

This is a letter of ~~reference~~  
~~reference~~ to ~~reference~~ ~~reference~~ ~~reference~~  
(unfinished) AL/1462

The sanitary principles  
are no doubt perfectly  
correct. But it appears  
to me that the paper is  
a sketch which wants  
filling up. The Army with  
which I am more  
immediately concerned  
does not come in for its  
due share of discussion.  
I think you will find  
a great deal in the  
Report which with your  
knowledge & experience  
you could develop more  
than has been done - so  
as to bring out the appli-  
cation of the principles you  
have so clearly stated.

to the case of the soldier.  
Then there is the whole  
question of clothing, duties,  
rations, cooking &c  
& the organization of the  
Army Med<sup>l</sup> Dept. with  
sanitary element which  
is the key to the whole  
Reform.

Also the Medical  
School. & the question  
of pay, Promotion, Retirement.  
It would be very  
advisable if you could  
include the whole in one  
article - & make it  
at the same time  
sufficiently interesting

to be permitted to lie  
on long tables for the  
special benefit of that  
class of readers - who  
are particularly few  
not to thinking for  
themselves but who  
require a certain  
amount of mental  
stimulation, & enable  
them to understand  
such records subjects.

[Will it be wise  
to advocate Leon  
Durois's system of  
ventilation when there  
is such difference of  
opinion on these subjects?]

I like the opening exceedingly - Would it not be well, by a little alteration, to make a similar tone of thought run thro' the whole of it?

Although it has been found in principle that special Sanitary Officers should be appointed in Civil life, such Officers could not be used for all Army purposes, as it would lead to the doubling the staff of the Army Med<sup>l</sup> Dept. The attack on the Medical Profession generally might be modified with advantage