

21.3.46. AL/ 2668


50 Lyttellin Court.

In my letter posted yesterday about
W. S. F. I refer to the relief given
by the Finance Act 1945. The date is
wrong. It should I think have been ¹⁹⁴²
_{or even 1941}.
It is of no practical importance, but
I don't like to make an obviously
untrue statement if it can be avoided!
Apologies to you!

P.G.F.

POST CARD

THE ADDRESS TO BE WRITTEN ON THE



Miss Philippa Stradley

51 Gordon Square

W.C.1.

SPE 5616.

AL/2669

50, LYTTELTON COURT, N.2.

20.3.46

My dear Philippa

Thank you for your very kind letter of Mar 16 wh. I am ashamed of not having acknowledged before.

It is most kind of you to bother about my ears &c, but please don't trouble any more. I have asked Clotilda Dawson what her grounds were for saying she did not like the local woman doctor, and I find that she really had no valid reason and none at all which would ~~off~~ effect her suitability as a medical attendant, so I will (without, I hope, undue delay) seek to come to an arrangement with her (the local ~~woman~~ doctor)

I posted the envelope with the
Trust cheque signed yesterday
19 March. AL/2669 conv

I hope the W. E. F. meeting will
produce some result.

I made such a bad mistake with
L. N. S. W. S. by thinking that it was
a "Charity" for income tax purposes
that I don't want to appear in
the matter, (to say nothing of deaf-
ness or other disabilities) ~~so~~ I have
however taken the precaution to
find out that W. E. F. is a
charity. I enclose their reply.

With income tax at 10% in the £
a subscriber who now pays £x
uncovenanted could, simply con-
tinuing to pay £x, but paying it
under covenant enable the Fed-
eration to receive a further £x
or from the Gov^t or £2x in all.

There are two forms of Covenant
(A) to pay such sum as after de-
duction of income tax will leave in
the hands of the said Federation the
sum of £y.

(B) to pay $\frac{1}{2}$ ^{the Fed} deducting tax
AL/2669 oiv

(A) may be very disadvantageous to the subscriber if the rate of tax rises. (This was corrected by a special provision in the Finance Act of 1945 in the case of pre-war Covenants).

(B) may appear to be disadvantageous to the subscriber because he will have to send a larger cheque to the Federation. For instance an ^{uncoerced} subscriber who pays the Federation $\frac{1}{2}$, with tax at 10/ would have to enter into a covenant to pay $\frac{1}{2}$ ^{the Fed} less tax. If the tax is reduced say to 9/ in the $\frac{1}{2}$ the subscriber will pay 18/ to the Government in tax and $\frac{1}{2}$ to the Federation i.e. he pays exactly the same as before on this transaction; but he benefits considerably on the ~~rest~~ part of his income not devoted to Charity.

Ever yours affectionately
Philipps F. Fawcett