Section VIII.-Marital Condition of European Population.

| 92. General.-The form of question on the census schedule from which the tables of marital condition were tabulated was as follows :- |  |  |  |  |  |  | AFfurther fa by death, and according to ma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to be ta } \\ & \text { ta } \\ & \text { llowing } \\ & \text { nondidic } \end{aligned}$ | zen int table re | rds | e nı | $\begin{aligned} & \text { is the } \\ & \text { ex of } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Closseses } \\ & \text { death } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Table L | - Dital Cat |  |  |  | RDING |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Condition. | 1921 | 1922 | ${ }^{1933}$ |  | ${ }^{1295}$ |  |
| Replies to this question were very fully given. Out of a population of $1,092,564$ persons above the age of 14 years, only 392omitted to fill in the required particulars. This equals approxiomitted to for fill in the required particuars.mately 1 in 3,000 persons over that age and 1 in 4,500 of the total European population. This is a slight improvement over the previous census, when 428 failed to reply to the question. Comparing this with other items on the forms it appears to be aquestion which is more readily understood and answered than any other question. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Males. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wido | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{l} 90 \\ \hline 20 \\ 24 \end{array}\right.} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,379 | 8.514 | 8,720 | 8,440 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| When compared with the figures for the previous census, |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cis |  |
| Approximately one more person in every 250 of the populationwas married at the time of the census than at the census of 1921 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,917 | , 300 | 8,348 | 6,711 | 8,668 |  |
| by 11.62 per cent., widowed by 11.28 per cent., and the numbers never married by 9.53 per cent. The numbers in the first two |  |  |  |  |  |  | The above table shows that the losses by death sustained by <br> the groups were, approximately, never-married, 36,200 ; married <br> 29,500 ; widowed, 10,700 ; and divoreed, 200 ; making a total of 76,600 persons. The actual loss to the married group is a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| married group, the latter group obviously shows a smalleof increase than the general rate. Any increase in the $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | of 76,600 persons. The actual loss to the married group is afurther 29,500 widowed surviving spouses transferred to the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| married p |  |  |  |  |  |  | widowed group. During the same period 3,769 divorces were granted. This |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | adds approximately 7,500 persons to the divorced group and reduces the married group by a similar number. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mortality. During the quinquennium the normal mortality rates were undisturbed by any extraordinary phenomena. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The following table gives the number of marriages contracted during the period 1st January, 1921, to 31st December, 1925 : |  |  |  |  |  |  | Migration records of the marital condition of those assuming and relinquishing domicile for the full period are not available but the gross inward and outward movement of population show that on balance there were gains in each group as follows : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table lixiti.-Eurofban Marriages, 1921-25. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Marri |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition. |  | ${ }^{922}$ | 1933. | 1924. | 1925. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridegrooms. |  |  |  |  |  |  | attempt to balance the vital statistics against the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sanders. |  |  | ${ }^{\text {0,208 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {11, }}^{11,125}$ | 889 | ${ }_{\text {55,29] }}^{5627}$ | in the numb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,538 persons were granted divorces, and 3,431 divorced persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12,184 |  |  |  | ${ }^{63,55}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brides |  |  |  |  |  |  | were re-married, while only 219 died, and yet the increase of divorced persons, according to the census was only 483. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The following figures show the results of the analysis of the numbers enumerated and registered according to the vital and migration statistics in each group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Never-married Group. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12,922 | 12,184 | 11,709 | 12,72 | 14,002 | 63,559 | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Additiost } \\ \text { by }}}_{\text {Enumerated inerease }}$ |  |  | $\substack{214,072 \\ 11,344}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{118,18}_{111,573}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deductions by- $\begin{gathered}\text { Marriage.... }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147,7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Iner |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{77,660}$ |
| On the other hand the never-married group was augmented by 214,000 births during the same period. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Difference (over enumerated) <br> less number accounted for from Divorced Group (see |  |  |  |  |  | 8,993 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,607 |  |  |  |
| All the above figures are exclusive of the changes due to inward and outward migration. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Never-married Group as enumerated |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{5.386 \\ 0.54 \%}}$ |



| ${ }_{\text {Census }}$ | Nevere Married. |  |  | Married. |  |  | Widowed. |  |  | Divorece. |  |  | Unspecified. |  |  | ${ }_{\text {All Person }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | м. | ғ. | Р. | м. | F. | ค. | м. | ғ. | p. | м. | г. | ¢. | м. | ғ. | р. | м. | f. | ¢ |

Numbers.




93. Numbers of Husbands and Wives.-Theoretically the
tumber of husbands and wives in a population should be practiaumber of husbands and wives in a population should be practi-
cally equal after allowing for the difiference of married visitors seamen, etc., enumerated at the time of the census. But South
Africa being still to some extent an immigrant country will tend Arrica aeing still to some extent an immiergant country will tend
to show a surplus of married men over married women. The
following table shows the exxess o husbands
sucesssive ecssuses. The large excess in 1904 was dues to to te
fost Boer-War immigration when married men immigrated in
advance of their families. The figures for 1918 are, of course,
infunceed by absentees during the war period and may, therefore,
be excluded from the comparison. They are merely inserted for ee eccladed from the comparison. They are merely inserted for
reeord. The results of the enumeration of ine show a very even balance between married men and married women, the excess
of husbands over wives being only 1,904 .
The excess of widows over widowers is
The primary reason for this excess is given in a later paragraph
lealing with widowhood.

Table LXXXIV.-Exgess of Husbands, Widows, and U
Marrite Matres iv the Europran Poputatiox, 1904 to 1926 .

| Censss. | Cape. | Natal. | Transral. | Orange | Union. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Married <br> Exoess of Husbands over Wives. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,588 \\ \text { 1.580 } \\ -1017 \\ \text { and } \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (b) Widowed <br> Exoess of WIDOWS OVER WIDOWERS. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Never Married (15 years of Age and Over) Exuess of Males over Females. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

4. Never-married Group--Although it it common usage to
the term single in referring to persons who have never bee
 all statistitaal formsissuued to the public. Many divorced and even
widowed persons consider when they are separated from their widowed persons consider when they are esparated from their
spouses, either by death or legal process, that they revert to
single status This fact is clearly revealed above in dealing with spouses, either by death or legal process, that they revert to
single status. This fact is clearly revealed above in dealing with
the number of divorced persons enumerated. In order to reduce the number of divoreced persons enumerated. In order to reduce
this source of errror to a minimum the term never-married has
therefore been therefore, been generally adopted. It is unmistakable and probably
leadd to greater aceuracy in the returns than would otherwise
be the case. leads the gree
be the case.
Table No. LXXXIII shows the proportions of persons of all ages according to marital condition. As practically all persons
under 15 years of age belong to the group " never marvise ",
further tabulation has been made for persons above 14 years.

Table No. LXXXV gives comparisons for four censuses from
1904. Each province shows an increase in the unmbers of both males and females, who had never been married. The proportions to the total population of ages above 14 Years also shows a
increase over the previous enumeration. The Transzaal Provine increase over the previous enumeration. The Transvaal Province
shows the eibggest tummerical inereases and aldo slightly larger pro
por portions in the pereentatace increasess . Sinae 1904 thin proper prion
of persons never married has been steadily declining, but it rose of persons never married has been steadily declining, but it ros
again at the 1926 enumeration. The increase will be reflected in a decrease in one of the other groups of the population
dealt with in the succeeding paragraphs.

Table LXXXV.-Never Marrifd Europeans of 15 Years of Age and Over.-Numbrrs and Proportions Perr Centr,



95. Married Persons.- Table No. LXXXVI analyses the
numbers and proportions of the married group of the Euronean
 in table No. IXXXXIV.
The number of married males in the mas The number of married males in the population increased by
30,187 or 10.9 per cent. during the quinquuennium 1921 to 1926 ,
while the while the married females increased by 3 3n,576 or 12.35 per cent
during the same period. The laryer increse in the number of during the same period. The larger increase in the number of
married women may be partly arcounted for by the fact that at
the previous census a considerable number the previous census a considerable number of manried woonen
were absent from the Union. During the latter part of the war were absent from the Union. During the latter part of the war
period passenger traffic was suspended, and, as a result, there
was a big increase in the traticic in the years 1920 and 1921 when
married women and their families made visits previously postmarried women and their families made visits previously post-
poned to relatives and friends in Europe. The large increase in poned to reetatives and friends in Europe. The larre increase in
the number of married women at the Census of i926 as oom-
pared with the Census of of 1921 is obviously the result of the pared with the Census of 1921 is obviously the result of the
return to normal conditions which had the effect of reducing the
excess of hushands over wives excess of husbands over wives.
In proportion to its population, Natal had the highest pro-
portion of both married mena and women. Allost 39 per cent.
of the male population and 40 per cent. of the female population of the male population and 40 per cent. of the female population
over 14 years of age were married.

| Provines. |  | Male. |  |  |  |  |  | Female. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1004. | 1911 |  | 1921. | 1296. | 1904. | 1911. | ${ }_{1921 .}$ | 1926. |
| Numbers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,197 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportions Per Cent. of Total Males or Females. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $36 \cdot 06$ <br> and <br> and <br> and <br> 36.85 <br> 68 |  |
| 96. Married Persons in Urban and Rural Areas.-The distribution of the married population in urban and rural areas is shown in the table hereunder in the form of percentages of the respective populations. The differences are small and vary verylittle in the several provinces. Taking the urban male population it will be seen that the proportion of married men has risen from $30 \cdot 2$ per cent. in 1904 to $36 \cdot 19$ per cent. in 1926, while the proportion of married men in thefrom $30 \cdot 6$ to $35 \cdot 42$ per cent. |  |  |  |  |  |  | The proportion of married women in the urban female population has hardly varied in the past 22 years, and in the rural female population it has risen from $34 \cdot 2$ to 38.99 per cent. In the rural population it has risen from $34 \cdot 2$ to $38 \cdot 99$ per cent. In the rural areas the steady increase in the proportions of married men has been parallelled by the increase in the proportions of married women. At all censuses there has been a larger proportion of women. At all censuses there has been a larger proportion of the rural female population married than of the rural male population. <br> Of the 612,596 married persons in the Union, 57.5 per cent, were enumerated in urban areas and |  |  |  |  |
| Table LXXXViI.--Perrerntage or Married Males and Frmatrs in Urbai and Rural Areas, 1904 to 1926. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provinee. |  | Males. |  |  |  |  |  | Females. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1904. | 1911. |  | 1921. | 1926. | 1904. | 1911. | 1921. | 192. |
| Urban. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \cdot 6 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ 30.8 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 .7 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { ant. } \\ 55 \cdot 7 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3766 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ 35=9 \\ \hline 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1+1 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Rural. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.1 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ 30.5 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 1 \\ & \text { sin } \\ & 30.1 \\ & 38 \cdot-1 \end{aligned}$ | $38-1$ <br> and <br> sit <br> 39.0 <br> 39.0 |
| 97. Widowed.-It was shown in a previous table that at each census there has been an excess of widows over widowers. This points to the fact that the latter remarry more often than widows,a circumstance substantiated by the marriage stataistics of the Union. The greater longevity of women is also a contributing Union. The greater longevity of women is also a a oontrintitingfactor. The following figures taken from the vital statistios gives the numbers remarried during the calendar years of the intercensal period 1921 to 1926. |  |  |  |  |  |  | It will be seen that during the six years an average of almost 240 more widowers than widows remarried each year, or a total of 1,436 during the whole period. During the previous intercensal period the excess of widowers remarried was 214 per annum. <br> The number of widowers in the population increased by only 792 in five years while the number of widows increased by 5,514 . In proportion to the male population the widowers fell from $2 \cdot 05$ to 1.96 per cent. during the intercensal period, while the proportion of widows increased from $5 \cdot 41$ to $5 \cdot 54$ per cent. of the female population. The Province of Natal has always contained the highest proportion of widowed persons and the Orange Free State the lowest in relation to their respective population |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1921. | 1922. | 1923. | 1924. | 1925. | 1926. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,43 \\ & 1,299 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,372 \\ & 1,115 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,223 \\ 981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2,20 \\ & 1,001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} 1,319 \\ 1,091 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,381 \\ & 1,085 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Provin | Males. |  |  |  | Females. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1904. | 1911. | ${ }^{1921}$ | 1926. | 190. | 1911. | 1921 | 192. |
| Numbers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \\ & \text { ask } \\ & \text { and } \\ & 5644 \\ & 564 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ 813 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportions Per Cent. of Total Males or Females. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.012 \\ & 0.010 \\ & 0.010 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 .28 \\ & 0.120 \\ & 0.160 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.088 \\ & 0.019 \\ & 0.0104 \\ & 0.099 \end{aligned}$ | 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.26 \\ & 0.50 \\ & 0.95 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25 \\ & 0.785 \\ & 0.17 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ |
| 100. Divorced Persons in Urban and Rural Areas.-The following table shows the distribution of the divorced in urban and rural areas for the past two censuses. This shows that the majority of the divorced are to be found in the towns, the rural areas in <br> all instances showing a striking contrast both in regard to numbers and proportions. Although there were more divorced women than men, a relatively smaller number of divorced women than men were to be found in the rural areas. <br> Table XCII.-Numbers and Pergentages of Divorged Persons in Urban and Rural Areas, 1921 and 1926. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ovinee | Males. |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1921 .}$ |  | 1926. |  | 1921. |  | 192. |  |
|  | Urran. | Rural. | Urıan. | Rural. | Uriban. | Rural. | Urban. | Rural. |
| Numbers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 561 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { andin } \\ 1,770 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ \text { as } \\ \text { sin } \\ 2901 \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of Total of Each Sex in Each Area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 .52 \\ & 0.520 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.150 \\ & 0.190 \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.30 \\ & 0.120 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 0.38 \\ 0: 088 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.50 \end{array} \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 0.102 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ |
| 101. Marital Condition in Relation to Age.-The following table shows for four censuses, the numbers and proportions of Europeans of each sex at each degree of marital condition at various age periods. Those from 0 to 14 years are shown in one group and the remainder in quinquennial age groups from 15 years onwards. <br> There is a small difference between the totals here shown for This is due to the fact that the figures are summarised from the census reports of the four colonies prior to 1910, and that, in the tabulation of marital condition in age-groups in the Transvaal report, travellers by rail and Europeans enumerated in Swaziland are included, while Imperial military troops enumerated in the Transyaal these individuals according to both age and marital condition, and to make the necessary adjustments to secure identity with the totals shown in the summary tables. The differences, however, are very small, and the proportionate distribution is therefore very slightly affected. This explanation is given to avoid confusion to those who might happen to compare the totals in this table with the total in other tables for the census of 1904 in this section. From this table, Graphs Nos. XXXIV and XXXV have been compiled. The former illustrates the proportionate distribution of males and females in each degree of marital condition at various age periods. The excess of widows over widowers is clearly |  |  |  | apparent, as is also the excess of husbands over wives. Similarly, the excess of bachelors over spinsters in the earlier periods of life are clearly seen. Graph No. XXV compares the changes in the relative age and marital condition of the populations of the Union as enumerated at four censuses from 1904. In considering the marital condition of the European population in relation to age and sex, the proportion of married men is found to be greatest at the age period 35 to 39 years. At the census of 1911 it was also 35 to 39 years, but it advanced to 40 to 45 years in 1921 and then returned again in 1926. In the case of married women it has remained at 25 to 29 years until the year 1926, when it advanced to $30-34$ years, the process being the reverse of the position for married men. <br> the $g$ the total number of men in any quinquennial age group the group $40-44$ years contained the greatest proportion of married men, viz. $84 \cdot 9$ per cent. The next. closely with $84 \cdot 7$ per cent. married. <br> In 1926 the quinquennial age group in which the highest proportion of women were married was $35-39$ years with 83.4 per cent. In 1921 this age group had $83 \cdot 3$ per cent. married women. <br> The highest proportion married in any one age group was in Orange Free State where $89 \cdot 3$ per cent. of men at ages from the Orange Free State where $89 \cdot 3$ per cent. of men at ages from $45-49$ years were married, and also in the group $50-54$ years ; |  |  |  |  |







 $\cdots$ sa specimen "In 19
"In 1921 one man married when he had topped the century
in years. A woman also achieved this distinction in the same year and two were successful in 1926. Over 300
men married in the Union when between 85 and 89 years
 all the
comment.
Comparing males and females at various age groups, it wil be seen that at age $15-19$, there were 25 times as many girls
there were youths who were married. In the next group, $20-2$ there were youths who were marrice. In the next group,
years, the ratio was 3.5 married females to each married male As the ages progress, it is found that the proport ions of married
males are greater than married feemales. At ages eso 84 years the males are greater than married temales. At age so
ratio was as amost 4 married malesto each married female.
(i) Persons Neverer Married. -In 1926,56 per cent. of the male (i) Persons Never Married. -In 1926,56 per cent. of the males
and 62 per cent. of the females who had never married were under
and and 62 per cent. of the females who had never married were under
15 years of agge At the date of the eensus 76 per cent. of the single
males were under 21 years of age against 83 per cent. of the single males were under 21 years of age against 83 per cent. of the single
females, thus leaving 24 per cent. single males and 17 per cent.
single females who were majors. Computing the proportions on
the total adult and total minor populations we find that while 28 per cent. of the majaor maner poppulations we find that while
only 19 per cent. of the major female population was sumariled ed
onmarried. The percentage of unmarried persons of each sex at the censusses
from 1904 to 1926 , was as an ollows : Nales, $67.5,65 \cdot 3,62 \cdot 2$, and
 steadiy ratio than men.
(ii) Married Persons.-From the section of the table dealing
 of women up to the age -group $20-24$ years were single, while in
the case of the men the majority were unmarried up to the age Talking the proportions in the age-groups, it will be seen that married women were in the majority at each group from 25 years
to 64 years, whereas married men were in the majority in each age-group from 33 to 84 years of age. The same position ha
held pood at each census shown in the tahle. held good at each census shown in the table. censuses show that 350,361, , 369 , and 372 per 1, Ooo wormen, and $304,329,354$, and
359 per 1,000 men were married. 359 per 1,000 men were married.
(iii) Widowed Persons. The proportion of widowers at each census was $19,17,21$, and 20 per 1,000 males, and of widow
$47,45,54$, and 55 per 1,000 females. Although the proportions of $47,45,54$, and 55 per 1,000 lemales. Although the proportions of
widowers has varied very litle ebtwen 1904 and 1926 , the pro portion of widows has steadily increasea.
TTaking the various age-groups it will be seen that the number
 other hand, do not predominate in any age-group until 85 year
or over is seached.
(iv) D (iv) Divorced Persons.-The table records the numbers of
persisns who returned themselves as divorced at the various agepersons who returned themselves as divorced at the various age-
groups
As recorded elsewhere the numbers are probably much
unded.
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yarital condition of european population-unton, 1920
proportionate distribution according to marital condriton of males and fenales per 1,000


| ${ }_{\text {Ages }}$. | Never Married. |  |  |  | Married. |  |  |  | Widowed. |  |  |  | Divorea. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1100. | 1911. | 1921 | ${ }^{1926}$ | 1904 | 1911. | 1921. | 129. | 1904 | 191 | 1921. | 1926. | 1904. | 191. | 1921. | ${ }_{1296 .}$ |
| Females-Numbers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline- \\ 2 \\ 30 \\ 65 \\ 88 \\ 76 \\ 50 \\ 38 \\ 31 \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline 409 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Females-Proportions per 1,000. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - ${ }^{2}$ |  |

number of males and fenales of each degree of marital condition at quinquennial age 102. Age at Marriage.-It was mentioned in a foregoing
paragraph that the proportions of matried females who were
enumerated at the younger ages were greater than those for males.
 (vide Section IV-Agess has, thereforo, been mprepared to show the
ages at which brides and bridegrooms marry. The figures have tges at which brides and bridegrooms marry. The figures have
ben taken from the vital statistics for the years 1916 to 1925
and averaged.

quinquannial age sgroups dercentage distribution of marriages in
The deennium 1916 to 1925 .
The peak of the curve for brides is shown to be between 20 and The peak of the curve for brides is shown to bo between 20 and
24 years, at which ages over 42 per cent. of the annual number of brides marry; whereas the optimum age for bridegrooms
is five vears later when approximately 36 per cent. of bidegrem is five y
marry.
A very high proportion of brides each year are under 21 years
of age, and for the past five years the average has been over 30 or cent. per annum. On the othe herage has been over the annual average
per
pumber (1)
103. Marital Condition of Europeans in the Principal Towns.nerated at each degree of marital condition at various age periods. The following table gives a summary for the ten prinipipal towns
and the figures are those utilized for the diagram which follows.

She population of Bloemfontein has the highest proportion of single fees, whies. Dietermaritzaurg has the greatest proportion
married males the highest females. Durban and proportion of both
opetown have the highest males and females. Durban and Capetown have the
隹
 the large towns vary very slightly, Bloemfontein has the lowest
with 1.72 per cent. and Pietermaritzburg the highest with nearly
3 per cent.

Proportions per cent. at each Martital Condition in the
Privetrat Tows. on the Total or mach Sex SEparattiv.)
$i$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$
0






Graph Xxxv.
104. Marital Condition of Europeans in Regional Divisions. A table giving full paion in seven repional divisions appeared i and martal condition in sever regional divisions appearea
Part III of the detailed tables. The table also gives the distri-
bution acoording to maitata condition per 1 noo in bution according tot maraital ocodition per 1,000 in each hage-group
bund the proportionate distribution of each age-group per 1,00 and the proportionate distribution of cach age-group per 1,00
at all a ages in each degree of marital condition Diagram No. XXXVII shows the proportionate distribution
per cent in each degree of marital ocndition in each division seen that there is a grreater proportion of single men in the North
western Cape than in any other division, while the Transvaa Bushveld hape the highest proportion of married men. The tww
coastal regions both have higher proportions of widows and also
of widowers than the five other divisions. The diagram is drawn
from the following summary which gives the proportions per cent.
from the following summary w wh
of each sex in each division.

darital condrtion-census 192
boportionate distribution at mach degree of wabien condition in the seven regional divisions. Fer

 male (or female) population has been inserted in each graph.
Atthough the tables or the urban and ural poplutaion are not Atuough the tables for the urban and rural population are not
published, graphs based on these figures are give and it is interes-
ting to note the differencees or simimiarities of the esraphical ines. ting to tote the differences or similarities of the graphical lines.
In ant the graphs sit will be noticed that while the graphical line
for single persons is comparatively mooth the line for for single persons is comparatively smooth, the line for married
personss reproduces the irregularities of the line for all persons of undistinguished marital conditions.

Table XCIV.-Marttal Condition-Europeans: Nombers of Males and Females of Each Dearee of Marital Conditto



| ${ }_{\text {Ages: }}$ Years. | Nale. |  |  |  |  |  | Female. |  |  |  |  |  | Asge : Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yeieit | Narrica. | Widowed. | Divoreced. | Sint | Total. | Sereer | Marrical | Wioved. | Divorced. | specified. | Total. |  |
| Union (contimued). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{Z} \\ & \bar{X} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & { }_{16} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 三 } \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Total........ | 52,933 | 307,250 | ${ }^{10,820}$ | 2.578 | 287 | 886,918 | 466.200 | ${ }^{305,346}$ | 45,399 | 2,692 | 105 | 819,742 | Totit |

number of european males at each year of age in each degree of marital CONDITION-CENSUS 1926.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MALES } \\
& \hline \hline
\end{aligned}
$$



The thin graphical line represents the total european male population, and the heavr lines the sub-division



Graph XXXVIII.

NUMBER of eUropean females at Eaci year of age iv eacil degree of marital
condition-cenvus 1926.


number of european males at each year of age in each degree of marttal condition in

nomber of european fenales at each year of age iv mach degree of martital condition in URbaN AREAS-CENSUS 192

number of european fenales at each year of age in each degree of marital condition in


