$ per cent. in 1924.

Piece-goods.
In the case of piece-goods, the following table furnishes a similar comparison :-


The yardage of exports and imports includes the yardage of flags, handkerchiefs and shawls, in the piece, in all years, and the yardage of flags, handkerchiefs and shawls, not in the piece for 1924, but not completely for 1912 or 1907.*

The yardage of piece-goods made is stated as reported by the weavers of the goods ; the yardage exported is stated after handling, in a large majority of cases, by bleachers, dyers, printers or finishers, and, further, it is understood that, in the case of goods intended for splitting, at least a part of the export takes place after splitting. This is the case, for example, as regards flags, handkerchiefs and shawls, not in the piece, exported, when such goods have been woven so as to need division before making up for use. If it may be assumed that the square yardage is not increased or decreased in the handling between the weaver and the packer for export, the linear yardage is certainly increased. The linear yardage of the piece-goods exported in 1924 was 83.2 per cent. of the linear yardage reported as the production in that year ; the square yardage exported was 74.4 per cent. of that produced. How much of the difference in these percentages results from splitting, the available information is insufficient to show. From partial estimates obtained from organisations in the sections of the trade particularly affected, it appears that the linear yardage may have been increased by splitting by 300 millions, if not by more, an addition that would bring the total to 5,890 millions and would reduce the percentage exported to 79. The difference between the average width of piece-goods exported and those retained in this country is discussed in a later paragraph.

The figures given in the table show a proportion of linear yardage exported to linear yardage made, of 86 per cent. for 1912 and 89 per cent. for 1907. By how much these percentages would be reduced if the figures of output were stated with reference to goods in the same condition as those exported it is not possible to estimate from the information available. The extent to which splitting affects the comparison may not have been closely similar for the different periods compared. In these circumstances it is desirable

* The export figures for 1907 and 1912 include printed flags (etc.), not in the piece, but not other flags (etc.), not in the piece, which were classified as cotton manufactures, unenumerated. The net imports for 1907 and 1912 are exclusive of flags, etc.,
not in the piece, whether printed or not. This difference affects also the figures not in the piece, whether printed or not. This difference affe
of exports in the next two tables in this section of the Report. The figures of exports and net imports for 1924, as shown in the table, include the
following quantities of flags, handkerchiefs and shawls, not in the piece, under headings not covered by the figures for 1907 and 1912 :-

to compare the values assigned to the production and to the exports, in spite of the fact that the former are taken ex factory and the latter f.o.b. at port of shipment.

The estimated values of the piece-goods made, including the charges for bleaching, dyeing, printing and finishing, and also those for making-up and packing ascertained for 1924, compare as follows with the declared values of exported piece-goods :-

|  | 1924. | 1912. | 1907. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) Production ex factory <br> (ii) Exports f.o.b. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } £ \\ 193 \cdot 8 \\ 155 \cdot 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } £ \text {. } \\ 108.8 \\ 91.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Million } £ . \\ 94 \cdot 4 \\ 81 \cdot 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| (ii) expressed as a percentage of (i) | $80 \cdot 3$ | $84 \cdot 2$ | 85.8 |

If account be taken of charges between factory and ship, the percentages here shown will be reduced, probably to figures between 75 and 80 . The relative reduction in the proportion of piece-goods exported to piece-goods made is, however, indicated by the figures shown.

The average width of the piece-goods exported in 1924, as resulting from the figures given in the table on page 57 , was 34.7 inches. The average width of the goods made and retained in this country, taking the figures as returned, would be shown as about 59 inches, and even were it assumed that $300,000,000$ yards are added by splitting between the record of production and that of export the resulting average width of the goods retained would be nearly 45 inches. Whether this figure is in excess of, or is less than, the actual average width of the cotton piece-goods available in 1924 for the home market cannot be determined from the information available. The calculations may, nevertheless, serve to indicate a definite probability that the home market took goods wider, possibly substantially wider, than were sent to overseas markets. It may be noted that there was no country the piece-goods exported to which in 1924 had an average width as great as 45 inches. The widest average is shown for exports to Madras, with an average of 43.4 inches, and the only other case in which an average width of 40 inches was exceeded for that year was that of Switzerland, with an average width of not quite 41 inches. Exports to the Irish Free State averaged 35 inches wide.

The weight of the piece-goods exported in 1924 was $71 \cdot 1$ per cent. of that of the goods made in the year. As $74 \cdot 4$ per cent. of the square yardage was exported, the average weight per square yard was less for the exported goods than for the remainder, apart from any possible variation in weight arising from dyeing and other finishing processes.

The average width of the imported piece-goods retained in the United Kingdom was 41.9 inches. The proportion of the net imports to the production in this country was less in 1924 than in either 1912 or 1907.

The following statement shows the quantities of cotton piece-goods bleached, dyed or printed in 1924, 1912 and 1907 and the quantities of such goods exported and imported in those years :-

| Years and classes of cotton piece-goods. | Production. | Exports. | Net imports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1924. | Th. sq. yds. | Th. sq. yds. | Th. sq. yds. |
| Bleached, but not printed or dyed | 1,914,608 | 1,394,207 | 1,023 |
| Dyed, but not printed | 981,055 | 763,205 | 20,284 |
| Printed, whether dyed or not | 833,854 | 625,686 | 6,347 |
|  | Th. lin. yds. | Th. lin. yds. | Th. lin. yds. |
| Bleached, but not printed or dyed | 1,852,342 | 1,413,185 | 876 |
| Dyed, but not printed | 980,840 | 858,047 | 17,418 |
| Printed, whether dyed or not | 799,927 | 748,718 | 6,050 |
| 1912. | Th. lin. yds. | Th. lin. yds. | Th. lin. yds. |
| Bleached, but not printed or dyed | 2,538,818 | 2,048,515 | 2,811 |
| Dyed, but not printed | 1,262,938 | 1,083,388 | 64,362 |
| Printed, whether dyed or not | 1,305,022 | 1,248,888 | 22,660 |
| $190 \%$ <br> Bleached, but not printed or dyed Dyed, but not printed .. <br> Printed, whether dyed or not .. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Th. lin. yds. } \\ 2,200,062 * \\ 1,142,524 \\ 1,326,059 \end{gathered}$ | Th, lin. yds. 1,769,247 (not separat | Th. lin. yds. 2,517 <br> ly recorded) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,207,386 | 13,573 |

* Including 7,527,000 linear yards of cotton piece-gaods returned in the bleached state by cotton weaving firms.

The classification of goods shown in the table is that used in the Census schedules, and it agrees broadly with the classification adopted in the Import and Export Returns. In the latter Returns, however, the heading relating to bleached goods is shown as white, bleached, and, as already mentioned, it is possible that fabrics woven partly or wholly of dyed yarn and subsequently bleached may be included in the Census Returns as bleached, but not printed or dyed, and in the Export Returns as coloured cottons, a category not included in the figures for exports and net imports shown in the above table. The export and import figures relating to bleached, dyed and printed piece-goods are also exclusive of flags, handkerchiefs and shawls, not in the piece, since the statistics distinguish merely printed and other categories of these goods, and furnish no means of dividing the latter category according as the goods were bleached, were dyed, or were neither bleached nor dyed (see also footnote, page 58).

The comparison of figures of production with those of exports shows that the square yardage of bleached cottons exported in 1924 was about 73 per cent. of the square yardage of piece-goods bleached in the censal year, and that the corresponding proportion was nearly 78 per cent. for goods dyed in the piece, and about 75 per cent. for printed goods. If the yardage exported is deducted from the yardage produced, the average width of the remainder, were the figures of yardage comparable, would be about 43 inches for bleached cottons, 64 inches for dyed goods and about 4 yards for prints. As
already pointed out, the returns of output refer, at least in part, so far as concerns their linear yardage, to goods made for splitting, but not yet split, while the returns of exports refer to some at least of these goods after splitting. No close comparison of goods produced and goods exported can thus be made, on the basis of linear yardage, without reasonably exact knowledge of the extent of the splitting, that differentiates the return of production from that of export, for each of the great classes of goods distinguished in the last table. The figures of linear yardage, as recorded, indicate an apparent decline in the proportion of exports to production of bleached piece-goods in 1924 as compared with 1912 or 1907, and of printed piece-goods in 1924 as compared with 1912 ; but as the proportion piece-goods in 1924 as compared with 1912 ; but as the to totals may have been different in the different years, the recorded figures cannot be interpreted as furnishing a measure of the changes which actually occurred.

## Other cotton manufactures.

The recorded exports of machinery belting of woven hair or cotton in 1924 amounted to 49,238 cwts., valued at $£ 856,000$, and the net imports to 436 cwts., valued at $£ 5,700$. As shown earlier (page 41), the quantity recorded as made in the year was 48,000 cwts., valued, at factory, at $£ 857,000$. The explanation of these figures may lie in some export from the production of earlier years, or in the fact that part of the woven belting produced was recorded under some other and more general description, without sufficient indication of the character of the goods.

The value of the tapes and smallwares of cotton distinguished in the Returns of manufacturers in all trades compares as follows with the exports and imports in 1924 :-

| Value of output $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Value of exports | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . | 1,948 |
| Value of net imports | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | .. | 1,448 |

In the case of cotton waste, the production, exports and imports in 1924 are shown in the following statement:-

| 1924. | Manufactured cleaning waste. |  | Other waste. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Returned by spinners and doublers. |  | Returned by weavers. |  |
| Production | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th. 1b. } \\ & 57,642 \end{aligned}$ | $£_{870}^{\prime}$ | Th. lb. <br> 239,019 | $\begin{aligned} & f_{5,618}^{\prime} 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th. lb. } \\ & 19,530 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{557}^{\prime}$ |
|  |  |  | For re-spinning. |  | For other purposes. |  |
| Exports Net imports | 21,672 519 | 457 18 | 51,273 44,149 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,762 \\ & 1,797 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5,580 \\ & 2,665 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 78 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

The omission of a specific heading for Waste, other than cleaning waste in the schedule for the Cotton Weaving Trade appears to have led, in numerous cases, to the omission of the particulars relating to such waste.

Exports and Imports in relation to total output of cotton goods.
Following on the above comparisons of production, exports and imports of yarns, piece-goods and certain other cotton manufactures considered separately, a comparison may now be made between production, exports and imports of all cotton goods of the kinds mainly produced by the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades, including those returned on schedules for other trades. The value, free from duplication, of such goods (including the value added by the work of textile finishing and packing firms) has already been estimated (p. 50) as aggregating about $£ 256,000,000$.* The total value of the exports of cotton goods belonging to the classes included in the aggregate was recorded in 1924 as $£ 199,375,000$ f.o.b. at the ports of shipment. Before comparing these totals it is desirable to take account of the difference in the values of the goods at factory and at port. For this purpose recourse must be had to rather broad estimates of charges and commissions to intermediaries. The factory value of the exports may be roughly estimated as lying between $£ 180,000,000$ and $£ 185,000,000$ or about 70 to 72 per cent. of the value of the goods made.
A like comparison of the factory value of the exports in 1907 of cotton yarns and manufactures (except hosiery, lace and rope)valued f.o.b. at $£ 105,043,000$ - with the factory value ( $£ 132,000,000$ ) of the total output of cotton goods in the United Kingdom in that year yields a percentage of exports to production lying between 72 and 74 per cent.

The exports for 1924 include about $£ 2,000,000$ in respect of cotton goods shipped to the Irish Free State, and the output of 1924 excludes any goods made in that State. The proportion of exports to production was thus less in 1924 than in 1907 in a somewhat larger degree than the comparison of the preceding figures, taken by themselves, suggests.

The total value of the net imports in 1924 of cotton waste, cotton yarns and cotton manufactures was $£ 8,411,000$ or about one-thirtieth of the value of the goods of those descriptions made in this country ; excluding waste, the total value was $£ 6,537,000$ or $2 \cdot 6$ per cent. of the value of the production. The value of goods of home production remaining available for use in this country appears from the figures given above to have lain between $£ 70,000,000$ and $£ 75,000,000$ at factory. Thus, the net imports, omitting waste, represented about 8 per cent. of the total available for use in this country, whether home

[^2]produced or imported. For 1907, the net imports, omitting cotton waste, were valued at $£ 4,100,000$, or about 3 per cent. of the value of the goods of like classes made. Compared with the total of such goods, whether home produced or imported, available for use in Great Britain and Ireland in 1907, the net imports appear to have represented between 10 and $10 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

## Wages in 1924.

Under the Census of Production Act, 1906, the powers of the Board of Trade to require information do not extend to particulars of the amount of wages paid, and, consequently, no information on this head was secured in connexion with the Census of 1924. As a result, however, of the voluntary enquiry undertaken by the Ministry of Labour into wages and hours in the United Kingdom in 1924, information was obtained as to the total wage-bill of a group of firms in the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades which made Returns both to the Ministry of Labour and to the Census of Production office. According to the Census records this group of firms employed in the week ended 18th October, 1924, 366,513 operatives out of a total of 519,835 operatives for these trades as a whole, and their net output totalled $£ 60,894,000$ out of an aggregate of $£ 83,656,000$ for the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades as a whole. The total wage-bill of these firms, as returned to the Ministry of Labour, was $£ 33,168,000$, representing about $54 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of their aggregate net output in the censal year. There is no information showing, for firms that did not furnish particulars to the Ministry of Labour, the relation of the wages paid to the net output or showing whether the average wages paid per person employed were approximately the same as, or differed notably from, those paid by firms that supplied particulars of their wage-bills. The varying effects of trade depression and short time working on different firms render any calculation of the aggregate amount of the wage-bill of these trades somewhat hazardous. It may not, however, be unreasonable to estimate the aggregate payments for wages, in the censal year 1924, in the Cotton Spinning and Weaving Trades as not much, if at all, less than $£ 45,000,000$, and not much, if at all, more than $£ 47,000,000$.

TABLES.
Cotton Spinning.
I.-Summary of results.

| Particulars. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

* In order to avoid the disclosure of information relating to individual firms, the figures for Northern Ireland have been combined with those for England and Wales.


## II.-Production.

A.-Total make of single cotton yarn in 1924.


* In order to avoid the possible disclosure of information relating to individual firms, detailed particulars can be given for Great Britain only
Note.-No single cotton yarn was spun in Northern Ireland in 1924.
B.-OUTPUT SOLD OR ADDED TO STOCK.

| Kind of goods and work done. | Unit. | England and Wales and N . Ireland $\dagger$. | Scotland. | United Kingdom. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goods made for sale :Cotton yarns :- <br> Counts: Up to No. 40 Over No. 40 and up to No. 80. Over No. 80 and up to No. 120. Over No. 120 | (Quant <br> Th. lb. <br> $£^{\prime} 000$ <br> Th. lb. <br> £'000 <br> Th. lb. <br> $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} 000$ <br> Th. lb. <br> $\epsilon^{\prime} 000$ | ity and net s <br> 1,504,208* <br> 180,918 | elling value $\begin{array}{r} 22,453^{*} \\ 6,86^{*} \end{array}$ | $1,078,163$ 110,425 367,299 57,429 75,475 17,944 5,724 1,946 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Total-Cotton } \\ \text { Yarns. }\end{array} \\ \text { Cotton waste } & \text {. .. } & \ldots\end{array}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th. lb. } \\ & \text { E'000 } \\ & \text { Th. } 10 . \end{aligned}$ | $1,504,208$ 180,918 235,891 5,515 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,453 \\ 6,826 \\ 3,128 \\ 103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,526,661 \\ 187,744 \\ 239,019 \\ 5,618 \end{array}$ |
| Yarns, reeled and wound :- <br> Quantity stated <br> Quantity not stated .. Other cotton manufactures Other textile manufactures | Th. lb. $f^{\prime} 000$ $£^{\prime} 000$ 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,431 \\ 721 \\ \times \quad 81 \end{array}$ | 二 * $*$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,431 \\ 721 \\ 256 \\ 81 \\ 335 \end{array}$ |
| Total value of goods made FOR SALE | $\chi^{\prime} 000$ | 187,704 | 7,051 | 194,755 |
| Work done on commission:Cotton yarns spun :- <br> Counts: Up to No. 40 Over No. 40 and up $\{$ to No. 80. Over No. 80 and up to No. 120. <br> Over No. 120 <br> Yarns, reeled and wound :- <br> Quantity stated <br> Quantity not stated <br> Other work done |  |  | nd amount | received for 3,013 86 4,666 208 2,553 224 49 6 2,623 35 31 3 |
| Total amount received for WORK DONE ON COMMISSION | $£^{\prime} 000$ | 588 | 5 | 593 |
| Total value of goods made and work done (Gross output) .. $\qquad$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | 188,292 | 7,056 | 195,348 |

* In order to avoid the possible disclosure of information relating to individual firms, detailed particulars can be given for the United Kingdom only.
etailed particulars can be give
$\dagger$ See Footnote to Table I.


## IV.-Mechanical Power.

Particulars of prime movers, electric generators and

| Kind of staff. | Males. |  | Females. |  | Males and females. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 18 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages. } \end{aligned}$ | Under $18 .$ | $\underset{\text { ages. }}{\substack{\text { All } \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 18 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages. } \end{aligned}$ |
| England and Wales and Northern Ireland* :Operatives Administrative, etc. $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,567 \\ 492 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99,651 \\ 6,078 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,145 \\ 115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,567 \\ 870 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} 47,712 \\ 607 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 239,218 \\ 6,948 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 19,059 | 105,729 | 29,260 | 140,437 | 48,319 | 246,166 |
| Scotland:- <br> Operatives <br> Administrative, etc. $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,298 \\ 390 \end{array}$ | 2,780 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,267 \\ 73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,965 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,565 \\ 463 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 207 | 1,688 | 2,786 | 9,340 | 2,993 | 11,028 |
| United Kingdom:Operatives Administrative, etc. $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,752 \\ 514 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100,949 \\ 6,468 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} 31,925 \\ 121 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 148,834 \\ 943 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,677 \\ 635 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249,783 \\ 7,411 \end{array}$ |
| Total .. . | 19,266 | 107,417 | 32,046 | 149,777 | 51,312 | 257,194 |

B.-OPERATIVES EMPLOYED IN ONE WEEK IN EACH MONTH OF 1924.

England and Wales and Northern Ireland.* (Annual average: Males, 98,150; England and Wales and Northern I
Females 136,760 ; Total, 234,910.)

| Week ended. | Males | ales. | Tota | Week ended | Kales. | emal | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 12th | 97,057 | 134,237 | 231,294 |  | 7,521 | 134,6 | 232 |
| Feb. 16th | 96,439 | 133,259 | 229,698 | Aug. 16th | 97,477 | 135,042 | 232, |
| March 15th | 96,544 | 134,114 | 230,658 | Sept. 13th | 98,380 | 136,561 | 234 |
| April 12 th. | 97,576 | 136,525 | 234,101 | Oct. 18th | 99,651 | 139,567 | 239,2 |
| May 17th | 97,836 | 136,625 | 234,461 | Nov. 15th | 100,788 | 141,614 | 242,402 |
| June 21st | 96,941 | 135,006 | 231,947 | Dec. 13th | 101,584 | 143,958 | 245,542 |
| Scotland. ( Annual average : Males, 1,321; Females, 9,155; Total, 10,476.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 12th | 1,335 | 9,085 | 10,420 | Ju |  |  | 10,282 |
| Feb. 16th | 1,337 | 9,214 | 10,551 | Aug. 16th | 1,329 | ,241 | 0,570 |
| March 15th | 1,323 | 9,016 | 10,339 | Sept. 13th | 1,325 | 9,223 | 10,548 |
| Apl. 12th . | 1,307 | 8,984 | 10,291 | Oct. 18th | 1,298 | 9,267 | 10,565 |
| May 17th | 1,300 | 9,049 | 10,349 | Nov. 15th | 1,343 | 9,348 | 10,691 |
| ne 21st | 1,320 | 9,104 | 10,424 | Dec. 13th | 1,326 | 9,351 | 10,677 |

United Kingdom. (Annual average: Males, 99,471; Females, 145,915: Total, 245,386.)

| Jan. 12th .. | 98,392 | 143,322 | 241,714 | July 19th .. | 98,834 | 143,587 | 242,421 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Feb. 16th... | 97,776 | 142,473 | 240,249 | Aug. 16th .. | 98,806 | 144,283 | 243,089 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | March 15th | 97,867 | 143,130 | 240,997 | Sept. 13th.. | 99,705 | 145,784 | 245,489 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | April 12th.. $988,883 \quad 145,509 \quad 244,392$ Oct. 18th .. $\quad 100,949$ | May 17th .. | 99,136 | 145,674 | 244,810 | Nov. 15th .. | 102,131 | 150,962 | 253,093 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 21st. | 98,261 | 144,110 | 242,371 | Dec. 13th . | 102,910 | 153,309 | 256,219 | | June 21st . . | 98,261 | 144,110 | 242,371 | Dec. 13th .. | 102,910 | 153,309 | 256,219 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* See Footnote to Table I.

ELECTRIC MOTORS

| Power equipment. | England and Wales and <br> Northern Ireland.* |  | Scotland. |  | United Kingdom. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. |
|  | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. |
| Prime movers :- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reciprocating steam engines | 954,893 | 55,849 | 10,870 | 1,075 | 965,763 | 56,924 |
| Steam turbines .. | 37,515 | 4,234 | 18,767 | - | 56,282 | 4,234 |
| Gas engines $\quad \because \quad \ldots$ Petrol and light oil engines | 1,933 | 704 | 143 | - | 2,076 | 704 |
|  | 1 | 7 | 1 | - | - | 7 |
| Heavy oil engines .. | $\begin{array}{r}60 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 600 | 120 | - | 180 |  |
| Water power .. .. | 3,826 | 600 | 275 | - | 4,101 | 600 |
| Total | 998,227 | 61,394 | 30,175 | 1,075 | 1,028,402 | 62,469 |
|  | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. |
| Electric generators :Driven by- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reciprocating steam engines | 37,127 | 4,428 | 239 | 60 | 37,366 | 4,488 |
| Steam turbines .. | 19,099 | 801 | 14,000 | - | 33,099 | 801 |
| Gas engines | 280 | 162 | 110 | - | 390 | 162 |
| Heavy oil engines | -1 | - | 75 | - | 75 | - |
| Water power | 2,182 | - | 210 | - | 2,392 | - |
| Total | 58,688 | 5,391 | 14,634 | 60 | 73,322 | 5,451 |
| Electric motors :Driven by- | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity generated in own works .. | 57,261 | 2,411 | 18,289 | - | 75,550 | 2,411 |
| Purchased electricity | 134,161 | 8,461 | 352 | 101. | 134,513 | 8,562 |

Cotton Weaving.
I. Summary of results.

| Particulars. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

* In order to avoid the disclosure of information relating to individual firms, the
* In order to avoid the disclosure of information relating to individual firms, the
figures for Northern Ireland have been combined with those for England and Wales.
II.-Production.

*In order to avoid the possible disclosure of information relating to individual firms detailed particulars can be given for the United Kingdom only.
II.-Production-continued.

| Kind of goods made and work done. | Unit. | England and Wales and <br> N . Ireland $\dagger$. | Scotland. | United Kingdom. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work done on commission :- |  |  |  |  |
| Piece-goods woven (of cotton or of cotton mixed with other materials), including flags, handkerchiefs and shawls in the piece :- | (Quantity of goods an for wor $k$ done). |  | d amount | t received |
|  | Th. lin. $y d$ s. | 8,337 | 3,879 | 12,216 |
| Unbleached, grey (including unbleached dhooties). | Th. sq. yds. Th. cwots. | 8,481 25 | 4,011 | 12,492 |
|  | ¢'000 | 89 | 34 | 123 |
| Manufactured wholly or in | Th.lin. $\mathrm{y} d \mathrm{~s}$. | 28,568 | 1,931 |  |
| part of dyed yarn, and | Th. sq. yds. | 31,134 | 1,749 | $32,883$ |
| commonly known as? | Th. crets. | ${ }^{67}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 73 |
| " coloured cottons". | £'000 | 233 | 24 | 257 |
|  | Th. lb. | 904 | - | 904 |
| Quantity stated | ${ }^{\prime} 000$ | 11 | - | 11 |
| Quantity not stated | ${ }_{\text {' }} \times 1000$ | 19 | - | 19 |
| her cotton manufactures. |  | 2 | - | 2 |
| Other textile manufactures made and work done . . | , | 32 | - | 32 |
| Total amount received for Work done on commission .. | $\ell^{\prime} 000$ | 386 | 58 | 444 |
| Total value of goods made and work done (Gross output) | $f^{\prime} 000$ | 170,418 | 1,779 | 172,197 |

$\dagger$ See Footnote to Table I

## III.-Employment.

A.-Numbers employed in week ended 18th october, 1924.

| Kind of staff. | Males. |  | Females. |  | Males and females. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alles. } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 18 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 18 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages. } \end{gathered}$ |
| England and Wales and <br> Northern Ireland :-* Operatives .. <br> Administrative, etc. $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,112 \\ 545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,321 \\ 6,888 \end{array}$ | 23,479 205 | $\begin{array}{r} 181,193 \\ 1,178 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,591 \\ 750 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 265,514 \\ 8,066 \end{array}$ |
| Total . | 9,657 | 91,209 | 23,684 | 182,371 | 33,341 | 273,580 |
| Scotland :Operatives .. Administrative, etc. $\dagger$ | 160 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 988 \\ & 164 \end{aligned}$ | 763 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,550 \\ 89 \end{array}$ | 923 15 | 4,538 253 |
| Total . | 166 | 1,152 | 772 | 3,639 | 938 | 4,791 |
| United Kingdom :Operatives .. Administrative, etc. $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,272 \\ 551 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85,309 \\ 7,052 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,242 \\ 214 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184,743 \\ \quad 1,267 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,514 \\ 765 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270,052 \\ 8,319 \end{array}$ |
| Total .. .. | 9,823 | 92,361 | 24,456 | 186,010 | 34,279 | 278,371 |

B.-Operatives employed in one week in each month of 1924.

England and Wales and Northern Iveland.* (Annual average: Males, 83,626;
Females, 178,994; Total, 262,620.).

| Week ended. | Males. | Females. | Total. | Week ended. | Males. | Female | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 12th | 82,633 | 176,806 | 259,439 | July 19th | 82,811 | 178,387 |  |
| Feb. 16th | 82,866 | 177,550 | 260,416 | Aug. 16th | 83,836 <br> 83 | 177,941 | 261,198 |
| March 15th | 82,812 | 177,788 | 260,600 | Sept. 13th.. | 87,181 | 182,742 | 261,277 |
| April 12th | 82,889 | 177,262 | 260,151 | Oct. 18th.. | 84,321 | 181,193 | 269,923 |
| May 17th | 83,238 | 178,585 | 261,823 | Nov. 15th | 84,643 | 181,295 |  |
| June 21st | 82,042 | 176,001 | 258,043 | Dec. 13th | 84,734 | 182,383 | 265,938 |



United Kingdom. (Annual average: Males, 84,623; Females, 182,573; Total,
267,196.)

| Jan. 12th | 18, | 83,633 | 180,299 | 263,932 | July 19th .. | 83,825 | 181,959 | 265,784 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Feb. 16th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Feb. 16th . | 83,633 | 180,299 | 263,932 | July 19th .. | 83,825 | 181,959 | 265,784 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | March 15th | 83,799 | 181,401 | 264,941 | Aug. 16th.. | 84,341 | 181,557 | 265,898 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 83,200 | Sept. 13th.. | 88,171 | 186,383 | 274,554 |  |  | | April 12th .. | 83,879 | 180,827 | 265,706 | Oct. 18th... | 88,309 | 186,383 | 274,554 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May 17th | 84,235 | 182,171 | 266,743 | 270,052 |  |  |  | | May 17th .. | 84,235 | 182,171 | 266,406 | Nov. 15th... | 85,649 | 184,874 | 270,523 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June 21st . | 83,040 | 179,552 | 262,592 | Dec. 13th .. | 85,734 | 186,033 | 271,767 |

* See Footnote to Table I.
IV.-Mechanical Power.

Particulars of prime movers, electric generators and ELECTRIC MOTORS.

| Power equipment. | England and Wales and N. Ireland.* |  | Scotland. |  | United Kingdom. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. | Ordinarily in use. | In reserve or idle. |
| Prime movers :- | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. |
| Reciprocating steam engines .. . | 275,614 | 31,231 | 2,348 | 898 | 277,962 | 32,129 |
| Steam turbines .. | 7,700 | 2,550 | - 430 | - | 8,130 | 2,550 |
| Gas engines . | 3,512 | 659 | 181 | - | 3,693 | 659 |
| Petrol and light oil engines | 64 | 35 | 8 | - | 72 | 35 |
| Heavy oil engines ... | 132 | 23 |  | - | 132 | 23 |
| Water power . . . | 1,890 | 231 | 1,246 | - | 3,136 | 231 |
| Total | 288,912 | 34,729 | 4,213 | 898 | 293,125 | 35,627 |
| Electric generators : Driven by- | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. | Kw. |
| Reciprocating steam engines .. .. | 10,628 | 2,539 | 152 | - | 10,780 | 2,539 |
| Steam turbines .. | 4,398 | 1,532 | - | - | 4,398 | 1,532 |
| Gas engines .. | 318 | 8 | 5 | - | 323 | - 8 |
| Petrol and light oil engines | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| Heavy oil engines.. | 17 | - | - | - | 17 | - |
| Water power .. | 521 | - | 44 | - | 565 |  |
| Total .. .. | 15,890 | 4,079 | 201 | - | 16,091 | 4,079 |
| Electric motors:Driven by- | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. | H.P. |
| Electricity generated in own works | 13,966 | 484 | 16 |  | 13,982 |  |
| Purchased electricity | 33,266 | 3,705 | 985 | 114 | 34,251 | 3,819 |

* See Footnote to Table I.


[^0]:    The particulars of quantities shown include estimates made for such output as was returned by value only.
    $\ddagger$ These entries relate to bleached white piece-goods (including bleached dhooties) included in the Returns.

[^1]:    *See page $180 . \quad \dagger$ See page $221 . \quad \ddagger$ See page 247.

[^2]:    * This mean figure is adopted here for the purpose of the calculations in

