

THE
LAVVES
RESOLUTIONS
OF WOMENS
RIGHTS:
OR,
THE LAVVES PROVISION
for WOMEN.

A Methodicall Collection of such Statutes
and Customes, with the Cases, Opinions,
Arguments and points of Learning in
the LAVV, as doe properly con-
cerne WOMEN.

*Together with a compendious Table, whereby the
chiefe matters in this BOOKE contained,
may be the more readisly found.*

LONDON,
Printed by the assignment of JOHN MORE,
Esquire, and are to be sold by JOHN GROVE,
at his Shop neere the Rowles in Chancery-Lane,
over against the Sixe-Clerkes-Office.
1632. Cum Privilegio.

THE
LAWES
RESOLUTIONS
OF WOMENS

RIGHTS

OF

THE LATTER PROVISION

FOR WOMEN

A Medical Collection of such Statutes
and Customs, with the Cases, Opinions,
Arguments and points of Lawing in
the Law, as are properly con-
cerning WOMEN

Together with a new Edition of the
chief matters in this Book contained,
and be the several Statutes

LONDON

Printed by the assignment of JOHN MORE,
Appt. and are to be sold by JOHN GRAY,
at his shop near the Church in St. Dunstons,
over against the Church of St. Dunstons,
in the Strand.



A
PREFACE TO
 THE READER.



Various are the Concepts and Judgements of Men: Nature teacheth each to preferre his Owne; Hence it is, that the number of Bookes multiply, insomuch, that, according to the Wise-man; Thereof, is no end.

To expect new Matter, were to give the old Proverb the Lie; Nihil jam dictum, &c. It's enough, if what was before, be now so changed by Me-

THE PREFACE

thod and Application, that it shewes as new, and becomes more ready for Use. Habit and Apparell alter the Shape, sometimes the Conditions of Men. An old Theame in a new dresse ingeniously contrived makes the Composer an Author. Why then should this Booke blush to shew it selfe? or doubt to bee servant to the Printer, whose Master neverthelesse it is?

To give it as absolute, or free from faults, were to make it more then the Worke of Man, whose incident is Error: Such as it hath, are rather accidentall then originall, and may bee fairly excused; Not to insist, That the Author's dead, That it was long since collected, Alteration of some Cases by Moderne Statutes, Or this the first Impression. Goodnesse is the Parent of Confidence; The Act is crowned by
the

TO THE READER.

the End, which was this, A publique Advantage and peculiar Service to that Sexe generally beloved, and by the Author had in venerable estimation. To implore their Patronage, and prevaile, were to guard this Booke beyond Opposition. The strong neither needs nor desires a Champion; Meeknes protects it selfe: What here you finde reall and perfect, therefore accept; It will subsist; Remit the rest, the rather for that nor the Tract, nor This is peremptory, But onely proposed for your favorable sense and Approbation.

I. L.

the kind, which was this. A publick
Advantage and peculiar Service to that
Sexe generally beloved, and by the Au-
thor had in venerable estimation. To
improve their Travels, and procure
were to guard this Booke beyond Op-
position. To be strong neither need nor
desires a Champion; Whose presence
it selfe: What have you finde will and
perfect, therefore accept, it will suffice.
I write the rest, the rather for that now
the Task, nor this is preumptuoy, But
only proposed for your favorable
and approbation.



TO
THE READER.

BY whom this following DISCOURSE was Composed I certainly know not, neither by what inducement the Authors paines therein was procured: But if for no other consideration then to make this scattered part of Learning, in the great Volumes of the Common-Law-Bookes, and there darkly described, to be one entyre body, and more ready, and clearer to the view of the Reader, his love deserves thanks, and his endeavours

a

THE EPISTLE

deavours kinde acceptance. The Worke hath beene carefully, and with much labour and diligence collected: The Theame, as the subject, is, *The Lawes Resolutions of Womens Rights*; which comprehends all our Lawes concerning Women, either Children in government or nurture of their Parents or Gardians, Mayds, Wives, and Widowes, and their goods, inheritances, and other estates. It is profitable and usefull Learning to be well knowne. I am sure it will please all them whose actions are guided *virtutis amore*, and offend none but those ill manners, who can have no other antidote made them, then *formidine pœnæ*: for it sets forth Law, and Iustice, things honest, and things convenient. I had such a good conceit of the matter and frame of the whole

ANNO 1653. 8 whole

TO THE READER.

whole Worke, that having a Copie thereof lying by me somtimes, within the Compasse of a Lent vacation, I pluckt my intentions from my own course of Studies, and cast them upon this. And those *vitia Scriptoris*, and *Authoris*, which I found, I amended, and haue added many reasons, opinions, Cases and resolutions of Cases to the Authors store: wherfore those oversights or neglects that thou maist impose upon the Printer or mee, (which I suppose wil be some (if not many) thou shalt have thanks to supply or amend, which is all I expected, and more then the Author, as I beleeve, had (or now being dead can receive:) and perhaps thou maist have a better reward; for the old Adage is true, *pretium non vile laboris.*

Vale,

T. E.

whole, which having a Copie
 thereof by me found, with
 in the Company of a few
 private my friends, and
 some of our friends, and
 the Author, who was present,
 and I, who I have inserted
 and inserted many reasons, opinions,
 Cases, and resolutions of Cases
 to the Authors here: wherefore those
 overights or neglects that thou mayst
 impute upon the Printer or mee,
 (which I suppose will be some) I
 may) thou shalt have thanks to
 supply or amend, which is all I ex-
 pect, and more than the Author,
 as I believe, had (or now being dead
 can receive;) and perhaps thou wilt
 have a better reward, for the old A-
 dage is true, *pretium non vile laboris.*

1664



THE TABLE.

- A** cceptance, *pag.* 177
Accidents of Marriage, *pag.* 62.
Actions by the Husband & Wife, how brought, 196. 197.
Acts done by the Wife, when good, 141.
Age of a Woman; at 7. her father shall have ayde, at 9. shee's Dowable, at 12. she may consent to Marriage, at 14. out of Wardship; at 21. shee may make a feoffment. 7.
Appeale of the husbands death, 332. How it shall be sued 338. within what time it shall be brought, 141. before whom it shall be sued 343. Pleas in Appeale, 353. 355.
Attainder of the Husband, loseth the Wives Dower, 152.
Chattells reall of the Wife, what interest the Husband hath in them, 130.
Contribution of Parceners, 47.
Curtesie of England, what, 79. there must be Marriage, 80. and feisin, *ibid.* and issue, 81. 87. shall not be of a reversion, *ibid.* nor of a right, 82. nor after the Husbands discontinuance 83. nor of an estate suspended, *ibid.* nor of a bare use. 84. A second Husband shall bee tenant, 85. when the title thereof begins, 88. How the Husband shall lose it, 9. It shall be, though the child die, 89.
Clergie in appeale. 364.
Damages in an appeale, 365.
Declaration in an appeale, 248.
Degrees, how they shall be accounted, 76.
Descent, how altdred, 12.
Discontinuance, 155.
Divorce, what, 64. 122. Causes thererof, as Impotencie, 65. Affinity, 66. long absence, 66.
Dower, 90. what it is, 91. when to be recovered 93. what feisin

THE TABLE.

- sin is requisite, 93. the husband must have both Frank-tenement and Inheritance. 95. Of what things it is granted, 98. of what not, 99. Of what inheritance the husband must be seised, 101. When given of an estate determined, and when not, 103. How much it shall be, and how held, 106. 107. It shall be of the Husbands best possession, 108. when the wife may elect, 109. when of Land and rent issuing out of that Land, 109. At the Church-doore, 111. Lost by elopement, 144. How the Husband may hinder it, 148. lost by his attainer, 152. who may assigne it, 243. 244, &c. what things shall be assigned, 281.
- Election of Lands Dowable by the wife, 109. of Dower or Joynture made during the coverture, 184.
- Elopement, a woman thereby loseth Dower, 144.
- Executor of his own wrong, 129. Executorship, 233.
- Exposition of the words, Lands, Tenements, & Hereditaments, 188. of the Stat. of *Westminster*, 1. cap. 4. 17. of the Stat. of 32. *H. 8. cap.* 28. 166, 170. 173. of the Stat. 27. of *H. 8. cap.* 10. 183.
- Evidences detained, 261. Felonie, 206. Fines, 176. Fourcher, what; 218. Forfeiture of Estates, 313. Frank-Marriage, what; 73. whether it may bee to a man, 74. It may be given at, before, or after Marriage, 74. That word maketh inheritance, 75. Heyre, what, and how, 8. 9. Hermaphrodite, what, 5. Hotchpot, what, 40. 41. when used, 42. Husband and Wife, are one person, 116. 119. where one, and where severall persons, 4. they cannot enfeoffe one another, 120. How Lands may be conveyed from him to her, 123. where hee shall be charged for her debt, 136. what Acts she may doe, and what not, 141. How they shall bring actions, 196. 197. 204. Impediments of Marriage, by affinity. 59. by adoption, &c. 60. Infant, what acts voyd, and what voydable. 132. Joynture, 183. where both it and Dower shall be had, 192. what shall be said to be a refusall or agreement to a Joynture, 195. Law reduced to a Method, 1. of King *Edmond*, 375.

THE TABLE.

- Marriage, what, 51. Promises thereof defined and distinguished, 52. 53. How long such Promises are to be expected, 55. who may, and who may not contract Matrimonie, 57. Impediments thereof, 59. 60. why it's necessary, 63. when it's consummate, 63. Not dissolved for any crime, 67. Statutes concerning it. 68. 69. Lands given to marry one, when recoverable, when not. 78. It changes the Womans name and dignitie, 125.
- Nuper Obijt*, where it lyeth, 27.
- Out-larie of Baron and Feme, 221.
- Partners, what, 24. difference between them and Ioynt-tenants and tenants in Common, 25. the coherence between them, 45. where they shall be heire one to the other, and where not, 46. where they shall contribute, 47. where they differ in service, 49.
- Pardon of the King, 364.
- Partition, of the manner thereof, 31. where a Writ lyeth, 33. what plea in that writ is good, 34. who may sue it. 35. In what case it shall abate 38. The Judgement upon that Writt, 39. what things shall not be parted, 40. Where it may not be avoyded, 42. 43. where it may, 44.
- Polygaanie, forbidden, 61.
- Posthumus, where he may enter, 14. where not, 15.
- Promises of Marriage, how long to be expected, 55. How they may be dissolved, 55. and by what authority, 56.
- Quarentine, what, 242.
- Rape, 376. of two sorts, 377.
- Recoveries, what, 180.
- Remitter, what, and when, 157.
- Rent reserved upon a gift in Frank-marriage is voyd 73. a Woman dowable of Rent. 109.
- Seisin, to make Tenant by the Courtesie, 8. and the Wife dowable, 93.
- Service of Parteners, where it differeth, 49.
- Statutes concerning Marriage, 68. 69. of Gloucester, 160. of 32. H. 8. cap. 28. 163. that Stat. expounded. 166. 170. 173. of 27. H. 8. cap. 10. 183. Of 31. H. 7. cap. 20. Of 3. H. 7. cap. 1. Of *West.* 2. cap. 12. Of *West.* 1. cap. 14. *West.* 2. cap. 35. Of 6. Rich. 2. cap. 6. Of 31. H. 6. cap. 9. Of 3. H. 7. cap. 2.
- Tayle speciall, 85.
- Treason, 208.
- Wast, 307.

THE TABLE.

Wooing, 71. when the gifts shall
be returned, if the match take
not effect, 72.

Women why in subjection, 6.
their severall ages, 7. when

compellable to serve, 8.

Writs, whereby a woman may
have her Land, 23. of *nuper o-*
bijt. 27. *de rationabilis parte*, 30.
of partition, 33.

The end of the TABLE.

THE



THE
WOMANS
LAWIER.

SECT. I.

A Law, saith Iustinian in his Imperiall Institutions, belongeth to persons, to things, or to actions: which division I acknowledge to bee good: and so in his method of the Civil Law, both a Doctor and very learned man, Conradus Lagos, yet the same Lagos saith, it is too strait for his purpose, and therefore not feeling himselfe at ease in so narrow a distribution, to divide the formes of Civill Law to certaine heads, according to their materiall varieties, hee confesseth hee is compelled to constitute a pluralitie of Law members moze then the very Law setteth down as appeareth in the 2. Part of his Method the 2. Chapter, yet a curious Caviler (I perceive) might find in Iustiniens partition a very great redundance rather then any defect, for Res is a transcendence, comprehending actions, persons, and what not. And actions in the widest signification

tion seeme alone to bee the theame and right subject matter of Lawes and all Humane Constitutions: as for persons they are so many, and so differing, that I thinke there is no use, Custome, Injunction or decree, but it appertaineth to some person, and that in some peculiarity of difference, either in state, age, sex, function, profession, merit, or some other like severall regard, so that in mine opinion, Law might bee dispersed into apt titles of this personall difference, in such sort as both Students, might come to the easier knowledge: the one of their learning generall, and the other of their particular duty. I though I bee farre unable to produce a perfect method of the Lawes of England, as Lagus following his owne artificiall project hath framed an excellent Deliniation of the Lawes of Rome, and though I bee unworthy to have the Parthalling of the titles of Lawe to bring all matter cohering under them, yet I will make a little assay what I am able to doe if I were put to it in a popular kind of instruction: following a frame by distinction of persons, chasing the primary distribution of them made before the World was seven daies old, *Masculum & Feminam fecit eos*, of which division because the part that wee say hath least judgement and discretion to bee a Law unto it selfe, (*Women onely Women*) they have nothing to do in constituting Lawes, or consenting to them, in interpreting of Lawes, or in hearing them interpreted at lectures, lects or charges, and yet they stand Arially tyed to mens establishments, little or nothing excused by ignorance, moe thinks it were pittie and impiety any longer to hold from them such Customes, Lawes, and Statutes, as are in a maner, proper, or principally belonging unto them: Laying aside therefore these titles which include onely the masculine, as Bishop, Abbot, Prior, Donke, Deane and Chapter, Viscount, Coroner, together with those which bee common to both kinds, as Hereticke, Traitor, Homicide, Felon, Laron, Parricide, Cutpurse, Rogue, with Feoffee, Feoffee, Donor, Donee, Uendo, Uende, Recognisor, Reco-

Recognise, &c. I will in this Treaty with as little tedious-
 nesse as I can, handle that part of the English Law, which
 containeth the immunities, advantages, interests, and duties
 of women, not regarding so much to satisfie the deep learned
 or searchers for subtilty, as woman kind, to whom I am a
 thankfull debtor by nature.

SECT. II.

The Creation of Man and Woman.

GOD the first day when hee created the World made
 the matter of it, separating light from darkenesse: the
 second day hee placed the Firmament which hee called
 Heaven, betwixt the waters above the Firmament and the
 waters under the Firmament: the third day hee segregated
 the waters under the Firmament into one place, calling
 the waters Seas, and the dry land Earth, which hee com-
 manded to bring forth fructifying herbes, plants and trees:
 the fourth day hee made the Sun, the Moone and the Stars
 in the Firmament, to be for Signes, Seasons, Dates and
 Yeres, and to give light upon the earth: the fifth day he made
 by his Word the Fishes of the Sea, Whales and every
 feathered soule of the ayre, commanding them to increase:
 the sixth day he made Cattle, creeping things, the beasts of the
 Earth: and now having made all things that should be need-
 full for them, hee created Man, Male and Female made he
 them, bidding them multiply and replentish the Earth,
 & take the joynt soveraigntie over the Fishes of the Sea, the
 Fowles of the Ayre, and over all Beasts moving upon the
 Earth, Genesis 1.

In the second Chapter Moses declareth and expresteth the Creation of Women, which word in good sense, significeth not the woe of Pain as some affirme, but with Pain: For so in our hasty pronouncing we turne the preposition with to woe, or wee, oftentimes: and so shee was ordained to be with man as a helpe, & a companion, because God saw it was not good that Pain should be alone. Then when God brought Woman to Pain to be named by him, hee found straight way that shee was bone of his bones, flesh of his flesh, giving her a name, testifying shee was taken out of Pain, and he pronounced that for her sake man should leave Father and Mother and adhere to his Wife which should be with him one.

Now Man and Woman are one.

Now because Adam hath so pronounced that man and wife shall be but one flesh, and our Law is that if a feoffment be made jointly to Iohn at Sulc and to Thom. Noke, and his wife, of three acres of land, that Tho. and his wife get no more but one acre and a halfe, quis una persona, and a writ of conspiracy doth not lye against one onely, and that is the reason, *Nac. br. fo. 116.* a writ of conspiracy doth not lye against baron & feme, for they are but one person, & by this a married Woman perhaps may either doubt whether shee be either none or no more then halfe a person. But let her be of good cheare, though for the more conjunction which is betwene man and wife, and to tye them to a perfect love, agreement and adherence, they be by intent and wise fiction of Law, one person, yet in nature & in some other cases by the Law of God and man, they remaine divers, for as Adams punishment was severall from Eves, so in criminall and other speciall causes our Law argues them severall persons, you shall finde that persona is an Individuum spoken of any thing which hath reason, and therefore of nothing but *Vel de Angelo, vel de homine, fol. 154.* in Dyer, who citeth

no worse authority for it then Callepinus owne selfe, seeing therefore I list not to doubt with Plato, whether Women be reasonable or unreasonable creatures, I may not doubt but every woman is a tempoꝝ all person, though no woman can be a spirituall Vicar.

Of Hermaphrodites.

Of Hermaphrodites I have some kind of doubts, not whether they be persons, but what persons they be, If a man die seised, leaving 3. children which be all Hermaphrodites, whether the eldest shall have all his land, or that it be partable as among coheires. Also if the eldest be a Hermaphrodite, and the other 2. faire young Virgins which way setteth the descent. Bracton in his first Booke, Cap. 7. saith, Hermaphroditus comparatur masculo tantum, vel feminæ tantum, secundum prævalentiam sexus incalcentis, that is, it must be deemed male or female, according to the predominance of the sex most inciting.

And as I remember I have read the like division, vi. Brit. 7 Bracton in his first book the 30. Chapter fol. 438. where Cont. fol. 1678. hee sheweth that a man shall not be tenant by the courtise Si partus declinaverit ad monstrum, & cum clamore emittere deberet, emisit rogiu, saith, it is not partus monstruosus, licet natura membra minuerit, vel ampliaverit, or si quis habeat digitos, aut articulos sex vel plures. Hold then if these creatures be no Monsters, but are in conjunction to take on the the kind which is most ruling in the, this must needs be understood in matrimony, and consequently they may have heires, which being granted, why may they not be heires according to the prevalence which Bracton speaketh of: if I were to furnish my selfe a house, I would place no picture or Image in any parlour, dining or bed-chamber, but it should be of good seemely and natural proportion, Satyres and Centaures should come no nearer then the post

at my dooze. And at the thzeshold of this my treatise, oꝛ as it were a little behind the dooze: I will leaue these deformed Chilozen of Mercury, oꝛ Venus, suffering them to enter no further.

SECT. III.

The punishment of Adams sinne.

Returne a little to Genesis, in the 3. Chap. whereof is declared our first parents transgression in eating the forbidden fruit: foꝛ which Adam, Eve, the serpent first, and lastly, the earth it selfe is cursed: and besides, the participation of Adams punishment, which was subjection to mortality, eriled from the garden of Eder, injoynd to labor, Eve because she had helped to seduce her husband hath inflicted on her, an especiall bane. In sorrow shalt thou bring forth thy children, thy desires shall bee subject to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee.

See here the reason of that which I touched before, that Women have no boyle in Parliament, They make no Lawes, they consent to none, they abzogate none. All of them are understood either married oꝛ to bee married and their desires oꝛ subject to their husband, I know no remedy though some women can shift it well enough. The common Law here shaketh hand with Divinitie, but because I am come too soone to the title of Baron and sene, and Adam and Eve were the first and last that were married so young, it is best that I runne backe againe to consider of the things (which I might seeme to have lost by the way) that are fit to be knowne concerning women before they be fit foꝛ marriage.

SECT. IV.

The Ages of a Woman.

The learning is 35. Hen. 6. fol. 40. that a Woman hath divers speciall ages, at the 7. yeare of her age, her father shall have aide of his tenants to marry her. At 9. yeares age, shee is able to deserbe and have dowze. At 12. yeares to consent to marriage. At 14. to bee hors du guard: at 16. to be past the Lords tender of a husband. At 21. to be able to make a feoffment: And per Ingelton there in the end of the case, a woman married at 12. cannot disagree afterward, but if she be married younger, shee may dissent till shee be 14.

The age of 7. yeares, when Bracton wrote this aide, for making the sonne a Knight, or marrying the daughter, was due de gratia & non de iure, and pro necessitate & indigentia domini capitalis: measured by the indigence of the Lord, and opulence of the tenants: But West. 1. Cap. 35. in the third yeare of Edward 1. the Law was made certaine, the Lord shall have aide of his tenants, as soone as his daughter accomplished 7. yeares age for the marriage of her. Viz. 12. s. of a whole knights fee, and 12. s. of 12. L. land in forrage, and so forth, according to the rate more or lesse.

The King shall have this aide according to this p^{ro}por^{tion}, by a Statute made 25. Ed. 3. and for this aide every Lord may either distraine or bying his w^{it} de auxilio habendo at his election, but tenant by grand serjeanty, or petit, shall not pay this aide. Mich. 21. He. 4. fol. 32. no more shall copyholders, as seemeth by the w^{it}, both in Fitzherbert and Bracton, for it is, Precipimus ut habere facias rationale auxilium de Militibus, et libere tenentibus. Now if the Kings w^{it} runne for it before the Statute, how is it that Bracton saith it was due, but de gratis, That per-

haps he meant but for the quantity, *ipſe videtur*, if the father dye, the daughter being unmarried, ſhee ſhall recover ſo much as was gathered and not paid her at the hands of the executor or heire, but this aide is onely for the marriage of the eldeſt daughter, and not for no daughter, where many make but one heire: But ſee Bracton fol. 36. b. Where he ſaith, *primæ genitæ filiz non dabitur auxilium tale, quia illud auxilium pertinet ad Cap. dom. ſicut pertineret ſi non eſſet niſi unus hæres cum omnes ſunt quaſi unus hæres.*

SECT. V.

A Woman compellable to ſerve.

The next age of a Woman is 9. yeares when ſhee is dowable, but wee will ſtay a while with the virgins, concerning whom, if they be in the power and governance of parents, maſters, or prochein amies, or if they bee poore, the Law differeth little or not much from the common forms apperteyning unto males, unleſſe it bee in caſes of rape, which I reſerve to the end of my diſcourſe, where the poore have leaſt need of ſubſidie, onely this I obſerve here, By a Statute made 5. Eliz. ca. 4. Two Juſtices of peace in the Countrey, or the head officer and 2. Burgeſſes in Cities, &c. may appoint any woman of the age of twelve yeares, and under 40. being unmarried, and out of ſervice, to ſerve and bee retained by yeare, weeke, or day, in ſuch ſort and for ſuch wages as they ſhall thinke meet, and if ſhe reſuſe, they may commit her to priſon, till ſhe ſhall be bound to ſerve.

SECT. VI.

Of Heires.

But leaving this ſort to the title of day laborers, come we to women wards in the cuſtody of their lordes. And take

take for the foundation here the Statute it selfe West. 1. Cap. 22. This Statute expressly reciting the material point of the Statute of Merton, willeth it in every of them to be observed, Merton Cap. 6. and the Statute of Merton is this, Whosoever lay person shall bee convicted bee hee parent or other, to have detained, abducted or married puerum aliquem, he shall yeeld the value of the marriage and be imprisoned untill yee have both made amends to the partie damnified, if the ward bee married, and satisfaction to the King for the transgression hoc de hærede infra 14. &c. but if any heire of 14. yeares age, or upward till 21. shall marry himselfe without græing with his Lord to defraud him of the marriage, where the Lord offered him a convenient marriage, and without disparagement, there it shall be lawfull to hold the inheritance untill and after the full age of 21. yeares, by so long time as shall suffice to reape and receive the double value of the marriage, secundum est inactionem legalium hominum et secundum quod pro eodem maritaggio prius fuerit oblatum, sine fraude & malitia, et secundum quod probare poterit in Curia Dm. Regis. Let us speake of heires, and see a litle in what cases a woman shall inherit, It is knowne to all, that because women lose the name of their ancestors, and by marriage usually they are transferred in alienam familiam, they participate seldome in heireship with males, and therefore Bracton is hold to say, Nunquam ad successionem vocatur femina quâdiu hæres superfuerit ex masculis, but to this rule he subjoyneth exception and examples, the very same which are in Littleton, To wit exception of right line, right blood and maner of giving.

SECT. VII.

Of the right Line.

A Female may be preferred in succession befoze a male by the time wherein she cometh: as a daughter or daughters.

daughters daughter in the right line is preferred before a brother in the transverfall line, and that aswell in the common generall taile, as in fee simple, for example, land is given to a man, and to the heires of his body, who dyeth having issue two sonnes, of which the eldest dieth, leaving issue a daughter, this daughter shall inherit by the right of blood, also a woman shall bee preferred propter jus sanguinis: Example, a man hath issue a sonne and a daughter by one venter, and a sonne by another venter, the first sonne purchaseth in fee, and dieth without issue, the sister shall inherit. So it is where a man seised in fee hath issue, ut supra, and dieth, his eldest sonne entereth and dieth without issue, &c. Bracton who hath both these cases, disputeth here as if he were seeking a knot in a bulrush, and he findeth a difference where the inheritance is Descendens and Persequita. But Littleton is plaine though the second sonne bee heire to the father in the last case, and therfore should have had the land, had the eldest sonne neuer entered, yet the case being as it is: possessio fratris de feodo simplici facit sororem de integro sanguine esse heredem. & whether the fee was descended, or perquisit what skils it, here it must needs be, if the brother was heire of the blood of the first purchasor, that the sister of the whole blood is so too, yet there is a great difference betwene land purchased by him that died seised, and land descended unto him, for the first may goe to the heire on the fathers side, & for default of such to the heire of the mothers side, but land descended must alwaies goe to heires of the blood of the first purchaser, and the case may bee such that a female shall carry away inheritance from a male, though there be no difference of right line, or in the integrity of blood, which Bracton calleth jus sanguinis duplicatum: as where John Scile purchaseth in fee, & dieth without issue, an aunt or uncles daughter on the father side, shall inherit before an uncle, or uncles sonne on the mothers side, where they be both collaterall and the integrity or nearnes of blood is alike. But case, that the purchasor died leaving
issue

issue only John the younger, and this John married or unmarried dieth without issue, now cannot the land goe to the heires on the part only of the mother of young John, and therefore ye must ascend a step higher to the marriage of the father and mother of the first purchasor, if ye will finde who shall inherit, where if there be neither brother nor sister to the purchasor, a daughter to the eldest uncle on the fathers side may inherite before any of the mothers side, yea and before a sonne of the second uncle on the part of the father, and this by the worthinesse of blood. I will not examine the crainkes of descent, but turne to the case, where possession of the brother excludeth a brother and taketh in a sister: If a man hath issue a sonne and daughter by one wenter, and a sonne by another, and give land to the eldest sonne in taile, now if the father die and the reversion in fee descend to the eldest sonne, who likewise dies without issue of his body, the second sonne shall have this land: For here was no possession, but an expectance of fee simple in the eldest. Per omnes Iusticiarios de Communi Banco. 24. E. 3. fol. 13. For it is possessio fratris & non reversio fratris, &c. Yet Thrope Justice of the Kings Bench thought the land should goe to the daughter, Brooke con. Brooke descnt. 13. A gaine, as fine was levied to I. and A. his wife in taile, the remainder in fee to A. they had issue a sonne, and the husband died, the wife tooke another husband, by whom shee had issue another sonne and died: the eldest sonne entered and died without issue, the collaterall heire to him entered as into the remainder in fee, and the youngest sonne of the halfe blood, to execute the fee, brought a Scire facias, which was holden good, for though the eldest might have charged, sozisted or given the fee simple by atteinder, yet it was not actually in him, and therefore the demi sanke none impediment but the younger sonne might have it, as heire to his mother, 24. E. 3. fol. 30. Which cases prove, that the possession of a brother to convey the fee to a collaterall heire, if it be not apprehendeth actively, the generall heire to the common

common ancestoz may enter, Therefoze where there is a son oz daughter by one venter, and a puisne sonne by another venter, if the father die seised of an aduouison oz a rent, and the eldest son died befoze he present oz receiue the rent, the daughter shall not inherit, and if the father die seised of an use in fee, *possessio fratris facit sororem esse heredem*: by taking the profits of the ground. 5. E. 4. 7. Where it is said that if the father by testamēt bequeath the profits for tearme of yeares, this letteth not the possession of the eldest brother: otherwise it is, if it had benee for tearme of life, and the like difference is (by this booke) if a lease be made for yeares oz for life of lands not in use, &c.

SECT. VIII.

*Where the manner of gift altereth
the descent.*

RAdons first exception to his general rule, that a Woman shall not inherit, when there is an heire male, is, *Nisi contrarium faciat modus donationis*. His example is, A man giueth land to one in marriage with his daughter, to them two and to the heires of their bodies, they have issue a daughter, and the husband dying, the wife taking another husband, hath by him a sonne and dieth, the daughter shall inherit *per modum donationis*, the case is plaine.

But Littleton hath a limitation, where *modus donationis*, doth cleane exclude Women from inheriting, That is, where lands are given to a man & the heires male of his body: now if he die having issue a sonne and a daughter by one wife, and a second sonne by a second wife, the daughter can neuer inherit, nay, if he die having issue a daughter onely, which daughter hath a sonne, neither daughter nor son shall inherit, for who soeuer shall inherit by force of an intaile made to heires males, must (*per modum donationis*) be males & cōvey his descent to it *per heires males*, which because
the

the sonne cannot doe here, the donoꝝ may recenter. But Littleton saith also (lest women should take the matter unkindly at his hand, that where land is given to a man, & to the heires females of his body, his issue female shal inherit per formā doni & not the issue male: soꝝ the will of the giber must be obserbed. He hath another case which I may not omit: When lands are given a man, & to the heires males of his body, which have issue 2. sonnes, & the eldest dyes having issue a daughter, if he lease the land soꝝ tear me of yeares, the reversion descendeth to the sonne: but if the lease be soꝝ tearme of life of the lessee, the reversion and the fee simple descendeth to the daughter, the discontinuance is the cause, & here the daughter is in not in the per, but contra modum donationis by violating the will of the giber.

SECT. IX.

Where a woman comming to lands shall retaine them, &c.

Now I will shew you where a female having gotten inheritance: per modum donationis, or otherwise, shall retaine it, and where not. Marke well this case, Iohn died seised of fee, leaving issue Robert the eldest sonne, and Richard the puinne: Robert entred, tooke a wife and had issue Alice, which Alice died, hee tooke another, and leaving her great with childe hee died, the Lord seized the land and ward of Alice, and granted the custody to one which indowed the wife of Robert, she was delivered of a sonne William, The Lord seized William his ward which lived ten yeares, and died without issue, Henry the sonne of Richard the second sonne of Iohn entereth, Alice entereth upon Henry, and hee brings an assise: now because the possession of the Lord was seisin and possession of William, to whom Alice was but

Broke dif.
Pent. pl. 19.

of the halfe blood, it was awarded that Henry should recover. But by the opinion of the Court, the land which the wife held in dowze should goe to Alice: for therein William had no more but a reversion 8. Assise pl. 6. Againe, Henry seised of tenements deviseable in Winchester (where the Custom is, that hee which is seised by devise may not with warranty or without warranty make alienation to barre the reversion or remainder (deviseeth them to his wife Alice for tearme of life, the remainder to Th. his sonne for life, so that Th. should make no alienation: quo minus tenementa devenirent propinquioribus haeredibus de sanguine puero, cum post mortem predicti Thom. Henry died having issue Steven an elder sonne, and Maud a daughter, which had issue Eliz. Steven died without issue Alice, the wife entered and died seised, Tho. entereth and alieneth in fee with warranty: Maud dieth, Elizabeth maketh claime by taking the halpe of the dowze in her hand: Tho. dieth without issue, Eliz. entereth upon the alienee, he putteth her out thence, bringeth an assise.

It was holden that the heires of Henry had nothing in the fee simple by the limitation, which went not to his children, but to the next of blood to his children, excluding his infants demesne, And by Wilby, if B. make a lease to Alice for life, the remainder to the next of blood, if he die having issue 2. sonnes, and the eldest dye having issue a sonne (though this issue be heire to B.) the other sonne after the death of Alice shall have the land as next of blood, and (by Greene and Seaton) if there had bene severall issues, of divers sonnes and daughters to the devisee, when the remainder vested it should have gone to them all. But here because the daughter of him had issue a daughter when the tenant for life died, and there was not issue of any sonne, at the instant to take from her, or with her, this Daughters Daughter shall have all, and though there came an after borne sonne of any of the brethren, she may detaine all, &c. for a remainder vested is not like to fee simple descended

to a daughter, where a sonne Posthumus may enter. And if lands be letten for life, the remainder to the right heires of I. & if I. dye having issue a son, which entereth after the death of the tenat for life, & then dieth, his son shall have nothing, because he was not capax at the fall of the remainder, likewise where there is a brother & sister, & lands are let for life to an estranger the remainder to the right heires of the brother, if he and the tenant for life die, the sister may enter, and retain the possession and fee, though the brothers wife be afterwards delivered of a sonne: in like sort did the remainder rest in the child of Maud in Ebz. viz. which recovered by award, 30. Ass. p. 47. But where there is father and sonne, which sonne purchaseth and dieth without issue, and an uncle entereth, if two yeares after the father hath a sonne by the mother of the purchaser, this sonne may enter and put out the uncle, and the reason of Law is that hee that comes in by purchase must be capax, at the time when the purchase vest in him, but in case of descent it is not so requisite. Perk. in his Chapter of devises saith, that if a devise bee made to a colledge, which is not a colledge at the time of the devise it is a void devise, although afterward it be made a colledge: & upon the same reason, is Dier 13. Eliz. 303. of a devise to an infant in ventre sa mere: And where a man dieth seised and his daughter entereth, &c. a son bozne afterward may enter, but it is not so in case of purchase, &c. for if a woman consent to a ravishor, & her daughter and heire enter by the statute, 6. R. 2. c. 6. the son Posthumus shall not put her out, no more shall he, where a daughter and heire entereth for condition broken, and where a daughter hath a villain by descent, which purchaseth & she entereth into the perquisites an after bozne sonne her brother shall have that which descended, viz. the villien but not the land: these cases hath Brook Descents, 58. out of the Doct. and Student, 5. Ed. 4. fo. 58. in the case of Elizabeth Venor, agreeth concerning entry made by 6. Ri. 2. And so both Hales and Mountague, in the case of Wimbish and Talbois, yet Mountague Chiefe Justice taketh there a

learned difference if a man devise land for life, the remainder to the right heire male of the devisor, & the heires of his body, &c. now if the devisor for life die, and a woman which is heire generall to the devisor entereth, and hath afterward a sonne, the sonne shall never out the mother in whom is bested the inheritance for want of other persons to take the falling remainder; per le melior opiniono, H. 6. yet (he saith) the cases of ravishment possession of a brother, abatement of a bastard, &c. are all to bee understood of sex simple: for where the entry gaineth but estate taile, one may beate the bush and another take the bird, so if a man seised by descent from his mother make a feoffment with condition, &c. and die without issue, if a woman heire on the father side enter for condition broken, an heire male or female on the mothers side may oust her. Plow. &c. fo. 56. a. b. & 57. a.

West. I. ca. 22.

Then West goeth on with heire females, that so soon as they come to the age of fourténe yeares if the Lord for covetousnes will not marry them, yet he shall not keepe their land above two yeares after they have accomplished 14. within which two yeares if they be not married by their Lord, they may take action against him for their inheritance, to recover it without paying any thing for the custody or for marriage. If so be that of their proper malice or through the mischievous counsell of others, such women refuse convenient marriage offered by their Lord, he may in this case retaine their land untill they be of 21. yeares, and longer untill he shall receive the value of their marriage.

*Littletons words upon this statute in his
2. booke cap. 4.*

B Littleton if tenant by service of Chivalry
die, his here female being 14. yeares old or more: the
Lord

Lord shall have custody neither of the land nor body, for at that age a woman may have a husband able to doe 1. nights service, but if such an heire be vnder 14. and unmarried at the time of her ancessors death, the Lord shall have ward in her land untill she be of 16. yeares age, West. 1. cap. 22. which getteth the Lord 2. yeares to tender marriage without disparagement, and if during these two yeares the Lord tender no such marriage shee may enter and oust the Lord. If such an heire female be married vnder the age of 14. in the life of her ancessor, which ancessor dieth before she accomplisheth 14. yeares, the Lord shall have no moze but the wardship of her land till shee be 14. yeares old, and then her husband with her may enter into her land and put the Lord out, for this is out of the Statute, because the Lord may not tender marriage to her that is already married, for before the Statute of West. such an heire female that was vnder the age of 14. at the death of her ancessor, and had attained afterward to the age of 14. yeares, without any tender of marriage by her Lord made vnto her, might well enter into her land, and put out the Lord, as appeareth by the rehearfall and very words of the Statute, which as it seemeth (so saith Littleton) was made altogether for the advantage of the Lord.

A suspicion of Littletons error.

Now saying Mr. Littletons inspiration, I am greatly afraid that ye shall not finde by the text of the Statute, That an heire female, being vnder 14. at the death of her ancessor, might by the common law before this Statute, enter and oust her Lord, as soone as she had accomplished 14. yeare of age without tender of marriage. The law perhaps was so, but this Statute proves it not: Again, I doubt, Littleton was deceived, in taking this Statute to be all for the advantage of Lords, yet it is likewise said by Davers

13. H. 7. 11. that this Statute was made for advantage of the Lords.

Glanvill libro. 7. cap. 12.

Here what Glanvill saith, women shalbe in ward until they be of full age & the Lord shal marry them being of full age, every one of the, with their reasonable portion, & though they be of full age they shal remaine notwithstanding in their Lords custody until they be married by his advise, for by the law of the land, no woman heire can be married, but by her Lords disposing and assent. In so much, that whosoever having a daughter or daughters heire or heires, shall in his life time without grace of his Lord marry any of them, he suffereth by the right and generall custome of the Realme perpetuall disinherison, without ever recovering any thing, but by the grace & mere mercy of his Lord. If it be proved that any woman holden in ward do forfit with her body, she shalbe deprived of her heritage, & her portion shall goe and accrue to her parceners. And if they all offend, the whole heritage shall fall as escheate to the Lord. But after such heires be once lawfully married, though they become widdow afterwards they shall no more be holden in ward, nor then by their incontinency can they forfit any inheritance. But yet they may not remarry without their Lords assent. Thus far Glanvil.

Bracon his 2. Booke cap. 37.

Bracon, who (as it may very well be gathered) wrote one halfe hundred yeares after Glanvil, and but very little before the making of West. 1. In his 2. Booke and 37. Chap. finding it a question, at what time an heire female should be out of ward, whether at 14. or 15. or at 21. acknowledged a greater capacity of receipt, and maturity of

desire.

desire, to be in women then in men.

And that therefore, a woman might be out of ward at 14, and marry, because at that age she is able diiponere domui suæ et habere cone et key, et vnum sustinere, that is to order and dispose, a to have, the key clog at her girdle, and to be a jolly tray unto a man. But this early emancipation of women heires he taketh to be onely of such as inherit lād of socage tenure: for drawing toward the end of the Chapter he falleth in with Glanvil, And saith of heires coparceners in Chibalry, si ab inicio omnes maiores extiterunt nihil ominus in custodia dominorum erint donec per consilium et dispositionem eorum maritentur: quia sine ipsorum cōsilio et assensu, mulier hæreditatē habens maritari non potest non etiam in vita antecessorum, &c: quod si olim fecissent, hereditatem amitterent: sine spe recuperandē nisi solum per gratiam. Hodie tamen aliam pænā incurrent. And presently hee sheweth the reason why they might not marry without their Lords assent viz. lest the Lord might be constrained to take homage of his capitall enemy, or of a man altogether unfit or unworthy.

SECT. X.

How the law came to a certainty in the point of a womans being out of ward.

Whose now whether ye will learne of Glanvil and Bracton, what the law was in their time, or of Dr. Lictleton, that wrote many scoze yeares after the making of Westm. 1. In mine opinion, neither did this law bring any advantage to Lords, neither doth it shew that heires females, of tenants in Chibalry, might enter at 14. yeares, neither is there any cleere proove that the law was cleerey so taken. The letter of the Statute doth

not expressely give 2. yeares to tender marriage, but restraineth covetous Lords, that they shall not hold the land above 2. yeres after the 14. which seemeth plainly to import, as it is reasonably taken both by Needh. & Billing 35. H. 5 that befoze the making of this law, the age of male and female in this point, took no difference. I may be asked, how it commeth then to passe, that the law is so cleere in that which Littleton concludeth withall, viz. That the Lord shall not have two yeres to tender his woman ward marriage, save onely where she is under 14. and unmarried at the death of her ancesto: befoze the Statute, it was either out of doubt, that a daughter and heire, should not be cleane out of ward at 14. or at the least it was doubted, whether she should or no: and the words of the Statute whatsoever Dr. Littleton saith, maketh not the matter plaine enough. But we have the helpe of Reverend Prisor, in the Booke above mentioned. 3. 5. Henrici 6. W. Sta. 1. (saith he) was made in the time of Edward the first, who purposing to put all the law into certainty, and in writing, began to make Bookes thereof, by helpe of the most sage men of the law in this Realme, Judges and others. And he made a Booke two yeares after the making of this Statute in which all the Statute is reherfed, which booke goeth on, and saith by expresse words: that no woman shalbe said to be under age, thereby to be in ward after she is past the age of 14. Thus saith Prisor. By him therefore and by other Justices in the Eschequer chamber it was ruled cleere, that where the Kings tenant in Chivalry died leaving his daughter and heire of the age of 15. yeare, she should not be in ward. And Billing saith for law, that if betwene the 14. and 16. yere, when an heire female is in ward another ward falleth which holdeth in Chivalry of the first, the Lord shall not have ward, per cause de garde, for the first ward is out of his power to all intents excepting onely tender of marriage. And another Justice saith, if a tenant hold of one lord by proximity, & of another by posteriozity, the daughter heire under 14. shall be

be in custody of the anterior Lord till she be 16. but she may enter upon the land by posteriozity as soone as she cometh to 14. likewise if the Lord hath once married this woman ward, after the age of 14. she may presently enter into her land: for now the Lord hath had all that, which to him belongeth, the marriage. And the course of the Chancery is to make liberty, before 14. cum exitibus, but after 14. liberty tantum: vid. 4. Eliz. 213. Dyer. & Dyer. 20. Eliz. 362. 1. Hen. 7. 20. on liberty: for then such an heire is to have the profits by the law. To come to an end of this matter, I will not forget, that even in *Dr. Littleton's* daies very neere two hundred yeares after the making of *West. 1.* by the last Statute, that ever Hen. 6. made in the yeare of his reign. 39. c. 2. it was established by Parliament that women being of the age of 14 yeares at the death of their ancestors, without question or difficulty shall have delivery of their lands and tenements descended to them: for so the Law of the land will.

SECT. XI.

A search for the true reason, why a woman is hors du garde, at the age of 14. yeares.

THe principall reason that mooved our law founders, so soone to set women out of ward is none other then hath bene already declared, she is quickly able domui precise, viro subesse, and her husband for her shall doe knights service, or some other for him, and in his stead, the cases are therefore 26. H. 8. fo. 2: If the Kings tenant in chiefe, having scoffees to his use, marry his daughter, vnder age, to a man of full age, and yet, this daughter, being heire, is out of ward for her body though not for her land; for that shall be in ward in this case, as the

the Kings possession must bee voided by suite and libery. But had she bene of full age of 14. yeares at her fathers death, no such thing had needed, neither should she have bin in ward, nor the King have any primer seisin: for that was not as yet sene into by the Statutes of H. 7. which had given ward, reliefe and herriots upon the death of him, which died intestate and seised of onely a bare use: againe, if the King have a woman ward which he marrieth befoze she be 14, she shall be to all intents out of ward at 14. and may immediately sue her libery. 28. H. 8. for as a ward masculine, married by his Lord vnder 21. shall be sui iuris at 21. so shall a ward feminine being married befoze 14. bee out of ward at 14. altogether. In the old *Natura brevium* in the word *de electione custodie*. it is said, that where the tenant marieth his daughter being under age, to a man of full age, & dieth, the daughter shall be out of ward. But if he marry his daughter, being of full age, to a man under age, and die, she shall be in ward. This Sr. Brooke taketh to be no law: even so doe I: his reason is, that no Lord can have the marriage of her that is already married, or compell any heire to be twice married. For if a tenant marry his son and die, and then the sonnes wife dieth holden, the Lord shall not have his body in ward to marry him. Which is cleare: specially if the sonne were *infra annos nobiles* at the time of his fathers death. But certainly, if the Lord couple his ward to a wife which dieth, the ward is at full liberty for his body, and shall not be married by his Lord.

The reason why an heire female of full age married by her father to a man under age, should not be out of ward, must be because the supposition of law faileth: her husband is not able *arma portare & officiis fungi militaribus, vel pro iisdem faciendis cum alio pacisci*. But this notwithstanding, we thinketh a woman married, should bee out of ward for all her husbands nonage, thought the woman bee but twelve yeares old a boy knight shall be out of ward for his body: shall a woman *innupta & matura viro* be in keep-
ing.

ing of any but her husband, shall shee at 14. yeares age bee ward because she hath a husband but 19. yeare olds, who should not have bene in ward had she had no husband at all non videtur. The husbands ability to doe souldiers service, is neither the onely nor the principall cause in mine opinion, why a woman is by law out of ward at 14. yeares age. But law going with the trace or tide of nature, that hath made women (as Bracton saith) fit to carry ce y and key cloge betimes, suffereth them to mary very early: And if should be a mischievous, inconvenient, unjust, and unnatural law, that should hold a woman from her husband, or from her inheritance, which is without offence of law married, & fully able to bring forth children, because her husband is not fully fit for all mannor of hoisemanthip. We not therefore good woman absterred from a young husband, by old natura brevium.

SECT. XII.

How a woman that hath bene in ward, shall come by her land.

A Woman past 14. yeares of age at her ancestors death shall not be in ward: And where she is in ward till 16. she may have action at 16. against her Lord for her inheritance, according to the Statute. By Littleton, she may enter which standeth with reason, for the Statute giving action to her affirmatiuely, doth not disaffirme the entrie which she might have had, by the auncient catholicke Common law: if she cannot or dare not enter, she may have alone (if she be alone) or with her fellowes (if she be a coheire) a writ of mozt dancester, as well against her Lord as against any other abator. Marlbridg ca. 16.

But if shee be ward to the King, against whom a mozt

danceltoz, w^{it} of Aile, Besaile o^r Cofinage melts into petition, then she must sue fo^r libery. And where the King hath a woman in ward with some lands holden of o^rther Lords in socage, such a ward shall not so soone as shee is 14. yeare old have libery of that socage lands, but she must tarry unlesse she be married, in the meane while till she be 26. because libery must be at once, and not by parcels. Yet if 3. copartners be in ward to the King, the which first cometh to age, shall sue her libery, and have partition vpon it.

SECT. XIII.

Of Parceners.

Fo^r, it must not be omitted here where a man dieth seised of any manner of inheritance, having issue none but daughters, to whom such inheritance descendeth, when they have entered by Lite. they are parceners, one heire to their common ancesto^r, & so are the heires of females parceners and they ought to come in by descent, fo^r if by purchase they are jointenants: they are called partners (saith he) because they are compellable by a w^{it} de partitione facienda, to divide the inheritance amongst them. Like, o^r the same law is, where a man dying seised having no issue, his land goeth to his sisters, o^r aunts, that are partners, if one of them dye befoze partition made, her part shall descend to her issue, and fo^r want of issue to her coheires, which shall be deemed and adjudged in, by descent and not by ser^{vice} labour.

SECT.

SECT. XIII.

Difference betweene partners and jointenants.

Fo: although partners have a conjoyned estate, yet law maketh a great diversity betwixt them and jointenants: Partners by the comon law, are onely females or the heirs of females, which also must be in, by descents, for if sisters make a joint purchase they are jointenants, & not partners. Betwixt whom obserbe here the germaine & apparent difference: If two coparceners be of lands in fee simple, whether of one before partition made chargeth her part with a rent & dieth without issue, her coparcener taking as heire and by descent, shall hold the land charged. But it is otherwise betwixt jointenants.

Also partners may devise and give away their part by testament, so cannot jointenants.

SECT. XV.

*Difference betweene partners and tenants
in common.*

As in the cases precedent, parceners are like tenants in common, so in that which followeth they are like jointenants. If two sisters enter into their deceased fathers lands, and ebery of them having issue a sonne, dieth before partition, so that one moitie descendeth to one sonne, and one moitie to another, which sons enter and occupy the lands in common, if they bee not devised they shall have but one assise and not severall assises. Because although they come in here by divers descents, yet

still they are partners, and that not onely in regard of the seisin & possession which their mothers had, but rather in respect of the estate which descended to their mothers from the common ancestors, the grandfather, to whom they are but one heire, so that of a disseisin befoze partition, they shall have but one assise.

SECT. XVI.

Difference of partners from both jointenants and tenants in Common.

Bryon, 10. Ed. 4. fo. 3. one copartner may in feoffe another copartner, soz though their possession bee joint, yet their right and interest is severed, so that if one suster die, the other shall claime a moitie by discent from her, and not the intire inheritance from the Common ancestors.

Partners in this therefore are like tenants in Common, whose title and right are separated, and therefore they may infeoffe one another.

But it is otherwise with jointenants, whose right is intire and goeth with the possession by surbour. Againe, partners may release the one unto the other, and in this they are like jointenants only, soz if one tenant in Common release to his fellow, his moitie passeth not, because that hee to whom the release is made, hath in the franch tenement of this moitie no possession. But partners whose right is from one roote have a moze connect possession then tenants in common, and may release one unto another.

To conclude this point, partners differ from both jointenants and tenants in common in this, that partners are and alwaies were compellable to make partition, so was never
ther

ther of the other two befoze the Statute 31. H. 8. cap. 10. which ordaineth that jointenants & tenants in common of inheritance, which in England or Wales in the right of themselves or their wives, shalbe compellable by writ de participatione, to be devised in Chancery to make partition: And that after partition, they and their heires shall have mutuall aid one of another, for the deraigning of a warranty peramont, to recover pro rata, as is used betwixt partners at the common law.

Afterward, 32. H. 8. cap. 32. it is ordeined, that if any have equal estate with others or in common jointly for tearme of life or for yearer, or unequal estate, with such as have an adhering inheritance, they shall likewise be cōpellable to make partition: Provided, that this shall not bee prejudiciall to any person, other then the parties to it, their executors or assignes.

SECT. XVII.

of the Nuper obiit.

But ere wee goe any farther in partition, let us see what actions may lie betwixt partners for their inheritance befoze they have divided it.

And first, of the Nuper obiit, This is a writ and commandement of the King to the Sheriffe to summon a coheir to be befoze the Kings iudices at a day certaine, to shew why she or he (for it lieth betwixt parceners in Cavel kind also) befozeth the plaintiffe coheire from her reasonable part belonging to her, of the inheritance of l. s. their grandfather, father, uncle, brother, grandmother, aunt, sister, or cousin (as the case requireth) whose heires they be: & qui Nuper obiit, ut dicitur. This writ lieth for lands holden in fee simple, onely betwixt coheires, where one or moze of them:

them defozced, or holdeth out his, or their fellow coheire, or coheirs, &c. It must be brought in the name of all those which be defozced, though in verity there be but one that sueth. And this 1. may haue a writ of iumoneas ad sequendū against her negligent copartners, who if they appears not, the sole plaintiffe shall be received to sue for her portion against the defozcer: If after the ancestors death, a hinfman enter claiming by descent, the Nuper obiit lieth not against him, but after entry and suiter, an assise of novell disseisin, or a writ of right, for though coheires may haue Amozdancer against a stranger, yet can they not haue it against one of their owne parenteale, priup in blood, and claiming by the same descent, and where a writ of right sometimes is betwene sisters, as where one is incoffed by deed and another claimeth by descent, battaile lieth not, nor the grand assise, but an inquest in lieu thereof. Thus far, V.N.B.

The New Na. Bre. not disagreeing, saith further. That if one sister defozce another of the land whereof her ancestor died seised in estate of fee taile, the remedy must be by formedone, and not by Nuper obiit, a Nuper obiit may be brought of the seisin of the aile, beaile, or the tresaille, and if it be brought of the seisin of the grandfather, Darreigne seisin in the father is no good plea without shewing that he died seised.

This writ may be brought, by the aunt against her sister and niece, or by the aunt and niece, against another sister & uiece, or by one sister against another, that is but of the halfe blood. But if the father giue part of his land in francke marriage to one daughter and ope seized, &c. the donee in francke marriage, shall not haue a Nuper obiit against her sister for her part in residue of her fathers fee simple laūd, unless she put her land in hotch pot which was giuen in francke marriage. A nuper obiit must be brought by a coheire defozced, against all the other coparceners, though some of them haue nothing to doe in the demand.

A villain and his wife, shall not haue a Nuper obiit against the

the

the coparceners of his wife, for hee is not enfranchised by marriage with one of those seignioresses to whom hee was bound. If a coparcener be despoiled by a coparcener and by a stranger, the despoiled may haue a Nuper obiit against her coparcener, and iointenancie abateth not the writ, no more shall non-tenure of parcell of the thing demanded, by rule of the register. If two coparceners enter after the ancestor's death, and despoiling a third parccner, doe after ward make partition, and then one of them alieneth her portion in fee, the despoiled partner may by a Nuper obiit against her two coheires (notwithstanding the alienation) recover a third part of that which is not aliened and a third part of that which is aliened by a mortdancestor or writ of Aue (as the case lieth) and in her owne name, and in the name of her two coparceners against the alience.

If one coparcener infeoffe a stranger in fee, and take backe an estate in fee or for life, it seemeth a Nuper obiit is maintainable still against her so long as she disclaime not in the blood, &c. But 21. Ed. 3. and 45. Edw. 3. is contra. But severall tenancy, or non-tenure is no plea in a Nuper obiit for the privity of blood. But a sister may claime by purchase, and disclaime in the blood, and this is a good plea. If one coparcener die leauing issue a sonne, which sonne infeoffeeth a woman in all the land, &c. & then marrieth her, now cannot the other parccner haue a Nuper obiit against the baron & feme. But she may haue a mortdancestor or in her owne name and in the name of the seisure which the father had the day of his death, for that amounteth to a dying seised. See Novel nar. br. 197. &c.

SECT. XVII.

Of the writ of right de rationabili parte.

There is also another Writ, called a writ de recto, de rationabili parte that neuer lieth but betwixt priuies in blood as betwixt brothers in gabel kinde, or betwixt sisters, nephewes, nieces, &c. It is also for lands in fee simple, as where the ancestoz leaseth land for tearme of life, and dieth having two daughters, and after the death of tenant for life, one of the daughters entred into the whole inheritance and desozceth her sister, the desozced may haue this Writ, it is maintainable by two or thre sisters against the fourth, or by an aunt, or niece against a sister that desozceth, and this writ lieth as wel wherd the ancestoz dyed seised, as where he died not seised. It is in nature a writ of droit paten, & must be directed to the Lord of whom the land is holden, from before who it is remouable by a Tolt, as the Haught writ is, where the ancestoz dieth seised, and one coheire desozceth another (whether it be in gauell kinde, or amongst partners at the common law) the desozced hath election of this writ or of the nuper obiit. But when he died not seised, and a coparcener afterward desozceth, the Nuper obiit lieth not: The forme of this writ is, Precipimus to the Lord, ut sine dilacione plenum rectum teneas A. de decem acris cum pertinentiis, quas clamat esse rationabilem partem de libero tenemento quod fuit I. patris, vel &c. & tenere per liberum seruitium tertie partis, &c. for it must be sene what rent and seruice the whole land yeldeth to the Lord, & according there to shall the plaintiffe be rated in his, or her writ. If after the death of their ancestoz two coparceners enter, and the one doe then desozce the other of something appendant or appertinent to that which is holden in coparcenery, she may haue a writ de rationabili parte of this appendant or appertinent which shall say, quod clamat tenere ad liberum tenementum.

If a man dying seized of lands intailed have two daughters whereof the one entereth and despoileth the other, the remedy is by formedon, and neither by Nuper obit: or Rationabili parte: If a sister, aunt, niece or cousin, claime from her ancesto: by feofment in fee, & one which should have bin coparcener (had the feofment not bin) despoileth her, she may haue a writ of Droit patent, and joine the mise by battaile, or grand assise, come semble, saith Fitzherbert, because shee claimeh not as heire. But where there is no impediment, intaile, feoffment, or such thing, & all the partners despoiled being a rationabili parte against all the copartners, tenants (so so it must bee) and the heire of an heire may sue for part of the seisin of the common ancesto:, there battail, or grand assise, voucher or view lie not, neither is nōtenure any plea, so the writ lieth only betwē parties in blood: finally, the demand in this writ must bee of a portion certaine as of x. acres, if x. descend to two sisters, and the demandant if she recover, shall haue iudgement of so many to hold in seueralty.

SECT. XVIII.

Of Partition.

Now of Partition, it may be made in diuers manners, as first for example by agreement amongst two copartners or more which accord to diuide the inheritance into certaine parts of equall valew to bee holden in seueralty, and alwaies the part which the elder hath is called *Iniia pars*, though in this kinde of partition, there bee no prerogative of primer election given to the eldest.

Another manner of partition, is where they cause certaine friends to make the parts or diuision, & here the eldest shall first chuse, & then the next eldest, and so succeedingly.

If by their whole agreement the eldest make the diuision it is said (saith D. Littleton) that she shall last make election, which is as much to say (say I) as she shall haue none election at all, Littleton hath another maner of alofment wherein after partition made of the lands euery part being written in a seroule, and lapped vp in a bale of war, is put into a bonnet, which must be holden by some indifferant body, and then (as wee vse to chouse Valentines euery partner pulleth out a part, the first bozne first, the rest after her in degre of ancienty and euery one shal hold her to her chance.

Also partition may be made in Chancery, as when one copartner of full age, and another remaineth in ward to the King, &c. in such case if she which resteth in ward at full age haue not her full part, she may sue a writ of partition or Scire facias vpon the recozd returnable in Chancery, to shew why a new partition shall not be made, and partition may be of a reuerfion, or of an aduoufson.

Of a reuerfion thus, that A. shall haue reuerfion of such lands, B. the reuerfion of such other lads, and of an aduoufson, that A. shall haue euery 2. 3. or 4. auoidance, &c. & this is good without deed, where partition is made of a mannoz without mention of the aduoufson it remaineth in common soe that case of aduoufson and partition of aduoufson, 2. Hen. 7. 5. a.

Partition by agreement of parceners is good in law, as well by paroll as by writing, and if vnto thre copartners there doe descend two houses, whereof the one is worth 10. s. and the other 1. s. annually, the best house may bee allotted to one copartner, and she and her heires to pay to the other and her heires, (soe owelty or equalities sake) v. s. rent issuing out of her house, and all this is good without writing, soe that the partner that shall haue this rent, and her heires may distraine for the same when it shal be arreare, of common right in whose hands soeuer the house charged shall come, and this shall be a rent charge of Common right had and recei:

received for equality of partition, Fitzherb. fol. 252. & Plow. 134.

Partition of lands, that one partner and her heires shall haue and hold them from Easter to the gule of August, a sone and by her selfe; and the other and her heyses from August till Easter in the like manner, was awarded a good partition in the time of Ed. 1. and by similitude of reason (saith Fitzherbert) it is a good partition, where two Hannozs descend to two Copartners: that the one shall haue one Hannoz by name, and the other the other for a yeare, to change possession the next yeare, and so forth from yeare to yeare commutatiuely, betwixt them and their heyses for ever, No. na. br. 62. l. & m. Et auxi. partic. que lun. auera le terr. in ra. & lauc. le ter. in fee simple est bone partic. And partners may make partition for terme of life or for terme of yeares, and if one Co-partner lease her part to another Co-partner for terme of yeares, yet she may sue a Writ of partition against her partner the Lessee, though the terme be vnterpirod. 33. Hen. 8. Dyer 52. is a quere. If the one of two Co-partners lease for terme of yeares, that which to her belongeth, and after the other bringeth a Writ of partition against the Lessee, to whom in this partition there is allotted a lesse portion then the due, some thinke (saith he) that the Lessee without remedie must hold himselfe contented, aswell as the partner which leased. But if the partition had bene without writ, quere.

SECT. XIX.

Of partition by Writt.

When Copartners cannot all agree to make partition amongst themselves, the aptest meane to compell them, is a Writ of partition. And if there be foure

Copartners, one may haue this writ against three, or two against two, or three against one.

The gift of it by the old Na. bre. is where the one entereth keeping out the other, and refusing to make partition, but Litt. layeth it where they be all in possession, and so soundeth the Writt it selfe; for it is a commandement to the Sheriffe, Si A. fecerit re securum, &c. summones B. that he come and shew why he refuseth or permitteth not partition of a Mannor, or a wood, or such like, the which with the appurtenances, the said A. and B. doe hold together, vndiuided of the inheritance of l. their father, Mother, or, &c. Fitzherbert in his Writ of partition, setteth downe the forme as a Carpenter should set by a frame of a Cottage, being both to shew on what soile it should stand, for he sheweth not the generall gift of his Writ, and that his Resident might make plaine, which is not doubtfull, that when Partners are in possession, one or more may haue a partitione faciunda, yet he toucheth not the question, whether a Partner ousted, or not suffered to enter, may haue it.

40. Hen. 7. fo. 9. in a Writ of partition, Keble pleaseth for his Client, that the defendant was sole seised, sans eco, that he held pro diuiso, with the Plaintiffe; by Vauisour that is no good plea, for admit that they bee sole seised, yet partition lieth well enough, but by Brian Chiefe Justice, it is shew hath beene adiudged a good plea, in our booke, for one shall not come to diuide that with another wherem he hath no part. And (saith Keble) in a Writ of waste betwene tenants in Common it is a good trauerse, Non tenet in simul & pro diuiso, likewise is it here where we haue trauersed the point, and supposall of your Writ, and the partie by nuper obijt, may recover in severalltie, and partition shall be made, and it was said that the seisin of one parcener, is the seisin of both, and so the reporter thinketh, if one enter, &c. Where the which entereth claumeth in the name of her selfe, and of her partner I can tell

well agree, or if she enter not denying the right of her selfe low: And if after the death of the common Ancestor, A. which is one Coheyr enter silent into the whole inheritance, B the other Coheyr may now perhaps (without other entry) in the name of her selfe and her Companton maintaine a possessione action, against a stranger, but when a Sister entereth vindicating all to her selfe by purchase, or objecting against her Sister, Bastardie, or Attainder, and keeping her out of possession, this I trow is no entry of both, but such a defozcing as the Writts de rationabili parte, and the nuper obijt. were made to redresse: If enery seisin of a partner must needs be the seisin of all those that can claime as coheyr, then there is no defozcing: or need at all of the fozenamed writts.

But seeing that law hath appointed them for lands in fee-simple, and a formedone for land in taile against defozcers of their coparceners, I say, that seisin of one of them is not seisin to all of them, and hauing a chiefe Justice on my side, I dare hold, that non tenet pro diuiso is a good plea in a Writt of partition, which if it be brought by her that is defozced and out of possession, it cometh pzeposterously out of kind and season, and out of the order that our Law-founders at the first ordained, See Brooke Coparceners per totum, ou entrie de vn est le entrie del autre vers estrange pur leur advantage, mes nemie pur disadantage 43. Ed. 3. 19. & l'entrie d'un nest l'entrie de ambideux entre eux mesmes. 40. E. 3. 8.

By whom, and how the Writt of partition must be brought at this day.

Coheyr in Sauell kinde, may compell one another to make partition by Writt, but then they must mention the custome in their declaration, If one Coparcener dye hauing issue, &c. her husband being tenant by the courtesie is compellable to make partition, but he cannot

compell, &c. by the Common Law, for the Writt lieth natur ally, for none but parceners. Fitzherbert, and the old no. bre. haue a note out of the Register, that in the 12 of King Ed. (they tell not which) there was sealed a Writ of partition at Bartwiche betwene Strange persons, and there it was said it might bee granted betwene any Coheyses or fellow tenants, without naming de hereditarie in the Writt, where it was likewise affirmed that such a Writt before that time was neuer seene, aswell the other booke of Law, as the Statutes of 30. H. 8. make it out of question, that this Writt by the Common Law was onely betwixt Coheyses, as the two Writts which we haue passed, were by custome in some speciall places: toynt tenants, and tenants in Common might haue a Writt of partition, as Fitzherbert setteth downe: by the Custome of London, Writt of partition lyeth against tenant by the curtesie Littleton 264. Dyer 1. M. 98. Brief de parit. at this day lye against the feoffee of one Coparcener, but not for a feoffee: mes. vid. Dyer 3. M. 128.

Likewise before the Statutes, if a man were both tenant in Common, and tenant in Copartnerie, as hauing one third part by purchase from one hisser, and another in the right of his wife, he and his wife might bring a Writt of partition, which see Nar. br. fol. 61.

It hath bene much doubted, whether partition by agreement betwixt tenants in Common, or toynt-tenants were good without deed: But by the better opinion, 3. Ed. 4. f. 9. & 10. such a partition is good enough if it be vpon the ground: but see the booke of 2. Eliz. Dyer. 179. 18. Eliz. Dyer. 350. There is also a pretty case of a mill parted betwixt two toynt-tenants by an award of a third, that one should repaire the mill on the one side of a certaine posse, and the other on the other side imperpetuam, &c. which was awarded a good partition without any writing. 47. Ed. 3. 24. & 19. Affi. p. 1.

It hath bene also much doubted whether iudgement may be

be giuen to hold in severall when in assise of novell disseisin, brought by one ioynt tenant or tenant in common against another, it is found for the plaintiffe, as it is cleare it may be if the action were betwixt partners 7. assii. p. 10. Herle would not have giuen iudgement to hold in severalltie, had the parties bene ioynt tenants: But 10. Assi. p. 17. such a iudgement is giuen and no bones made of it, yet 28. assii. p. 35. R. Thorp in like case, would giue no iudgement but generally to hold a moiety per my & per tont, though he were besought in the Country at the assises, & at West. again and again for iudgement to hold severally, 7. H. 6. fo. 4. Weston glanceeth on such a iudgement, and Strange denyeth that it may be, for it destroyeth the survivor: But Chene saith, that it may be, and hath been often: the reason why the Law was more scrupulous in those points betwene tenants in Common, and ioynt tenants, then betwene partners, was (as I guesse) because coheyzes haue their estate by course of law, and the other are in either by the act of some body which made the estate, or by their own doing, so that though for necessity they may alien that which belongeth to them, or charge it yet otherwise the Contract made by consent may not without manifest assent be vndone: Bract. saith, fo. 206. sufficit eum voluisse, nec dissoluitur mutua voluntas nisi mutua voluntate contraria. It is perceived how the law was before the Statutes, 31. & 32. H. 8. a summarie of which is set doime already, now that it may the better in part be vnderstood, how the law hath bene taken since those Statutes, obserue the causes following, out of my Lord Dyers Reports.

The puiſne of thre Coparceners of a reuerſion vpon estate for life gavel kind alieneth by a fine, the lesſe dieth, the eldest parcener entred into all his Inheritance, the middlemost, and the Aliené bying a ioynt writt of partition vpon the Statute, the eldest pleadeth the generall issue, non tenent inſimul & pro indiviſo, the case appearing by the euidence, it was holden vpon a demurrer cleere, that the action was not maintainable,

for the one ought to haue her Witt by the Common Law, and the other by the Statute, but ioyne they could not, Quere (saith Dier) if the entry of the eldest giue seisin to the rest, that it should giue it to the stranger were hard 2. & 3. Phi. & Ma. fol. 12. 8.

¶ One of thre Coparceners alieneth that which to her belongeth, one of the other two bringeth a Witt of partition against her fellow parcener, and the aliené, vpon the statute, because in this case, she might haue had a Witt by the Common Law, this Witt vpon the statute abated: But if the two Coparceners had ioyned against the aliené, and the one had bene at non-suite, she should haue been summoned and seuered, and her part bene diuided as well as the others, quere, by the Register, when the husband vnto one of thre partners purchaseth one part, &c. he and his wife may haue a speciall Witt against the third, euen so it seemeth if one of thre Coparceners purchase a fellowes part, the purchaser may haue a speciall Witt against the third parcener, 7. et 8. Eliz. 243. in Dyer, by Anthony Browne and Dyer ioint-tenants, cannot at this day make partition by paroll out of the countie where the land lieth, for 31. and 32. &c. change not the law in this point: But the partition must bee by Witt out of Chancery, Humfrey Browne and Weston: 2. Eliza. Dier. 179. a man deuised socage lands to his two daughters, and to the heyres of their two bodies loyally engendred, and died, the two daughters tooke husbands, and at full age, &c. partition was made by paroll, one husband had issue by his wife, and she dyed: By the opinion of the whole Court the other Husband, and his wife shall haue the whole Land by suruinoz, for partition by wozd onely betwixt ioint-tenants or tenants in Common of estate of Inheritance is voyd: yet of a tearme per aduenture (saith Dier) such a partition is good enough fo. 350. in Dier: If ye doubt now of any thing something moze then you did before, yee are the better learned and warned to worke surely.

The manner of partition by Writ, &c.

The Judgment vpon a writ de partic. faciend. if that di-
uision be made betwene the parties, and that the Wis-
count in proper person going to the lands and tenements
by the oath of 12. loyall men of his Countie, make the
partition, deliuering one part to the plaintiffe, or to one of
the plaintiffes, and another part to another parcener, &c.
making no mention in the iudgement moze of the eldest
then the youngeſt Sifter, The Sheriffe muſt giue notice
to the Iudices of the partition which he hath made, as well
vnder the ſeale of the 12. men as vnder his owne ſeale,
And in this partition there is no primer election giuen to
any: but the ſecond may haue liuery befoze the eldest, or
the youngeſt befoze either of them euen as it pleaſeth the
Sheriffe.

And this difference is betwene partition by Writ here,
and the other partition which is by agreement: In the
firſt the Wiſcount ſhall make to every partner, her diſtinct
ſhare, but in the other they may agree, that one ſhall hold
in ſeueraltie, and the reſt ſhall occupie that which remaineth
in common. Thus farre Littleton.

Bractons partition.

There is in Bracton a large diſcourſe of partition,
which I ſee not why, (for the ſozme) at this day ſhould
not be good, if not of all other the beſt: And this partition is
by commiſſion to men either choſen by the parties, or ap-
pointed by the King as Iudices or extenders, with com-
mandement to the Sheriffe to make them come befoze
thoſe Commiſſioners or extenders tam milites quam alios
legales homines nulla affinitate actingentes, per quos nego-
cium melius expedire poterit. He hath alſo a pzecept to the
Cozoiners where the Sheriffe is negligent: Trepidus Scru-
millus

remissus in executione preceptorum domini Regis, with a rule for valuation of an aduowfan, viz. that a marke annuall to the parson shall be rated a shilling to the parcener to whom the aduowfan shall be allotted.

And when the extent and diuision is made, euery part being written by it selfe should be deliuered to a Lay-man altogether vnlettered, which should distribute to euery coheyrer her part at aduventure, wherewith she should stand contented: But this might be otherwise, by their agreement amongst themselves, to elect according to the prerogative of their age. Bracton descendeth deeper into examination what things may be parted amongst coheyrers, exempting neither lands, tenements, homages, villinages, seruices, seruitudes, or any thing belonging to lands and tenements from diuision, vnlesse it be senencia (quæ diuidi non debent, ne cogatur Rex seruiturium accipere per particulas) or a castle, or the head of some Earldome or Barony, quod propter ius gladij diuidi non debet sic illud castrum vel aliud edificium, & hoc ideo (saith he) ne sic caput per plures particulas diuidatur & plura iura comitatus & Baroniarum deueniant ad per nihilum quod desiciat regnum quod ex comitatibus & Baronij dicitur esse constitutum. Therfore Caput comitatus vel Baronia resteth indiuisible, and shall go to the eldest copartner, though where there are many chiefe and great Hansons, houses, euery one may haue one perhaps, and if there be but one, euery one may haue part thereof, where the frank-tenement is holden by seruice militarie, for if a free soke man die, whose heritage it is, ab antiquo partibilis, the eldest son (by Bracton) shall haue his house, and the rest shall haue allowance: Amongst other things, Bracton standeth long upon the bringing to a common heape (which we call Hotch-pot) Lands giuen in marriage to a coheyrer, shewing that though lands giuen in marriage (whether the Inheritance be descendens, or perquisita, and whether shee to whom the land is giuen, be at the time of the gift a maid or a widow) must needs fall into partition, when part of the other lands

is claimed (& hoc quamuis homagium interuenerit & post tertium hæredem:) yet for all that, she to whom there is giuen in marriage already moze then an euention, may well retaine it, and is not compellable to any confusion vnlesse she demand a share in that which remaineth, so that she to whom all is giuen, may likewise retaine all. And where a daughter was infeoffed pro homagio & seruitio, or where a stranger was infeoffed of part of the inheritance, which afterwards married a daughter, &c. they might be made parcell of the other lands, without any Hotch-pott: of these things ye may read moze in Braet. l. 2. c. 32. and 34 with a Writ of habere facias seisinam, for he saith, possessio non pertinet ad hæredes nisi naturaliter tueri apprehensa animo et corpore proprio vel alieno: sicut procreatorio prius ad ipsos non pertinebit, & vnde cum in curia Regis facta fuerit partitio statim habeant breue de seisma sua habenda.

SECT. XX.

Of Hotch pott, according to Littleton.

For putting of lands in Hotch-pot, there is no where so full, and plaine learning, as in *H. Litt. third booke c. 2.* If (saith he) a man seised in fee-simple lands, hauing issue two daughters, of which the eldest is married, giue parcell of those lands to his daughter and her husband in frankemarrriage, and die seised of other lands, exceeding in value those which are giuen, &c. the husband and wife shall haue no part of this remnant vnlesse they will put the land giuen vnto them in Hotch-pot: for example, If the father had 30. acres, and gaue 10. now after his decease if the Donées refuse to make commutation, the other daughter may enter and occupie the whole 20. and hold it to her selfe: But putting all in Hotch-pott, to finde the intire value, (for it is but an estimation or valuation) finding the acres to bee of like goodnesse, the Donées in frankemarrriage shall haue an increasement of 5. acres to hold all 25. in seueraltie, so that
alwayes

alwayes, the land giuen in frank marriage, must remaine to the donées and their heyres, for else (saith Littleton) should follow a thing vnrasonable and inconuenient, which alwayes the Law detesteth, there is the same Lawes betwixt the heyres of Donées in frank marriage, and the other partners, if the Donées themselues die, befoze their ansestoꝝ, or befoze partition.

This putting of Land in hotchpot is where the other lands descend from the Donoꝝ onely, and not from any oꝝther auncestoꝝ, for if they descend from the father oꝝ brother of the donour, from the mother of the Doné, that which is equallie so descended, shall be without Commixtion equally diuided: Also (by Littleton) if the land descended be of equall valew with the land giuen in franke Marriage, Hotchpot should be then in vaine and to no purpose, and see Littl. Chapter of parceners moze concerning such Hotchpot.

How partition may be auoyded.

Partition made betwixt two Sisters tenants in fee simple, they both being of full age, is not defensible, though there want oweltie, and equall valew in their parts.

But if the land were in fee-taile, the parties making the partition should bee bound and concluded onely for their time, the issue of her which had the meaner value, might enter after her mothers death, into her Aunts part, and occupie with her in common, and she againe with her niece in the part allotted to her Sister: If two Coparceners in fee, both married, together with their husbands make partition, it shall stand in force during the coverture, but after the death of a husband, his wife hauing a meaner part, may enter and defeat the partition, not so if at the time of the alotment, the parts were both of equall annuall valew.

If two Coparceners, whereof the one is vnder 21. yeares age

age, make partition so that a meaner valew is allotted to the puisne partner, she may enter and defeat the partition either in her minority, or when she is of full age: but let her take h^{er} h^{er} when she cometh once to full age, that she take not the whole profit of that which to her selfe was allotted, for that is an agreement to the partition, and maketh it indefeasible, peradventure a moietie of the profits she may take.

Three acres of land are given to one in taile, which hath other three in fee, and after his death, his two daughters make partition, so that one hath the land intailed, and another the land in fee, if she which hath the fee simple, alien her part and die, her issue may enter into the land tailed, and hold occupation in Common with her Aunt, whose folly was to make such a partition, for since shee is without remedie, against the alien^{er} of her mother, and without recompence, for the lands intailed, whereunto she is an heire, by descent from the first Don^{or}, it is reason she may enter, specially considering, that the State taile is not discontinued, yet 20. Hen. 6. it is holden, that she is put to her Formedon.

A man seised of two carues of land, one by iust title, and the other by disseisin of an infant, dieth seised having issue two daughters, they diuide so that one hath the carue gotten by disseisin, & the infant entereth upon her possession, &c. she may enter into the other carue, and hold in parcenarie with her Sister: But if shee had aliened her part in fee befoze the entrie of the infant, this had bene a full dismission of her selfe out of Copartnerhip which she could not haue recontinued by entrie, as she might perhaps, had she made onely a lease for yeares, generally if after partition one part be euided from her which hath it, by loyall entrie, she may enter into the other lands, and occupie with the other Coparceners, compelling them to a new diuision: all this saith Littleton.

SECT. XXI.

*How Partition shall bee avoided when it
is by Iudgement.*

Much of that which Littl. hath taught for the auoyding of partition (as I collect) must bee vnderstood of partition in pais, and by agrément, for when it is made by Iudgement in a writ of rationabile parte, nuper obijt, or assise to hold in seueralty, or by livery in the Chancery, or else by writt, de partitione, in which cases there is commission or authozity deriued from the Prince to extend and to make partes by the Oath of 12. men, &c. there is now no reason, that a matter of this substance, circumstance and solemnity, should be all layd on the ground, by a bare entrie, yet that silly pooze women altogether ignorant of the law, might not feare that that Partition which is made by the Law, that by law there were no meanes to reuerse it, but that still it must stand impugnable, whatsoeuer iniquitie or inequality it had, Old Breton saith in the end of his 17. Chapter, Si ascum percener soit que se tient nient paie de cel partilon si ferres nous venter le process. & le record deuant nostre iustices de banke, &c. il lonques soient les errors redresse, &c. He concludeth somewhat like Braeton. Et apres le Assignement des parparties fuit per sort ou per election: soit le seisin per iudgement de nostre court: But to the matter. There is occurring in many of the yeare bookes, remedies against partitions, as if iudgement be given in a nuper obijt, of parpartie, and seisin granted to hold seuerally, yet the partition may be avoided by error in the first iudgement. If partition be made in Chancerie, and a lesse value then is due allotted to a puisne Sister, which remaineth still in ward, she may haue remedy by scire facias when she commeth to full age: So whether partition be of it selfe altogether

¶ vniust

bnist, or in part inequall, through malice, ignorance, or negligence of the Sheriffe or extenders, there is remedie alwayes, so the parties be not hurtfull to themselves.

And although partners of estate in fee, being all of full age, making purpart by agrément, bind & conclude themselves and their heyres for ever, yet when partition is compulsatorie, and the parts are deliuered by the Sheriffe, who with his extenders maketh diuision (which may be without the presence of the heyres) I see no great reason here, why acceptance should be a barre in the issue perpetuall, or to the parceners for terme of life, yet Littletons hien for garde is good counsell, vide Dyer 33. H. 8. 52.

SECT. XXII.

*Of the coherence betweene Partners
after diuision.*

But admit now that partition is so made that there remaineth neither cause nor intention to vndoe it, yet the partners are in a kinde of confederacie and combination amongst themselves, by the very Law and custome of this Realme, Et leur droit est cy connez nul de eux ne doit respondre sans le autre: pur le contribution. Et si aucun se face ceo ne serroit in prejudice des autres partners. Britton cap. 73. so that if any of them will sue for any inheritance that was their Common Ancestors, the suit must be in all their names still, and if any of them be sued, for any such L and or inheritance, he may pray ayde of the other coheires, which may come with her to pleade a scoffment, fine or release, or deraigne warrantie, and if in this sort she lose some or all her part, she shall recouer that which her partners hold her equall portion. But if a parcener put her selfe in defence, and will not pray ayde of her fellowes, which may strengthen

in the first or second degree: But (by him) their heyres in the third degree were bound to doe homage, and pay relesse to the heyres of the eldest daughter, &c. Because forsooth (as Bracton maketh the reason) issue being had and continued to the third and fourth degree, the heyre of the eldest might now take homage without feare of being excluded from inheriting that which was altogether unlike to descend unto them: But by Bracton the youngest Sister should presently doe fealtie to the eldest, and by Britton (who wrote after Marbridge) the matter rested merely in the Lords election, (for thus saith he) Election le Seignior caprendre vels seruices per vn mayne ou per les mains de toutes les parçeners, Car autrement per droit les gardes & mariages des autres parçeners pur les parols in le brief de gard ou le plaintiffe dit que launcester, P'insant soit son teuant & lui fist service de chivaler. cac. 68. fo. 175. *malobab*

Now seeing that Glanvile, the Statute of Ireland, Bracton, Britton, and also agree, that every Lord might take his seruices by the hands of the eldest partner, (the reason whereof was a desire which the Law had to conserue Seignories in their intierties, & that Lords should not take or diuide them into mynnyomes, and Trochets). What was it that caused the making of this ninth Chapter of Marlebridge? It should seeme that Lords in those daies played vpon the aduantage, And though they were scrupulous in taking of homage, by which they were shut from succession, and yet willing enough to take intirely all other emoluments incident or annexed to the tenure, from one paire of hands: yet suite of Court, which is burdalous or inconuenient to none but to the tenants, they would be and were content to dissipate, and it should seeme also that in pursue Sisters and Coheyrres, though they were easily intreated, that the eldest should do all suit and seruice, yet they could be well content to giue them nothing for their paines, and therefore a Statute was needfull, for other things I will not accuse old writers of error, they erred not perhaps if they take it

as it was taken by Lawyers then, though that taking is ag-
gered from Lawes consozmie.

This I say, (to me) the statute of Ireland is sufficient to
proue that the eldest Sister shall haue no gard, marriage, or
subiection of the yongest, and neither homage nor fealty (by
Lict.) can be taken otherwise, then a seruice incident to a tes-
nure, for which it is lawfull to distraine. As therfore when
a Mannor descendeth to two partners, each one may haue
parcell of the demesne, and parcell of the seruices, and so of
one there may step by two Mannors: And if the diuision be
that one shall haue the demesnes, and another the seruices,
the suite is now in a very haut suspension, and the Mannor
for a time broken in pieces: but it shal be a Mannor againe,
if the which had the seruices die without Issue, (per Thiru.
12. H. 4. fo. 34. 35) So I doubt not but when a tenement,
holden by seruice military, descendeth vnto two coparce-
ners, and diuision is enently made, each of them may pay
rents and do seruice for her part to the Lord, who may take
fealty and homage of either of them, if he will: And may be
compellable to take homage of one of them at the least,
which for the warrantie shall be available to both.

SECT. XXV.

*What seruice belongeth only to the eldest par-
tner to doe.*

There is some thing besides suite of Court that shall
lie only vpon the part, which by an Accurized tearme
we call *causia*: Fitzherbert *riculo partitioni*. 18. hath this
note, If the Carledome of Chester descend vnto two parce-
ners, it shall be divided betwixt them, As other lands vse
to be, and the eldest shall not haue the Seigniorie or Carle-
dome whole to her selfe, quod nota: adiudged, per totam
curiam, 23. H. 3. But this notwithstanding if law should
haue the course, which she had in her state of innocencie, I
thinke the capitall Messuage of a Knights fee, and the head

of an Earldome or Baronie in partition ought ever to goe to the eldest. And if because there is not else perhaps wherewith to make purparte to the youngest coheire, or not any other thing, holden in Capite, to be distributed for the Kings advantage and so for necessity, (quæ nullis vinculis legum continetur) the head of a Barony be divided, yet the indivisible service by which it is holden, is scutage and grand-serjeantie, I meane the very actuall service, falleth by right upon the eldest parcener. Et ubi est commodum, ibi debet esse onus, and so ubi est onus debet esse commodum: whether the case following prove mine assertion or no, I will set it downe out of my Lord Dyer, and then prepare me to speake of another partnership: Humfrey Bohune, sometime Earle of Hereford and Essex, held the Mannors of Harefield, Newnham and Whithenhurst by service of Constablership of England (which is grand-serjeantie) and dyed seised, having issue onely two daughters, they entred, tooke husbands, and the husband of the youngest became King, then partition was made, in which the King and his wife did choose Whithenhurst and Harefield, and Newnham fell to the other partner: By the opinion of all the Justices of England, the reservation of the tenure at the first was good, the two daughters before marriage exercise this office by sufficient deputy, and after marriage the husband of the eldest might execute alone, And per omnes iusticiarios, as when there are two daughters, and the father dyeth seised of lands holden of one of them, the whole service, if it be entire, (as homage) is reserved after partition: so here unitie of parcell of the tenancie in the King, did not determine the office, but it continued in the other parcener, so that the King might exact the service, or refuse it at his pleasure, as every Lord may refuse the homage of his tenant, if it be not ancestrell. Mes par ceo que le office fuit haut & dangerous, & auxi verri chargeable al Roy in fees, le Roy voile declaimer de aver le service execute Dier 11. Elia. fo. 285.



THE
WOMANS
LAWIER.

The second B O O K E.

Now that I have brought up a Woman, and made her an Inheritrix, taken her out of Ward, helped her to make partition, &c. me thinks she should long to be married: *Fœmina appetit virum, sicut materia formam.* And I did not meane when I began, to produce any Westall Virgin, Junne, or new Saint Bridget. Following therefore my first intention, I will begin to instruct Women growne, first such as are, or shortly shall be Widues, and then Widowes.

SECT. I.

Of Marriage, according to the Civill and Common Law.

Marriage is defined to be a Coniunction of Man and Woman, containing an inseparable connexion, and

union of life. But as there is nothing that is begotten and finished at once, so this Contract of coupling man and woman together, hath an inception first, and then an orderly proceeding. The first beginning of Marriage (as in respect of Contract, and that which Law taketh hold on) is when Wedlocks by words in the future tence is promised and vowed, and this is but *sponsio*, or *sponsalia*. The full Contract of Patrimonie, is when it is made by words, *de presenti*, in a lawfull consent, and thus two be made man and wife existing without lying together, yet Patrimonie is not accounted consummate, untill there goe with the consent of mind and will Coniunction of body.

SECT. II.

Of Sponson or first promising.

The first promising and inception of Marriage is in two parts, either it is plaine, simple and naked, or confirmed and bozne by giving of something: the first is, when a man and woman binde themselues simply by their word only to Contract Patrimonie hereafter: the second, when there is an oath made, or somewhat taken as an earnest or pledge betwixt them on both parts, or on one part, to be married hereafter. There is not here to be stood by, on, the age definitively set downe for making of marriage irrenocable, but all that are seuen yeeres old (betwixt whom Patrimony may consist) may make sponson and promise. But if any that is vnder the age of seuen, begin this vow and betrothing, it is esteemed as a mist, and vanissheth to nothing.

SECT.

SECT. III.
Of publike Sponſion.

This Sponſion (in which as it ſtands, is no full Contract of Patrimony, nor any more, ſauely onely an obligation, or being bound in a ſort to marry hereafter) may be publike or ſecret: publike, either by the parties themſelves, preſent together, or by meſſage or Letters when they be diſtant one from another: Neither is there herein any curious ſorme of paction or ſtipulation required, but onely by words, howſoever expreſſed, a plaine conſent and agreement of the parties, and by the Ciuill Law, (with which the ancient Canons conſoyded) of their parents; if the Contractors were *ſub poteſtate parentum*: the like reaſon ſeemeth to be ſor conſent of tuſors, &c. But it is now receiued a generall opinion that the good-will of parents is required, in regard of honeſtie, not of neceſſitie, according to the Canons which exact neceſſarily, none other conſent but onely of the parties themſelves, whoſe Coniunction is in hand, without which the concluſion of parents is of none effect: note further, that *ſponſalia* may be made pure or conditionall, and whatſoever is elſe adjected (as earneſt, pledge, or ſuch like) is but accidentall.

SECT. IIII.
Of ſecret Sponſion.

Thoſe Sponſals which are made when a man is without witneſſe, *Solus cum ſola*, are called ſecret promiſing or deſponſation, which though it be tolerated, when by liquid & plaine probation it may appeare to the Judge, and there is not any lawfull impediment to hinder the Contract, yet it is ſo little eſteemed of, (vnleſſe it be very

manifest) that another promise publique made after it, shall be preferred and preuaile against it. The cause why it is misliked, is the difficultie of prooue for auoyding of it, when for offence her iust cause of refusall, the one or other partie might seeke to goe loose, and perhaps cannot, but must stand haltered from any other Marriage, and the Judge in suspence what to determine.

SECT. V.

The validity of the Desponsation.

Though this Sponsalia be alwaies made with intent that Patrimony should insue, yet the Contracter cannot therunto be compelled, vnlesse there were another thing ioyned to the Contract of Spousals, neither are they compellable to marry, though an oath accompanied the promise, vnlesse it were made pure and without Condition, for in conditionall sponson of Marriage, the bond of performance is suspended in the Condition, till that be performed, vnlesse there follow a relinquishment of the Condition, by copulation of bodies, or a new consent by words of the present.

SECT. VI.

The nature of the Condition.

Add here in the quality of Conditions it is obserued, that if the Condition annexed to the promise be repugnant against the right of Patrimony, the disposition of the whole Spousals are void: As if a man promise a woman to marry her, if she poison the child which she conceived, the promise is of none effect, as towards Marriage. But a

Con

Condition, though it be otherwise dishonest or impossible, corrupteth not promise of Marriage if it be not aduersant, and against the Law of wedlocke.

SECT. VII.

How long the performance of promise is to bee expected.

Now it may bee demanded what time must be carried and expected by the Law Ciuill and Common, for implishing of promises made of future Wedlocke: It is answered, that if the limits of time prescribed when the sponson was first made, be once passed and expired: if the vow were made without limitation of time, then (where there appeareth not any weighty cause of stay) if both the parties be residing in one Province, the woman quæ non vult sua vora diutius deludi, may after two yeates marry to whom she listeth, But if her Spouse be commozant in another Province, then she must tarry thre yeares, Though in dede these times of expectance, may be prolonged and lengthened, by a Judge, as he shall finde cause iust and reasonable.

SECT. VIII.

In what case the betrothed may refuse one another.

If after the Sponson or first betrothing, and before Patrimony contracted, some euill disease (as leprosse, or some violent cause or casualty) make one of the parties unfit for generation, the other may repudiate and abandon him or her, which shall be so diseased or vnable. Spousals are also dissolved for fornication, specially if it be committed by

by either of the parties with their kindred: likewise Sponsals which are made a pupillis may be dissolved by a bare renunciation, but by no meanes they are rightlier avoided, then by a dissention of both the Contractors, from their first consent, for by such dissent also society is or may be broken in sunder. There are other causes for which the bond of desponsation may be taken away, as divulgation of kindred unknowne, and opportunity of nuptials sought by detestable meanes, for which cause not only Sponsals, but Marriage it selfe, when it is contracted, may be dissolved.

SECT. IX.

By what authoritie Sponsals are to be undone.

T All these causes of undoing the first bowes of marriage, there must be added the authorizy of the Bishop which hath power to absolve, yet the Canons doe without the authorizy of any Bishops make free from the Obligation of onely promised marriage, all those which abdicate themselves to Religion. And Hostiensis contendeth that without authorizy of any Judge, Sponsals are undone ipso iure, by a post-marriage, made by woords of the present time, sed nemo sibi ipsi ius dicere debet, no man may see his owne Judge: And it is certaine, that sponsals ought never to be undone, but by publike authorizy, unlesse the cause for which wee will haue them undone be so well knotwne, that it needeth neither pzoofe nor sentence, such as is fornication when it is notozious, and publike to all the world.

SECT.

SECT. X.

Of Matrimony contracted in the present time, and who may contract.

Those which the Latines call puberes, that is, they which are come once to such state, habit and disposition of body that they may be deemed able to procreate, may contract Matrimony by words of the time present, for in contract of Wedlocke, pubertas, is not strictly esteemed by number of yeares, as it is in wardship, but rather by the maturity, ripenesse, and disposition of body: There is further required in them which contract Matrimonie, a sound and whole minde to consent, for hee that is mad, without intermission of fury, cannot marry: But hee that is deafe and dumbe, may contract Matrimony, quia non verbis tantum sed actu & signis sensa mentis exprimentur, and as they which are impuberes, cannot for infirmity of age, make any firme knot of Wedlocke, so likewise they which by coldnesse of nature, or by inchantment, are impotent, be forbidden to contract.

The impediments Ecclesiasticall, as bowes, Compartmente and spirituall kindred, I will not meddle with: But come to kindred of bloud, which containeth a positive pall let and prohibition of Marriage.

SECT. XI.

Impediment of Marriage by Kindred and Consanguinitie.

In the wordes of infancy men were enforced by necessity to marry with owne kindred, propter hominum paucitatem, But that necessity is taken away and long since by the very voice of God, they which are in certaine degrees of bloud

bloud are forbidden to marry, Leuiticus 18. And because Marriage is an abundant seminarie of charitie and loue, it is wisely and profitably ordeyned that it should be dispersed into many families.

Therefore by Natural, Ciuill, and Common Law, Marriage is cleane forbidden betwixt all those, which are as Parents or Childzen one towards another in infinitum; and betwixt those persons, which are of kindred in the transuerse line, Marriage is forbidden till the fourth degree bee past.

SECT. XII.

The impediment of Marriage by Affinitie.

There is further a certaine nigh alliance called affinity, quasi fines duarum cognationum coniungens, this riseth betwixt them which are married, and the kindred of one of them, as betwixt the husband and the kindred of his wife: now affinity prohibiteth Marriage onely to the persons contracted, &c. for the Cousins or Consanguinity to my wife, are of affinity onely to me, and not to my brothers or childzen by a former Wife; and my bloud and consanguinity are kindred of affinity onely to my Wife, and not to her brothers or former childzen: here is it that the Father and the Sonne may marry the Mother and the Daughter, and two Brethren may marry two Sisters in another Family: for the Consanguinity, of which one is of bloud to the husband, and another to the wife, are betwixt themselves in no bond of affinity: And obserue that in what degree a man or woman is to one of them that are married, by Consanguinity, they are accounted in the same degree to the other in affinity: As the wifes brother, who is in primo gradu to his Sister, is in the same degree to her husband, and their childzen in the second, &c.

And

And so forth their Childzens Childzen, which after the fourth degree, are againe by all lawes permitted to marrie, *contrahitu: & affinitas per illicitum contum.*

SECT. XIII.

Diuersitie of Religion.

Amongst the hinderances of marriage, note this also, that by Constitution of holy Church, marriage is forbidden betwixt persons of diuers Religions, as Iewes and Christians.

SECT. XIV.

Of feare and constraint.

Also Patrimonie holdeth not when it is extorted by force, or by such a feare as may cadere in constantem virum; *quia matrimonia debent esse libera.*

SECT. XV.

Of Marriage detestable made.

Also Marriage holdeth not, when it is sought or made with wickednes: And if a man promise to a woman which he hath adulterously polluted that he will marry her when his wife dyeth, &c. Or if a man haue sought to abridge the dayes of his lawfull wife to marry another: These villanies are such perpetuall cankers in marriage, that they doe not onely hinder it to be made, but also rend it in sunder when it is made.

There

There are other crimes, *quæ distrahunt Matrimonium contracta*, as Incest *cum cognata*; and raiishment, yet if any man raiish a Maide, or other unmarried Wo-
man, the Canons doe admit him to marry with her if she consent: But otherwise shee shall be rendered to her Fa-
ther, vpon whose suite and accusation, the raiisher is put to
Capitall punishment.

There are by the Ciuill and Common Lawes ma-
ny other impediments of Marriage, as *lulceptio pro-
priae sobolis, publica poenitentia, exdes Sacerdotis, inter-
dictum Ecclesiasticum, &c.* which I will not trouble
Women withall.

SECT. XVI.

*Marriage forbidden by publique
Constitution.*

By Ciuill ordinance also Marriage is sometime re-
strained and forbidden, as betwixt him which adop-
teth, and her which is adopted: for seeing that they which
are adopted are in the place and stead of Children, there
resteth a League, as of kindred betwixt them and the bloud
of him which adopteth, by the Ciuill Law and Canons
both.

But this Ciuill kindred lasteth no longer then the
adopted are in *potestate adoptantis*, Neither is it any
obstacle to a Marriage, saue onely betwixt the adop-
ted and adoptant, and those which are in his power. And
as adoption hindereth Marriage by the Ciuill Law: so by
the same lawe, a man may not marry her whom hee tooke
exposed, as a salt away or a foundling, and brought her
vp as a Daughter. Marriage is also forbidden, some-
time *racione publicæ honestatis*, as if a Man be diuorced
from

from his wife; and afterwards she hath a Daughter by another man, this is no Daughter in Law to the husband, yet he should doe impudently to marry her. Those prohibitions of Marriage that were sometime betwixt a Tutor and Pupill, betwixt a President and a Woman in his subiection, betwixt a Senator and a freed bondwoman, betwixt a Senators Daughter and a freed bondman, betwixt a woman Comedian or one whose parents vsed some lasciuious or light Art, and a Senator: lastly, betwixt freed and seruile, are all either by long publike Custom or by Common Law taken away.

SECT. XVII.

Of Polygamie.

There are examples in Scripture of Polygamy (viz.) where men had more wiues then one at once, as Abraham, Iacob, Dauid, and Salomon had: And it seemeth 21. of Deuteromie 15. that it was sufferable by Moyses his law, But it was said at the first, man and wife shall be one flesh, and the examples were rather permitted then lawfull. The Ciuill Law Canons, and all Christian Common wealths doe utterly condemne Polygamie, and so much did the wise Emperors of Rome detest all petulance of Marriage, that they made and ordained Lawes, that Women which within the yeare of mourning for their husbands betake them to wedlocke againe, should be reputed infamous and defamed. But this also the Canons haue taken away, Contracts of Matrimony ought to be publike. Nuptials de presenti ought alwaies to be made publike at the Church, or at the least, in presence & Congregation, delibon gens, yet is it not of necessity, that they which marry stipulate by themselves, or be present in person at the contract making,

making, but it may be well enough by Doctor, so that the Contractors themselves be willing and witting, or that they ratifie it when it is done.

SECT. XVIII.

What words are requisite.

There needs no stipulation or curious forme of Contract in Wedlocke making, but such words as proue a mutuall consent are sufficient, and it may be made by Letters. If question rise about words, *recurrendum est ad communem intellectum, & usum loquendi, & indubio pro matrimonio iudicandum*, for there is moze doubtfulness in construing of words, *vt res magis valeat quam pereat, &c.*

SECT. XIX.

The Accidents of Marriage.

Those things which are of solemnitie or benouolence, as prouision of Dowter, earnest, giuing pledges, nuptiall benediction, &c. are not of the essence of Patrimony which is made by consent: for though Dowter cannot consist without Marriage, yet Marriage may very well stand without dowter: And so it is of all Donations *propter nuptias*: In onely one case written instruments are required in making of Marriage, and that is where a man marrieth her whom he hath holden a long time as Concubine, here instruments *dotalia* are behouefull, that the childzen had before Marriage, may be esteemed Legitimate: But this holdeth not in England.

SECT.

SECT. XX.

Wherefore Marriage ought to be made.

The causes of Patrimony principally are two: The first is *lusceptio sobolis*, increase of Children, for euen by Plato euery good man ought to desire that he may leaue behind him worshippers of God, and propagatozs of piety: The second cause is the euiling of fornication and vncleanesse 1. 2d Corinth. ca. 7. Saint Paul biddeth, that to auoid fornication euery man haue his owne wife, and euery woman her own husband, and whosoever marryeth for beautie, age, order, splendour of birth, or for riches, rather then for these two causes, doth very peruerfly, though it be not expressly disallowed, but Marriage may be for the other things also, and the Consent may be giuen for them.

SECT. XXI.

The Consummation and indiuiduitie of Marriage.

When to the Consent of minde, there is added Copulation of body, Patrimonie is consummate, the principall end whereof is propagation or procreation: But where the course after going is not obserued, there riseth no lawfull Offspring, the Children which are had, are not in power and commandement of them which beget or beare them, neither are they taken by Law for any other, then *vulgo quæsi*: Otherwise it is in lawfull Wedlocke, the knot whereof is so straight and indissoluble, that they which are yoked therein, cannot the one without the consent of the other, (neither was it euer permitted) abdicate themselves, or enter into Religion, for Saint Paul in the aboue titled Epistle and Chapter, saith plainely, that the

husband hath not power of his owne body, &c. And there cannot chance any sedity or vncleannesse of body so great, as that for if a man and wife ought perpetually to be segregated, yea so vnpartible be they, that law faith, they may not vtterly leane coniugalem consuetudinem, though one of them haue the very leprosie it selfe.

And here is moued a question not impertinent, That is, whether a woman be bound to follow her husband whersoer he goeth, if he require it, wherevnto it is answered by Bartall and by some other, That if the wife before shee married knew the negotiations and occasions of her husbands, would be such, that he must of necessity euer be trauelling, she is bounden, and in the Contract seemeth to haue consented to go with him at commandement, but if after the bargaine made he take by a new tricke of circumusgari, she may let him goe when he list, and tarry at home when shee will.

SECT. XXII.

of Diuorce.

PActis poenarum cogi potest nemo ad Matrimonium contrahendum: And as no man can be compelled by any conuention of paine or penaltie to contract Matrimony, so is it impossible, when it is once lawfully and evidently contracted, to distrust it by any partition, couenant, or humane fraction, Quos Deus coniunxit, homo non separet, yet there are Causes, for which diuers are permitted: But Diuorce, that onely separateth a consuetudine coniugali, taketh not away the bond of Matrimony, and therefore Diuorces are sometimes perpetuall, as long as the parties liue, sometimes for a season limited, and sometime, till reconciliation be had, and he that maketh Diuorce with his wife being only separated a Toro, is forbidden to take another wife.

SECT.

SECT. XXIII.

Causes of Divorce.

The Ciuill Law hath many causes of Diuorce, but by Diuine and Common Law, the onely sufficient cause is adultery and fornication, which by the Canons is carnall and spirituall: the spirituall is heresie and Idolatry: They dissolue Matrimony for spirituall fornication onely, where one of the parties is conuerted to Christian faith, and the other for hatred of his religion will not cohabit, &c. And this is taken also from Saint Paul 1. 2d Corinch. 7. where he saith, If the unbelieuing depart, let him depart, a Brother or Sister is not in subiection.

SECT. XXIV.

Impotencie or Disabilitie of Procreation.

There is admitted also, in dissolution of Marriage, the complaint of impotencie: And Iustinian very discretly, willed that in that explozation or prooffe of the defect there should be expected three yeares: but the Canons ordeine that Matrimony is dissolved by probation of impotencie without mention or limits of time. And this is moze then a bare diuorce or separation, a Torc, for it dissolueth Marriage, auoyding it as it had neuer bene: so that he or she whose fellow is conuicted of impotencie, may choose a new friend, and presently marry againe.

But this is to be vnderstood of impotencie which was before the Marriage made: for in case where the impediment was so precedent, there could not any Matrimony exist or haue being, &c.

Otherwise it is, when this disability betideth after Marriage perfected and consummate, for in that case, he or she which

which remaineth potent, shall not leaue and depart from the impotent, but be compelled to beare the discommodity, aswell as any other ill fortune. And that which is here taught of Coniugall impotencie, stretcheth to all impediments of Marriage which are perpetuall, vt per ea Marrimonium nunquam exiulle iudicetur.

SECT. XXV.

Marriages inter ascendentes & descendentes.

Those Marriages that are made betweene ascendentes and descendentes, are so detestable, that by the Ciuill law they deserue exile and confiscation of goods. And there is a glosse that would extend this to all vnlawfull Marriages: but by Bartell and others, it is to be inflicted only by on those, which are contra iura sanguinis.

SECT. XXVI.

Captiuitie or long absence of one which is married.

If falleth out not seldome, the one of them which are married to be taken captiue, or otherwise so detained, that it is vncertaine if he liue or no.

Wherefoze because it is in some sort dangerous to expect long the incertaine returne of an absent yooke fellow, here the Ciuill Law did ordaine, that after a husband had bene gone five yeares, and nothing knowne whether he liued or no, the wife might marry againe, and so might the husband, that had expected his wife, &c. But the Common Law commandeth simply to forbear Marriage till the death of him or her that is missing be certainly knowne.

SECT.

SECT. XXVII.

That no crime dissolueth marriage.

Of old time, some Crimes were numbred amongst the Causes of Dissolving marriage: but Iustinian changed the Law here in part, and the Canons upon the saying of Christ, Quos Deus coniunxit, &c. will not by any means that Patrimony rightly made and consummate, can bee dissolved, quoad ad vinculum Matrimonij, though for fornication they suffer a parting, quoad Torum. So that nodus legitimi Matrimonij, is never dissolved but by death, and the wife as long as she liueth is subiect to the law of her husband by Saint Paul.

Yet saith Legus, seeing that in Contracts of Wedlock we regard as well what is decent and conuenient, as what is lawfull, I cannot tell why we be not bound in dissolving of it to follow the like equitie: and for example, if a Wife cannot dwell with her husband without manifest danger of death, because he is cruell and bloudy, why may not shee be separated iudicis ordinarij cognitione precedentem.

SECT. XXVIII.

*The Authoritie of the ordinarie
Judge, &c.*

E If Sponsals of future Marriage cannot be dissolved without publike authority, it must needs follow, that without like authority, there can bee no repudiation when Patrimony is fully contracted and consummate: But in pursuing of diuorce the strict order of Iudiciall proceedings is not alwayes severely kept: for regularly production of witnesss before contestation of suite, non ad iudicem producentem, yet if Cornelia sue a Diuorce against Sempronius, causa consanguinitatis, and Sempronius being

cited will not appeare, if now Cornelia bring her witnesses, the Judge may receive them.

Harry this religious obseruation the Canons giue him euer, when he cometh to point of Judgement, That the danger is lesse, in leauing men contrary to the Statutes of men, then in separating (contrary to the Statutes of God) those which are lawfully conioyned.

Thus farre haue I run my selfe in debt to Doctor Conradus Lagus, of whom in the third part of his Method, ca. 22. may be further learned the difference betwixt Scorum, pellex. and Concubina, Our English comprehendeth them all in one word, and I would they dwell all in one House, beyond Seas, Concubinatus speciem conjugij — habet; Et ex Concubinae natis conceditur beneficium legitimari-onis. If maid, wife or widow, aske what I meane to tell them so much of Ciuill and Canon Law, seeing they be none of those Country women, I pray them not to looke for the Regions in mappa mundi, but for their owne Regiment in Christian dutie: The spirituall Law is here an Oracle to the temporall, which euermoze sendeth to the Ecclesiasticall Judge, viz. the Bishop, for certification of lawfulness or vnlawfulness of Wedlocks when Accomplishments come in question.

SECT. XXIX.

Statutes concerning Marriage

For it is true that Newdigate saith, 12. He. 8. fo. 6. that marriage and Diuorcements with the circumstances of them be properly no parcell of Common Lawes learning.

Yet it is very needfull here that I shew you here what the Lawes of England haue needfully concerning Marriage established, 32. H. 8. ca. 38. declareth all persons lawfully to marry

marry, which are not prohibited by Gods Law. And it was ordeyned, that all Marriages contracted and solemnized in face of the Church, and consummate with bodily knowledge, should remaine indefeasable, notwithstanding any pre-contract, &c. Further, that neither dispensation, prescription, law, reservation, prohibition, or any thing (Gods law excepted) shall trouble or impeach any Marriage made without the Leviticall degrees, nor any man bee received in spirituall Court to procelle, plea, or obligation, contrary to this Act. This Statute, though it seemed to be made vpon good and great considerations, (because pre-contracts too too slenderly proved, and sometime but onely surmized, helped the Romish oppression, and separated those which were at quiet in an honest conjunction) yet many did after the making of it, very dissolutely come from their first vowes, and, as it were in spite of conscience and Ecclesiasticall censure, coupled themselves bodily with such as they newly fancied, slipperily leauing their former Contracts: it is repealed 2. & 3. Ed. 6. ca. 3. only in the points of pre-contracts: And they are left in the validity which they were of, by the Kings Ecclesiasticall lawes, immediately befoze the making of 32. with prouiso that all the rest of the said Act standeth whole and in strength. So is it now againe by 1. Eliz. cap. 1. See also 5. & 6. Ed. 6. ca. 12. that the Marriage of Priests and Ecclesiasticall persons is lawfull, their Childzen legitimate, a Priest may be tenant by the courtesie, and his Wife haue Dower.

It is a sport to behold how some of the Canonists & Glossographers refreshed themselves in their disputes about Nuptiall questions, how cleare they make it, that, If Adam our first Father were now aliu and a Widower, he could not take a Wife, quia, all Women are his Childzen, and that in the right line: Then what a question it is, whether vnlawfull copulation cause any affinitie or no.

In hoc articulo, (saith one of them) non parcam in foro verecundia, that is to say, hee will handle the quidditie without shame or honestie, and then in the plainest that may be, he sheweth a difference betwixt a dogges necke in the Collar, and his nose in the King, betwixt knocking at the Barrells head, and setting it absoach: but the curious learning was that of spirituall kindred, caused either by holy Baptisme, or by the blessed Chisme, and this had power impediendi Matrimonium contrahendum, & dirimendi matrimonium contractum: yea, this was such a matter, that 39. Ed. 3. fo. 32. Bastardie is pleaded against the Plaintiffe in assise, and the cause was, that the father married a woman, befoze which Marriage he had christned one which was his Wives cousin, and for this cause, after one of them was dead, Divorce was sued, and Judgement thereof giuen in the spirituall Court, though indeed by Justice Thorpe, and the greatest opinion in the temporall Court, the Issue could not be bastardized, vnlesse the Parents had bene called, and the Suptials destroyed by sentence, which was now impossible to doe, for death had determined them.

Out of question therefore, if the parties had liued, a little or no kindred, had marred great good acquaintance: But howsoeuer, by those dayes secular Marriage was forbidden in spirituall men, and secular men were straightly prohibited by spirituall. Spirituall kindred, the Statutes afoze going, haue now welcommed Wedlocke, cleane out of the Popes stockes, And the 18. of Leuiticus alone, doth in a manner sufficiently demonstrate, with what persons Women are restrained to marry.

SECT. XXX.

With what persons Women may not marry.

Such are her Grand-father, her Father, her Sonnes Sonne, &c. her Brother, though it be but the one part, her Fathers or Mothers Brother, her Brothers or Sisters Sonne, or her Sonnes Sonne. Brothers or Sisters Children (saith Ramus in his Commentaries of Christian Religion, lib. 2. ca. 9.) are forbidden to inter-marry, & more, non lege Divina vel Romana: Christians, he saith further, which have abrogated the Law, 25. of Deuteronomy, whereby a Brother might be challenged to raise up the house of his deceased brother, have also constituted a prohibition, within certaine degrees of affinity, and therefore a man may not marry with the widdow of his Grandfather or of his father, or with the widdow of his owne Sonne, or of his Sonnes Sonne, or with the widdow of his Brother, or of his Brothers Son, or of his Brothers Sonnes Sonne, &c. Nor with the Grand-mother, Mother, Daughter, Sister, great Aunt, Aunt or Sister of his deceased wife.

SECT. XXXII.

Of Wooing.

IAm afraid my feminine acquaintance will say I wot as I live, I talke much of Marriage, but I came not forward: stay a while yet I pray you, I know many an honest woman more repenting her haste Marriage ere she was wooed, then all the other finnes that ever she committed. It were good reason we speake a little of wooing, but to handle that matter, per genus & species, would take up as much room, as the Indian figge-tree, every thide

whereof, when it falleth to the ground, groweth to a body. I will slip by it, onely obseruing that the giuing of gloues, rings, bzacelets, chains, or any thing that is ex Spontalio u largitate (as a man would say, of loues liberalitie) or as a pledge of future Marriage betwixt them that are promised, haue a condition (silent for the most part) annexed vnto them, that if Patrimony doe not insue, the things may be demanded backe and recouered, yet there is a distinction of like, for I haue authozitie in it, Si sponsus dedit aliquid, & aliquo casu impediuntur nuptiæ, donatio penitus rescinditur, nisi osculum intervenerit; marry if he had a kisse for his money, then the one halfe of that which was giuen, is the womans owne good: And she hath yet moze fauor in the case, for whatsoever shee gaue, were there kissing or no kissing betwixt them, she may aske all, and haue all a gaine. Quære of this in the Consistozie.

SECT. XXXII.

The Condiments of Loue.

THere are with vs, as wel as with the Ciuilians, many kinds of Donations proper nuptias, and some ex sponsaliorum largitate: Good me ats are the better for good sauce; venison craueth wine, and Wedlocke hath certaine Condiments, which come best in season in the wooing time, and serue (as Breton saith) pour doner sees come melier ealent d'aymer Mitrimonie. A husband per se, is a desirable thing, but Donements or Feoffments, &c. better the stomacke, though of it selfe it be good and eager; And because the first Marriage made in Paradise, if you marke it well, had a Jointure, I cannot but allow the circumspection which is had.

SECT. XXXIII.

Of Franke Marriage.

IT was, as I suppose, more frequent in the old time, that men gave Lands with their Daughters in Marriage, then it was at this day: But now as then, if a man liberally and freely, without money or other considerations, gave onely love and naturall affection, give Lands or Tenements to another man, with a woman which is Daughter, Sister, or Cousin to the Donor, in Franke Marriage, whether it be tempore Matrimonij, vel ante vel post, this word Franke Marriage maketh an estate of Inheritance, viz. to the Donees, and the heyres of their two bodies, and they shall hold quite of all manner of services (except the pure fealty) till the fourth degree be past. But the Issue in the first degree, and his Descendant, shall hold of the Donor, and his Heyres, as they hold over.

SECT. XXXIV.

The Gift must be Franke.

PER *Rich. 16. ass. p. 66.* if a man give land in Franke Marriage, reserving a rent, the reservation is void, till the fourth degree be past per *Marrine Justice, 4. H. 6. 22.* such a reservation is merely void, for it is contrary to the nature of Franke Marriage.

By the old tenures, such a reservation is good, and the Donee shall hold in Common estate taile; by *Brooke* in his *Abridgement*, it cannot be any estate taile, for want of the parcel heyres. And where such a gift is made to a woman,
not

not cousin to the Donor, there passeth but estate for life, for it is by a maxime or ground, that Franke Marriage maketh inheritance, and this case is out of the principall: By Bracton fo. 28. & 29. Si terra datur in maritadium viro cum vxore, & eorum heredibus pro homagio & seruitio viri, licet datur in liberum maritadium (quæ sunt sibi ad inuicem aduersantia, &c.) tunc prefertur homagium & erit ac si donatio fieret tam viro quam vxori, he deliuereth the like learning before, fo. 22. and this rule withall ex tacita conditione & pacta incontinenti opposita iasunt contractibus, & legem dant eis & illos infirmant.

 SECT. XXXII.

The gift must be to a Woman, &c.

IT was deliuered for a Law in tempore H. 8. that Lands cannot be giuen to a man in Frank Marriage, though he be Cousin to the Donor.

 SECT. XXXVI.

It may be tempore Matrimonij, ante, vel post.

WHat if after the gift made, the man refuse to marry, the Cousin of the Donor marry else where? If two Donors in taile after the Common forme be diuorced vpon a precontract made by the woman, they shall remaine toyn-tenants of the Franke Tenement, and the Inheritance is gone Taile 9. But per Dyer fo. 147. and 12. ass. p. 22. and 19. ass. p. 2. If Tenants in Franke Marriage be diuorced, the Woman shall haue all the Land, for the Land was giuen for the womans sake, and
fo

for her advancement, and by John Bracton, her husband hath no more in it but Custodiam, as he is the viues tutor and Guardian: By the same reason therefore that the wife shall haue the land, if she be diuorced, by the same, I should thinke, she should haue it, if her Sponsus refuse to marry her: But where I giue Land to one to marry my Daughter, or, if hee marry my Daughter, there, if hee marry another woman, I may enter.

SECT. XXXVI.

*The word Franke Marriage maketh
Inheritance.*

If a man giue lands with his Sister to I. S. in Franke Marriage, habendum eis & hæredibus suis in perpetuum. By Kniuet, Mowbray and Finchden, 45. Ed. 3. fo. 19. this maketh neither Frank Marriage nor estate taile, with an expectance of sex, (as in Case where Lands are giuen expressly in taile, habendum eis & hæredibus, but the fee simple passeth presently by the gift, for Frank Marriage must be holden of the Donor, which here hath nothing left in him, but all is holden of the Lord Paramount, and the words doe not make any other estate taile: yet 13. Ed. 1. lands were giuen to one, with the Cousin of the Donor in Franke Marriage, habendum eis & hæredibus, and it was taken for good Frank Marriage: This, saith Brooke, was in the yeare, that estates taile were made in. But for all that, if yee look the case in Fitzherbert, Formedons 63. whither Brooke sendeth you, you shall perceiue that at the time of the gift, it was Franke Marriage in fee simple, for by those dayes the Donee had potestatem alienandi post prolem suscitacam: But in a gift made after the Statute of quia emptores, on such a fashion, I take it the Law will be, as before in the case 45. Ed. 3. According as it was al-
so

so holden in the yeares of H. 8. that if a gift bee made in Franke Marriage, the remainder to l. s. in fee: this is no good Franke Marriage, for warrantie and acquitall that are incident, &c. bee only in regard of the reuerſion to the Donor, and they cannot be had when the fee-simple is presently conveyed to a stranger.

SECT. XXXVIII.

The Account of the Degrees.

L Incl. accounts the Degrees from the Donor to the Donée, the first Degré; from the Donée to their Issue, the second; from the Donée's Issue to his Issue, the third, &c. and the Issue in the fifth Degré shall doe service. And this (saith he) because the Issue of the Donor and the Issue of the Donée after the fourth Degré past, may intermarrie by holy Churches Law. Bracton accompts thus, donarius facit primum gradum, hæres suus facit secundum, hæres hæredis facit tertium; hæres secundi hæredis facit quartum, qui tenebitur ad seruitium. yea, hee maketh it an expresse rule, that onely the Donée and two heires succeeding lineally, shall enioy the immunitie of being acquitted. And hee seemeth to vnderstand no other reason of the acquitall so long, but onely an abſtenuancie from homage, lest the taking of it should hinder a reuerting, if it betided the Donée, or the Issue to die without Issue. Fitzherbert titulo droit 55. and 60. citeth 6 H. 3. and 15. H. 3. in warrant of Bractons Computation, which I thinke he fetched not any further then out of the Authoz himselfe, in whom fo. 21. I find it. And fo. 22. hee answereth a doubt of his owne asking, that is, Whether all other service shall follow and continue, if homage be done ante tertium hæredem. where he concludes, that the service euer followeth homage, quamuis ad damnum soluentium: And I conclude, whether it

it be the third heyre, or the fourth, that shall doe service, he may still vouch, haue a Writ of me ne, as if the fourth Degree were not past, and if he bring a Fornedone, the Writ shall be Dedit in liberum Maritagium.

SECT. XXXIX.

A Woman giues Lands to one to marry her.

As Franke Barriage maketh Inheritance without the words Heyres, and is alwayes made to a woman, and for her sake: so there is another Donatio propter nuptias, that is conditionall without words of Condition made euer by a woman to a man. That is, where a woman giues Land to a man in fee simple, or for tearme of his life, to the intent that hee marry her, who if hee afterwards when hee is thereto within conuenient time required, refuse, &c. there is now an ordinary Writ for remedy granted in this case, to reduce the Land, which Writ may be sued in the per cui, or post, after one or more alienations, either by the woman sole, or by her and her husband married, against such a one as should haue married her, after the refusall, or after her death by her Heyre, whether it bee Sonne or Daughter, or Daughters with the child of another, and there needs no scripture or writing to proue that the feoffment was for intent of Barriage: nay, if a woman infeoffe a stranger, to the intent to infeoffe her, and one which she intendeth to marrie, if now the espousals take not effect, she may haue Writ causa Matrimonij prelocuti, against the stranger, though the deed of feoffment were simple and sans Condition, 21. 34. Ed. 3. li. 111. and 40. Ed. 3. li. 111. a woman enfeoffed one which had a wife, and entred for non-performance of the Condition,

tion, vpon the second feoffe, and her entrie was abindged lawfull.

Also, where a woman maketh feoffement, renouing rent, if by witten deed she can both shew and proue that it was to the intent he should marry her, she shall maintaine her Writ, for causa Matrimonij prelocuti, notwithstanding the reseruatiō. But if a woman giue Lands in taile vpon prelocutiō of Marriage, &c. by Fitzherbert she cannot haue this Writ, for the strong streame of Welton 2. de donis conditionalibus, will not be turned by an intendment or matter onely auerrable. To conclude, it is clere by Justice Dyer, and Fitzherbert both, that if a man giue Lands to a woman, &c. to the intent that shee shall marry him, the fee-simple after Marriage remains in the woman, and if the Baron alien and die, she may haue a cur in vica, and if shee refuse to marry with him, he shall neuer haue the Writ, causa Matrimonij prelocuti.

By the old Natura breuiam Conditions that shall auord a feoffement, by a woman thus made, causa Matrimonij, must be exprest, and by indenture, which if it were true, there should not need any Writ at all in the case, I am out of doubt therefore the law is as I haue already belieued.

But I am demanded a reason, why a womans feoffement is here priuiledged moze then mans, to challenge a Condition onely by intendment. Of like there was in the old time, some knaues abroad (as there are now) that with colour of loue and colloctiō of Marriage cozied heyses and pooze women of their ground, and gaue them the wifes, when they had done, carrying the gaine to their better beloued, which perhaps could cretiscare cum cretens, and in fauour of women, and in ayde of simplicity sprang vp this Law of auerment for women, not permitted to men, because they be in lesse danger of circumuention in this point, and also better able, when they will haue conditions in their grants considerately to expresse them. Another question is, how

how it cometh to passe that this kind of largition by wo-
men, though it be in strength, is not in vse as it was wont:
are women in our dayes, lesse liberall or lesse louing vnto
men then they were in former ages: I cannot tell, But I
feare craftie fellowes perceiuing they cannot cozen the vi-
couert to get their lands by faire words first, and let them
get husbands afterwards as well as they can, require now
a daye no pzeludes of seoffements at their hands, but mar-
ry them first and cozen them afterwards. Such are We-
nants by cozenage: I cannot but mislike them the more,
when I consider the great liberalitie, that law vseth to-
wards them which marry women Inheritors: yet if they
vse them with all honest regard, loue and true kindnesse, as
they ought to doe, my reason perswades for fauour and
forgiuenesse.

SECT. XL.

The courtesie of England.

For Sir, in the Married life Children are some tokens
of true loue, and honest life and kindnes in a husband,
breedeth increafe of liking in a wife; and where affection
hath her right repercussion (if secret imperfection be none
impediment) there is like to follow secunditie, which hath
this priuiledge, who soeuer taketh a Wife seised of lands,
or tenements, in fee simple, fee taile generally, or as the
Weyre of fee taile speciall, and hath Issue by her, a Childe
borne alive, that by possibility might be heire of the estate
which the mother hath, though the Childe die after ward,
he shall haue and hold his wifes Inheritance after her
death, in estate of Franke Tenement during his life: and
this is called an estate by the Law and courtesie of En-
gland; because it is this Realmes priuiledge peculiar: I
giue it place in my booke, because it is taken out of the In-
heritance.

heritance of woman, and in this part because it resembleth the Donations, that are proper nuptias, the Doctrine of it being something like that of Dowter.

SECT. XLI.

Marriage.

This Courtlesie is in the Inheritance of a Wife, therefore a consequent of lawfull Marriage, and exceptions of Concubinage, or such like, which are impediments of Dowter, must needs be good exceptions here.

SECT. XLII.

Seisin.

There must be in the wife a seisin and possession, for if she were but heyze in appearance, & die before her Ancestoz, this availeth her husband nothings. Similis, If the father (being seised of Lands) dye, and soone after his Daughter and Heyze dyeth before actuall seisin had by entrie either by the husband, wife, or other person for them, so that no possession and a naked possession in law here is all one: yea, the law is taken, that if a man dwell in Ester with his wife, and lands descend to her in Pozke, shire, if she die the next day after, before entrie, the husband shall not bee Tenant by the Courtlesie, for even in this case is found a default in him, that he did not constitute one to make entrie for him maintenance after the Ancestozs death, & yet if rent descend to a woman Court, &c. which dieth before day of payment, or after the day, and no demand made of the rent by her husband, hee shall have Courtlesie in the rent notwithstanding. So it is if an Ad-

bouson

houſon in groſſe deſcend to a woman married, hauing Iſſue, &c. though ſhe die afoze auoydance, the huſband ſhall preſent, and though the Biſhop after the deſcent preſent by laſe, yet the huſband ſhall haue the ſecond preſentment, for there cannot in theſe things poſſeſſion be taken mainte- nant and at all times, as they be in Lands: And take with you here theſe Caſes out of Dier, 1. Ma. fo. 95. Tenane per Cheualric in cap. dieth, his Daughter and Heyze being vnder age office is found, and the King grants the wardſhip of body and Land to me which marrieth the ward and hath Iſſue by her, and after ſhee accompliſheth the age of ſixe ſeene yeares, and the King is ſatiſfied for the two yeares profit, they tender a generall liuerie, and befoze it be paſt, the Wiſe dieth, the Baron ſhall haue the Courteſie come ſemble, ſaith the Booke.

And 6. Eliz. Dier, 229. the like deſcent is to a Daughter, and married, hauing Iſſue by her huſband, and the dieth ten dayes after her Father, no Liuerie being ſued that is found by office, the Baron ſhal be Tenant by the Courteſie, and ſhall ſue liuerie.

SECT. XLIII.

*No Courteſie of reuerſion after eſtate
for life.*

The ſeiſin muſt be to the Wiſe in eſtate of Inheritance not mangled or cut off from the Frank Tenement, and therefore (by Parkins) where a Woman an Heyze enters after her Fathers death, and being ſeiſed in ſe-simple, makes a Leaſe of her Land to I. S. for terme of his life, if ſhe now marry, haue Iſſue, and die during the Leaſe, the Huſband ſhall neither be Tenant by the Courteſie of the Land when it reuertes, nor of the rents in the meane while: *Alia S. aſſi. p. 6.* If a Daughter and Heyze enter, endow
her.

her Mother, take a Husband, have Issue, and dies, the Mother still living, the husband shall never be tenant by the Courtesie, of that which the Mother held in Dower: for the tenant in Dower here, is in by her husband of his possession, and that possession which the Daughter had, is by the Indowment turned to a reversion. Note also this case, 29. Eliz. Dier, 357. William Chicke seised in fee, made his Will, vt sequitur, I giue the fee simple of my house in Doper lane to my Cousin Alice Ludlam, and after her decease to William Ludlam her sonne (which was heere apparent) the Testator died, Alice entred, and married, and died, having Issue by her husband: the opinion of the Court was, that Alice had but estate for life, the remainder to her sonne for life, the remainder in fee to Alice Ludlam, but her husband might not be Tenant by the Courtesie.

SECT. XLIV.

No Courtesie of right onely.

If a woman seised in fee of Lands, bee disseised, take a Husband, haue Issue, and die befoze re-entry, here shall be no holding by the Courtesie: But if during the Courtesie the wife entred, and the Disseisor re-entred, here the Husband vnderstanding (after his wifes death) the entrie which she made, may enter and hold by the Courtesie. And if a man seised in fee in the right of his Wife, be disseised befoze hee haue Issue by her, and after hee hath Issue, and the Wife dies befoze re-entrie, &c. he may notwithstanding enter and hold by the Courtesie. Parkines 91.

472

SECT.

SECT. XLV.

Discontinuance.

If the Lachesse of a husband, not carefull to reduce his Wiues land pulled away by Disseisin, put him by the Courtesie, it is good reason that his owne discontinuance suffer no lesse punishment: Therefore, if a man make a feoffment in fee of his Wiues Land, and take an estate backe againe to himselfe and his Wife, by whom (being thus remitted) he hath issue & dyeth, he shall not now be Tenant by the Courtesie; for though the wife her selfe were remitted, yet the Baron was not remitted to his wiues Right, so as he might be receined to plead it; But having giuen away his right that he had or might have, hee was estopped to claime otherwise then from the feoffee, or to make his owne title, contrarie to his owne grant and act, vide By Parkines likewise if befoze Issue had, the husband make a feoffment of the Wiues Lands, byon condition of the part of the feoffee, and then hath Issue by the Wife, and the Wife dieth after the Condition broken, though the Baron now re-enters, hee shall not hold by the Courtesie, unlesse hee made the feoffment whilst hee was under age.

SECT. XLVI.

No courtesie of an estate suspended.

If the Tenant inter-marry with her of whom he holdeth his Land, &c. and she dieth, he shall not have Courtesie in the Seignorie that was suspended by the coverture: likewise, where the Tenant makes a feoffment of his Lands to a stranger, sur Condition, and the feoffee inter-marry with the Seignioresse, of whom the Land is holden,

den, and haue Issue by her, and the condition being broken, she dyeth; if now the Feoffor enter, the Feoffee shall not be Tenant per le Courtie of the Seignorie: But if a feme sole haue a rent or common in or out of certaine Lands, and the Tenant leaseth the Land to a stranger, during the life of l. s. and the woman intermarrieth with the Lessee, hath Issue, and l. s. dyeth, now if the wife die, the Baron shall haue Courtie in the rent or Common. And if the Tenant leaseth his ground for 20. yeares, and a woman hauing in the ground a rent charge in fee, intermarrieth with the Lessee &c dieth during the terme, it is a question in Parkins, whether the husband shall haue Courtie in the rent after the terme determine, see Parkins, cap. By the Courtie.

 SECT. XLVII.

No Courtie of a bare vse.

If a Woman sole seised, &c. make a feoffment to the vse of her selfe & her heyres, and then she marrieth, hath Issue, and dieth before any estate in the same lands be againe by entry or otherwise executed to her, her husband shall not be Tenant by the Courtie, and this aswell after the Statute of 27. H. 8. as before, if the feoffment were since the Statute.

 SECT. XLVIII.

*What Husband may be Tenant by the Courtie,
and of what estate.*

Where the Wife is actually seised of Lands in fee simple, fee-taile generall, or as Heyre of fee-taile spe

Speciall, the second Baron may bee Tenant by the Courtesie, as well as the first, for so is the Maxime.

And Parkins, Fitzherbert, and Brooke have all of them the Case, 21. H. 3. viz. A woman Inheritour hath Issue by her Husband, and he dieth, she takes another Husband, hath Issue by him, and that Issue dieth, the woman dieth, her second Husband shall be Tenant by the Courtesie: Bracton agreeth also, who when hee hath shewed this Civilltie of England, concludeth. Quod dicitur de primo, dici poterit de secundo, siue de primo viro hæredes apparentes extiterint siue non plenæ ætatis vel minoris. But hee addeth, Quod iniuriosum est secundum Stephanum de Segraues, qui dicebat quod lex illa male fuit intellecta, & male citata: Nam quod dicitur de lege Angliæ, intelligi debet de primo viro, & communibus hæredibus, & non de secundo, maxime cum hæredes apparentes extiterint de primo.

My mind gines mee that hee said truth, and that Law turning a little out of her Channell here befoze Justice Segraues time, could neuer since bee brought to her course.

SECT. XLIX.

Of speciall Taile.

Befoze West. 2. cap. 1. all the Estates which wee now call tailed (that is curtailed or cut off) were see-simple Conditionall, If Lands had bene giuen to a man and a woman in Franke Marriage, or to them and to the Heires of their two bodies (which gifts make now a speciall Taile) as soone as they had Issue, the Condition was thought to be performed. And as a woman surviving her first Husband in this case might alien the Land, so might she by bearing a Child to her second Husband, &c. this makes him Tenant by the Courtesie.

And as West. 2. by the Clause in the end, ad dona prius facta non extenditur, did expressly saue feoffments and alienations made by the Donors post prolem subsecutam, and before the Statute, so the expounders of the Law by Esquitie, as ye may perceiue, Formedone 66. in Fitzherbert, haue not denied the Courtesse to a second Husband of a woman Donor in Franke Marriage, if he married her before the Statute, though she died after it, wherein it is too late to call them to account whether they did well or no. But I find a replication in Bracton against him that in bar of an assize maketh this title, per legem Angliæ, to say that the land was given in Marriage with the first Husband, &c. the Statute therefore I dare affirme, doth but make that cleare which to many was thought reason and Law before. And now out of doubt the second Husband cannot be Tenant by the Courtesse, where the Wife is seised, but in speciall taile.

And where a woman is concluded from claiming other Estate, the Baron shall be concluded likewise, As 46. Ed. 3. fo. 5. A man seised of Lands taketh a Wife, they leuie fine, and take backe an estate to themselves and their heyres of their two bodies, they haue Issue Eliz. and die, Eliz. taketh a husband, and shee and her husband leuie a fine, and take backe an estate to them and to the heyres of their two bodies, they haue issue, which being vnder age, the Land being holden in Chivalry, the Baron dieth, Eliz. takes a second Husband, hath Issue and dieth, the Lord seieth the first Issue as Ward, and entreth into the Land, the second Husband entreth vpon the Lord, claiming by the Courtesse, pretending a remitter to the first state taile made by the first fine, which to his wife was generall taile, and euery Issue of hers might by possibility inherite it, and soz her Issue it is true, but by the better opinion, the wife was estopped to claime contrary to the fine leuied by her selfe and her first husband, whereby they tooke estate but in speciall taile, and being seised as of that and none of
 the

ther during the second Couerture, the husband was concluded likewise and could not bee Tenant by the Courtesie, though the Statute haue taken courtesie d' Anglererie from a second Husband to the Donce in Franke Marriage, or other speciall tails, it hath not taken it from a second Husband, to a Daughter and Heyses of that Estate: for as ye perceiue in the case afoze going, such a Daughter is in as generall taile, and any Childe of hers by any husband begotten, may by possibilitie be Heysse, &c. The words therefoze, or as heyses of the speciall taile, are well put in Littl. Canon or Barime: And I suppose they are not so narrowly to be understood, as if it were not possible a man should be Tenant by the Courtesie, where the Wife is Donce in speciall taile, for if Lands be giuen to a married woman, and to her heyses begotten by her Husband, this I take for speciall taile in the wife onely, and this Husband I thinke may be Tenant by the Courtesie. Alfo, if lands be giuen to a woman and her heyses begotten by her husband, and her husband die without Issue, she becomes Tenant after possibilitie of Issue.

And if fce-simple descendeth to Tenant after possibilitie, she is now Tenant in fce-simple executed, and her second husband or tenth husband may be Tenant by the Courtesie, other wise it is where the speciall taile continueth, and the fce-simple is but in pcedenti, 9. Ed. 4. fo. 18.

 SECT. L.

No Courtesie without a Childe.

I haue read of some places in England, where by a speciall custome the Husband may be Tenant by the Courtesie, though he neuer had childe by his wife: But the generall Law of the Land is, that there must be Issue borne aliu. By Bracton he that claimeth by the Courtesie, may

be enforced to proue, that the Childe sent forth some voyce
 or cry arguing life and naturall humanity: for if it bel-
 lowed, bleated, bayed, grunted, roared, or howled, there accru-
 ed no courtessie by getting such an vnciuill brchin.

By him therefore there must be a naturall cry heard in-
 ter quatuor parietes, for (he saith) though a Childe be bozne
 mutus & surdus, tamen clamorem emittere debet, siue mas-
 culus sit, siue foemina, nam Dicunt E. vel A. quotquot nas-
 cuntur ab Eua:

E. or A. all crys that from Eue come,

Though they be bozne both deafe and dumbe.

Non sufficit igitur tantum baptizatus & sepultura: yet
 28. H. 8. Dyer fol. 27. sets downe Fitzherberts opinion, that
 a man may be Tenant by the Courtessie though the Childe
 neuer crye, car paraduventure litle soie nec dumbe, And so
 saith Parkins 9. 4. 7. viz. that if the issue bee bozne aliue,
 though it die before it be heard crye, or before it be baptized,
 for that is a matter also with Bracton, if there were no
 lachesse, contumacie or contempt in the Baron, he may be
 Tenant by the Courtessie: But by negligence or by con-
 tempt he shall preiudice himselfe alicuns diont.

SECT. LI.

A Childe borne beginneth the title of Courtessie.

Now this hauing a Childe, is such a matter (as it see-
 meth) that maine tenant thereupon the title of Cour-
 tessie beginneth: for example, if a bond woman purchase
 Land and marrie, if the Lord enter before Issue be had, no
 Childe borne afterwards shall make the husband tenant by
 the Courtessie: But if the Baron haue Issue by his wife,
 before the Lords entrie, he shall be tenant by the Courtessie,
 and the auourie from that time forward shall rest vpon
 him solement, And the possession in Law if the wife die,
 shall

shall not light vpon the Heyre, but vpon the Baron, which shall be tenant to euery p^rincip. C60 est cleere lei, Brooke out of the Doctor and Student, vide Brooke villenage, 35.

And if a woman Heyre haue issue by her husband, commit felonie and be attainted, it hath been most ly holden, that the husband shall be Tenant by the Courtesie, notwithstanding, and that after Issue had, the Lord may auow for homage vpon the husband without the wife 21. Ed. 3. 49. By Parkins, 91. 475. Likewise if the Wiues Inheritance be recovered against Baron and feme, by false oath, or erroneous Prozesse, and execution is had and sued of this recouerie, if they haue Issue afterwards and then the wife dieth, the Baron now reducing the Land by attaint or erro^r, shall hold per le Courtesie.

SECT. LII.

What if the Childe die.

If a man haue Issue by his wife, that is here in possession, the death of the Issue is no losse of Courtesie, and by Parkins. if a Daughter and Heyre apparant take a Husband, haue Issue by him, and the Issue dieth, if now the Father die, and the Baron and feme enter, he may be Tenant by the Courtesie without hauing other Issue, Brooke makes it questionable.

Also by Brooke, if a man die, his wife being p^riuement enseient, a Daughter entreth as heyre, taketh a Husband, and hath Issue, if a Sonne post-humus enter vpon the Baron and feme, and the Issue of the Daughter dieth, and the posthumus dieth without Issue, the Baron claerely shall not be Tenant by the Courtesie, vnlesse hee re-enter in his wiues time, and he doubteth, though the Baron enter sans other Issue.

SECT. LIII.

By what Act a Husband shall lose the Courtisie.

If a Woman sole seised in fee take a Husband, haue issue by him, and the Baron is attainted of felony, and pardoned by the King, he shall not be Tenant by the Courtisie (saith Keble, 13. H. 7. 17.) vnesse hee haue other Issue afterwards: By Bracton, he that seeketh or goeth about the destruction of his Wife, shall not be Tenant by the Courtisie.

SECT. LIV.

Of Dower.

IHaue hitherto handled onely those gifts, causa Matrimonij, which come from Women or their Ancestors, as if English men were so dainty, and coy, that they must be enticed, or our women so vn-amiable, that vnesse it were by purchase, they could haue no Husbands: But I could neuer heare of any woman that needed buy new bootes to ride on wooing. Contrariwise, so sweet, faire and pleasing are they, or so very good and prudent (vt eas præcipue quarimus) that though some men get Lands by them, most men are faine to assure part or all of such Lands as they haue (in ioynture or otherwise) to them, ere they can win their loue, and where there is no such assurance, the Christian custome and Law of the Realme giueth euery good Wife part of her Husbands Lands to line on when hee is dead, which wee call Dower, and of which we come now to speake.

SECT.

SECT. I.V.

What Dower is.

The word in Latine imports no more, but a bringing, giuing, or bestowing, And with the Ciuilians Dos is no other thing, then that which a Wife or some other body in her name, or for her sake giueth to her husband to be his during Couerture. Though Bartol moze fine will haue it to be *ipsum ius rebus vendi*. Dos profecticia (with them) is that which cometh from the Wifes Father or her fathers Father: *aduenticia* Do. is from her Mother or other kindred of their liberalitie. And *paraphernalia bona*, or such things as the wife bringeth in *ades Mariti* proper Dore, as it were in stead of Dower, and into the Husbands Custodie, but not into his full dominion, for valesse they make a gift of them, they may aske them, and haue them againe.

Beaeton saith, *Dos profecticia* is the Land giuen in Franke Marriage by the womans Ancestors, *aduenticia* the Lands which some other kinsman giueth, and *paraphernalia* that which is giuen to a man or woman before or after Marriage for other considerations then Marriage. There is further with the Ciuilians a gift *pro Dore* giuen in recompence of securitis of Dower, and this doth somewhat resemble our Dower, because it proceedeth from the Husband, as Homer, Tully, and Saint Paul, are called, the *Doer*, the *Doer* or the Apostle, carrying a name of generalitie for their speciall excellencie; so in my opinion for the like excellencie among the estates which are made *causa Matrimonii*, that which women claime in their Husbands Inheritances when they be dead, by a speciall and vniuersall largeness of the Law is called Dower, concerning which the plainest and most plentifull rule is that of *H. Littleton*, viz. where the Husband is seised of Lands or Tenements of such estate, that the Issue which by possi-
 bility

bility his wife may beare him, may by possibilitie be heyye of that estate Si le possession le Baron ne soit loy- alment anient) As addeth Parkins the Wife shall be endowed.

SECT. LVI.

The Husband must be seised.

Dower is of the possession of a Husband, the ground of it therefore is Marriage, a Concubine then shall haue no Dower, no more shall shee which is but onely contracted, and it was holden by some, 10. H. 3. that she which was married in a Parloꝝ or Chamber should haue no Dower but it is now taken otherwise.

Also where Marriage is cleerely boyde and vnlawfull, there groweth no title of Dower: But if a woman first contracted to B. I. intermarry afterwards with T. K. this Marriage is boydable, but not cleerely boyde, and if it be not frustrated, otherwise then by death of T. K. the Wife shall haue Dower of his Land. Here yee may perceiue that which destroyeth an absolute true Marriage, destroyeth Dower also: for though by Bracton there may be by speciall Constitution a Dower appointed, that shall stand good against the tempest of diuers assaults, yet by ground of the Common Law Matrimonium est fulcimen- tum dotis. And Bracton saith in his second booke and 39. Chapter, vbi nullum omnino Matrimonium, ibi nulla dos: igitur, vbi Matrimonium, ibi dos, quod verum est si Matrimonium in facie ecclesie contrahatur.

SECT.

SECT. LVII.

Matrimony may be, and yet no Dower.

Though Matrimony doe alwayes pcedede Dower, yet doth not Dower alwayes follow Matrimony: for first where the husband had no Land, the Wife can haue no Dower by the Common Law, Bracton and Breton which giue a woman Dower in a certaine somme of moneye or in other Chattels, speake rather as Ciuill Lawyers then in aers English: Also Dower is not granted, vnlesse the Husband is aboue 7. yeeres old, and the wife aboue nine, 13. Ed. 1. Fitzherbert Feme perdera Dower, si son Baron morust deuant 9. ans d'age Dyer 14. Eliz. fo. 313. Also if a man marry his bondwoman in grosse and die, she shall not recouer Dower against the Heire, for shee is his bondwoman, but against the feoffee of her husband she shall recouer Dower, vnlesse she be regardant to the Danno; wherof the feoffement was made.

SECT. LVIII.

What Seisin is requisite in a Husband.

Where the Husband hath neither possession in fact, nor possession in Law, during the Couerture, nor any thing saue onely a right or title, the wife shall not haue Dower, as also if the Baron suffer a Disseisin, an abatement, a Condition broken, an alienation in Hoztmaine, or cesser of his rent or seruices by two yeares space, &c. and then he take a wife, dieth befoze reduction of his Land, or if iudgement be giuen for him in a plea of Lands, and hee marryeth after ward, and die befoze entry or suing of execution, the wife shall not haue Dower of these Lands: So is it if I. S. exchange Lands with T. K. and I. S. entreth, but T. K. taketh a wife, and dieth befoze entrie, his wife shall not haue Dower in any of the Lands exchanged, but
wher

where a husband is once actually seised, the wife shall be endowed notwithstanding any disseisin afterward done to him, or forfeiture made by him either absolute or conditional: And if before or after Marriage celebrated and not dissolved a possession in Law be cast upon a Husband by descent, escheate, or fall of some remainder, the wife shall be endowed though the Baron die before entry, as if the Kings Tenant die seised, and his Heire being married dieth before office or entry, the wife of the heire is dowerable: so if rent descend to a husband which dyeth before day of payment, &c. for there is not requisite in the husband such a seisin as whereof an assise lyeth: but if a precipe quod reddat might lie against him, it sufficeth 4. He. 7. fo. 1. Brooke 65. in Dower.

A husband may have possession in law by descent of a villaine in gros, or possession in law of a rent charge, by excepting the devise of grant, and hereof the wife shall be endowed, although the Baron doe afterwards refuse receipt and seisin of the rent. But judgement in a Writ of annuity for the Baron taketh away Dower of a rent charge from the wife, and a woman may have Dower of an estate that was suspended, as if the Lord married with his Tenant, now is the Seignioie suspended, but if he die, the wife shall have Dower, a third part of the rent per retainer: for the Seignioie, though it slept, yet there was still a possession in Law of it in the husband: Here it must not be forgotten that it seemeth doubtfull whether an abatement of a stranger which is a possession in fact destroyeth a Possession in Law, it appeares by Park. fo. 72. sect. 371. & 372. & 4. H. 7. 1. per meux that it doth not.

But 21. Ed. 4. fo. 60. which is accorded for good Law, 4. H. 7. fo. 1. where in a Writ of Dower the Tenant pleadeth ne vngues seisie in dower, &c. the demandant sheweth that Lands descended to her husband, she being then his wife, and that he dyed before entry made either by him, or by other person, & usuit & est donable per te ley, and shee
was

was enforced by the Court to plead that none entred: for if a stranger had entred, she had not bene dowable: And if she had pleaded *illicite que Dowre la Poer.* this had wayned the speciall matter, but the other conclusion puts it to the Law and Courts consideration. See see now of what possession of Law a woman is dowable per Brian 4. H. 7. fo. 17. if the Kings ward die vnder age, and the next heyre being married, die befoze deueerunt sued, his wife shall not haue Dowre, But by Dauers and Hussey, if the Kings Tenants Heyre haue a wife, and after office found, the Heyre doth not enter, but dieth, the wife shall be endowred of the possession in Law befoze office, for the Statute of prerogative cap. 13. is intended onely where the Heyre taketh a wife after office, and intrudeth.

SECT. LIX.

There must be in the Husband an Inheritance not cut from the Franke Tenant.

A Woman shall haue no Dowre in Lands, whereof the Franke ment and Inheritance was neuer conioyned in her husband, during Couerture, therefore where the Husband had but a reuerſion after estate for life, the wife is not dowable: vnder this rule commeth one other, do de dote peti non deber: And if a man seised, &c. take a wife, and alien with warrantie, and then both the seoffor and seoffee die, if the wife of the seoffee bring a Writ of Dowre against the heyre of the seoffor, which voucheth to warrant the heyre of the seoffor, and hanging the voucher, the wife of the seoffee demands Dowre against the heyre of the seoffee, if she bring her Writ, not for a third of two parts, but for a third of all that whereof her husband dyes seised, she shall not haue iudgement till the first plea be determined: Littleton. If there be father and sonne both

both married, and the Father seized of one acre, &c. dieth, and the sonne entred and dieth: if now the sonnes sonne enter and endow his Grandmother which dieth, his mother is not Dowable of that which the Grandmother held in Dowder, for of that his father had no more in more right, but a reuerſion vpon or after a Franke tenement, and the Grandmother endowd was in of her Husbonds possession, yet if the father had in his life time. inſeoffed the Sonne, &c. the sonnes wife might well haue Dowder after the Grandmothers death, of that very Land which the Grandmother held.

And if the sonnes sonne voluntarily or compulſarily by Writ of Dowder had endowd his mother, against whom the Grandmother had then received her Dowder, and died after execution, the mother might well haue entred into the land which the aileſſe recovered against her, Parkins 63. The Franke tenement and Inheritance may be both in a ſort in the Husband, and yet not sufficiently knit and united together to giue Dowder: for example, the Lands bee given to two, and to the heyres of the body of one of them, if hee which hath the inheritance die first, his wife is not dowable, no not after the death of the ſuruiuor, for the ſtate taile was not executed, in her husband to. all intents, though the Issue in a Formedone against an abater might alleage ſeiſin, and eſplees (as we call them) in his father. Likewise, if by fine ſur graunt & render, eſtate be made to a husband for terme of life the remainder to I. S. his sonne in taile, the reuerſion to the right heyres of the husband, and the fine is executed, if now the Baron die, living I. S. or any of his Issue, the wife of the Cogniſee is not dowable: But if a Lease be made for yeares, the remainder to I. S. for life, the remainder to his right heyres, &c. the wife of I. S. shall haue Dowder of this eſtate, though execution of Dowder cannot be laſting the terme; And if a Lease be to the Husband for life, with a remainder to a ſtranger for yeares, the remainder to the Husband in ſe, the inheritance

tance and Franke Tenement are sufficiently connered to giue the wife Dower, but execution shall cease during the terme: for when an estate for yeares is moze ancient, or as ancient as the Inheritance, which the Husband had during Couerture, there the execution of Dower to the Wife must needs tarrie the termes expiration: And so it is if a man grant me a rent in fee by Indenture, with Condition that the rent shall cease during the non-age of mine heyres, my Wife shall not bee endowed during mine heyres minoritye.

What if a man that is seised in fee simple make a lease for life rendring rent, &c. and then taking a Wife he dieth, the heyze shall haue this rent incident to the reuertion, and if shall be alets to him in a Formedone in Descender: but the wife gets here no Dower; and saith Parkins, a woman shall not be endowed of a rent reserved by her Husband to himselfe and his Heyres vpon a Lease for yeares, 1. Ed. 6. titulo Dower in Brooke accozdeth. If the Law be so, Dower hath lesse fauour in this case then the estate per Courtisie d'Angleterre.

But Cleere if a man take a wife first, lease his Lands for yeares or for life, and die, now the Wife may recouer Dower of the Land it selfe, and by Breton, if the woman recouer the third part of Lands leased for yeares de office, de iustice il serra a gard que el certia remnant, les deux parties: que demorent de ceue iesques arant que il eie receiue al value de le tierce partie que il auera perdue, &c. But if she recouer all the Land leased from the termes, he shall haue recouerie per plea de garranti, either of such other Lands as the Lessor had, or if he had no other of the Lands seised, when the widow is dead, by seire facias out of the Court where the Iudgement was inrolled. Note, What though the Law be as is abouesaid, where Lands are giuen to two, and to the Heyres of one of them, yet if the Husband purchase to himselfe and his wife, and to the heyres of the Husband, the wife may relinquish the purchase; and dis-

agree by bringing her *Writ of Dower*: Like Law seemeth to be, where the purchase is to the Baron and feme during the life of the Baron, the remainder to his right heires.

SECT. LX.

Of what things Dower is granted.

Littleton's ground is of Lands or tenements, But a Woman is Dowable also of all manner of rents which are rents of Inheritance, Also of Offices, as for example, of a Baylywicke in fee, a woman may have the third part of the profit in Dower, and be contributory to the charge: Also at this day where the Baron hath but an use in fee simple or fee-taile generall, unless it be in case where the Husband may and doth disagree, the wife shall have Dower, and if a bargain and sale be made of Lands to the Husband which dieth before inrolement, the wife notwithstanding shall have Dower, and by the inrolement enrolement, it shall be indefeasible against the Mendoz and the Heires of the Mendez: Also a woman is Dowable of Villaines regardant to a Mannor, and if a villaine ingros, a woman may have Dower by taking his service every third day, and if a mill by taking the third part of the profit, and shee shall grinde tole free, and if a House, a woman is Dowable by a Chamber or rent assigned out of the house. Note that if such a rent be assigned out of the Land where in Dower is claimed, the woman may have Assise without Deb, contra, if it be assigned out of other Land, 33. H. 6. fo. 2.

Also a woman may hold an Advousan appendent, in Dower of the third part of an Advousan in gros, by presenting at every third auoydance, or the third part of the moiety of an Advousan, by presenting at every first auoydance:

And

And of a Common in grass which is certaine a woman is Dowable. Likewise if any grant to I. S. that hee and his heyres shall take yearely in his Meadow three load of Hay, &c. For Common appendant, Parkins saith, If a woman accept two acres parcell of a Mannor in allowance of Dowry, she shall haue no Common appendant: after, if a moitie bee assigned her. Eg. Iacobile Countesse of Oxfordes case cited in Harpers case, Coke i. Rep. fol. 46. Dowry shall be of speciall Tythes, &c.

SECT. LXI.

Of what things Dowry is not granted.

Of naked seruices, as homage and fealty, there is none endowment, nor of a bare annuity granted in fee, nor of things vncertaine as of Common without number. And if it be granted to I. S. that hee and his heyres shall take so many Stouers in Herhold wood as they will burne, in &c. this will yeld no Dowry, no more then a License or grant de coyler bois in aurer bois. By the old writers, if in the first establishment of Dowry speciall mentions be not made of Aduousons or third presentments, the wife cannot haue Dowry of any Aduouson pur ceo que aduouon de esgyle vestmi. deparabile: But when a Mannor with the appurtenance is ordained for Dowry, if an Aduouson be appendant to the Mannor, and the Church become boide, after the Husbands death the Wife may present.

Also by them a woman cannot challenge a Castle, chiefe Heale, or head of any Baronic or Countie, or any thing within the close or Circuit of the chiefe Heale, to be assigned her in Dowry: But for her habitation she may choose aliquod honestum Messuagium de villenagij, that is, some bond Tenements within the Mannor house.

And where there is none such to choose, shee shall have one clapped vpon her in aliqua platea competenti de communi bolco: as long and broad as the thirde part of her husbands chiefe house: A cottage of clay and splints set close in a corner of a cold Common, which is but a rheumaticke Lodge to welcome Suitors to. But how if the Common and all things bee so inclosed that there is not room to swing a Cat in, women are not put in Rogum with their Husbands any where but in the Indies, and I thinke that custome is left there also by this time: If there be neither base tenement, no wood, no ground wherewith & whereon to build a Widowes habitacle, she may bee endowed (for necessity) of the principall Messuage, and without necessity alwayes if the heyze be so contented: The reasons which Breton and Bracton doe expressly alleadge, for nicenesse of Law, making dainty in their time to endow Widowes in Adoucons and great Messuages, is onely the indiuisibility or impartableness of the things. Of an Adoucons because it is but ius quoddam, and not coꝝporall, and great houses, &c. for the dignity and strength which the Realme was thought to haue by their conseruation: But considering that the end of Dower is chiefly the maintenance of a Wife, Si vir premoriatur: it may further bee colourably said, that Law at first did neuer meane to trouble Widowes with presenting of Clarkes, for that either is not, or ought not to bee a matter lucratiue or of gaine, though indeede Bracton prize a Benefice of an hundred Markes at one hundred shillings valew.

SECT. LXII.

*Of what estate of Inheritance the Husband
must bee seised.*

The Learning here is not discrepant from that which went befoze in title of Courtesie: Of fee or fee-taile generall a Woman shall haue Dowry, so shall she of fee-farme or of a base fee-simple; but not of Coppy-hold vnlesse the Customs serue for it: And if Tenant for life make a feoffment in fee, the wife can haue no Dowry, 3. H. 4. fo. 6.

The which Littl. inserteth in this Chapter of Dowry, viz. where the Husband is seised, as heire of speciall taile, &c. is no interdiction of Dowry in all cases to her which is married to the Donor of speciall taile. Littletons own example is, That if Lands be given to a man, and the heires which he shall ingender of his wife Alice, if he dies, Alice shall be endow'd of this estate; for no Issue of a second wife could be heire of speciall taile, and that makes the difference.

The case 41 E. 3 fo. 30. is this, A man seised in generall taile by fine made a feoffment and tooke backe an estate in speciall Taile to himselfe and his first Wife, and died, the King seise by Venure in capite, and endow'd the second wife, the Issue of the first Wife came, shewing the speciall taile, and by scire facias against the Wife recovered for default: she tooke a second Husband, who with his wife brought a quod ei deferre against the Heire, and hee pleaded the speciall taile, the woman by remitting the heire to the ancient taile, would haue concluded him to say, that her husband was seised of any other estate. Et non allocatur.

Parkins makes this case somewhat moze auisere against Dowry, for as he putteth it fo. 60. the Issue is sonne to the

Woman which claimeth Dowter, yet the mother by him not Dowable, because the sonne though hee be Heire is in of another estate then that which was in the Baron during Couverture, so likewise 44. Ed. 3. fo. 26. in a writ of Dowter against the Heire Tenant, hee sheweth that the land was giuen by fine to his father and mother in speciall taile, and that afterwards his father & mother discontinued the taile by fine to a stranger, and taking backe an estate in generall taile, they had Issue this heire, then his mother dyed, and the father taking the demandant to wife, he dyed, so the sonne was now in per son taile & per lauer, and being abindged in his eigne right by remitter, the wife was barred of dowter, this Case in my conceit bringeth the generality of Littletons rule, for the Issue which by possibility the second wife might haue had, might by possibility haue inherited, though not indefeasibly in such estate as was in the Husband during Couverture.

To conclude, where Lands are giuen to the Baron and feme in speciall taile, the remainder to the Heires of the body of the Baron, and the wife dies without Issue, there a second wife may be endowed, for after the death of the first wife the remainder in generall taile besteth maine tenant and is executed: 50. Ed. 3. fo. 4.

Newton saith, 7. He. 6. fo. 11. if a man make a lease for yeares with Condition, if the Lease pay an hundred pound at the end of the terme, that then he shall haue sex, nisi nemine que il auera que terme: that in this Case by paying an hundred pound at the end of the terme, the termes shall haue sex from the beginning, and his wife is Dowable: quere. for it seemeth time hath relation but ad tempus solutionis. If Tenant in Dowter lease her estate to the Heire for her life, and the Heire dieth, his wife shall be endowed notwithstanding the life of the first dowager, 45. Ed. 3. fo. 13. In action of Dowter the tenant shewed that Tenant per Courtesie granted his estate to him in the reservation, rendering rent with clause of reentry, for non-payment,

payment; he in the reuerſion marry the demandant, the tenant per le Courtesie reſenteth for the Condition, he in the reuerſion died, his wife was barred Dower, for the ſurrender might well be vpon Condition, 14. E. 4. fo. 6.

SECT. LXIII.

Where Dower is given or not given of an estate determined.

VHere the Husbands estate is lawfully entailed or determined, Dower for the most part faileth, As thus, two men make exchanging of two acres executed in fee, one of them dieth, his sonne takes a wife and entreth, and the other partie being impleaded, voucheth the sonne which entreth into warrantie, so that the Tenant recouereth in value the acre, which he deliuered in exchange, the sonnes wife shall neuer be endowed of this acre, for the title of recouerie in value, is from time of the exchange by way of relation, and so befoze the Marriage.

Likewise if two Copartners in ganell kinde make partition, one of them marrieth, and the other being impleaded, prayeth ayde of his partner which toyneth, &c. if the demandant recouer, and the Tenant haue pro-rata of the partners part which he toward dieth, his wife shall not haue Dower of that which is recouered, for the title of recouery pro-rata is from the death of the common Ancestor, saith Parkins. As a Villeine takes a wife, purchaseth lands in fee, his Lord enters, the Villeine dieth, his wife shall haue Dower, for the Lords title begun by his entrie, and the wines by seisen in the husband, the Tenant alieneth in Mortmain, or erecteth a crosse (see thereof, W. 2. c. 33.) and the Lord entreth, the tenants wife shall haue Dower notwithstanding. So if the Lord recouer in a Cessauir, the tenants wife shall be endowed, yet if the tenant had made

a Lease for yeares, the Tenant should haue lost his terme, W. infeoffeth R. upon Condition, that it should be lawfull for him to re-enter if hee pay ten pound at Michaelmas, and he dieth befoze payment: now if the heyze of W. pay ten pound after Michaelmas & redēme this land, the wife of R. should haue Dower notwithstanding: If a man giue Lands in taile reseruing a rent to him and his heyzes, and for default of payment a re-entry, if the Donoꝝ take a wife and die, and his heyze re-entreteth for Condition broken, the Donoꝝs wife is Dowable neither of rent nor of Land, neither is there here any Dower for the wife of the Donoꝝ, yet if the Donoꝝ in generall taile take a wife and die without Issue, his wife shall haue Dower notwithstanding the Donoꝝs entry, and a man that hath Issue by his wife Donoꝝ in generall taile, shall be Tenant by the Courtesie, if the wife and Issue both die, so that the estate is by the act of God determined, a woman that is Donoꝝ in taile rendant rent, takes a husband, hath Issue and dieth, if now the Issue faile, so that the rent of Inheritance becomes a rent but for life, befoze execution of Dower to the wife of the Donoꝝ whose husband during Couerture was seised of the rent, she shall neuer be endowed of this rent, 9. Ed. 3. I doubt whether it be Law or no, for I see not why the possession or seisin of a husband should not be as fauourable a title to the Donoꝝs wife to haue part, as the hauing a child is to the Donoꝝs husband to haue it, nor why there may not as well be an imaginariy continuance of inheritance for the one, as for the other: But execution maketh the difference, and therein le Courtesie hath a greater prerogatiue aboue Dower.

We haue in Fitzherbert titolo Dower placito 127. That if the Baron be infeoffed with a Condition which is performed by the feoffor in his life time, so that he or his heyze re-entreteth, yet the wife of the feoffor shall be endowed: And if I infeoffe one upon Condition to infeoffe another befoze Easter, if he make the feoffement ouer accordingly yet his wife

wife shall recover Dowry: The first Case saith Fitzherbert is no law: So also doth Parkins hold it, plea 311. And in my conceit the second is Law like the first, the land had not bene transferred but for the condition: for if after Easter according to the Condition the feoffee might at all times haue entred upon the feoffe, and then by Parkins, his wife should neuer haue bene endowed, or vpon his heires, or vpon his wife, if she had bene endowed: Now then if by keeping the Land vniuſtly the feoffee could haue gained no estate to himselfe, to his heires or his wife, but such as must alwaies haue bene defeasable at the feoffors pleasure, it shall be pulled in part backe againe, and not paye sole into Dowry.

The Condition was good, no marriage or ground of law broken in mouing or removing of the estate, so it is you will say perhaps where a man selleth his fee-simple lands, yet his wife shall recover Dowry against a lawfull purchaser, for where the Baron was seised in fee, the wife shall haue Dowry, she shall indeed when the husbands estate was pure and absolute: But when in the very creation of his estate there was matter annexed to it, that went in destruction of it and of Dowry, or that went but in retardation of it, then it must passe as it was made subiect to those naturall debilities that are accompanying it, if they be not repugnant to Law: As therefore the Condition vnperformed would haue destroyed Dowry if the wife had once obtained it: so here being well performed and all things deriued according to the first meaning & intention of the Condition, she shall neuer haue Dowry, yet indeed the case, 28. ass. fo. 4. making against it sauzeth of equity and conscience, for there a man seised in fee, made a feoffment to one vpon condition that he should infeoffe his owne son, & his owne wife, he did so and died; now when the shrewd boy perceiued that his mother tooke nothing by her husbands feoffment, but all was his owne good, he would admit no partner, the woman seeing she could not get halfe, gaue a venture for a third part.

part, and brought a writ of Dower, it came to issue, ne vni-ques seisin, &c.

The Jury found the special matter, and being asked what they thought of it, they answered, because there was neuer any permanent seisin in the husband, that she was not Dowerable. Your thinking (said Justice Thorpe) is contrary to your verdict, for here was a possession whereof she is Dowerable, Et ceo fuit opinion de toutes. Littleton also seemeth to be against me in Estate for condition, but it is not ipse dixit, but pluriors oat die: Wherefore if hee were alive, I might perhaps intreate him to be on my side.

SECT. LXIV.

How much and how a woman shall hold in Dower.

The Common Law alloweth for Dower the third part of that whereof the Husband during Couerture, had such seisin as is befoze declared to haue and hold (if it be in lands) by limits and bounds. But this Endowment per metes & bonds cannot be where the husband is Tenant in Common.

If one of two Copartners in gawell kinde take a wife, and die befoze partition made, the Heire may assigne his mother a third part of his moiety to hold in Common, or he may first make partition and then endow her per metes & bonds.

Generally, when a woman recouers Dower the Sheriffe shall put her in possession per metes & bonds, and it hath beene holden, that wheresoouer the heire assigneth Dower a third part, per mi & per tou, to occupie in Common, if the widdow accept it accordingly, that this should be a good endowment: The Law seemeth to be otherwise, By Common right Parkins saith, a woman shall haue Dower, the third auoydance of every Adouison, and the third

third part of every Danno; that was her husbands, for if shee take it in another forme by assignment from the heire she may suffer prejudice.

As if a man seised of three Danno; takes a wife, and grants a rent charge issuing out of all three Danno; and dieth: now if the wife by assignment of the heire, accept one Danno; in Dower for all the two parts of this Danno; remaine subiect to the distresse of the grantor, because the woman (for the two parts) accepted here her Dower in counter comen droit. But had shee upon recovery of Dower beene assigned this Danno; by the Viscount, she should haue held all discharged.

Yet if a married man seised of three Adouisons of three severall Churches, grant to I. S. that he shall present to the Church which next becomes voyde, and the grantor dying his wife recovers in a Writ of Dower against the heire before annoydance, and the Viscount assigneth to her the Adouison of one Church for all, &c. if now the Church thus assigned become voyde alcuins diene, saith Parkins, the grantor shall present, and not the woman, for she is endowd incounter common droit, and I. S. the grantor which is a stranger to the assignement cannot otherwise take advantage of his grant. But in the first Case after assignment of one Danno; by the Viscount, the grantee might distraine in the other two Danno;.

SECT. LXV.

Lesse or more then a third part.

Though by the Common Law a woman is to have no lesse then a third part, yet if a widdow will be so foolish as to accept a fourth or fifth part, or moiety of her husbands Inheritance assigned in allowance of all his Frankes Tenement, it is a good assignement: And by custome in some places

places, a woman shall claime and haue of right a moity of her husbands lands, and in some Towne or Burrough she shall haue the intierly in Dower.

Prerogatiua Regis, endeth with these words, that by cu-
some of Gauell kinde in Kent, Mulier habebit post mor-
tem viri medietatem pro dote sua: Et si mulier fornicetur
in viduitate, perdet dotem, vel si sit disponsata viro, Where
foze in a Writ of Dower for a moity the Demendant
must alledge the Custome and that she is sole; 2. Ed. 4.
fo. 17.

And where the Custome giueth a moity of Lands and
Tenements in Dacage, &c. this must be taken stridly not
extendable to a Baylywicke or a Faire, vnlesse they ap-
pend to the Manor or Lands within which there is a cus-
tome, for if they be so appending during Couerture, though
they be disappropiate after, yet the wife shall haue Dower,
a moitie of the profit.

SECT. LXVI.

Dower is of the Husbands best possession.

For, as soone as the Baron hath such a possession, ius
dotis spreadeth vpon it for the wife, who shall be al-
wayes indowed of the best possession of her husband: As
where there is Lord Helne and Tenant, the Tenant hol-
ding by thre pence rent, and the Helne by thre shillings,
if now the Tenant being married the Helne release to
him all his right in the tenancie, and the Tenant die, his
wife shall be attendant to the heyre by no more then a pen-
ny. So if a Disseisor infeoffe a stranger of the Land with
warrantle, which takes a wife, and then the Disseisor
brings a Writ of entry in le per against the feoffe, which
boucheth the feoffe, &c. after recovery had on both parts
with

with execution, the Feoffee die, his Wife shall have Dower, not of the Land lost, but of that recovery in value.

 SECT. LXVII.

Election of Dower.

Sometime a woman may choose whereof the Will be endowd in Cases where the Baron exchangeth Lands, and the exchange is executed, or where he purchaseth Lands, out of which hee had issuing some rent charge or service: But where a Tenancie escheateth to the husband, and hee entreteth, he hath no election, but must needs take her to the Land and to the services againe if the land be recovered; yet where a Tenancie escheateth, after a Woman is once endowd of the rent which it yielded, she shall retain her rent, and distraine for it of Common right, for she can by no meanes haue part of land, wherein her husband had neuer any possession.

 SECT. LXVIII.

Dower of Land and of rent issuing of the same Land.

Iⁿ some cases some hold a woman may haue part of Lands in Dower, and rents issuing out of the Lands, as if the Baron being seised of foure acres make a feoffment reseruing by Indenture foure shillings rent to him and his heires, with clause of distresse the rent (say they) comes not in lieu of the Land, and when the feoffers wife, hath one acre and a third part of an acre assigned in Dower, the whole rent still goeth out of the residue. And if a man
 seised

seised of three acres in fee, marry and die, and a stranger which hath but two of these acres entred by abatement into the third, and after hee hath married the Widow hee incosseth a stranger of all three acres by indenture, reseruing *vt supra*, and dieth, the rent goeth out of all the acres, but if the heire of the first husband recouer his acre and assigne it to the woman in Dower, shee is Dowable also of the rent, for indeede it is entirely issuing out of the two other acres: And if a man seised of three acres in fee make a feoffment of two reseruing rent out of those two acres, *vt supra*, the wife hauing the acre which remained in Dower, may haue Dower also of the rent reserued; quere saith Parkins, car il est incounter le conscience de diuers homes, And making the acres to be of equall value, it must needs bee against law also, for one acre of three equally ballued, or of euery acre one third part is a iust Dower. But if the acre vsold were inferiour in value, there is both conscience and law for the woman to claime Dower of the two acres, or of the rent, for a woman must be endowd of the best possession, and not according to the number of acres, but according to the value of the Inheritance whilst it was the Husbands. Therefore if I make a feoffment of my lands, and dye, and the feoffee builds a house vpon it, or otherwise improoues it, my wife shall be endowd no otherwise then according to the value of my possession; yet if a disseisor, or a feoffee for condition, doe edifie, the disseisor, or feoffor re-entring, shall haue the building. If being married I make a feoffment, and the feoffee ruinateth a house which was vpon the Lands before the feoffment, and that was worth foure or five pound annually, my wife shall be endowd according to the value that the land was of, at time of my death, because a woman hath no right to possession of Dower before the death of her husband: But Parkins dares not let this Case goe without a quare.

SECT. LXIX.

Of Dower at the Church doore.

The old kind of endowment at the Church Dooze cometh now a dayes seldome in vse: But soz all that I would haue women better learned then to be ignorant of it, it is when a man seised in fee-simple, being of full age, comming to the Church dooze to be married, doth there as firme affiance and endowe his spouse of all his lands, or of part, as of halfe or a lesse quantity openly and with certainty, the woman thus endowed may enter into her Dower, after the husbands death without assignement, and this Dower may be at the Church dooze in one County of Lands in another County and without dard, Parkins, &c. 217. Vide Plowd. in Sharrington, ca. fo. 304. b. it is good without livery of seisin, Et per Shelly 28. H. 3. Dyce fo. it may be done within view, and the puisne sonne of Land in hozov English may not make such a Dower.

Also a sonne and heyre apparant when he is espoused by consent of his father, may endow his wife at the Church dooze in part of such lands and tenements as are the Fathers in fee-simple, and the sonnes wife after his death (the father living) may enter presently without further assignement into the parcels, thus certainly appointed: But if shee enter after her husbands death and agree to any of these endowments ad ostium ecclesie, she is concluded from claiming any other Dower. Thus farre Littleton. By Bracton none can endowe his wife in this manner, unless hee bee Liber homo; soz in his time if I bee not much deceived, the greatest number of bond-men held in manurance Lands of their Lords, which they occupied to the Lords vse and profit, in pure villeinage. These having none other lands, could not endow, &c. Also by Bracton, Quis possit dorem constituere, & sciendum quod tam minor quam maior masculus. Cui uxori, tam
maior

maiori quam minori, poterit enim ille qui infra ætatem est uxorem suam dotare, quamuis existens infra ætatem, dum tamen possit dotem promereri & virum suslinere, & quamuis vir infra ætatem moriatur, vxor sua, siue infra ætatem, siue non, dotem obtinebit, de hac autem materia inueniri poterit de termino Paschæ, Anno 9. H. 3. in causa Saræ quæ fuit vxor William Burnell. But Littleton 8. A. requireth full age in him whiche shall endow his wife in his owne lands, ad ostium Ecclesiæ. And Parkins fo. 85. Sect. 438. saith, If an Infant eight yeares old endow his Wife, ad ostium Ecclesiæ sans suit: the endowment is void, although he agree at fourteene, &c. soz though the Spousalls were good till fourteene, yet that which should binde his inheritance was voyd, and things meerely voyd cannot be made good.

Concerning the age of the womans marrying, Littleton doubteth whether she shall haue Dowry or no in these kinds of dowments if she be vnder nine yeares age. Againe Bracton saith semper quod tertiam hereditatis partem exuperat per admeasurementem dotis amputabitur, Glanville is with him quia minus tercia parte tenementi sui dare, quis potest in dote, plus autem non, Bracton agreeth, and by all of them, a Constitution of Dowry lesse then the third part shall binde the fee. But Littleton alone will counterpoise and weigh downe all their authoritie, by whom the Wife hath election to refuse or accept this endowment post mortem viri. Also he saith they may be endowed of all or of a moiety, &c. And with him accords Fitzherbert fo. 150. n. & Q. And one saith, Assignetur pro dote tercia pars totius terre mariti nisi de minori fuerit dotata ad ostium Ecclesiæ.

Also Bracton, Clandestina coniugia heredibus & vxoribus nihil valent sed cum solemnitate & publica debet esse hæc dotis constitutio. Fitzherbert likewise saith, that a woman married in a chamber shall not haue Dowry at the Common Law, Nat. bre. 150. n. Of sponsals in
Chaps

Chappels not dedicate, quere if Marriage be by Licence of the Bishop semble reasonable que le feme auera Dower. 10. H. 3. a man looking euery day for death married his Concubine and endowed her at the Chamber doore, this was holden no good endowment, yet the Banes were first proclaimed in three parish Churches: The same yeare a man languishing in his bed married, his wife could not be endowed Pasch. 9. H. 3. 202. Titulo Dower in Fitzherbert: there followeth a speciall Case. That if the King giue Lands to a man with a woman in Marriage, the husband if he had none Issue by her shall not haue the land after her death, but the Issue which the wife had befoze, shall presently inherit. Also by Bracton, Vxorem dotare quis potest in certa summa pecuniæ, siue terras habueris siue non, Et si se tenuit contentam de tali summa excludetur ab omni alia dote, & dos constitui potest in rebus consistentibus pondere & mensura, & tam in liquido quam in solido, & sicut in isto vel in illo, sic in utroque. But 7. H. 4. fo. 13 & 14. is cleane against this learning: for though with the Ciilians Dower may bee in goods and not in lands, yet here in England it must be in lands and not in goods.

All moueable treasure which the wife or husband hath, are the husbands to spend as he list, dum vilem redigatur ad assem.

Further the Ancients did hold that Dowment ad ostium Ecclesiæ, might bee de assensu fratris, sororis, vel amici.

And that by these endowments a woman might haue Dower of lands reuertible to the husband or to his father, after the death of Tenant for life, I thinke there is scarcely any piece of our tradition wherein the old and late writers interlier and dash more one against another then in this. But it is cleare and without all contradiction, that in both these endowments Frank Tenement passeth by them without livery of seisin: If a man make a Deed of feoffment to Alice at Seile, and then comming with her to the Church

Church dooze to be married deliuer the Deed to her, shewing her the lands, saying, his will is, she haue them according to the dedde, if the Baron neuer claime other wise, then in right of his wife that is a good seoffment.

But he may endow her, of his owne lands ad ostium Ecclesie, without dedde, though the Land be in a forraigne Countie, marry when the Dower is of the fathers Land, ex assensu, there must bee a deed, for assent lieth not in a uerment 49. Ed. 3. 43. yet this is contrary to Bracton, and in old Bookes the consent hath bene tried by pzoofes, Dowment may be good, ex consensu matris, but as they say now, not ex consensu fratris, sororis, vel consanguinei, The assent ought to be at the Church; Church dooze, yet 2. H. 3. the sonne married against the will of his parents, and eight weekes after indowed his wife, of his fathers lands, ex assensu patris per curiam, it was holden good, Fitzherbert 199.

Of the head of a Baronie, or the Capitall Messuage of a Knights fee, Dowments ad ostium, &c. is not good, but it may be of a moiety of all such Lands as the Baron shall hereafter purchase in fee, or of all such Lands as the Barons mother holdeth in Dower: But if the Father lease his Lands for life, and the Sonne and Heire apparant endow his wife, ex assensu, &c. of the reuerfion: now if the Lessee die, the Lessee enter, and the Sonne die, the wife shall not haue Dower, because she was not Dowable of the reuerfion at the Common Law, though it had bene in her husband during couerture; so is it if the Father were seised for life, or jointly with another in fee: But if the father had bene Tenant in taile, the endowment by consent had bene good during his life, though no conclusion after his death to his Issue, or his wife claiming Dower, even as by Election if tenant in taile, being himselfe in aduall seifin, endow his wife ad ostium Ecclesie, & die, if his wife enter, the Issue may out her, and so may hee in the reuerfion if issue faile: If the Father at time of endowment ex assensu

he

bee seised none otherwise then in his wiues right : Pet Parkins argueth , hee shall bee bound during his life , quare.

I haue held young Daides now indeed somewhat long in the old endowments , and I would proceed to instruct them in the dower of the new learning iointures, I meane, for my desire is, that they should be able to haue when they are Widdowes a coach or at the least an ambler, and some money in their purses. But they are of the minde for themselves I perceiue, that Themistocles was in for his daughter, He desired a man rather without money, then money without a man, here is a wise adoe yee say, I tell you of Dower, of the Widdowes estate, and God knowes whether ye shall euer haue the grace to be widdowes or no, yee would know what belongeth to wiues, on then in a good way , I haue brought you to the Church doore, if ye be not shortly well married,

I pray God I may.

FINIS.



THE
WOMANS
LAWYER.

The third BOOKE.

As soone as a man and woman are knit, and fast linked together in bands of wedlocke, they are become in common parlance coniuges & coniores, yoke-fellowes, that in a euery participation, must take all fortunes equally. Yet Law permits not so great an interuallum betwixt them as society, which must alway consist among two or more, rather it affirmes them to be vna Caro, regarded to many intents merely as one indiuided substance.

SECT. I.

When or how soone Barron et Feme are said to bee one person.

If Titus and Sempronia, by words de presenti in a lawfull consent, contract Marriage, they are man and wife before God.

But they cannot doe all that married couples may, yet know my meaning, id possumus quod de iure possumus, but they may (saith Parkins) infeoffe one another, for they are not yet vna persona in the eye of the Law.

If it fall out that the woman chance to die befoze nuptials celebrated, he which is no moze but betrothed, shall not haue her goods, vnlesse it be by her last will and Testament, which she might without crauing Licence of any body, haue ordained according to her pleasure. If a man affianced to Sempronia, know her carnally, infeoffe her of a carue of Land, and then marry her in facie Ecclesie, the old world would haue iudged this infeofment void concerning post fidem datam & carnalem copulam, but at this day it is good enough: Publike Celebration therefore according to Law is it which maketh man and wife in plaine view of Law, Consensus non Concubitus facit matrimonium: But one naile keepeth out another and a firme betrothing forbiddeth any new Contract, yet they which dare play man and wife onely in the view of heauen, and closet of Conscience, let them be aduised how they shall take the aduantages or emoluments of marriage in conscience, or in heauen, for on earth if the Priest see no celebrated Marriage, the Judge saith no legitimate issue, nor the Law any reasonable or constituted Dower. How if Titus and Sempronia were Christianly married in facie Ecclesie, but Titus soone after Dinner or a little befoze night, leauing his wife a Virgin, tooke his way ad campos Elysios, shall Sempronia haue a Child of his bodie? videtur quod sic, 22. Ed. 3. fo. 30. in a Writ of Dower, the tenant saith the demandant was not of age to deserue Dower, Tempore mortis viri sui: viz. 9. annorum &c. for Littleton is plaine in the affirmatiue, a woman shall haue Dower, if she were past the age of nine yeares, the thirde part of that which the husband had during Couerture, and ye shall not take couerture here like a maller Stallion or breeder of Colts, but a woman is Couert Baron as soone as she is ouershadolued

with her Husbands protection and supereminency: Now the Law that giveth Dower to her that is able to deserve it, and enableth at so greene yeares, knoweth well enough that women are at their Husbands commendement: If Titus being dead have left his wife her maidenhead, in *munis a culpa, a peccata immunis erit*, This I might dilate as in probabilitie or likelienesse of reason at Common Law, but it seemeth the matter resteth otherwise determinable.

For in action of Dower the Tenant shall not plead *nunquam carnaliter cognovit*, nor the demandant be obliged to averre a knowledge, &c.

But the case may perchance bee drawn to an issue of ne vnques accople in loyall Marrisomie, and that must be tried by the Bishop: Therefore for the better direction of Wives, take the case verbatim, as it is propounded with the solution 22. Eliz. Dyer 369. A woman of full age contracts Marrisomie by words of the present instant, with a young man of twelue yeares age, and this being solemnized in face of the Church with consummation after a sozt, the young man being put to bed to her died vnder age, quære if the Ordinarie ought to certifie an accomplishment in loyall Marrisomie, *Solutio doctorum quindecim*.

We be all of opinion that she is to be taken for a loyall wife coupled in loyall Marrisomie, and in question of Dower, that the Bishop ought so to certifie; for albeit that in other regards these were but *Sponsalia de futuro*, yet in case of Dower, and the priuiledge thereof, they are extended to Marrisomie consummate, *Et iudicium datum pro dote*, heere ye say was the Law as cleere as Christall on your steer, tohen supper is done dance a while, leaue out the long measures till you be in bed, get you there quickly, and pay the Binkrells to morrow.

SECT. II.

Baron and Feme one person.

Now that Patrimony is celebrated and consummate, here is so strait a fellowship or rather identitie of person, that if a feoffment be made to a man and his wife jointly with l. s. the Baron & Feme take but a moiety, and in a feoffment to Baron and Feme, and l. s. and T. K. they take but a third part. and where a feoffment is made to a man and his wife jointly, they take not severall moities, as other joint feoffees doe, but the Baron and feme take intirely together, and in Law they are said to be seised by intierties, and there is no halving betwixt them: For if the Baron charge the whole land or part of it with a rent, the wife shall hold it discharged after his death, and if he sell all or part and die, the wife shall recover all by Writ of *cui in vita*. See 40. ass. pl. 7. If a Villeine and his Wife purchase land jointly, the Lord enter, and the Villeine die, the Feme or her Heire shall have the whole Land, *Eadem lex videtur*, where the Husband joint purchaser is an Alien borne, or attaint in *premunire*, or of felonie. But the booke of Assises goeth not so farre.

The *videtur* is Parliament 43. in Brooke, where likewise ye shall see it was holden 5. H. 7. fo. 31. that if T. in feoffe W. and A. his wife, & afterward it is by Parliament enacted that all estates, made by T. to W. shall be voyde, that the feoffment shall be voyde as well towards the wife as towards the Husband, because they are but one person in Law, and the Feme taketh nothing but by agreement of the husband. And upon the like reason is the case Dyer 3. Eliz. fo. 196. Sir Rob. Celine purchase land held in capite to him and his wife and his heires without licence, and the Queene pardons all offences, *pro quacunque alienatione sibi facta*, and doth not speake of the wife in the pardon, and yet it was allowed in the Exchequer.

But if the feoffment had beene to W. and I. S. this I. S. should have held his moiety, notwithstanding the Parliaments decree, and this seemeth to bee the better opinion, though there were in manner equall number to maintaine, That if the feoffment were befoze coverture, the Parliament should boyd it foꝛ a moiety, but if it were after coverture, it should boyde foꝛ no part against the feme, when thoe was discoverte, leaving to Parliaments their omnipotencie, it is clære the husband cannot sever the Joynture betwixt him and his wife, as an other Joyntenant may, if the Joynture were made during Coverture, because there is then no moiety: Otherwise it is if the Joynture were made befoze the Marriage: And if lands be given to a man and his wife, habendum one moiety to the husband, and habendum the other moiety to the wife, now they bee seised of moities as Tenants in Common: But foꝛ this I finde no other authority, then the opinion of Knightly in Dyer, 28. H. 8. 10. b.

SECT. III.

Baron & feme cannot infeoffe one another.

Moreover, this Conglutination of persons in Barons and feme, foꝛbiddeh all manner of feoffing oꝛ giving by the one unto the other, foꝛ a man cannot give any thing unto himselfe, therefore 27. H. 8. fo. 27. In action of debt upon an obligation to performe covenants, where it passed foꝛ the Plaintiffe, because the Defendant had not paid annually seaven pound to his wife, it is alleaged in arrest of Judgement, that the Covenant was impossible in it selfe, &c. But Chomeley, Shelley, and Fitzherbert moved the husband to agree with the Plaintiffe. Carke exception
 sc̄e

tert de rens, for although in strict intelligence of Law, money and Chattels, paid, deliuered, or giuen to the wife by the husband, are still his owne, yet a man may giue his wife a paire of hose (saith the booke) as a man is bound by honesty, so he may be bound by red ware and parchment to finde his wife sustenance, and to bee bound to giue her money for her securitie, is all one; from this Lanthorne I thinke he tooke his light, which bound a gentleman of mine acquaintance to giue his Wife the Obligee his Daughter, yearely such and so many gownes, Rerles. &c.

And the meaning must bee taken and obserued: in the booke of 4. H. 7. fo. 4. is another memorizable Cause, A man was bound to 1. S. by obligation to make a sure estate to a woman in certaine tenements within thre moneths after his fathers death: The Obligor marrieth the woman in his fathers life time, and the Patrimony continueth, till the thre moneths be expired; the obligation is forfeited, Vauisor said, the husband might well haue perfozmed the condition by fine leuied, vpon a writt of Covenant brought by a stranger, against the Baron and feme. Fisher said he might haue perfozmed it by making a Lease vnto a stranger, the remainder to the wife, quere of that. Vauisors perfozrance had bene good I thinke, if there had bene in the beginning a full purpose and intent of intermarriage betwixt the woman and the Obligor: But that appears not, and therefore being that hee hath brought himselfe to an impossibility of perfozrance either of words or meaning, the Obligee must needs be allowed the aduantage. If the obligation had bene to the woman herselfe, the condition by intermarriage had bene dispensed with; for where the Obligee is a cause that the condition cannot be perfozmed, the not perfozming is without penaltie to the Obligor, as if in the old dayes, I had bene bound to an Abbat that A. should infeoffe him, &c. before Christmas, if A. had presently entred into Religion, my

bond had presently beene forfeited: not so, If A. had bene professed, under the obedience of the *Obligée*.

And if I bee bound to C. that A. shall marry B. before Easter: If I marry B. and our *Espousals* continue till Easter, my bond is forfeited. Similiter, If C. marry B. or if A. and B. cannot marrie, because one of them dieth or waereth mad before the day.

I finde none other cause in our *Vere* bookes alleaged why things may not passe by gift, betwene Baron and feme, saue only vnitie of person.

But vndoubtedly the restraint springeth from a politique consideration, rather to breed, cherish and maintaine the vnitie, then in iudging of an impossibility because of the vnitie.

But the *Ciuill Law*, *vir non potest dare vxori, ne scilicet amorem coniugalem in quaestu habeant, & prohibentur inter coniuges donationes, quia si liceret coniugibus inuicem donare matrimonium fieri venalia & saepe distralirentur, &c.* And because it would amount to arguing inter coniuges, there is a restraint by that law. *Ne priuignus dare queat nouercae vel nouerca priuigno.* What if the *Patrimonia* be *inualidum & legibus non consistens, yet non valet inter coniuges priuignos facta donatio, ne melioris sine conditionis quam illi qui recte faciunt:* But a gift to a plaine Concubine is good enough: vnlesse the giuer be a Soldier. By old Iohn Bracton, lib. 2. ca. 5. *Non valent donationes inter virum & vxorem; non enim poterit vir dare vxori, nec e conuerso constante Matrimonio, quia huiusmodi donationes prohibita sunt inter tales personas, nec in fraudem facere possint constitutioni, veluti si Maritus donec extraneae personae ea mente ut redonet in vita viri vel post mortem: hec maketh his reason in the 14. Chapter, Si tales donationes fieri possint ob amorem inter virum & foeminam posset alter eorum egestate & inopia premi.*

But at this day, though lands cannot passe betwixt Baron and feme, right out by plaine liuerie, or bargain, yet

in the obliquitie of fines, recoveries and uses, there is an Expedite transporting of Inheritance betwixt them, to the undoing perhaps of the partie whose Lands are transferred and auferred, with not so much as coniugall loue alwayes in recompence.

SECT. IIII.

In what sort things may passe betwixt Baron and Feme.

Lands cannot passe from the Baron by feoffement to put the state from him immediately to the wife, though he were infeoffed to that intent and vpon such a condition: But one man may infeoffe another vpon condition to infeoffe the wife of the feoffor, (whatsoever Bracton say) and the condition good. Also a feoffement, fine, or recovery may be made, knowledged or suffered, to the use of her and her heyres which is wise to the feoffor, Conuisor or sufferer, &c. And as I may make another man the instrument to conuey lands to my wife, so may I be the meanes to conuey Lands to my wife, from another man, so by Letters of Attorney ship I may deliuer seisen of Lands to my wife for another, and the feoffement shall be good by Parkins 41. And a man may deuise in his last Will and Testament, either by the custome, or by the Statute, 31. H. 8. Lands to his wife in fee, fee-taile for life, or for yeares, because this taketh none effect, till the Couerture be dissolved.

It is said in Scolasticus case, If I deuise that he shall haue greene acre after the death of my wife, my wife shall haue estate for life by the intent, &c. And although a wife by the generall rule hath no will but her Husbands, and all Testaments of a feme-couert to deuise any Part, noz, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments are inefficual, by expresse declaration of 34. Henrici 8. capite 5.

Yet ye may see 12. H. 7. fo. 22. 23. 24. that as a Woman may make her Will by consent and agreement of her husband, and by Church Law, without his consent for some things, so by the very Law of England a Feme covert hath free power to make either a Stranger or her husband her Executor for all such duties as were due to her before she married, which are not yet come to a possession but rest still in action, specially (as some say) if they be by writing: for example, A Feme sole makes a Lease for terme of her life rendering rent, the rent is arere, and she marieth, or a woman Executrix to one unto whom were owing many summes of money marieth: This wife dying may make her Husband or a Stranger her Executor for the things not come to possession, otherwise the duties should perish, and the husband proving the testament contenteth to the Executorship: See also in Scholasticus Case, Plowd. 414. That celsi que vie. made his Wife Executrix, and devised that she should sell his Lands, &c. the woman took a second husband, and sold the Land to him, this was adjudged good sale, and the Feoffees held bound to make satisfaction according, which some of them refusing to doe, were committed to the Fleet, 10. H. 7. fo. 20. Yet see Civ. in vita Brooke, a Land was given to a woman upon condition to sell it and distribute the money for the Feoffees soule, she took a husband, they two sold the land and distributed, in this case there lay no cui in vita or sub, oena post mortem viri, for the sale was good according to the condition. But per Justice Brooke, the woman might have sold the Land to any saue to her husband, Et hoc per fair mes nemy per fine.

The next thing that I will shew you is this particularitie of Law, in this consolidation which we call Wedlock is a locking together: it is true that Man and Wife are one person, but understand in what manner.

When a small brooke or little riuer incoorporateth with Rhodanus, Humber, or the Thames, the poore Riuolet loseth

seth her name, it is carried and recarried with the new as-
sociate, it beareth no sway, it possesseth nothing during co-
uerture. A woman as soone as she is married is called co-
uert, in Latine nupta, that is, veiled, as it were, clouded and
ouer shadowed, &c. she hath lost her streame, she is conti-
nually sub potestate viri. Bracton termes her vnder the
scepter of her husband, and that which the same Authoz is
hold to say of the King in a Paradore, his fellowes
Charles and Barons are aboute him, nam qui habet socium
habet magistrum, I may moze fruely farre away say to a
married woman, her new selfe is her superioz, her compa-
nion, her master: The mastership thee is fallen into
may be called in a terme, which the Ciuitians bozrow from
Esops Fables, Leonina societate.

SECT. V.

*The Woman marrying changeth her name,
Dignitie, &c.*

The Wife must take the name of her Husband, Alice
Greene becommeth Alice Musgrave; She that in the
Mornng was faire weather, is at night perhaps Raine,
howe or Goodwife foule; Sweet heart going to Church,
and Hoitbrick comming home.

But a thing something worse is Vxor censetur dignita-
te Mariti.

The Lady Anne Powes, and her Husband Randolph
Hayward Esquire brought a Writ of partition against
the Duke of Suffolke, and his Wife for part of the Inhe-
ritance that was Charles Brandons: because the Writ was
per Ranulphum & Dominam Annam, &c. they were infoz-
ced to bring a new Writ ad respondendum to Randolph
and his Wife late the wife of Lord Powes; for per Moun-
tagne chiefe Justice, and Hales, by Law of England what
foerer.

Soeuer be the courtesie among Dames of honoz, a womans name of dignitie changeth with the degree of her husband, and of such women as haue not their honoz by birth, but acquire that by Marriage the rule of Law taketh order, Si mulier nobilis nupserit ignoblem, desinet esse nobilis when she taketh a second husband.

But what though the scrupulositie of the Common pleas were obserued thzoughout the Realme, that Esquires Ladies should be no Ladies in Court and Country, wherevnto I will neuer giue voyce what inequality were in this depezzing: shall not likewise a Knights widow marrying with a Baron or Earle as be much exalted verament, yet you see the dignitie hangeth meeerly on the male side, carrying the scepter of Wedlocke.

SECT. VI.

Touching seruitude.

Now touching the state of freedome or bondage, Littleton saith, that if a free-man marry a bond-woman, the Lord cannot seise her; but there is remedie by action, for taking her sans gree or licence.

Fitzherbert in his libere probanda agreeth 78. G. that she should be freed perpetually: But the Law seemeth to be otherwise. And so you may find the opinion of Doct. & Stud. fo. 139 b. And that indeed it is no more but a Tempozarie pziuiledge and exemption from seisure of her Lord, during time of couerture, for if the Seignior of a Mannoz marrie his Piese regardant, the best authoritie that I can finde is, that this Piese is no more but shined in the honoz of her Lord, if he die she shall haue no Dowter, but remaine still in her niesitie regardant to the Mannoz. And so say truth, I perceiue not how a womans being married can in any sort be an infranchisement, no not for a time: it is no more but a stonking or hiding of the seruitude. Brazton

It is said elegantly manumission is a detection of laying open of the freedome which is a natura. A womans liberty is free licence to doe what she list vntlesse shee be letted by force or by Law, it is not restozed to her when she marrieth, Marriage rather pulleth it from her which befoze was free: When a Seignieur theretofore marrieth with his bondswoman, she must not turne her bunime to him and say, heretofore my Lord, I lay in your bed, and now I lye in mine owne, as the French Concubine said being married newly to her French Lord, but let her bee burome and mindfull of her subiection, for if this louing Seignior of hers die, she may right well be an apparant piece againe to her owne sonne for ought that I know, why not as well as causes may happen that the father to sonne, or one sonne to another may be a villeine, the case did happen 3. Ed. 3. that the villaine married his Lords mother, and so the father in Law, and the brother de demi sank were villeines: If a free woman marry a villeine, her naturall freedome is not otherwise infringed then by subiection to her husband: If the villeine purchase Lands and die befoze seisure made by the Lord, the wife shall haue Dower: But if a free woman seised in fee or fee-tail, take a husband which is a villeine and die, the Lord may enter vpon the husbands possession per le Courtesie, or vpon the Issue being Tenants in fee-simple or fee-tail: See the Booke 22. H. 6. fo. 18. & 19.

But may the Lord enter vpon the Land during Couerture, quere. If a villeine be possessed of certaine goods, and the Lord make seisure of them by poll, this is sufficient without seisen in fait: But if the villeine die before any seisin, and ordaine Executors, these Executors shall haue his goods, 3. H. 4. 15. 16.

And a villeine shall retaine goods which hee hath as Executor against his Lord; yea hee may bring Action of debt against him as an Executor, all to the vse of the Debtor. Also if a Feme gardian in soccage marrie with a villeine,

villeine, I take it the Lord shall have nothing to doe in this gardianship: If a Seignioresse of a Hanno2 marry her bond-man, he is made free, and where befoze hee was her footstoolle, he is now her head and her Seignio2, here is part of the particularitie.

SECT. VII.

The Baron may beate his Wife.

The rest followeth, Justice Brooke 12. H. 8. fo. 4. affirmeth plainly, that if a man beat an out-law, a traitor, a Pagan, his villein, or his wife it is punishable, because by the Law Common these persons can have no action: God send Gentle-women better sport, or better company.

But it seemeth to be very true, that there is some kind of castigation which Law permits a Husband to use, for if a woman be thzeatned by her husband to be beaten, mischiewed or slaine, Fitzherbert sets downe a Writ which she may sue out of Chancery to compell him to finde surety of honest behauiour toward her, and that he shall neither doe nor procure to be done to her (marke I pray you) any bodily damage, otherwise then appertaines to the office of a Husband for lawfull and reasonable correction. See for this, the new Nat. bre. fo. 80. f. & fo. 238. f.

How farre that extendeth I cannot tell, but herein the sere feminine is at no very great disadvantage: for first for the lawfulness, If it be in none other regard lawfull to beat a mans wife, then because the pooze wench can sue no other action for it, I pray why may not the Wife beat the Husband againe, what action can he have if she doe: where two tenants in Common be on a horse, and one of them will trauell and use this horse, hee may keepe it from his Companion a yeare two or thzee and to be euen with him;

so the actionlesse woman beaten by her Husband, hath retaliation left to beate him againe, if she dare. If he come to the Chancery or Iustices in the Country of the peace against her, because her recognizance alone will hardly bee taken, he were best be bound for her, and then if he be beaten the second time, let him know the price of it on Gods name.

SECT. VIII.

*That which the Husband hath is
his owne.*

But the prerogative of the Husband is best discerned in his dominion over all externe things in which the wife by combination deuesteth her selfe of proprietie in some sort, and casteth it vpon her gouernour, for here practice euery where agrees with the Theorick of Law, and forcing necessity submits women to the affection thereof, whatsoeuer the Husband had befoze Couerture either in goods or lands, it is absolutely his owne, the wife hath therein no seisin at all. If any thing when hee is married bee given him, hee taketh it by himselfe distynctly to himselfe.

If a man haue right and title to enter into Lands, and the Tenant enfeoffe the Baron and Feme, the wife taketh nothing. Dyer fol. 10. The very goods which a man giveth to his wife, are still his owne, her Chaine, her Bracelets, her Apparell, are all the Good-mans goods.

If a Woman taketh moze Apparell when her husband dyeth then is necessarily for her degree, it makes her *Creatrix de son tort demesne*, 33. H. 6. A wife how galand soeuer she be, glistereth but in the riches of her husband,

band, as the Moone hath no light, but it is the Sunnes.
Pea and her Phœbe borroweth sometime her owne pro-
per light from Phœbus.

SECT. IX.

*That which the Wife hath is the
Husbands.*

For thus it is, If before Marriage the Woman were
possessed of Horses, Beate, Sheepe, Cozne, Wool, Ho-
ney, Plate and Jewels, all manner of moveable substance
is presently by conjunction the husbands, to sell, keepe or
bequeath if he die: And though he bequeath them not, yet
are they the Husbands Executors and not the wives which
brought them to her Husband.

SECT. X.

*The Husbands interest in Char-
tels reall.*

A Terme or Lease for yeares, made to the wife be-
fore or after Conuerture hath somewhat peculiar in it
selfe: for if Tenant iure vxoris of a terme grant it or sur-
render it and die, the Wife is without remedy; but if hee
continue possession untill his death, it shall remaine to the
Wife, Parkins 107.

If Tenant iure vxoris, for twenty yeares lease the Land
to a stranger for ten yeares rennding rent, with re-rent

for.

for default of payment, if the Baron die, the Wife shall have the rent, and not the Barons executors, for the Wife shall have the remainder, but she cannot re-enter for Condition broken. Parkins 165. And see the bookes of 21. H. 7. 29. b. & 2. Eliz. Dyer 183. If a woman have a terme as Executrix: and the Husband submit himselfe to arbitrement, upon which the moiety is awarded to the pretendoꝝ of the Title, and the Husband dye, yet the wife shall be thereby bound.

If a Feme lessee for yeares, take a Husband which neuer makes any alienation, the Survivor shall have the terme, for though it were neuer devised out of the Woman during Couerture, yet if she die, the Husband shall have it as a thing settled in possession, Plowden 191. 192.

In Brackbridges Case Plow. 418. is a whole Lecture of the Barons interest in the Chattels that are not the wifes: The Case is, Sir George Griffeth Lessee for yeares, granted his terme to Anticle Brackbridge, and to locosa the wife of Thomas Brackbridge tenant of the reversion in fee, &c.

The principall learning deliuered, by opinion of the full Court in this, If a man grant a terme to a Feme Couert, and to a stranger, or if a Feme sole that is ioynt-tenant of terme with an other, take a husband, the ioynture is not hereby severed, but the survivor shall have all: And in suit of eiection of terme against a stranger, the wife shall ioyne with her husband, and have iudgement together with her husband, for if a Feme sole Lessee for yeares take a husband which in his life time grants a rent charge, &c. or by his testament bequeatheth the terme, the wife who had an estate at and befoze her husbands death, shall by surviving prevent the testament and avoid the Charge, But of Chattels personals, the Law deuiceth all proprietie out of the wife, and puts them meereley to the husband, and to his

Executoz; if such chattels bee giuen to the wife and to a stranger, the husband alone is tenant in Common of them with the stranger. Secondly, the Court did hold cleerely, that in Brackbridges Case, and such like, the immediate inheritance in the Baron, did not drowne the interest of the Feme, for the one he had in his owne right, and the other in his wiues: But by an expresse act, as by feoffement or grant of a new lease, he might haue giuen away the interest of his wife.

But leauing all to Law, the Law shall saue that interest distinct, and preserve it: And it was holden in this Case, that Baron & feme might not ioyne in an eiections firmz with Ancile, but he alone might bring his action and the Baron chased to moze higher and moze real Writt.

Also it was holden the Baron might disfraine or haue action of debt for a moiety of the rent, and as I comprehend the end of Brackbridges case, a feoffement by Thomas Brackbridge made of the Mannor whereof the Land seised was parcell, and might well drowne all interest Executoz which his wife had, but not a Lease executed except livery had bene made in the very Lands seised, for a Lease in possession of thre acres maketh them to bee no parcell of a Mannor during the Lease, but a rent charge, or a lease executed which is but an interest, leaueth the possession entire, and no reuerston in the Baron, there is further in the Commentaries the Case of Dame Hales, viz. Sir James Hales Lessee for yeares, in his owne right taking a new Lease for twelue yeares ouer in remainder to himselfe and his wife, dies seilo de se, the whols interest was iudged forfeite, for the felony had relation from the act done, id est, from entrance into the water, &c. At which time the Baron had power to grant, and consequently to forfeit it.

If the Wife haue a ward by reason of her Seigniorie, this likewise is a Chattell reall, and the Husbands interest in it shall be as in a terme or lease for years: But if the wife be gardian in socage, no lease of the infants land, though it be made by Baron and feme, per Indenture shall binde the wife, but she may enter after the husbands death, and if she die, the husband shall not haue the Gardianship.

For in this Case, the wife hath nothing to her owne vse, but she is an officer appointed, vpon confidence in her naturall loue, and this office is not grantable nor forfeitable, vide nat. bre. 145. I haue hitherto, but shewed what is wrought as it were ipso facto, vpon marriages consummation while it is greene, not past a day or a weeke old, and I thought it methodicall to insert the learning of battery, because in my poore opinion it were better to combat for household mastery in the beginning, then to bring a Writ of right for it, when it hath gone too long, by title of ruffe prescription.

SECT. XI.

Of the Wines interest of affaires before Marriage.

Now let vs looke backe a little and see what shall become of the dealings which Hiltris Ticus had whilest shee was Sempronia, an agent in the world, widdow or maide sola and vncouert.

SECT. XII.

Of Infancie.

To debate matters of infancie would aske a whole volume per se: But breefly know that all deeds, gifts, grants, &c. made by an Infant which take not effect, by deliery of the infant be absolutely void. By matters in fait or writing, which take effect, by hand and deliery are onely voidable by the infant, or by them which haue the infants estate.

Out of this rule are excepted acts apparently of necessity or profit to the infant, or which can be no disprofit to him, for manger boire, necessarie apparell and schooling, the obligation or covenant of an Infant is good.

Also an Infants presentation to a Church is good enough for danger of lapse, and because it is no matter of emolument, and things done by vertue of office, as giuing of goods, or payment of debts by an infant Exceutrix, are good, so are acts which concerne the infants proper purchase. As if estate be made to an Infant of two acres, to haue and to hold, the one for life, the other in fee, a scoffment of one acre made by the Infant is a good election: And it is said so. 104. in Dyer, that an Infant is bound by all

all Statute Lawes, if there be not an expresse exemption; Now whatsoeuer a Feme sole might auoid by infancie, she and her husband may auoid it by entry or action after Marriage, if they take the time, else not.

For example, An infant feme sole hath title to enter for Doztmaine, within a yeare after alienation, or title to enter into the purchase of her villeine befoze his alienation, if by lachesse she let slip her aduantage, as she may doe notwithstanding her infancie, no wise husband that she taketh afterward can mend it, for here was but a title to that which neither she nor her auncestoz euer had: But if an infant Feme sole haue a right, as vpon disseisin done to her or her auncestoz, she may allwayes enter, whilst she is sole, notwithstanding any descent during infancie; And so may her husband which marrieth her after the descent: Littl. teacheth vs, fo. 95. Chap. Descents, that lachesse of a husband which suffers descent, shall not toll the entry of a Feme couert, or her heyres after Marriage dissolved. But there is an addition to Littleton, that it is otherwise where a title is already giuen to a Feme sole which taketh a husband, and suffers descent, &c. for it shall now be accounted the Womans folly that shee would take such a husband.

Howsoeuer it be Law, or howsoeuer it be vnderstood, the Case befoze must needs be good Law, for an infant Feme hath as much fauor as an infant Male: And taking of an husband cannot toll an entry which was saued to a Feme sole by infancie, neither doe I perceiue, how the husbands lachesse at the time of descent, can toll the Wives Infancie to make any imputation of folly, where infancie might excuse it.

By Parkins, If a man lease two acres to me for life, the remainder of one of these acres to a Feme sole, which afterwards takes a husband, and then the Lessee dying, the Baron entreteth into one acre, and thereof enfeofes a Stranger by mets end bonds, the wife shall not after his death enter

fer into the other acre to make a new election, but it shall be iudged her folly whose title begun in a sozt befoze couter-
ture, that she would take such a husband. And I agré it,
foz in this case, if the fême had béene sole and vnder age,
the election which shee had made in her infancie should not
haue béene auoyded, foz the fall of a remainder will not
farry the time of an ebbe and flowe. But presently vpon
the death of the Lessé, the frée-hold of one acre vesteth in
her, and when she hath as a Purchaser decided which acre
she will haue, the other acre is returned, and cannot be cal-
led backe.

Againe 4. & 5. Philip & Maria Dyer so. 159. the Case
is, that Baron and fême made a lease by Indenture, foz
yeares, rendyng rent, the Lessé entred, the Baron dyed be-
foze day of payment, and befoze day of payment likewise
the wiffe tooke another husband, and he died after he had ac-
cepted the rent: Now by Dyer, Stamford & Browne (foz
Brooke was contra) the Woman within her viduitie be-
foze payment of the rent, might at her pleasure haue ou-
sted the Terman, by her second husbands Marriage, had
giuen her election to her husband by resignement or assigne-
ment in Law, as strongly as if she had expressely acknow-
ledged her selfe content to accept the rent, if I. S. did thereto
agrée.

But can this reason in a case of Inheritance, be infoz-
ced against an infant which being disseised tooke a husband,
and whilst she was yet vnder age the disseisor died seised:
I suppose it cannot: If befoze marriage, she had appointed
I. S. to enter foz her or she had Couenanted with the dis-
seisor, that none should enter foz her, to what effect had this
béene by the Common Law.

The Baron may by livery discontinue the wifes posses-
sion, but he cannot doe it by grant, may he then doe it by
holding his peace, onely by a sufferance? No. But a des-
cent is equiualent, and this is not the act of the Baron, but
a forbidding of Law, to which the Baron must submit him-
selfe

selfe, true: But the wife is vnder age, will you now in fauor of a wrong beicended, toll the entrie of an infant, heare her speake for her selfe.

By good Lord, saith shee, it is true, I had right befoze I married a good while, and it was my folly to take a Husband that neuer entred; but seeing this Attorney in law of mine hath failed in his office, I hope I shall be allowed the aduantage of my yeares, and that Law weighing the weakenesse of nonage of any, shall punish me for folly, but helpe my want of prudence, and supply the defect at last. If I may not enter during my husbands dayes, yet I hope I shall if hee die: Littleton makes a quare, If the Baron being himselfe vnder age makes a feoffement of the wiues Land, whether the wife may enter after his death or no. The Baron himselfe, he saith, might enter notwithstanding his feoffement, and his entrie must be in right of his wife, and clearly his heire cannot enter because the Baron had nothing but in right of his wife, and seeing that his owne feoffement lies not in his owne way, what Justice is it, that his feoffement should grieue another body. So I say seeing the Barons feoffement is voydable by his infancie, why should not the wiues right by her infancie be saued, where there was no feoffement or act done but onely a taciturnity or suffe-
rance.

By Fitzherbert, if the Baron and Feme being both vnder age, alien the inheritance of the Feme, she may haue at her full age after the husbands death, a dum suit infra ætatem,

And this opinion is, though hee dares not confidently deliuer it, that where the Baron of full age with his Wife vnder age, makes alienation of his Wiues inheritance: shee may haue a dum suit infra ætatem, or cui in vita, at her election; for when they toynd in a feoffement of the Wiues Land, this hee saith was the feoffement of the Wife vntill her disagreement,
and.

and if Baron and Feme make a gift in taile or lease for life of the wifes Land rendring rent, so soone as the Baron dies the reuerſion is onely in the wife, who by accepting the rent shall bind her selfe and her heyres: But if she will refuse the rent because she was vnder age at time of the seoffement, it seemes she may be receiued to a dum ſuit infra etatem, wherby she affirms the seoffement to be her owne. If this be infallible Law, I doubt not then if a Feme infant disseised doe marry, and during her infancie the husband suffereth a descent, but her entry is faued, and she may enter, after Couerture dissolued if not before: But Fitzherbert concludeth with a quære, and so must I.

SECT. XIII.

Acts, &c. of a Feme sole being full Age.

Vnderstand now by a Feme sole, a Woman of full age. If a Feme sole become indebted, and marry, the Baron and Feme may be sued for this debt during life of the Feme: If the Creditor sue and recouer, the Baron shall be charged with it after the wifes death, aliter non.

A feme sole, Lessee for life, rendring rent takes a husband, the rent is arriere, the wife dieth, though here be no recovery in the wifes life time, yet because the Baron took the profit, he is still chargeable in a Writ of debt for the rent, for *quis sentie commodum sentire debet & onus*. If a feme endowed of rent take a husband and die, the husband shall haue action of debt for the rent arriere, for it was a duty accrued during couerture: But if a man be bound to a Feme sole, and she takes a husband, and the day of payment comes during Couerture: now if she die, her husband cannot haue an action of debt vpon the obligation, for this was a thing in action before marriage, Nat. bre. fol. 120. & 121. And agreeing to that is 39. H. 6. 27. Br. Testaments

ments 10. but by that booke the Wife may make the Baron her Executoz. and so saith the Booke of 12. Hen. 7. 22.

If a Feme sole being made Executrix, take a husband, she remaine still a disposer of the Testatozs goods to his vse: and after payment of his debts she may deliuer Legacies, and after all that giue the rest for Gods sake maugre le test sa Baron. But upon such a gining of goods or deliuring of Legacies befoze payment of debts the husband may haue an action of trespassse, for gift befoze payment is not a right administration, but a deuastation of the Testatozs goods, Par. fo. 2. and 18. H. 6.

A feme sole seised of a carue of land, grants out of it a rent Charge by deed, and deliuers this deed to a stranger with Condition to deliuer it to the grantee as her deed, if he goe to Rome and returne befoze Easter, the Woman takes a husband, the grantee performs the Condition, the deed is deliuered to him, he hath a good rent Charge, yet the Baron was seised of the land befoze the grant tooke effect, what though, if the Feme had infeofed a stranger of the land, he should haue held it charged, for to some intent the grant hath relation from deliury of the deed as an escrow though for the rent, the grantee cannot haue that but for the dayes incurring after the darraine deliury, and if the feme at the deliury of the escrow had bene married all had bene void, Par. fo. 2. & 3. and fo. 29. some hath maintained, he saith, where a feme sole deliuers an obligation or other deed of grant, as an escrow with condition, &c. *vt supra*; that it should haue no relation at all saue onely to the last deliury; for if hee to whom an obligation is so made, release all action to the feme sole, befoze performance of the condition, and befoze deliury of the deed by the baylee, he may notwithstanding sue vpon the obligation, when it is deliuered, which proves that it takes none effect till the last deliury; and then it must needs be void if the Woman be married at time of this deliury, if all were.

were not countermanded presently by taking a husband. But Parkins will not yield to these reasons, for the Feme sole was a person able to oblige her selfe in any manner of Contract, and her covenants and agréments made by or consideration, she could not countermand though she would.

If a Feme sole seised of Land, infeoffe a stranger by deed indentured reserving rent to her and her heires, to be paid annually at Easter, with a conditionall clause of entry for non-payment, and then they two inter-marry, here can be no failing in performance of payment during coverture, for all this while the rent and condition are suspended.

If the condition had been to pay ten or an hundred pound, it had bene drunk by the inter-marriage, for if a feme sole make a seoffment to a stranger upon condition to pay her ten pound, and then she marieth with I. S. I. S. before the day of payment may release all manner of conditions, duties and demands, and the condition shall be determined. But such a release coming after the day wherein the condition should have bene performed at what time the wife hath a title of entrie will not binde her or her heires, after the husbands death. Par. fo. 148.

There followeth a question, if a Feme sole infeoffeeth a man of blacke acre by indenture with Condition, that hee shall infeoffe her of green acre before Easter, and they two marry and continue married till after Easter, whether the husband be maine-tenant seised of blacke acre in the right of his wife, Where followeth in Par. fo. 149. a case ayding towards solution of this doubt. If I be bound by obligation to a Feme sole to marry her by monday next, if she marry a stranger and the espousals continue till tuesday, I need not tender my selfe to her.

A Feme sole makes cognizance of her right to leue a fine before Commissioners per dedimus potestatem, having the Writ of Covenant (vi oportet) and at the

day giuen in bankes, when the Concord should be recorded, the woman is married, but notwithstanding the fine was recorded and ingrossed, as leuied by a feme sole: the question was whether it should binde the Husband, or not, it was said, death of a partie, &c. which as the act of God dissolues the whole business, by abatement of the Writ, but marrying after the lesse of the Writ of Couenant, and dedimus potestatem, and Cognizance made, doth not so: The woman therefore and her heires are bound for ever, and the Husband release of all his right to the Conusee, makes all there 7. & 8. Eliz. Dyer 246. the Lord Keeper of the great seale of England his case.

SECT. XIII.

Of Acts done by a Feme Couert.

Every feme Couert is quodammodo an infant, for shee her power, euen in that which is most her owne: A wife may be seised in her owne right with her husband in estate of Inheritance: but if she make liuery and seisin to another in any parcell of this Inheritance by her selfe alone without grace of her Husband it is voyd, yea her Husband and shee together may maintaine an assise vpon the entry: but where onely the Baron is seised, and the Feme maketh liuery, the assise must bee onely by the Baron in his owne name: Par. 38. Likewise fo. 2. he telleth vs, where a man is seised in the right of his wife, and the wife grants a rent charge out of her owne Land, the Husband not knowing it, or the Husband knowing, but not consenting; but the deed is onely in the name of the wife, this grant is voyd. Admit the Husband be bagrant out of the Countrey, and the Wife (ignorant of his life or death) grants a rent Charge by deede reciting that shee is sole; yet

if the Grantee enter and distraine for the rent, the husband may maintaine an Action of Trespasse for this entrie.

Admit that this vns caro Baron and Feme through false loue or iealousie, bee set at nine miles asunder variance, and certaine Lands are assigned to the Wife by the Baron for her maintenance, if the Wife grant a rent Charge out of this Land, it is meereley void.

If a Feme Couert grant a rent Charge out of her land by fine, as though she were sole, this bindeth not the Husband; but if he die before her and his Feme haue reuerfed their fine by error, the Feme shall be bound.

And if to a Feme Couert there be a feoffment made (a feoffment and suery is of great celebritytie) yet a naked disagreement of the Baron auoydeth it 1. H. 7. fo. 16. If a Feme Couert (her Husband being beyond the Seas) bee enfeofed of an acre of Land, and the Husband comming home refuseth, and causeth the Wife likewise to relinquish all manner of seisin or taking any profits of the Land, this in a writt of entry, sur disseisin in le per brought against the Baron and Feme will discharge the Husband of damages from the time of the refusall, but not for the occupation before refusall, tamen quare, Par. fo. 10. yet (saith he) they remaine Tenants (for all the refusals) of the franke Tenement to vse any action so long as none other person entereth: but if a Tenant when his Seignior is beyond the Seas, doe infeoffe his Lords wife ioyntly with a stranger of the Tenancie, and the Lord comming home distraines the cattle of the stranger for his rent, this distresse is a compleat disagreement, and puts the Wife out of seisin, so that now the possession remaines intirely to the stranger the ioynt feoffee; otherwise the husband should be at a shrewd mischief viz. without remedie for his rent, for all the time incurred before the distresse, Par. fo. Note that in these Cases; it is no plea, for the grantee to say that

the

the Baron did not agree, but hee must shew the disa-
grément.

A Feme Couert may be a disseisereſſe without assent of
the Baron, and hee shall be charged with damages, in as-
ſiſe against him and his wife: But if the Baron doe a dis-
ſeiſin to the vse of his wife and she agrees to it, the Franke
Tenant for all this setleth not in her, for the entry of a hus-
band gaineth nothing to his wife, but where she hath either
right of entry (as vpon disseiſin) or title of entry as vpon
a Condition, &c. A Feme Couert makes a Testament of
the goods of her husband, she dieth, the Executors proue
the testament, if the Baron now will deliuer the goods to
the Executors, this maketh the Testament good; for how-
soeuer it might be accounted voyd, being made without the
husbands consent, yet being once proued, it gathereth spirit
(as it were) and the deliuey of the goods, shall imploy
an assent befoze the will was made, note that licence or as-
sent here, is sufficient per paroll, Par. 97.

A Feme Couert may take an assumption from any
man for her Husband, shee may take an obligation or
feoffement to her selfe, she may commit a disseiſin, and her
husband by his assent shall be a disseisor, ab inſitio: Shee
may giue, sell, or charge her husbands Chattels, by his as-
sent, as a horse or such like, and she is not so like a Wonke
that all her acts should haue an impossibility of taking any
strength, but her husbands agreement coming after them,
shall make them good whether they be to his advantage or
disadvantage, 27. H. 8. fo. 24. But the acts of a Wonke can-
not be made good by agreement of the Soueraigne.

And in the end of the case, Fitzherbert affirmes, that
when a Woman makes a gift of her husbands goods, the
Husbands post-assent is a new gift. One thing I will
adde, That though a gift made by a wife of things which
are quickly gotten, and quickly gone, (chattels I meane
which require no solemne conueyance) and the Wife hath

a meddling with them may bee made good by agrément, yet a scoffement made by the Feme, cannot be made good by the Husbonds bare consent succeeding.

Now for Executozship of a Feme Couert note that per Brian, 2.H. 7. fo. 15. b. she cannot be an Executoz without the agrément of her Husband, and per mesme le reason, she cannot giue goods of the Testatoz without his consent, for upon returne of deuaufauerin, the Husbonds goods shall be put in execution: The case in the booke, is of an Executozship, befoze Couerture. And remember that Fitzherbert saith, 28.H. 8. Dyer fo. 7. If the wife haue a Lease by Executozship, the husband cannot sell it, sed tota curia contra eum: But a Feme Executrix to her first husband may retaine goods against the Executoz of her second Husband, if hee neuer did alienate them. 21. H. 7. per Fincux.

SECT. XIV.

Of Elopement.

Amongst the acts of a Feme Couert, I must not forget to admonish her that she take heed of Elopements, A woman shall not forfeit Dower by not suing appeal of her Husbonds death, or by not visiting her husband, or not coming to comfort him when he is wounded or exceeding sicke in a forraigne shire; but if he be in his home Countie where he dwelleth, quare: A woman in her frenzy may rut her husbands throate, and it is no forfeiture of Dower; but if she make an Elopement (which is a mad trick) Dower is forfeited. Elopement by the sound and quality of the offence might seeme to be deriued from *elopes* a fore, for it is when a woman seekes her prey farre from home, which is the fores qualitie. But the word seemeth to bee

French,

De. of. l. 32. a. c.

De. Bon. l. 32. a. c.

French, there is a faire Statute against Clopement, West.
2. ca. 34. Si vxor sponte reliquerit virum & abierit & mer-
retur cum adultero suo, amittat in perpetuum actionem pe-
tendi dotem, quæ ei competere posset de tenementis viri
sui, si super hoc conuincatur, nisi vir suus sponte & absq; co-
hertione Ecclesiastica eam reconciliet & secus habitare
permittat, in quo casu restituatur ei actio. A Woman that
leaves her husband, goeth away and abides with her adu-
terer, if she be convicted thereof, loseth for ever her com-
mand of Dowter, &c. vnlesse the Husband of his owne free
accord without ecclesiasticall compulsion suffer her to be re-
conciled and to cohabite with him, in which case her action
is restozed for Dowter.

Q. f. 24
32. a. b.

It is commonly holden (saith Parkins) that a Woman
shall lose her Dowter by voluntary Clopement, though her
abiding be inuoluntary, and though she make none abode at
all with her Adulterer: But if she be rauished, and demurre
with the Rauisher, against her will, she loseth no Dowter.
If when the husband is commozant at one mannoz, his wife
depart to another of his mannoz, and there liue in adultery,
this is none Clopement, for it cannot but be intended, she
cannot abide there without græ and goodwill of her Baron;
ye shall haue a case for your erudition out of my Lord Dy-
er concerning this matter: of Dowter was demanded of
a Hanno, ex dotatione Domini Powes by R. H. and
Anne his Wife, it was pleaded that the said Anne in vi-
ta Domini Powes.

Q. f. 24 32
a. b.

Frankly of her owne accord,
Left her Husband and her Lord,
And from Bednall Greene she ran
With Mathew Rochlei Gentleman

To the parish of Saint Clements Danes, where she liued
in adultery, all the life long of Lord Powes, absq; hoc that
euer she was reconciled, the demandants pleaded a recon-
ciliame

ciliauit & cohabitare permittit, the reioynder is non reconciliauit modo & forma.

To prone the reconciliation, a lying together diuers nights at diuers places was giuen in euidence wth demeanure, as Baron and Feme; against this it was objected that they neuer were resient or abiding in one house together, but alwayes in sunder, and that the woman continued in a dultery wth one or other continually, as long as her husband liued. Et non allocatur, for there may be many Coulopmements wth many reconciliations, and the Defendant at his perill must take issue vpon one, 1. & 2. Phi. & Maria, Dyce, fo. 107. But me thinkes here wanteth equality in the Law, women goe downe stile, and many graines allowance will not make the ballance hang euen: A poore Woman shall haue but the third foote of her Husbands lands when he is dead, for all the seruice she did him during the accouplement (perhaps a long time and a tedious) and if she be extrauagant wth a friend vt supra, this is an Coulopmement and a forfeiture, &c. But as the saying is, men are happy by the masse, they may goe where they list & warrant v^{er}, and because they are enforced to trauell in the world, they will pay deare abroad for that which they esteeme of no value at home. Their adulterous sojournings is not discerned, they may lope ouer ditch and Dale, a thousand out-ridings and out-biddings is no forfeiture, but as soone as the good wife is gone, the badman will haue her Land, not the third, but euery foote of it.

Haue patience (my Schollers) take not your opportunitie of reuenge, rather moue for redress by Parliament, and in the meane season be perswaded that liberty or impunity in doing euill by immodest life and lasciuious gallops, is no freedom or happines: no, but rather as thus farre your Husbands duty of instruction, namely, to learne him to leaue his incontinencie abroad, by your modest and chaste life at home. And if this will not produce you, the comfort of your Husband, yet a farre greater comfort the effect

effect of Balaams desires, Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my end be like his.

SECT. XV.

The Husbands power in Lands, which the Wife holdeth in Dower or otherwise for life.

The Husbands Soueraigntie over his wife, her goods, and chattels personall or reall, is no lesse then hath been declared. The dominion likewise over; all manner of Franke Tenements his owne or his Wives, is supereminent in him during Couerture, but so that he standeth well b;idled from doing any thing a per luy, whereby either the Dower which his wife had by a former marriage, or expecteth by the present or any other estate for life or in fee, can be taken from her when hes is gone: If a Widow tenant in Dower marry, and her new husband surrendreth, &c. this is good during Couerture, but if the Feme survive, or if there be a Divorce causa præcontractus, the Feme may enter and defeate the surrender, though he to whom it was made be dead, and his Heire in by descent, yea and the Law differeth not heere though the Wife had toynd with the Husband in the surrender: But if Baron and Feme will surrender Lands which the wife holdeth for life by fine, this shall bind the wife, for the wife which is giuer shall be examined, &c. for no particular Tenant can surrender by fine without being named in the writt, where upon the fine is leuied, Par. 117.

If a lease be made to Baron and Feme for life, and the Baron make alienation in fee, the Lesour may enter for a forfeiture, and maintaine an assise, if he be ousted: but the Wife surviving, may haue a *cui in vita post mortem*

viri, for her title is from the first demise, but the title of entry is onely from the alienation. 21. ass. p. 12.

The like Case is, 43. ass. p. 17. Baron and feme tenants for life, the remainder to A. in taile, the Baron alieneth in taile, A. dyeth having issue, his issue may enter for the alienation, though the Feme Lessee be living, for shee may haue her cui in vita, &c. Brooke 9. & 10. in cui in vita.

Yet see Couerture & Enfancie Brooke 9. Newron said for Law, that if a feme sole be infeoffed with a condition, or a lease be made to her, renouing rent with condition of re-entry for non-payment, if she take a husband that breaks the Condition, the Woman shall bee bound for ever by the Feoffors or Lessors re-entry, 20. H. 6. 28.

And per Martine 9. H. 6. 52. if the Baron claime se in a quid iuris clamar, or disclaime in auowry, so that the Lord recover in the quid iuris clamar, &c. the wife is without remedie. But 15. Ed. 4. 29. If a Woman Lessee for life take a husband, and being impleaded pray ayde of a stranger, if the Baron die, hee in reuerfion cannot enter: And if a feme Tenant for life take a husband which alieneth in se, though he in reuerfion enter, the woman shall haue the land againe if her husband die, 29. ass. p. 43.

SECT. XVI.

*The Husbands power in his owne Lands to
preiudice his Wife in right of
Dower.*

A Feoffement or other plaine alienation here is to a small purpose, and therefore it should seeme, What a great while agoe Husbands, either for the little loue they bore towards their Wives, or for great affection to the price of their Dower, had gotten a vse of suffering lands to

to be recoverd from them by iudgements, concords and transactions in the Kings Court: Against this a Statute declaratory was made West. 2. c. 4. anno 13. Ed. 1. Quod si vir implicatus de tenemento & reddat tenementum peti- tum aduersario suo de plano, post mortem viri iusticiarij ad- iudicant molieri dotem si per breue petat, &c. And where Iudgement is against the husband by default, the Wife may recover in a Writ of Dower also by this Statute, unlesse the tenant can shew that he had right, and the Hus- band none: And at common Law (some haue said) eue- ry recovery bound the wife unlesse it were by render, and that the Statute aydes onely against recovery by default, and I take their ground partly to be upon these words of the Statute which are put in the conclusion of the clause touching default, namely, *ve de cetero huiusmodi ambigui- tas amputetur.* Which I thinke is not true, but that the Statute is a common Law Ordinance: for Bracton lib. 4. cap. 13. fo. 310. who wrote tempore H. 3. befoze the Sta- tute, is that against recovery pleaded in barre of Dower, the demandant might reply, *quod si per iudicium recupera- uit, hoc fuit per dolum vel per negligentiam viri, vt si vir scienter, & in odium vxoris suæ defaultam faceret, & ita a- mitteret, vel alio quocunque modo, hoc mulieri non noc- bit si probatum fuerit, quia dolum vel negligentia viri non præiudicat mulieri.* And a little while after he sheweth, that a fine leuied by the husband in fraudem vel odium mulieris, vel propter lucrum habendum, is no obstacle in a Writ of Dower, unlesse it be ante desponsationem. I agree there- foze with Parkins, that the Statute is in affirmance of the Common Law, whereby recoveries against the Husband were & are saluifable. Si le baron auoit droit, & le recou- rer nul droit: As for example, The Husband being disseised by I. S. re-entreteth, I. S. arraignes an Assise, the Husband confesseth a disseisin, I. S. releaseth damages and hath iudgement to recover; the Wife shall haue Dower not- withstanding by the common Law, *mesme lei,* If he which

is by a husband disseised, release all his right to the husband, and afterward notwithstanding the release brings a writt of entry in nature of an Assise, and recovereth against him by default, the wife of the releasée shall bee indowed. But if the Heire of a disseisor being in by descent, the disseisèe re-enters, and take a wife, now a recovery against the Baron by default or reddition in a writt of entry in nature of Assise taketh away Dower from the wife, for the recoveror had right according to the nature of his action, and the possession which the Baron had during Couverture is destroyed: But it falleth out otherwise where a man is married, and then there is a disseisin, descent, entry and recovery, *vt supra*.

If a Precipe be brought against the Baron which pleadeth misnomer, or iointenancy, and it is found against him, whereby the demandant recovereth, this oultheth not Dower, unlesse the Demandant had right.

In a writt of entry in leposse against the Baron, hee voucheth himselfe to saue the state taile, and sheweth how his father gaue him the land in taile, and that the same is descended into him, and vpon a traaverse of the gift in taile, it is found for the demandant which recovereth, and the Baron dieth: Now if so be that the Baron might well haue pleaded a release of all actions or all right of the demandant, the Wife may falsifie this recovery in her writt of Dower: Tenant in taile hauing Issue dieth, a stranger abateth, dieth, his heire entreteth, and takes a wife, the Issue of tenant in taile, arraignes an assise of Mortdancesor, against the Baron which traaverseth the points of the writt, and they are found against him, so that the demandant recovereth, and the Baron dieth. It hath bene holden that the wife shall not recover Dower heere, untill the heire haue reuerced the verdict by attaint. But it seemes (saith Parkins) he may falsifie the recovery in a writt of Dower maine tenant; for the husband might haue pleaded to the action of the demandants writt, and if the feme (which by

no weanes might haue attain) must tarry till the Heire haue defeated the verdict, perhaps he will neuer sue attain, or he will release, & so the wife which once was intituled to Dowry by her husbands possession, neuer defeated but by his owne lachesse, should lose her Dowry in augre fac est, which seemeth vnrasonable: Yet quere (saith he) for the iudgement is upon a verdict, comprehending matter repugnant and contrary to that which should bee pleaded against the writt: But if the demandants entry had bene congeable, then out of doubt the wife had had no power of falsifying, for the entry had wrought a remitter.

The Heire of a Disseisor entred, taking a wife, and the Disseisee in a writ of entry, ad terminum qui preterit, recouereth against the Baron by default, the wife may falsifie this recovery in a writ of Dowry: But it is selome that the demandant in Dowry shall falsifie a recovery against the husband, had by his lachesse in not pleading a plea, which went merely in abatement of the writt.

And therefore to say that the Baron might haue pleaded misnomer or ioynt tenancie will not serue to falsifie a recovery: But if he can proue that the demandant had no right nor cause of action, but jointly with a stranger, which stranger by his deed metwed to, & the Court had released befoze commencement of suit all his right to her husband being in possession, this will serue to falsifie the recovery for a moiety.

Thus hath Parkins in his treatise of Dowry at large discovered, that a title neuer tryed against the Baron in his life time, may be tryed by his wife when he is in his graue: And so further 36. H. 6. titulo fauxifier de recouerie in Fitzherbert, 15. That a woman may falsifie a recovery had against her husband by action tried, but it must be in another point, and not in the very same which was tryed by the recovery.

SECT. XVII.

Losse of Dower by the Husbands attainder.

HHe that hath a notable grudge against his wife, and would be sure to delude her hope of Dower, hath a direct way, though it be somewhat dangerous, and I will not be of his Counsell: Hee needs doe no more but imagine, compasse, and conspire some detestable renowned treason of the old stampe; and if he be once attained thereof, according to his desire, &c. But if he doe but pingle, as suffer himselfe to be outlawed, in action of trespassse, this was neuer any forfeiture of Franke Tenement: The Law was in the late dayes of Littleton and Parkins that euery attainder of murder or felonie done by the Baron, was an ouster of dower to the wife. The first Solons of the English Law be like thought that tender regard of a wiues estate, should restraine a husband from all inozmious transgression against the sacred Crowne and dignitie Royall, would God it might: but the true reason why the law was so penall for such offences of the husband toward the wife, (in whom perhaps was no fault) that thereby shee should haue no Dower: and towards the childzen that they should haue no descent of inheritance, but the hereditary blood should be corrupt) was vpon these reasons grounded vpon the Law of nature, and giuen by Justice Stamford in his booke fo. 194. saith he to this effect, men will notw eschew those Capitall crimes when they shall see those persons who in nature and affection are neerest and dearest vnto them, and most to bee beloued, shall be punished with themselves: so that if themselves will not refraine such crimes for themselves, yet they should the rather refraine for the loue of their wife & childzen vpon whom they bring so perpetuall losse and punishment and shame of so infamous a note as that their stocke,
blood,

blood and Lineage shall be corrupted and attainted, their children disinherited, and the wives of their husbands because the wives of such impious and foolish husbands, by their defaults deprived of all their means and livelihood. And Bretonfo. 258. makes another reason why a wife of a man attainted, &c. shall lose her Dower est pur eos que est a supposer que el scauioit del felony son mary, and by him a woman lost no Dower, in case the felony were committed before Couerture.

King Edward the first in the first yeare of his Reigne abrogating some Statutes concerning treasons or felonie, for their ansterity, and making some new decrees concerning treason, preserved Dower against all perpetrations of an euill husband: But 5 & 6. eiusdem regis ca. 11. by the last proviso, It was againe enacted, that no Wife of any person attainted of treason should bee received to demand or haue Dower, &c. Yet for felonie 1. Ed. 6. is still in force.

And treasons by Act 5. Eliz. ca. 1. for assurance of her Maesties royall power, or by the Act eodem anno cap. 11. against clipping, washing, rounding or filing of Coynes, or by the Act 18. Eliz. ca. 1. against diminishing or impaying the Duenes Coyne or other coyne currant here, doe none of them make any corruption of blood, or forfeiture of Dower.

Note, if after attainder the Baron purchase his pardon, this is so farre forth a new birth unto him, that his Wife shall haue Dower of the Lands which come to him after pardon, if his Issue by her may per possibilitie inherite. Par. 75.

And remember this Case, 3. & 4. Phi. & Marie, Dyer 140. b. Marie the wife of Sir Iohn Gace, attainted of treason brought a Writ of Dower, against Wiseman the attainer of Sir Iohn; was certainly pleaded in barre, she replied, that long time before the attainder and before the treason committed, after the Espousals, the said Sir Iohn Gace.

Gate was seised in fee of the Land whereof the demands Dowry, and there of enfeofed A. B. whose estate the tenant hath vpon a demurrer, without argument at barre or bench the Councell of the parties being heard in Justice Brookes Chamber, the demandant was barred of Dowry, by opinion of all the Iustices, because the Statute is, The Wife of a man attainted of any manner of treason whatsoeuer shall in no wise bee receiued to aske, challenge, demand or haue dowry of any her Husbonds Lands during the foze of that attainer: And by Stamford, 195. this extendeth to petty treason: But nota, (saith Dyer) the Lands here sold and gone befoze treason committed, were neuer subiect to forfeiture or escheate, vt in causa Vauisor, M. Littleton in the Chapter of Dowry: And therefore Anthonie Browne Serieant was angrie at the heart for this Iudgement: See Littleton fo. 11. per Vauisor. If a man commit felonie, aliene his land, and then be attainted, the Wife shall haue action of Dowry against the feoffee, but not against the King or Lord, if it be escheated.

SECT. XVIII.

*The Husbonds power in his wifes inheritance,
and of discontinuance.*

A WOMANS Inheritance is Lands of Inheritance which she hath by descent or purchase, and her Marriage such as was giuen her in Franke Marriage by learned M. Littleton: But take heere all fee simple or fee taile, which she hath sole by her selfe, or ioyntly with some other to be her Inheritance.

Then know that at Common Law a man seised in the right of his Wife of greene acre, may make a feoffment
of

of it to a stranger, and this is such an interruption (called a discontinuance) of the wifes estate, that not onely the Baron is bound whilest he liueth, but the Wife also when he is dead is by common Law forbidden entry into her owne land, and put to her action of cui in vica, but if a man seised in the right of his wife be disseised and release to the disseisor (though it bee with warrantie) this is no Discontinuance.

If a man seised in fee in the right of his Wife, haue Issue by her a sonne and die, and then a second Husband makes a Lease of the Land, for terme of his life, and the Wife dyeth, if now the Lessee surrender to the second Baron, it is a question, whether the sonne can enter during the life of lease for life: But cleere (saith Littleton) when he is dead, the son may enter for the discontinuance which was but for the life, was determined.

If Tenant in the right of his Wife make a Lease for his owne life, the reuerision in fee is in the Baron: If hee die in the life time of his Wife and of the Lessee, and his heire grant the reuerision with attornment, now though the grantee enter, after the death of the Lessee, yet the wife may re-enter: for as an estate taile cannot be discontinued, but by one which is seised by force of the intaile, so the estate of a Wife, is not discontinuable but by him which is seised in the wifes right.

SECT. XIX.

Of a Remitter.

You must vnderstand somewhat also of a Remitter. And because women learne faster by example then by precept, I will not stay to define a Remitter: Baron and Feme seised together in speciall taile, haue Issue a daughter, the wife dyeth, the Baron catcheth another wife, hath Issue by her another daughter, discontinueth the taile, disceiseth the discontinuee and dieth, now is the Land descended to the two daughters, the eldest daughter is remitted (that is remaunded and settled in the ancient estate) for a moitie, and giuen to a Formedone against her Sister for the other moitie, for here the Sisters are by seuerall titles tenants in common not parceners.

If Tenant in taile infeoffe a Feme, sole and die, and then his sonne being vnder age, intermarrteth with the Feme feoffe, this is a remitter to the Sonne, and his wife which befoze had se. simple hath now nothing at all in the land. But if the sonne had bene of full age at the time of espousals, hee had not regained the ancient estate, but stood seised onely in droit sa feme. If a Woman seised, &c. take a husband which alieneth in fee, and then takes backe an estate to him and his wife for life, this reppisall (though it were by Indenture or by fine) is meerey the act of the Husband, and the woman sans foloy is adiudged in her Remitter, the reuerfion of the Lessor running to smoke, rightly to smoke, which is something moze then nothing: for if after all this the Lessor bying an action of waste against the Baron and Feme, the Baron cannot barre her by shewing her reppisall and remitter; but hee is stopped from speaking against his owne feoffement and receipt.

So that here may bee an estoppell or conclusion by a matter not witnessed with specialty or any manner Scripture: But if in the action of waste the Baron will make default, at the grand disresse, the wife upon her prayer receiued to shew her matter shall barre the Lessoz of his action right well.

For in euery case where a woman is receiued to plead in her husbands absence, she shall haue advantage as if shee were a Feme sole. And the reason why reuozing backe the land by the Alien to Baron and Feme woꝝketh a resmitter, though it were by fine, is because a Feme Couert that taketh any thing by fine is neuer examined by the Iudices.

But where somewhat is to bee conueyed, from a Feme Couert, by a fine, as if Baron and Feme make cognizance to another, &c. or a grant or render, or a release by fine, in all or such like cases, because the right of a Wife is passing, and she shall be eternally concluded, she must bee examined befoze the fine can be receiued: and if shee confesse that her husband menaced her if shee would not leuie the fine, &c. it shall not be receiued 15. E. 4. fo. 1. But where nothing is moued in fines, saue onely a wiues purchase and gaining, there is vsed none examination of her, and therefoze such fines doe not conclude her.

If Tenant in taile discontinueth it and dieth, and the discontinuue makes a Lease to the Daughter and heire of the Tenant in taile being of full age, and to her husband for their two liues, the daughter is remitted: If Baron and Feme Tenants in speciall taile be, and the Baron alieneth in fee, and takes backe an estate to him and his wife, for their liues, because they are hut one person, and the estate is likewise one and intire without moities, and the Feme cannot be remitted here without the Husband be also remitted, they are adindged both in their remitter: But the Baron himselve is stopped from claiming so much contrary to his owne alienation.

If Lands be giuen to a Woman in taile, remainder to another in taile, remainder to a third in taile, with remainder ouer in fee, if the woman take a husband that discontinueth in fee, all the remainders are discontinued, and if the Wife dyeth without Issue, there is no remedie but a Formedon by turne, if the first, second or third Donee die without Issue: But if after the discontinuance an estate be made to the Baron and Feme for their owne life or another mans life, or any other estate, the Wife is remitted and so are all they in remainder. If the Feme die, the next in remainder may enter, and so is it for them in the reuerſion after the taile is ended.

A Lease of a house is made to a Feme sole for terme of her life, and in a faine or false action a stranger recouereth this house against her by default, so that she may haue a quod ei deforceat by W. st. 2. ca. 4. now is the reuerſion of the Lesſor discontinued, and hee cannot haue an action of waste. But if the woman marries, and the recouerer lease this house to the Baron and Feme for life, the wife is remitted to her first estate by the Lease, the first Lesſor to his reuerſion, and he may haue action of waste if there be cause.

Yet here if the other which recouered in the false action bring an action of waste, the Baron hath no other remedie but to make default at the grand disresse, and then the wife received, may bar him by shewing the faintnes or falsehood of his action whereby he recouered.

If after discontinuance, &c. the Baron take backe estate to himselfe and his Wife, and to a third person, this is a remitter for a moiety, and for the other moiety the Feme must sue her cui in vita after the death of her Husband.

If after discontinuance of the Wives estate, the Baron goe beyond the Seas, and the discontinued lease the Land to the Wife for life, and deliuer seisin; if the Baron agree thereunto at his returne, this is a remitter, for the Feme shall

shall be adjudged as an Infant, and not as a Feme sole in this Case, Quære (saith Littleton) if the Baron at his re-
turne disagree, &c. whether this oust the Feme of her re-
mitter.

If the Baron discontinue, the discontinuée be disseised, and the disseisor lease the tenements to the Baron and feme for life, this is a remitter to the Wife, though the Baron were consenting to the disseisin: But if the Baron and Feme were both of Couen and Consent to the disseisin, the wife shall be a disseiseresse and not remitted.

If the discontinuée make backe estate to Baron and Feme by indentur: vpon condition, viz. rendering rent, and for fault of paymen: re-entry, and because the rent is arreare, the discontinuée doth re-enter, vpon this entry the woman may haue an assise of nouell disseisin after the husbands decease, for the condition by the remitter, was cleane extinct in truth, though during couerture the Baron was estopped, &c. so that he and his Wife could not haue an assise together.

If the Baron discontinue, take backe estate to himselfe for life, the remainder after his decease to his wife for her life, here is no remitter till the husband be dead: but the Wife turning, Franke Tenement is cast vpon her maine Tenant will she will she by act of Law, and shee is remitted, for though shee enter not, yet shee can haue none action against any body for this land; but any man that hath cause may haue action of it against her, because a recipe quod reddar is maintainable against tenant in ley, and that is the widdow here: But Tenant of Franke Tenement in fair, is one which hath an actual seisin, and vpon disseisin thereof may maintaine an assise.

The Statute of Gloucester perceiued how by common Law a man may play fast and loose with his Wives Inheritance by feoffment to discontinue her estate, and to continue it againe by resumption, and so to make it Inheritance or not to his wifes at his pleasure.

But

But a feoffment both onely barre the *Quitmes* entry, what it to his feoffment the *Baron* almes warrantie, what it to his warrantie affes, what it he tenuie a fine & *Glocester*,

ca. 3. anno 6. Ed. 1. fo.

It *Tenant* by the *Courteise* alien, &c. his tenne shall not be barre in a *Writ* of *Mortdancer* by the deed of his father, from whom none heritage is descended, to do, man and receive the mothers land, although his father charter be with warrantie for him and his heires: But if land descend to him de part son pere, he shall be forreloste, for the value of so much as is descended.

After the fathers death, and heritage descend from the father, the *Tenant* shall recover against him of the mothers seisin by a *Writ* of *Indgement* out of the *Tollers*, &c. which the *Judges* beforse whom the plea was pleaded, shall grant to recover the warrantie, as hath bene accorded in other cases where the *Writ* recoverers a *Writ* descend from him upon whose deed he is bound, &c.

And in like sort, the *Time* of the tenne shall recover by *Writ* of *Continuance*, alle, or befall. In like manner the *Writ*mes here shall not be barre after the death of his father and mother to demand by *Writ* of *entry*, his mothers heritage, which his father in her life time aliened don and sine est le vic in court le roy.

SECT. XVII.

Mr. Littletons *Glosse* upon the Statute of *Glocester*.

Before the Statute (sath 39. *Littleton*) it *Tenant* by the *Courteise* did alien, &c. in fee with warrantie, onely this, after his decease, should barre the *Heire*: for this was a collateral warrantie beforse the Statute. Hence the

Statute it is cleere, that whether tenant by the Courtesse, or tenant in the right of his wife, doe alien the wiuves heiritage or marriage by his deede in pais, which warrantie leauing none assets, it is no barre to the heyze: But what if the Baron alien by fine leuied in the Kings court, with warrantie, shall this barre the heyze without any thing descended in value?

Newton Chief Justice of the Common place, thought it should by implication of words; for hee took dont nul fine, &c. to be a generall exception, and therefore this alienation by fine with warrant to remaine a collator all warrantie, as it was at Common Law.

But Littleton giueth his voyce with them of contrary opinion which thought it an obscure exposition to permit irrevocable alienation by Tenant in droit sa feme onely by his warranting concord without assets when the Statute hath in the beginning taken it expressly from tenant by the Courtesse alienating by Feoffement. Nul fine therefore, is as much to say, nul loyall fine rightfully leuied, viz. a fine leuied by Baron and feme, for it is true that befoze this Statute was made (and somewhat after it too) there was no estate taile come into England. A fine might then well and rightfully haue bene leuied by Baron & feme, the Barons heire be bound with warrantie, and the wiuves heire barred for euer: But now since the Statute if Baron and feme had made a feoffement in fee by deede in the Countrey, the womans heyze after decease of them both may haue a Writ of entry, sur cui in vi-ra, for all the husbands warranty. And this Statute of Glocestre, had left a fine no moze force then a feoffement here, if the small exception had not bene; for when it comes with inlemente & in welsme le manner giuing a writ of entry to auoyd the alienation made by the father in the mothers life time, this might be extended perhaps to a fine leuied by them both, for where the Baron and feme doth alien by fine, its true that the Baron doth alien:

Let therefore a fine leuied by Baron and some should be thought to be infeslbed, this exception of a fine was necessary, and it is to be intended of a fine loyall: for when the Iustices know once that tenant in right of his wife, commeth to leuie a fine onely in his owne name they will not receiue it.

SECT. XXI.

Dyers Exposition.

Littleton in this discourse seemeth to speake, as if hee tooke a warrant without assets made by tenant per Courtisie, or iure vxoris, to bee no collaterall warrantie now a dayes, whereat I maruell. A man may haue a beynne cut vnder his eare, that shall disable him from performing a great part of manhood, but he shall be a man notwithstanding, and a horse may be so foundred that he shall neither well goe or stand, and yet a horse still: So this kinde of warrantie gelt or foundred by Statute remaines collaterall nomine & specie, Dyer is so fo. 148. at Common Law (saith he) garrantie by tenant per le courtisie was collaterall & vncore est come ieo intend: But it is no barre in Mortdancester, aiel or couffnage, without assets in fee simple descended: e & facto, whereas before the Statute it was brought to bee intended and supposed, and this Statute is taken strictly: for the law at this day is come ieo intend, if the heyre doe not enter vpon the aliene of his father in vita patris, that he shall be bound and barred of his entry by the warrantie.

If the father be disseised, and release with warrantie, the heyre shall be barred without assets both of entry and action also, for this is none alienation by tenant by the Courtisie. In the last point of the Statute of Gloucester for alienation by the husband, in vita vxoris, &c. if he alien
the

the purchase of his wife with warranty: this is out of the Statute, for heritage or marriage is not intended purchase by her.

So much my Lord Dyer, note that both he and Littleton stand upon the word Marriage, which indeed is not in the letter of the Statute.

SECT. XXII.

The Statute of 32.H. 8.ca.28.

WHe have passed the pillars, not of Hercules but of Littleton in the Husbands power ouer his wiues Inheritance, now let vs looke plus ultra with Columbus.

King Henry the eight and the Parliament ordained in the yeare aboue specified, That all Leases of Mannors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments hereafter to be made by Indenture sealed for yeares or for life, by any person or persons being of the age of one and twenty yeares and seised in fee-simple or fee-taile, in the right of themselves, their Churches or wiues, or jointly with their wiues of any estate of Inheritance made befoze Coverture or after, shall be good, &c. against the Lessors, their wiues heires and Successors, &c. according to the estate comprised in such Indenture of lease, in like manner and forme, as if the Lessors and euery of them at time of the Lease making, had beene seised in pure fee-simple to her owne onely uses: prouiso, that this act extend not to Leases made of Mannors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, being in the hands of any fermor or fermors by vertue of any old Lease, vnlesse the old Lease be expired, surrendered, or ended within one yeare next after making of the new Lease, nor shall extend to any grantee of reversion, &c. nor to

any estate of any shannoz, and, elements, &c. which hath not bene commonly let to terme or occupied by sermons by space of 20. yeares next before such estate, not any estate made without impeachment of waste, nor to any estate for above 21. yeares of the wastes at the most from the day of the making thereof. And upon every such estate there shall be reserved yearly to the lessors, the heirs and successors to whom the estate should have come after the lessors death, if such estate had not bene made, or to whom the reversion shall appertaine so much as moze, annuall ferme or rent as hath bene most accustomedly paid & received, &c. within twenty yeares next before the same were made. And every person to whom the reversion shall appertaine after the death of such estate, as of the same, shall have such remedies & advantages to all intents, purposes, the lessors, their executors & assigns, as the lessors might have had: So that if the lessors were seized in the speciall estate, &c. the time of yeare of that speciall estate, shall have the reversion, rent and services, &c.

Provido, that the wife bee made party to every lease made by her husband of any shannoz, and, elements, &c. and that every such estate be by her consent, and she be in the same, and the husband and wife together, and that the reversion be reserved to the husband and wife, and that the husband shall not in any wise alienate, mortgage, charge, or any other such thing, without the consent of the husband and wife, but the rent shall remaine as the same was, and the husband have done in such estate, as he had done, and the wife shall have the same, as she had, and the husband shall not be bound to pay any thing longer than the husband and wife, &c. And that the husband shall not in any wise alienate, mortgage, charge, or any other such thing, without the consent of the husband and wife, but the rent shall remaine as the same was, and the husband have done in such estate, as he had done, and the wife shall have the same, as she had, and the husband shall not be bound to pay any thing longer than the husband and wife, &c.

But

ting to their Church or Vicarage: And it is further enacted that all Leases made within threē yeares befoze the twelfth of Appill in the 31. yeare of H. 8. made by Indenture sealed by person or persons of full age, of whole meazure, not unlatowfully coated, nor vnder Couert Baron, for terme of yeares, of any Mannors, Lands, tenements, or Hereditaments, whereof the Lessor or Lessors were seized in any estate of Inheritance, to their onely vse at the time of their Lease-making, and whereof the Lessees, their executors or assigns at time of this act making, were in possession by vertue of the Lease, no cause of re-entry or forfeiture being had or made, shall be good and effectuell in law against the Lessor, their heyres and successors according to the covenants and agrēments specified in the Indenture, &c. so that there be reserved to the Lessors their heyres, successors, &c. as much yearely rent as was at any time payd within 20. yeares befoze making of any such lease, or else the Leases to be of none other effect then they were of befoze this act.

And moreover it is ordained that no fine, feoffment, act or acts to be made, suffered, or done by the husband onely of any Mannors, Lands, &c. being the Inheritance or freehold of the wife during Couerture betweene them, shall in any wise be, or make any discontinuance or be preiudiciall to the said wife or her heyres, or to such as shall claime right, title or interest by her death: But that shee or her heyres, or they to whom such right or title shall appertaine, after her decease shall and may lawfully enter into such Mannors, Lands, &c. any such fine, feoffment or other act notwithstanding, except fines onely leuied by Baron and Bench, wherunto the wife is partie and a partie. Provided that this clause extend not to giue any liberty to any wife or her heyres to auoid any Lease hereafter to be made of any her Inheritance by her husband and her selfe for 21. yeares or vnder, or for threē liues at the most, whereupon yearely rent shall be reserved vt supra: Provided also that

this act extend not to any Lease heretofore made by Ecclesiastical or other person by Couent or Common-seale, which Lease is made voyd by act of Parliament, nor to make good any Lease of any Ecclesiastical person made by couent, seale or otherwise, or of any other person attained of treason, &c.

SECT. XXIII.

The Exposition.

THIS Law in the first part is affirmatiue, or I may say leasatiue, a leasing Law or Statute, Tenant in fee-simple, iure meo suo nothing restrained by it: No more is Tenant iure vxoris, but he may make a Lease for yeares, to continue till the last hoiver of Plares great yeare, or till King Arthur come againe (for all this Statute) for no greater rent then thre bundle of bulrushes, as well as he might before although her land were neuer leased before, since Noahs flood, and such a Lease shall bind him during Couerture.

But if the Husband make a Lease by paroll or by poll-deede, or by Indenture, and the wife not partie, or if the Land were not in former times demised, or if the ancient rent or more be not referued, then as the earth stayeth in the worldos center vpon nothing but Gods prouidence and permission, the Demise leaneth vpon no Statute, but hangeth at the wiuens courtesse, ponderibus librata suis, as at Common Law.

SECT. XXIV.

Law before the Statute.

How that was, y^e shall perceiue by the cases followi^{ng} ; If before the Statute of quia emptores, tenant in fee, iure vxoris infeoffed a stranger expressing no tenure, the feoffee was to hold of the Baron by such seruices as he and the Wife held by of the Lord Paramount. If the Baron and Feme had toynd in a feoffement to hold of the Baron, &c. th^e expressed tenure had bene voyd, and the feoffee must haue held of them both by such seruices as they held ouer, &c.

If the Baron in this case had died, and the Wife accepted the rent in her viduity, this acceptance here barred her for ever from auoyding the feoffement by Writt of cui in vita. If Tenant iure vxoris and his Wife, had made a feoffement to hold of the Wife, the feoffoz should haue held of them both, and if the Wife had died, the feoffoz was to hold of the Baron till the feoffement were auoyded by sur cui vta, Par. 126.

Againe, if before this Statute of 32. H. 8. Tenant in fee iure vxoris, and his wife had toynd in exchange for other lands in fee, and the exchange being executed, the Husband had dyed, now the Feme by entring in vpon the Land giuen her vpon the exchange, should be barred for ever from defeating the exchange. But if it had bene made by the Baron alone, she might haue defeated it notwithstanding her entrie, for that could giue no seisin by force of the exchange to her that was neither partie nor partie to it, Par. fo. 8.

And if a man seised in right of his Wife, &c. make a Lease for life rendring rent with a letter of Atturney to his Wife to make liuery, the Wife deliuer's seisin, the Baron dieth, she accepts the rent, she may haue a cui in vita by the

common Law, for the acceptance here maketh not the Lease good, because the livery which the wife made, was as servant to her Husband and only the act of the Baron, Par. 41. We haue concerning acceptances some plentiful Learning, 21. H. 6. fo. 24. Also saith there, That if Lessee for yeares bee in arrearage of rent and die, his Executors shall pay the arrearages if they occupie the ferme, contra, if they waive possession, and so if a Lease for life be made to Baron and feme, the Baron commits waste and dies, the wife shall be subiect to an action for waste done by the husband if she occupie the land; contra, if she waive the possession, and by Paston in the end of the case, if Baron seized in uxoris, make a lease for life of the land, and die, the wife can haue no action of waste, for she was not partie to the lease, & ex hoc sequitur, that a woman upon acceptance of rent of lease for yeares made by her husband without being her selfe a partie, is not bound, but shee may enter: And albeit the lease were for life, yet acceptance barreth not a cui in vita. if she were not partie; 26. H. 3. fo. 2. per curiam, if Baron and feme sell the Wives land, make feoffment, and the Wendé by the Indenture of sale covenants to pay ten pounds annually to the Baron and feme during their lines, if the Baron die and the feme accept the ten pounds, this is no bar in curio vita, no moze then acceptance of rent after Marriage dissolved, where the Baron a per ley made a feoffment of lease.

But acceptance of rent, &c. where they both made a feoffment of lease for life is a barre of all actions. I will hunt for no farre fetcht learning of acceptances; but this I finde, if a man lease his land to I. S. to hold at will by certaine rent, none acceptance of the rent here, after the Leassors death can barre the Heysse of entrie, or make any affirmance of the lease, for acceptance can neither make good a lease determined by entry, or a lease already void without entry by the lessors death.

And he that leaseth to hold at will endeth that will when he

he endeth his life: but a lease for yeares by an Abbot or Tenant in taile, is not by their death presently void, but voydable, and the successour or Issue by acceptance of the rent affirms the Lease; So doth the Feme affirme the Lease made for yeares, by her husband of her Land, by acceptance when she is become sole: and see Dyer, 5. Mar. 159. by the opinion of three Justices, Dyer, Stamford and Browne, if Baron and Feme had made a Lease by Indenture rendering rent, and the Baron before rent day die, and the Feme before the day take another husband, who accepts the rent and dies, this acceptance shall bind the Wife: but note and take with you this peculiar rule, where acceptance binds her that she be a partie to the Lease, and that by writing, for if a man makes a Lease for yeares without deed, of land, which he holdeth in right of his wife, this is more void towards the wife, so soone as the Husband is dead, and acceptance of the rent is to no purpose, Plow. 431. per Bromley.

Againe 9. H. 6. If tenant in Fee iure uxoris make a Lease for yeares and the wife dieth, the Lessee shall pay the rent untill the Wives heire enter, for so long there is a continuance of a Fermoire by force of the Lease; but none avoidey lyeth for the Husband, because he hath no reuerfion. And an action of trespassse vi & armis may be against him, but he cannot have action of debt for the rent.

But to come home to the very brinke of the Statute, note (saith Dyer) That the common opinion amongst all Justices at this day is, If Baron and Feme make a Lease for terme of yeares, before the Statute of 32. Hen. 8. by Paroll reserving rent to them both, if the wife when shee is become sole, accept the rent at the Fermors hands, this binds her not from avoyding the Lease, if it were not by Indenture, for her assent was requisite at the beginning, and that ought to have appeared by deed Dyer, 1. Mar. fo. 91. The same Learning is, 4. Mar. fol. 146.

When a Feme Couert departs from her Land the intent, consideration and cause ought to be expressed in scripture to proue her consent to the whole Hammor; for it is agreed for Law, That if befoze the Statute, Baron and Feme had made a Lease by paroll of the Wives Land for terme of yeares, rendering rent, though after the Barons death she had accepted the rent, yet she might out the Tenant, because her pruitie to the Lease appears not per e-script; likewise if a feme couert suffer a recovery or fine of her Land, it shall be intended by Law to be to her alone use, if there appeare none other intent expressly by Writing.

And none auerment shall be taken of intent or consideration in such Case other then the Indenture specifies.

SECT. XXV.

Observations upon the very Statute.

I have shewed what strength a Demise or Lease for yeares made of the Wives Land by Baron and Feme, or by the Baron onely was of befoze the Statute, and is of being made since the Statute without the appointed circumstance and solemnitie: Now a little to the very Statute; As I said befoze, the ordinance is that Leases shall be good, &c. But not directly that any terme shall be void, though void of strength by this Statute they may be many wayes, as appears by the proviso.

Note that the forerunning Lease, Demise or occupation by fermors must bee derived from one that had Inheritance (for if at the end of a primitive Lease made by the Lord of whom the Tenancie is holden, or by the Kings grantee or commitee of wardship, or by tenant in Dower, or by Tenant per le Courtesie, some of which may by good possi-

possibilitie haue had power to make Leases by space of twice twenty yeares, a tenant in taylor makes a Lease, this succeeding demise hath no vertue or ingredience of the Statute though it seeme to haue good correspondencie with it; And it is doubted whether a Feme continued twenty yeares by the Donors demise, be sufficient or no, to make rone for a new Lease.

This for ought I perceiue is by a prudent interpretation of the Constitution rather vpon equitie and intent, then vpon the Text, tenants in fee-simple or tailed which transmit their possession to their deere offspring, will not make Leases to any great disadvantage of any of their owne babes or blood, and therefore their Leases may well be imitated.

But like enough it is that Tenant per le courtseie, or in Dowry, or in right of his owne or in another mans Seignorie, may Lease away their estate, for a proud fine and a little rent: Ray v^e may be sure, that if they might set the example, they should be gotten to make Leases for euer vntill annuall, and small yearely income in hope that my young Master at his full age, should be content with the old rent, and a kennell of hounds: King Henric and the Parliament meaning was not therefore, that their Leases should be any patternes for reseruatiou of rent by Tenant in Tails, or as I suppose, in the right of his Wife. If Baron and Feme make a Lease by Indenture for twenty yeares to commence at Michaelmas it might seeme doubtful by the booke 7. & 8. Eliz. Dyer, 246. Whether it be a good Lease, by this Statute.

If Baron and Feme by their Indenture make a Lease to commence after the Wives death, I thinke this no good Lease, according to the Statute, for twenty one yeares ought to be from the making of the Lease, &c. If the Baron and Feme die, the Heire is not bound to accept the rent or allow the Lease. And though he doe accept it, if the

Land

Land were tailed, he may enter notwithstanding: vide 10. Eliz. Dyer, 279.

If Baron and feme make a Lease by Indenture, &c. for 31. yeares, quare, the Baron dying, whether this be a good Lease, for 21. yeares or no, I thinke it is not, but standeth merely at Common Law. For the first Prouiso of this act is that it shall not haue respect or extend to Leases made for above 21. yeares.

When King Henry the eight in 31. of his Reigne by Parliament had made voyd all Leases to bee made of Lands, which should after ward come to him, if any Leases former were in esse, or being, with prouiso viz if he which had an old vnerpired lease, tooke a new that he should hold for 21. yeares, from making of the new Lease, so that it exceeded not twenty one yeares, it was admitted in Palmestones Case, that such a Lease made for fifty yeares, was good for 21. Pl. 110.

And when Thomas Vmpton after (32. Henry the 8.) ca. 1 which gave power to Tenant per Chivalrie to deuise two parts of his land had deuised a whole mannoz in fee, before 34. Ed. 3. 5. Hen. 8. of explanation, which will by the said Statute of explanation, was referred to the Law, the deuise was adiudged good for two parts, contra Kelwais opinion, as you may see 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar. Dyer, 150. But these cases differ farre from the former as yee may finde by the comparing the Statutes: If after a Demise by Baron and feme for twenty shillings of vsuall rent, the husband release all his right, except twelue pence, &c. or grant that the Lessee shall hold dishonorable for waste, the Wife accepting twelue pence post mortem viri, may distraine for the rest notwithstanding, and haue an action of waste, Dyer 304.

Note, before this Statute was made the Count Bridgewater being tenant in taile, the remainder to Basser in taile, he bound himselfe in recognizance to the said Basser to make no alienation, grant, sale, conueyance or exchange, other

otherwise then for his owne life, it was a question after the statute, whereunto Balle and all men were partiss, whether the Earle might now make a lease for xj. yeares without forfeiture of his Recognizance, resolved by Bromely, Portman and Harris serjeants that he could not, but if hee did make such a lease, they thought that neither hee in remainder or the donour should euer auoid it by any dying sans issue, 33 H. 8. f. 49. in Dyer, who concludeth and so shall the statute be expounded, for so was the intent, a meaning of the makers, yet the text hath no word of donours, or of them in remainder, I heare that law is taken now to bee cleane contrary in the last point viz, that remainders and reuerfions are freed from this act, and I beleue it the rather because 34. H. 8. ca. 10. that frustrateth fained recoveries against tenant in taylor, where the King is in reuerfion or remainder, in the prouision for strength of leases, made according to the Statute, is only against the Heire or heires of tenant in taile, &c.

The last part of the Statute.

SECT. XXVI.

The Last part of the Statute is negative against discontinuance, which how farre it preuailed before or after the act, the former instructions, with the act it selfe, doe put in some cleerenesse. But a case or two will make it more plaine, Amy Townsend seised of a Mannor in taylor, take a husband, the husband made a feoffment, 29. of H. 8. to diuers persons in fee, to the vse of himselfe and his wife for life of them two, with remainders of vse ouer. After this Statute made, Amy and her husband made a Lease for 21. yeares of part of this Mannor, according to this act of 32. H. 8. Amy died first, then her husband died, the question is, whether Amy were remitted to her former estate saile by vertue of 27. H. 8. ca. 10. and so the Lease good, it was

was argued on the one part, that reudon of the possession by the statute 27. &c. was of effect alone with their seoffment, and because this possession was regained without either fozt oꝝ folly in the wife, whose agrément whether she would oꝝ no, was included in her husbands agrément during Couerture, she must needs when Couerture was dissolved, till disclaimer, oꝝ some act done to the contrarie be adiudged in possession, there was then no tenant against whom to bzing her *cui in vita*, if she should not bzing her *cui in vita* to purge the first wrong, she must needs be remitted, if she were remitted, this cause must needs be good. And although the Statute of 27. settle possessions according to qualitie and quantitie of the vse, yet it someth not that so it shall continue, but they may change by a former ancient right, foꝝ the Act being affirmatiue takes not the Common Lawes operation in remitters: besides that, it hath an expresse sauing of eygne right: further, if that the wife should not be remitted, this inconuenience followeth, the Baron might charge the Wives inheritance with a rent, to the whole yearly value, oꝝ be bound in a Statute merchant, &c. and then making a seoffment to his wifes vse, shee should hold the land charged after his death. To this it was answered on the other part, that the seoffment at the time thereof made a discontinuance, which puts Amy to her *cui in vita*, which because she hath not used, but is come to possession onely by foꝝce of 27. &c. she must take it onely by the manner, oꝝder, and limitation of the same Statute, Couerture, oꝝ infancie, being no whit materiall, because the Statute hath none exception. The words are in manner, forme, qualitie and condition of the vse, &c. and because this was a new Constitution of that which was not at the Common Law, it hath not the foꝝce of a negatiue implying in oulauer manner then is therein described: Amy is therefore a toynt purchaser with her husband in estate foꝝ life, and not in oꝝ by descent of estate taile: Now to say that her right and estate should change
by

by silent operation of the Law after shee was repossessed, that cannot be, for the whole entry is tolled, and if she be not remitted by her first possession and repossessall, she is neuer remitted.

If a Disseisor make feoffment to the vse of the Disseisor, and after the Disseisor enter, he shall be remitted, but before his entry he shall not be remitted, for he shall be adjudged in possession by vertue of the Statute, but so soone as hee entreteth he is remitted, for his entry was neuer tolled: But Amy Townsends entry was cleane taken away, by the discontinuance, &c. further if she should be remitted by the Statute of 27. the remainders should be all destroyed contrary to the text of the same Statute. And to the inconueniencie alleadged, if she shall not be remitted shee shall hold incombred with the charges of her Husband, that is none at all, for Amy after her husbands death might haue disagreed and relinquished the vse with possession annexed to it, by bringing a cui in vita, against him next in remainder; for in him by such disagreement or vser of action had the remainder vested, as though the woman had bene a Honke or dead person in Law, or neuer named in the limitation: If the vse had bene to Amy Townsend in fee, she might haue brought her cui in vita against the feoffor or his heyre, by which they shall be Tenants to her action, and so might the incumbrance haue bene auoyded; for when a feoffment is to the vse of one which refuseth the vse, it shall be in effect as if the vse had bene limited to Pauls Steple or to Charing Croffe, all falling or reflecting because the feoffor hath no recompence or consideration to his vse, and hee shall be Tenant to euery Precelle: It was further agreed, that as the Cause fell out, Amy Townsend could not be remitted, though her possession had returned by refoffment at the Common Law, because Sir Roger Townsend her Husband outliued her, for 21. Ed. 3 the Case is, Baron made a feoffment, the feoffee refoffed the Baron and feme and heyses of the wife,

the.

the woman dyed, the Heyze entred, the Baron brought an Assise, which was iudged maintainable: for whilst the Baron liued he was tenant to the heyzes action; And the the Iudgement was, that Amy Townsend was neuer remitted; the reason was indeed because there is nothing in the Statute of 27. to make a remitter: for the clause of saving of Writs, Titles and Actions, is of such right, &c. as was before the Statute, and not of any right, title, or action, risen since or after it: Now note that as a Lease made for twenty yeares by Baron and some Tenants for life binds not any remainder by the Statute, which speaketh onely that Leases made by Tenants of Inheritance, shall binde heyzes and Successors, so I would inferre that if the Leasors inheritance be determined, whether it were iure uxoris in taile or otherwise in taile, the remainder must be free from the Statute: But note that the point which made me choose this case for illustration of the Statute, is this, Amy Townsend was iudged not remitted, because she had no title of entry, but onely by the 27, &c. of bles, and therefore she must needs claime her possession, according to the ble.

But put Case the Feoffment had bene since the Statute of 32. the Law would then haue iudged a remitter; for by Littleton, where any persons entry is congeable, which taketh estate for life or in fee, it is a remitter, if the reprotall be not by Indenture, or record, or some matter of estoppel, for alwayes where there is a double right or title, the Law must iudge for the best, as well in the entry as in the possession, and an Indenture made by Baron and some is none estoppel to the Wife by the Common Law.

Concerning the Case 21. Ed. 3. Wilby which gaue iudgement, thought the Barons advantage a hinderance to the Remitter, yet if he died the wife should be remitted: But if you looke, Brooke remitter 21. and 41. ye shall finde that
the

the feme was maintenant remitted though to save the husbands advantage of warranty, they would not so iudge it, quod mirum saith Brooke, and quære quia contrarium a cco iour,

SECT. XXVII.

Whether acceptance or taciturnity may not take away an entry at this day.

NO fine, feoffment or other act done by the husband onely shall make any discontinuance or be prejudiciall to the wife, but that she may enter, &c. what if Baron and feme make a feoffment or Lease for life, by soleme indentures with livery and seisin cleere, this takes not away at this day the wifes entry after Couerture ended. But admit when shee is a widdow, shee refuseth to enter and accept payment of rent or performance of covenants: is not now both her entry, and her action gone also, even as in case of an Infant, which makes such a feoffment or Lease, and accepts the rent when he is of full age: The question must be answered out of the Statute, and in mine opinion there is nothing in it to ayde a woman after such ratification by acceptance volenti non fit iniuria, nec inuitis confirmantur beneficia. A Lease by Baron & Feme per Indenture is not voyd presently by the Barons death. But where as befoze she was bound to suit and action, shee may now enter by the Statute, yet it compels her not to enter, neither casteth any freehold upon her. In like manner if the Baron alone alien his Wives Land by fine with proclamation, the Wife may enter by force of this Statute, but per opinionem totius curiæ Ed. 6. Dyer fo. 72. If she suffer five yeares to passe and expire without entry or benefit of action she and her heyses shall be barred for ever, for this

Statute of 32. though it limit no time for the womans entry, yet it speaketh nothing of fines with proclamation, and therefore it takes not the generall Law made 4. Hen. 7. cap. 24. of fines, with proclamation. And see Sir Ed. Cokes 8. Rep. fo. 72. in Grenlies case.

SECT. XXVIII.

Of Fines.

So further the case 18. Eliz. Dyer 351. Land holden in Socage was giuen to a man and his wife in taile, the remainder in fee to the Barons right heyras, the Baron alone leuied a fine with proclamation to his owne vse, and afterward by his last will and Testament in writing, bequeith the Land to his wife for life, the remainder ouer to a Stranger vpon condition to pay certaine rent annually out of the land with Clause of distresse, &c. the Baron died, the wife entering and claiming estate onely for life paid rent according to the will and died. Now the question is, whether the Issue in taile or Denisee of the remainder should haue this Land, Ex per iudicium curie. Partly because his mother had waied the estate taile, and although thee had not done so, yet because he could not conuey his title and descent, but aswell as heyre to his father as to his mother, the fine with proclamations leuied onely by his father barres him: So farre goeth the Booke. And you may obserue, that it barres the wife if the will.

See also 5. Eliz. 224 in Dyer, the husband leuied a fine with proclamations of his owne land, and after five yeares died, his widow continuing sole, of full age, whole memoery, out of prison, within compasse of the foure Seas, and doth not make any demand or claime of dower, within 5. yeeres after her husbands death (quere if he which pleadeth in barre

barre of Dowter, ought expressly to auerre this:) The questi-
on was, if the were barred of Dowter, Dyer telleth vs cer-
mino Hillarij 4. H. 8. rotulo 344. such a barre pleaded
was admitted good, for the ground of Dowter was the Hus-
bands seisin, and the action giuen by his death. So that it
is within the second sauing of 4. H. 7. which p̄serueth to
all which are not parties pursuit of right growne after the
fine, by or vpon cause befoze the fine, so that they take it
within fine yeares.

In Plowden fo. 373. Justice Dyer arguing Scowell and
the Lord Zouches case, affirms the learning which I
haue recited out of his owne booke: But Plowden inserts
his note, that he takes the Law to be otherwise, and that a
woman is bound to no time of her Dowter, after such a fine,
for (saith he) the ayne of 4. H. 7. as against future doctois is
wholly against such rights, as either suffered wrong befoze
the fine, or by the fine, and in this case of Dowter, the title
is all after the fine, and standeth well in accoꝝd with it, not
touchd by the Statute, the woman therefore may demand
when she listeth.

So if there be a cessor begun, a yeare befoze a fine with
proclamations continued a yeare after, the Lord is not re-
streynd at the end of 5. or 15. yeares to bring a cessauir.
so he saith likewise, if a mortgage be disseised, a fine leuied
by the Disseisor with Proclamations passed, yet the mor-
gager paying his mony to the Mortgagee may at any time,
within 5. yeares or more, after the payment re-enter. When
Giants fight, Dignities may not part them: but howsoe-
uer some uncertaintie arise in every corner of the Law, this
is here certaine, that a fine leuied by the husband onely, of
his owne land, tolleth not the wifes action of Dowter, if she
come in time: And a fine so leuied, by him, of the wifes
Land, taketh not away her reasonable entry; but the gulfe
that swalled by entrie, action right, and all possibility of re-
ducement by Law is a fine lawfully leuied by baron and
feme, where (forsooth) because a woman is examined by

a Justice or one that hath a *Dedimus potestatem*, &c. and acknowledgeth her free consent and agreement, what can not men get wiues to doe if they list, she shall be barred and soz euer excluded of a great many acres of ground, soz a few kisses and a gay gowne, That is a fine *finem litibus* imponens, soz till it be done and dispatcht, the pooze woman can haue no quiet her husband keeps such a tawling.

SECT. XXIX.

Of common recoveries.

As soz trickes of Common recoveries I perceiue not how that can be greatly preiudiciall to women: soz first if a man will suffer a fained recovery of his owne Land to defeate his wiues Dower, he may falsifie it, &c. As the *Eicione firmæ per Eare* against Snow, Plowd. fo. 515. the baron there being tenant in taile, his wife hauing nothing in the Land, he and his wife suffered a common recovery with vouches to his owne vse, &c. the opinion of all the Justices was, that though the woman surruied, yet the estate taile shal be barred, soz it was found precisely by verdict that the wife had no interest in the Inheritance: The baron therefore, which alone lost estate taile by the recovery, might recover alone estate taile in value. But as soz the wife, no man can say what estate shee had; noz whether she should haue a *quod ei doforceat*, or a *Writ* of right, if she had lost the land by default, so a *Writ* of *right* lost by the recovery, nothing or no man can tell what her recompence in value must be: She was named (said the Justices) vpon intent to barre her of Dower, and such is the meaning of husbands which wil haue their wiues named in such recoveries: but cleere the estate taile is barred, if in this case the wife might sue execution in value against the vouches.

vouché by estoppel, yet the issue in taile should not be concluded by the act of his father, but he might oust her of that which she had so recovered in value, &c. see Sir E. Cokes 10. Rep. 43. a in Mary Porringtons ca. that the vsage hath ben alwayes vpon common recoveries against Baron and Feme to examine the wife, and to grant a *de dimis potestatem*, to take vpon her examination her Conulance as in case of a Fine.

But let the case be, Tenant iure vxoris is agreed with Iohn a Stile to suffer a recovery of his wiues Lands to certaine vses comprized in Indentures betwixt them two, a Writ of entry in the post is brought against the Baron and Feme, which appeare in person or by Atturney, calling to warranty the common vouché, a man well woorth a couple of new rosted egges, which re-enters into warrantie, When after declaration and imparlance, at the day of the appearance shall the demandant recover against Baron and Feme, and they in right of the Wife shall recover against the Vouchée of such lands as he hath, or is like to haue when time hath a hairy crowne: shall this recovery or possibility of vnlkely recovery in value binde the wife when the Baron is dead whether she will or no: by Brooks nouell cases, 23. H. 8. pl. 37. it seemes that such a recovery did then bind the wife to: but without examination mee thinks it should not bind the wife: The Statute of 32. is that none Act of the Barons shall make discontinuance, &c. except onely a Fine by Baron and Feme, Ergo such a recovery notwithstanding though it be executed the wife may enter. See 23. Eliz. cap. 3. and there is a sauing to euery Feme couert or her heyres her Writ of erro2 to be sued within 7. yeares after she become sole, for reuerking of Fines and recoveries past, if they must be reuerfed by erro2, it seemes without erro2, they were very dangerous. For a rule to conclude withall, take this, That wheresoener the Baron doth any thing out of Court, which thing he and his Wife were compellable to doe, it shall be deemed and con-

Armed to be the act of both of them, as if the Baron seized in right of his Wife, or ioyntly with his wife, assigne Dowre to another woman, it bindeth, and so granting of a rent for equality of partition and atturment by the Baron alone, bindes the Wife.

SECT. XXX.

Of Ioyntures.

I Will enter no farther into the streame of Fines and recoverie they require a cunning Swimmer: And a short Discourse cannot possibly make any plaine discouery of them; otherwise this place would haue bozne the Doctrine fitly about making of ioyntures, for all husbands are not so unkinde or untruly as to endamage their Wives by alienation of their Lands: but contrariwise the greatest part of honest, wise and sober men, are of themselves careful to purchase somewhat for their Wives, if they be not, yet they stand sometimes bound by the womans parents to make their Wives some Ioynture.

If husbands, Father, Mother and all would be tumind, full of prouision in this point, yet very many of our English women haue with their singular vertue, so much wisdom of their owne, as to foresee for themselves, and discern the difference betwene that which wee call Dowre and Ioynture: Ioyntures saith Dyer 4. M. fo. 148, are made for the most part to Baron and Feme ioyntly, or to the Feme onely, this also is comprehended vnder the terme Ioynture before Marriage or after, for sustentation of the charge and necessities of Espousalls; and they are made causa matrimonij & gratis, without the consideration of money, bargain or any thing sauing for loue and affection of the Baron or his ancestozs, and these Ioyntures are a present possession: But Dowre must be carried for till the
 Wif.

Husband be dead: It must be demanded, sometime sued, soz sometime neither with suit oz demand obtained. Againe, Dower was subject to forfeiture in times past, by felony done and proued in the Baron by the Barons treason, by the Wives elopement, and euery question in the validitie of Marriage maketh a scruple of Dower, all which inconueniences being wisely foresene, women did learne to be come ioynt purchasors with their husbands of such estates, as would auoid all weathers, and a good while they did enioy Ioyntures and Dowers after their Husbands were dead: against which the Statute of 27. H. 8. of vles, oz beineth as followeth.

SECT. XXXI.

A part of 27. H. 8. ca. 10.

IT is provided, &c. that where any persons haue purchased oz haue estate of lands, &c. made to them and their Wives, and to the heyres of the Husband, oz to the Husband and wife, and the heyres of their two bodies, oz to the heyres of one of their bodies, oz to the husband and wife for terme of their liues, oz for the life of the wife, oz where any such estate hath bene oz shall be made, to any husband and his wife oz to other persons their heyres and assignes to the vse and behoofe of the said husband and wife, oz to the vse of the wife for the ioynture of the wife, that in euery such case the woman hauing such a Ioynture, &c. shall not claime any Dower of the residue of any Hereditaments that were her Husbands, by whom she had such a Ioynture, oz make any demand thereof against the Tenants of the said lands, &c. provided that if any woman be lawfully expelled oz euicted from her said Ioynture oz from any part thereof without fraud oz Couen, by lawfull entry, action oz discontinuance of her Husband, that euery such woman shall

be endowed of as much of the reſidue of her ſubſtance he
 ſettlements as ſhe ſhould or beſtowed ſhall a-
 mount or extend unto: It ſhould be nothing in this ac-
 ceſſion to hurt or prejudice any woman heretofore mar-
 ried, concerning her right, title, or intereſt or poſſeſſion
 which ſhe may claim or pretend to have for her ſonnet
 or Dowry in any ſtate, or of her late huſband being
 now diſſeſſed: It ſhould alſo, that if any wife have or
 hereafter ſhall have any ſtates, ſettlements, or heredita-
 ments unto her given or ſettled after her deceaſe
 or of her life, or otherwiſe in ſonnet (except the ſtate
 ſhould be made to her by way of ſettlement, and the wife
 after that ſonnet to outlive her huſband, in whole time
 the ſonnet was made, that the wife ſhall ſurvive ſhall
 and may at her pleaſure receive the ſame appointed or al-
 lowed in ſonnet, and thereupon have, demand and take
 her Dowry by writ or otherwiſe, according to the Com-
 mon Law.

SECT. XXXII.

The Expiſion.

The ſtill obſervation is that no eſtate gained by mar-
 riage of conſiſtion, ſhall be deemed a purchaſement with-
 in this ſtatute, or be deemed to be made pro ſonnet:
 But the ſtatute muſt be intended of true and ſubſtantial
 eſtates. Therefore if an owner or tenant of certain land
 make anſwer to ſonnet and ſettle in an action of ſale, or
 if he pay a part of them, as if they were ſettled of the re-
 main, or if he buy a good or ſervice, againſt them as if
 he had none other than a particular eſtate; though theſe
 things be purchaſed for ſonnet, yet they ſhall be not a
 purchaſement from right or demand of Dowry: It ſhall be ſuch
 as ſhall be made, as ſhall be a ſonnet, as ſhall be a ſonnet

leaseth to his Companion, or such as goe to enlarge an estate, as where he in reuerſion releaseth to his particular Tenant, may well make and accompliſh a Joynture: but ſuch Releaſes as worke no moze but vnmitter le droit, as where he that is diſſeiſed by Baron and ſeme, releaseth to the woman the diſſeiſerelle, &c. are no purchaſe intended within this Statute, for it is meant onely of ſuch purchaſes as the wife hath by gift either of her husband or of ſome other body, and not of ſuch eſtates, as ſhe hath gained by her owne wrong: likewise is it of releaſes that goe by way of extinguiſhment, as where a Diſſeiſor infeoffeth Baron and ſeme, and the Diſſeiſee releaseth to one of them, this is alike auailable to both, but this releaſe can make no Joynture, for there is no eſtate conveyed by it.

Per iuſticiarios, 6. Ed. 6. Brooke titles Dower, a deniſe of Land by the Husband to his Wife in his laſt will and teſtament, is no barre of Dower, for it is but a beneuolence and no Joynture: Yet in *H. Brograue* reading it was holden contrary, 5. Eliz. Dyer, 220. the caſe is, that a man ſeiſed of Lands in taile, and of ſome other in fee ſimple, holden in ſocage, deniſeth the third part of all his Lands to his wife for her life, in full recompence of all ſuch Joynture and Dower as ſhe ſhall haue or may claime, &c. the Wife without any aſſignment or vſer of Action of Dower entreteth after his death, into that which was holden in fee ſimple to a value of a third part of all, and the opinion was, ſhe had determined her election and barred her ſelfe of Dower.

But this Caſe maketh nothing to the variance or queſtion, becauſe the Legacie was with an expreſſe excluſion of Dower, &c. But ſee *Sir Ed. Cokes 4. Rep. fo 4. a. in Vernons caſe*, reſolved that unleſſe it be expreſſed in the will to bee for her Joynture it ſhall be no ſatisfaction for her Dower: See 38. H. 8. Dyer 61. William Whorewhod ſeiſed of Land, to the value of 360. pound, of which 60. pound was by ioynt purchaſe to him and his Wife during Couer-
ture,

*Q. l. 4. 4. a.
an.*

ture, devised, that his wife should have the third part of all his land during her life, with those Lands, which she had in Joynture, the assignement to be made by his executors, if it were not contrary to Law, this Widow refused her Joynture of 60. pound, and demand a third part of the whole inheritance; viz. 120. pound as her Legacie, with a third part of that which remained for her Dowry, viz. 80. pound: at last by agreement it was ordered and decreed in the Court of Wards, that she should have the Legacie, vt supra, and forty pound over for Dowry: This Case decideth the question, for it is against the latter opinion expresse, ideo quere. Brooke noteth also Dowry 69. that per Iusticiarios, if a man make his Wife joynt-purchaser with him after Couerture, of any estate of Franke Tenement, unless it be to him and his Wife and their Heires in fee-simple, it is a barre of Dowry if she agree to the Joynture post mortem viri, otherwise it is of fee-simple, for thereof the Statute saith nothing. But H. Brograve in his reading did maintaine for all the foresaid opinion, that where fee-simple is conveyed to a Feme for Joynture expressly, it is a good Joynture within compass of this Statute: for if estate in taile or for life be a good Joynture, and exclude Dowry by acceptance, &c. a fortiore, fee-simple shall barre. And see in Vernons case reported by Sir Ed. Coke 4. Rep. fo. 3. b. that the case in Brooke is mis-reported and the Lord Dyer is against it, and confuteth Brooks reasons of this opinion.

Hee relied also upon dame Dennis case, 8. Eliz. Dyer 248. An Indenture was made 36. Hen. 8. Betwixt Sir Maurice Dennis and Elizabeth Stratham, that in consideration of expected Marriage, and other things reasonable the said Sir Maurice and his heires, should from thenceforth stand seized of certaine Lands, &c. to the use of himselfe and his heires untill Marriage were had and solemnized, and then to the use and behoofe of the said Maurice and Elizabeth, and their heires after Marriage, Sir Maurice

rice dyed, entred into the Lands, and demanded Dowyer of his other Lands, it was a question whether this conueyance and matter, vt supra with auerment that it was for a Joynture, should barre her of Dowyer, Carline, Saunders, and Dyer were against the Dowyer by equitie of the Statute, which in the third prouiso is of Joyntures for terme of life or otherwise: Against them were Justice Browne and Whiddon, and they resembled this Statute to another of the 11. H. 7. ca. 20. which cannot be extended to fee simple, but is meant and expresse onely of estate for Life, or in taile seuerally or ioyntly with the Baron.

But Justice Dyer as it seemeth by *H. Brograu* vpon diligent conference with sage men of Law, did strongly adhere to his former opinion, that this conueyance with auerment made a good Joynture: See shall finde againe, 14. & 15. Eliz. he affirmeth for Law, that where fee simple is limited ouer to a Wife, or estate made to Baron and Feme in fee, it is auerable *pro iunctura*, if the conueyance be not expresse contrary: See a question for auerment, Dyer 226.

One that had an vse in fee of certaine Lands, to the value annuall of 100. pound, tooke a wife, 22. H. 8. and after spousals at request of his wiues friends and Parents, caused the feoffees to execute estate to him and his wife, and to the heires of himselfe of parcell of this Land to twenty pound value, &c. He then purchased other Lands, and after 27. dyed seised of all: The wife by taking rents and profits of the twenty pound land agreed to her estate therein, and afterward brought a Writ of Dowyer, *de terra parte residui omnium terrarum*, &c. because the Statute is expresse of Joynture, and the deed whereby estate was made to the baron and feme hath no mention of Joynture or Dowyer, quære, whether this matter generally alledged without auerment, that it was *pro iunctura*. vel *pro dote*, shall barre or no: See the Institutions of Sir Ed. Coke, fo. 36. much matter concerning Joynture.

In all conueyance or purchase for Joynture, vnlesse it be by fine, or common recouerie, he which makes the estate must be a person able to conuey &c. at the time of Joynture making, or else it is not good.

He must not therefore be non compos mentis, attainted of treason, an alien bozne, or vnder age; but the non-age of the Wife, is not materiall whether the Joynture be made, before Couerture or after, if she accept it, agreed at 99. Finches reading.

SECT. XXXII.

The Words, Land, Tenement or Hereditament.

LAnd is intended as well of pasture, meadow, woods, heath, &c. as of arable, and lands covered with water or surrounded is within the Statute: So is a Towne an Isle, &c. but vestra terra, or an upper Chamber cannot make a Joynture as Land.

Tenements assured in Joynture, may bee Adouansans, Rectozies, Windmills, an upper Chamber, a Seigniozy in Chivalrie, and a reuerfion sur estate pur vic, all coming within the meaning of the Statute.

As for a reuerfion vpon or after estate for yeares, it is rather in account of law, land, then a tenement: for the Franke Tenement, which is the principall, is as the present substance of the Land it selfe: And the reuerfion of either of these particular estates, if rent be reserved, may well be assigned for a Joynture.

Yea and whether rent be reserved or no vpon a Lease for yeares, it might be somewhat doubted whether the reuerfion be assignable for a Joynture, &c. because the Franke Tenement passeth presently, and a woman may haue an assise thereof.

But

But clere a nude reuerſion, ſur eſtate pur vie ſans rent, becauſe it is no preſent commoditie, cannot make a Joynture; yet if ſuch a reuerſion be aſſigned, and it turne to a poſſeſſion in the Huſbands life time, it may be a good Joynture by matter of ſubſequent Hereditament, within the Statute may be a rent charge granted to a woman ſor life, though it were neuer in eſſe beſore; or a rent reſerued vpon a Leaſe ſor life: But the Hereditament aſſigned muſt bee a proſit and commodity, or eſſe it is not aſſignable, &c, ſor homage or fealtie, ſhall not make any Joynture.

Rent payable every ſiue yeare may be aſſigned ſor Joynture, ſor is a proſit though it be not annuall. And an ancient kēperſhip of a Parke with a ſee belonging to it, may be appointed or aſſigned in Dowler.

But ſo is not a kēperſhip newly granted and ſans ſee, which is a charge, without gaine or vtility.

SECT. XXXIII.

Eſtates Tayle.

All eſtates taylor, are within the equitie or compaſſe of this bzanch of 27. and the ſozmes or ſpecies within the letter are but as patternes or examples of Joyntures. And therefore where an eſtate is limited to Baron and feme, and to the heyres Males of their bodies, or to them and the heyres Males or Females of the body of one of them, although this be an abridgement or amputation of one ſex, from the examples within the very Statute; yet it is a good Joynture.

There is a Caſe in pꝛooſe thereof, Dyer, 97. r. Marie the Duchoſſe of Somerſet was ioynt-purchaſer with her huſband of eſtate to them two, and to the heyres Males of her Huſbands body, betweene them begotten, which is none of

the

the five estates expressed in the Statute, but the Justices held cleare unless it were refused it excluded Dower.

So is it if estate be made to Baron and Feme, to them and the heyres Males which the Baron shall haue of the body of his wife, vel e conuerso. Or if the gift be to Baron and Feme, and thre heyres of their two Bodies, which is an estate determinable vpon death of the third Issue, or if it be to them and to the heyres de corpore, the some of both of them or of one of them all these estates limited for Joynture are good enough.

SECT. XXXV.

Estate for Life, &c.

THese twoords, Or for life of the Wife, are intendable as well for an estate made to the Wife onely during her life, as of an estate made ioyntly to Baron and Feme during the life of the Wife: Wherefore an estate made onely to the Wife for her life, or to the Baron for his life, with a remainder to the Wife for her life, is a good Joynture within meaning of the Statute; yet it seemeth not to agree with the nature of a Joynture by the etimology of the word, and the Statute speaketh not of any remainder, Dyer 24. & 15. Eliz. fol. 387. agreeth and saith that Joyntures may bee conditionall, which if the Wife accept after the husbands death, she shall be barred of Dower, as where the condition is, that shee shall keepe her selfe vnmarrried, and, saith he, a Conueyance to a wife during her life in remainder, after the immediate death of her Husband, vpon condition reasonable may well bee intended *pro iunctura*, yet he himselfe afterwards, fo. 340. thinketh that such a remainder to the wife for her life, after the death of her Husband, cannot bee termed a Joynture, because the Etimologis serueth not, and 11. H. 7. ca. 20. & 27. H. 8. demon-
strateth

strateth no such Joynture for women in possession or in vse of any estate in remainder after the Husbands death, &c. quere.

If an estate bee conveyed to a mans Wife, and to a stranger for their two lives for the Wives Joynture, it is good enough, yet the Statute mentioneth onely estates betwixt Baron and Feme: And although the estate be not conveyed to the Feme by precise termes for her life; yet wordes that amount to as much, shall be of as great effect: As if Lands be given to a wife, untill I. S. hath leuied an hundred pound, or till he be promoted to a Benefice: This maketh an estate for life, within the branch of 27. &c.

SECT. XXXVI.

Estate to the vse of Baron and Feme.

IF estate be conveyed to Baron and Feme to the vse of a Stranger, this is no Joynture; but if it be to Baron and Feme, or to one of them, or to a Stranger to the vse of the Feme, it is a good Joynture, and in euery limitation of vse to the Baron and Feme it is requisite that he or they that shall take the possession may be seised to an vse, for if Lands be given to the King, or a Corporation, or to an alien bozne to the vse of Baron and Feme, this is no good Joynture, for these persons cannot stand seised to another bodies vse, no moze can a Rector or Parson of a Church, or a Bishop, vntill it be in respect of their naturall capacity; but a man attainted may take for another bodies vse, and therefore a feoffment to him, to the vse of Barons and Feme may be a Joynture.

SECT. XXXVII.

How a Woman may have a Joynture and Dower,
and how neither Joynture nor

Dower.

A Woman may have Dower notwithstanding her Joynture, by the kind overright of her Husband, or of his heire: As if a Joynture assigned, the Baron himselfe will endow his Wittie, ad ostium Ecclesie, or ex assensu patris: And after the husbands death, his heire or feoffees will assigne other Lands in Dower to her which hath a Joynture already: And if the heire please to her in a Wittie of Dower, ne vngue self q; Dower, &c. or nicent accouple in leyall marriage, or any other plea saue Joynnture, &c. in such case if he be found against him, the woman shall recover Dower, and retaine her Joynture nevertheless, quia volenti non fit iniuria. And the other shee a Woman shall have neither Joynture nor Dower, if by her owne folly or wrong done, she have forfeited her Joynture: As by breach of a condition annexed to her estate, or doing of hurt, or making a feoffment: And if her Joynture by lawfull title, and without any folly in her, be excluded from her yet where the heire is remitted to another estate then that which her husband was settled or during Coverture, she getteth no Dower. So is it if the estate whercof Dower is demanded, were conveyed to the Baron and his heires during the life of I. S. But if it were to the Baron and his heires, for so long time as I. S. had heires of his body lawfully begotten, this estate may be to Dower.

SECT. XXXVII.

*The first Prouiso for Dower upon euiction
of Ioynture.*

This Prouiso is to be construed fauozably for women, as the premises be in fauour of the Heire: And therefore as well taylor'd Lands as Fee-simple are bound to render value and recompence; if therefore the Ioynture euicted were to the value of twenty pound per annum, and the heire haue twenty pound per annum of Land taylor'd to his Father, the woman shall recouer enery whit of it in recompence of her lost Ioynture, for this latter and new Statute controlleth the ancient Statute, de donis condicionalibus.

SECT. XXXVIII.

*In what case a Woman may refuse her Ioynture
to demand Dower.*

The Statute is plaine, that a woman may refuse a Ioynture made during Couerture, and take her Dower, or waite Dower, and rest on her Ioynture, unless the Ioynture were by act of Parliament, &c. And Mr. Broganes opinion was, that if the Ioynture were made by other assurance, and afterward confirmed by Parliament, that such ratification tooke away a womans election as well as if the originall assurance had been by Parliament: But if the Ioynture were made befoze Marriage, the woman must needs hold her to her Ioynture, sans election. And this is by implication vpon the third prouiso, as appeareth by the report of Anderson, &c. See Commentaries Plowden, 390. The Case 6. Eliz. Dyer, 228. is, That Richard Ashton Esquire in accomplishment of certaine In-
D
dements

*2. f. l. l. l. l.
36. 8. am.*

ventures betwixt him and Sir William Barenport, concerning Marriage to be had betwixt Richard Ashton the sonne and Elizabeth the daughter of Sir William, which gaue seuen hundred Markes with her in marriage, infeofed certaine persons befoze Marriage of Land to the annuall rent of twenty pound to the vse of the said Elizabeth for terme of her life: The Marriage being consummate, first Richard the father, and then Richard the Sonne died, then it was found by office that Richard the sonne died seized in fee of these Lands, whereof the feoffement was made, and of other Lands holden by Chivalry, as of the Duchie of Lancaster his heyre being vnder age, the first question was whether shee might retaine the twenty pound Lands, and haue Dower of the rest, because she was not Richard Ashtons wife at the time of the feoffement first made, neither was it made of the barons lands, or by the baron resolved by Councell of the Court, that shee was barred of Dower: And it was so likewise resolved in Vernons Case, Sir Ed. Cokes 4. Report, wherein is much learning touching Joynture.

The second question in Eliz. Ashons ca. was whether she were Dowable from the Quene, because the feoffement was not found by the Office.

The third question, whether it might be auerred for the Quene in way of petition of Dower, that the feoffement was made *pro iudicatura*, no such matter being expressed, neither in the deed of feoffement or Indenture of Couenants.

The fourth question, whether the Widow Elizabeth might be receiued to auerre, and proue by Commission in the Court of Wards, that the feoffement was not meant for a Joynture. Here is enough to make Women beware how they take Joyntures befoze Marriage: Take another to admonish you, beware of fines after Marriage, Joynture was made to a feme Couert by her Baron, shee and her baron aliened the land by fine sur connuſance de droit,

droit, by the opinion of Justices, Wray, Bell, Manhood, and Dyer, she shall not demand Dowry of the residue of her husbands Land after his death; for she aliened her Joynture befoze time of election was given her, by the Statute, quære. But if the fine had bene sur connuissance de droit, come ceo que le connuice ad de done le Baron tantum, this had bene a better forme for the wife and lesse dangerous, 19. Eliz. Dyer, 358.

or of ell. 36.
8. Dyer.

SECT. XXXIX.

*What is a sufficient refusall or agreement of
or to a Joynture made after
Coherture.*

See Sir Edw. Cokes 3. Rep. in Buslers and Bakers Case.

The refusing or agreement, &c. because they are peremptory, must not bee clouded, darke, doubtfull or implicative, but plaine and expresse, a bare word or saying, by a woman, that she will refuse her Joynture or accept it, is not materiall, as diuers Justices doe hold it: But if shee come vpon the Land whereof she is Dowable, and there refusing her Joynture pray the heyze to assigne her Dowry, this is such a refusall that the heyze by this shall be charged in damages from this time forth in a writt of Dowry, and this refusall must be to the heyze himselte, and not to a Stranger. If a Widow waive the possession of a house or tenement assigned in Joynture by her husband, and get her to another place, this is no refusall: But if she haue any meddling with the land assigned in Joynture, or doe any other act amounting to assent or dissenting, as for example, If she bring a writt of Dowry and declare vpon it, this is peremptory although she bee vnder age, Couert or not Couert of a second Husband; for the Law saith, that they which haue discretion to acquire

and get things, haue sufficient discretion to giue and pre-
serue those things gotten. Therefore if an Infant come to
any thing by purchase, hee shall not in that haue any ad-
uantage, or bee in better plight then a parson of full
age.

As where estate is made to an Infant of two acres, to
haue and hold the one for life, the other in fee, &c. a feoffe-
ment made of one whilest he is yet vnder age is a sufficient
election. And if a rent charge bee granted to an Infant,
whereupon he bringeth a Writ of annuity, he shall neuer
auow for it, as a rent, when he cometh to full age: So if
an Infant recover debt, and sue execution by elegit, &c. he
shall neuer haue a scire facias: And an Infant is subiect to
an action of waste or entry for condition broken as well as
any other person, These collections gathered, as I thinke,
by some well learned and industrious Student out of W.
Brograues reading, though they want of the fullnesse and
perfection which the owne pen of so great a Lawyer might
haue giuen them; yet are they pertinent and important.
And I not a little beholding to him, from whose hands I
obtaine them.

SECT. XL.

*Of Actions brought by Baron and Feme,
or by one of them.*

NDW because the common sayings are found by com-
mon experience true, Qui capit vxorem, capit lites,
and qui habet terras habet guerras, A Wife brings iarres,
and wealth brings warres, quarrels, suits and controuer-
sies at Law, sans ceo, that it hath any other intendment, it
will not be amisse a little to declare how and in what man-
ner actions at law must be commenced and pursued by ba-
ron and feme, or against them, or by or against one of
them.

them according to prescription of Law, and their severall
and ioynt Interests, &c.

SECT. XLI.

*Where the Baron shall sue onely in his
owne name.*

A Man shall sue for his Wives Marriage money onely
in his owne name, but how or where, that is a mat-
ter of some obscurity: by Bracton, lib. 5. ca. 10. 407. money
that is promised causa Matrimonij, is as a sequell of
Marriage, and so being annexed to a thing spirituall, re-
quires a spirituall suite; yet he confesseth that it is other-
wise for Land promised or covenanted, &c. Fitzherbert in
his Writ of Debt citeth 31. Ed. 3. that if a man promise
one twenty pound to marry his Daughter, which marri-
eth her accordingly, he may have a Writ of debt vpon his
promise, but he forgets not the néere difference in the
Booke of assizes; for in the Writ of prohibition, he tels
vs, if a man promise one twenty pounds if he marry his
Daughter, after marriage if the promysre will not pay the
money, the husband may not sue in Court Christian, if hee
doe a prohibition lyeth, marry if I promise one twenty
pounds with my Daughter in Marriage, &c. now vpon non-
payment, he may sue in Court Christian, for this concer-
neth Matrimony. The same learning he insisteth vpon
his Writ of Consultation, adding that if he die which
made the promise, the other may sue in Court Christian
against the Executors, or Executors of Executors, 22. ass.
pla. 70. is thus, vpon Contract had betwixt two men,
that if one of them will marry the others Daughter, hee
shall haue ten pound, &c. the ten pound after Marriage must
be demanded in the Kings Court, because the promise was
not with his Daughter in Marriage, but by Covenant,
that

that he should, &c. But if he had promised the money with his Daughter in Marriage, it must have bene demanded in Court Christian: And if a man promise vpon his faith to pay ten pound, the Ordinarie cannot compell him to pay it, but he may enioyne to pay all penance, vntlesse the promiser will voluntarily redeme it: Thus teacheth Justice Thorpe in declaration of the Statute of circumspecte agaris 45. Ed. 3. fo. 24. The Demandant declares vpon a covenant betwixt him and the Defendant, that if he married the Daughter of the defendant, hee should haue an hundred pound, &c. It was moued that this demand of debt vpon a Covenant concerning Patrimony was not good, but the matter concerned the Court Christian per articulos clericorum. Notwithstanding because the demand was vpon a deed, and a written deed maketh a lay covenant, the defendant was compelled to answer: But 14. of Ed. 4. fo. 6. in an action of debt the Plaintiff declares that he had married the Defendants daughter, vpon agreement of twenty pound to be paid, &c. and all the Judges of the common pleas (without carrying the Defendants answer) awarded que le plaintifstrieu person brief, for the demand is, say they, of the same nature with the sponsals, viz. ius spirituale, and determinable no where but in Court Christian, and yet the Booke of assises was there remembered 15. Ed. 4. fo. 32. the plaintiffe in a writt of debt demanding fine markes declares vpon a covenant quod nota, for fine pound where he had married, &c. and 33. pound fine shillings four pence was paid, but the restowe being 5. Marks, the defendant denyed to pay, yet I care not saith Caresby though he be discharged: for I know well enough that vpon such a matter, the action lieth not at roinnon Law, quod fuit concessum per curiam: And the cause alledged was that there was not quid for quo 17. Ed. 4. fo. 5. The master of the Howles asketh the Justices of the Common pleas, if a man promise money to another to marry his daughter or seruant, which marrieth her accordingly, whether an acti-

en of debt will lye at the common Law or no: So saith
 Townsend, for it is but a nude promise of no more effect:
 then if I promise you 20. pound to build you a new Cham-
 ber, and *ex nudo pacto non oritur actio*. But if I promise
 you five shillings every weeke for the boarding of I. S. here is
 quid for quo, for law intendeth here, that I have advantage
 and profit by the service of I. S. But further in your case,
 the thing that is to be done is spirituall which cannot be
 sold, neither can the party be compelled to doe it: Rogers
 and Siliard were contrary to him in opinion, That a pro-
 mise upon Marriage is no *nudum pactum*, because the
 daughter cousin or friend is by intendment advanced. And
 if I promise a Schoole-master money to teach my childe,
 he shall have action of Debt. Likewise if I promise a Sur-
 geon money to heale a pooze mans wound, or a Labourer
 money to mend a high-way. But in the end Choke & Little-
 ton agreed with the Master of the Woles, that in the case
 by him propounded none action lyeth at common Law, be-
 cause Patrimony whereupon the promise is founded is a
 thing spirituall, and by no manner of meanes vendable. 19.
 Ed. 4. fo. 10. in an action of debt, brought upon such a bar-
 gain: Collow saith, it is true, a man must demand a wo-
 man contracted to him in the spirituall Court, but money
 is a tempozall thing: And when a Parson of a Church is
 to recover tythes, he must sue in Court Christian; but if
 he sell his tythes, when they be severed, hee shall sue for the
 money in the Kings Court, but then and afterward in the
 same or like case 20. of Ed. 4. fo. 3. Bryan asketh him then,
 to what end serueth the Statute, that things touching Ma-
 trimony and Testaments must be tryed in Courts Chri-
 stian, *cui des vous quam vous purres achate les Sacraments*,
 Sir, saith Neale, dismes are a thing spirituall, but if a
 Parson of a Church lease his Tythes, hee must sue for the
 rent in a tempozall Court, and Collow stands to it, that
*per emptionem & vendicionem res spirituales efficiuntur
 temporales*, he neuer spake a truer woord in his life.

Out of these opinions consozting together like harpe and harrow, may be gathered this sure learning. That hee which will wed shall doe well, (and according to the Statute of circumspecte agatis) to take as much as he can of his wiues marriage money befoze hand, with faire Adventures or good obligation for the residue. And by the aboue-said Bookes, as also by P. Plowden in that case he may haue action of debt, for every deed sealed and deliuered carrieth sufficient consideration, to wit, the will of him that made it.

Concerning the old scruple, though money be a visible signe of inuisible grace Sacramentall and Spirituall, specially if it be in Angels; yet I trust it is not moze spirituall then the woman her selfe with whom it is promised. And as there is no question made but a man may sue in Court Christian for his lawfull wife unlawfully taken and withholden, vpon which suite if a prohibition be granted, a consultation may be had for proceedings, *quatenus per restitutionem vxoris duncat prosequitur, &c.* So by Fitzherbert in his Tract of Consultation an Action may be brought at Common Law, *de vxore abducta cum bonis viri*, or an action of trespasse for taking onely of the Wife. But for a cleare prooffe that in these promises of money vpon Marriage, neither the money is any Ghost, nor the promise any *nudum pactum*. See the case 10. Eliz. Dyer, 272.

An Action of the Case was brought vpon promise of twenty pound made to the Plaintiffe in consideration, that at speciall Instance and request of the Defendant he had married his Cousin: this was a good cause of action in the Quenes Court, although the Marriage were celebrated and perfected befoze the assumption, because the Ruptialls did ensue the Defendants request.

And as Lands may bee ginen in Franke marriage after the Espousals, and yet the Espousals be cause and consideration.

consideration of the gift: so may money be promised after C^e sponsals, and yet the C^e sponsals be cause of the promise.

But Reader be not confident of the Law in that Case of Dyer, for I have seene a report of a Case betwene Sandill Plaintiffe, and Ienny Defendant, entred in Banco Regis Hillar. 2. Iacobi Rot. 572, where the Plaintiffe declared that the Defendant in consideration that the Plaintiffe had formerly married his Daughter at his speciall request, the Defendant promised the Plaintiffe to pay him euery yeere during the life of the Defendant ten pound, &c. and as my report saith, the Plaintiffe vpon non assumpsit pleaded, had verdict and iudgement in the Kings Bench, but vpon a writ of error in Exchequer Chamber, the Iudgement was reuerfed, for that the Marriage was executed befoze the promise made, and yet the declaration supposed that the Defendant requested the Plaintiffe to Marriage, &c.

But let me not run so farre from my Text as neuer to finde the way backe againe: A man may sue for Marriage money in his owne name onely, and so is it generally where that which is in demand, or to be recovered, cometh merely and onely to the Baron. Example, 43. Ed. 3. fo. 8. The Earle of Arundell brought a Writ of Trespasse against one, for chafing in a free Chase that he held in right of his Wife, and the Writ awarded good, though the Wife were not named in it, because nothing was to be recovered by damages.

Likewise is it if the Baron bring a Writ of Trespasse for straves taken in Lands holden in right of his Wife. And eod. anno fo. 26. for breaking of a house and carrying away of timber, the Husband alone shall haue the action because hee may when hee list pull downe a house or sell timber standing vpon his Wives Inheritance, or make a release to any body vpon such manner of trespassse, and the Wives action is gone for euer.

There is also the same yeare fo. 16. another Case, wherein because a decies canum was brought by Barons
and.

and Feme, the Writ abated; so; though the first action concerned the Wives Interest, yet nothing is to be recovered in a decies tantum but damages, &c. See the Booke of 20. H. 6. fo. 1. a Writ of maintenance wherein nothing is recoverable, but damages, was brought by Baron and Feme upon maintenance in a bill of fresh force against them, by the better opinion they might ioyne, &c. And the Defendant passeth Duffer, but not by award, 41. Ed. 3. f. 9. a Writ of Champertie brought by the Baron onely upon an assise which had passed against him and his wife, was allowed good notwithstanding exceptions taken of the Wives Interest, &c. upon the reasons before expressed. And by Finch, if a man haue a Ward in right of his Wife, Dower shall be demanded against him onely, because the ward is a Chattell vested: But if a Writ of Wardship be to be brought, it shall be against the Baron and feme, &c. because of voucher.

And in trespassse, if the Plaintiffe recover against Baron and Feme by false verdict, they both must ioyne in the attaint, so; that must be according to the record 46. Ed. 3. fo. 20. a man brought a Writ of ravishment de garde, declaring upon a possession, iure uxoris, and the Writ held good: yet in this case there is more then damages to be recovered, so; the Plaintiffe shall haue the Infant restozed by the very words of his Writ. But there againe it was agreed, that an action to recover a Ward must be against them both, because of voucher, though in a writ of Dower it be vt supra, because therein there is no voucher, &c. If Baron and Feme sell the Wives Inheritance by fine for twenty pound, an action of debt for the money shall be brought by the Baron onely, for the grant was onely the Barons grant, and if he die, the Executors shall haue the action and not the Feme. 48. Ed. 3. fo. 18.

And a repleuen must be brought by the Baron onely, because a Feme Couert cannot haue a proprietie in any goods or Chattells: But so; such goods as the Wife hath

as Executrix, if seemeth the Baron and Feme may ioyne in a r. pleuen: so shall they for goods of the Wife taken dum sola iuit, Fitz. in the title recaption. In trespasses at Common Law, or upon the Statute, Anno 5. Rich. 2. the Baron alone shall haue action of trespass, and so likewise for taking away Charters, concerning the Wives inheritance. So is it if he alone deliuer such Charters, he alone may haue action against the Wayliffe, &c.

But a Writ of Detinue of Charters of the Wives inheritance must be sued by both, &c. because the Charter themselves are to be recovered. And therefore upon recovery of them the Baron and Feme must ioyne for recovery. A quare impedit was brought 50. of Ed. 3. fo. 13. and the Baron declared of an agreement betwixt three Sisters to present by turne to a Church, whereof they had the Aduoufan, and this was the turne of his Wife, &c. The Defendant demands Judgement of the Writ, because the Wife being still alive was not named, but this Writ also was awarded good, because nothing was to be recovered here but onely the Presentment and not the Aduoufan. And if a Writ should be awarded to the Bishop against the Baron, the Wife thereby should not be out of possession, because she is not partie to the Judgement, besides that, she is ayded by West. 2. cap. 3. And for a generall rule where the Husbands release is good, the action may be brought in his name onely, as upon cutting of trees, grasse, Cozne, &c. And such actions may be brought in the name both of the Husband and the Wife. An assise of barraigne presentment is a mixt action, and the Aduoufan if selfe, shall be recovered in it, therefore of necessity it must be brought both by Baron and Feme 15. Ed. 4. fo. 9. The Baron Seignior in right of his wife, ioyned in a writ of resrows, and it was argued that he alone ought to haue brought the writ: But it was awarded well brought by them both. Though per Littleton it were good enough in nomine Baron tantum. And per Pigor, when an obligation is made to Baron and Feme,

Feme, the Baron alone may haue the action, or they may ioyne eadem lex in trespasse, &c. maintenance, &c. soz alwayes where the action may suruiue to the wife, the wife may ioyne in the witt: They which shall read these two last Cases argued 50. Ed. 3. and 15. Ed. 4. in the yeares at large, shall not need to repent it.

SECT. XLII.

When a Wife may sue or be sued alone.

It is seldome, almost neuer that a married woman can haue any action to vse her witt onely in her owne name: her husband is her sterne, her primus motor, without whom she cannot doe much at home, and lesse abroad: But if her Husband commit felonie, take the Church and abiure the Realme, she is now in case as a Widow inabled to make alienation of her owne land as a feme sole, or to bring a cui in vita for her Lands aliened by her husband, quod vide cui in vita, Fitz. 3. Likewise 1. H. 4. fo. 1. The Kings witt of Ward against Sybill Belknap, is awarded good, though it were brought by the King; but iudgement was alked of it, because Sybill was a Feme Couert, iour del briece purchase, and the husband not named; wherunto was answered, that for offence against the King and his Pères, Belknap was banished to Gascoigne, there to remaine till he obtained the Kings Grace, &c. Justice Gascoigne by the assent of his fellowes, commands the Defendants to answer, and she pleads in barre. Againe 2. H. 4. fo. 7. all the Iustices testified, that the wife of Sir Robert Belknap who was banished, sued a witt alone without naming her husband, and by their common award it was holden good, for that as some said, the said Sibyl was the Kings Fermor.

But

But howsoever it were, Markham exclaimes *Ecce modo mirum quod femina fert breue regis, Non nominando virum coniunctum robore legis.* Some say it should be *coniunctum, &c.* It is like a miracle that a wife should commence any suit without her husband, 18. Ed. 4. fo 4. If a feme Couert be impleaded without her husband and outlawed, the baron and feme may ioyne in a writt of error to reuerse the outlay, for the wife cannot sue without the Husband. If a fine be leuied to a feme Couert, yet she and her husband must ioyne in the *quid juris clamat*, as the book of 11. H. 4. 7. testifieth. If Baron and Feme be beaten, &c. they must Ioyne in action for battery of the feme, but for his owne stripes the Baron shall bring his owne action by himselfe, or else his writt abates for that part, 9. Ed. 4. fo. 52. Because a feme Couert hath nothing to doe so participate in the suites of her husband, nor in the priuiledges of her husband: Therefore a suite against the Wife of an attorney shall not be in the Court where he serueth by bill, but by original writt, and none essoine de *seruitio Regis*, or other essoine east for the Husband, shall serue for the wife, for if in a *præcipe quod reddat* against baron & feme at the grand Cape the Baron be essoyned de *seruitio regis*, and the wife make default, shee shall lose her Land. So likewise if the Baron be a seruant of the Chancellor, &c. no writt of priuiledge shall serue for him and his wife, but actions against them both must be sued at the Common Law; But a protection cast by the Baron, dismisseth the plea sans iour for both, because the Feme cannot answer without her husband, 35. H. 6. f. 3 & 4. a feme couert shall not be receiued to disauow the attorney of her husband, but he shall make an attorney for them both 33. H. 6. f. 37. And *cod. an. fo 43.* If the wife will come into the Court & offer to plead any other plea then that which her husband hath pleaded, or to confesse the action, she shall not be receiued to it, but the husband may not for her per essoin. And if baron & feme wage the law, &c. if the wife appeare not at the day giuen, the baron shall be condemned: But a wife shall neuer be receiued to disauow the
suit &

Wife of her husband and her selfe, quod vide 39. Affisarum
pla. 1. a good Case.

SECT. XLIII.

Of Felonies.

In matters criminall and capitall causes, a Feme covert shall answer without her husband, 15. Ed. 4. fo. 1. And note, if a Feme Couvert steale any thing by cohercion of her Husband, this is not felonie in her 27. lib. Affisarum 40. It was found that a woman had stolen bread to the worth of two shillings by compulsion of her husband, and awarded that she should goe quite. It seemeth to be all one if a woman steale by commandement of her husband, quere.

If a man and his wife commit felonie ioyntly, it seemeth the wife is no felon, but it shall be wholly indged the Husbands fact, saith Stamford: Seuen men and a woman were arraigned of felonie, found guilty, and because the woman cryed out she was wife to one of the seuen, the Iudges sent to the Bishop to be certified of the Marriage. But a woman by her selfe without the pziuitie of her husband may commit felonie to become either pziincipall or accessary: As if shee steale goods, or receiue theues to her house, &c. and if the husband so soone as hee perceiue it waite and forsake their company, and his owne house, in this case the Womans offence makes not felonie in the baron. But if the baron commit felonie, his wife not ignorant of it may keepe his company still notwithstanding, and not be deemed accessary; for a woman cannot bee accessary to her husband, insomuch as shee is forbidden by the Law of God to belozay him: note also that a woman cannot be thiefe of her husbands goods, if shee take and giue them away, the receiuer is no felon, Stamford. lib. 1. cap. 19. Biron allowes that the wife shall keep her husbands com-
sell,

sell, but yet so that if she acquit her selfe per pais del fait & consent, soz felons wiues hee saith haue often held men whyles the husband killed them, and in that case it is reason and Law that they hang together, fo. 47. By Bracton, non debet virum accusare vxor, nec detegere furtum suum neque feloniam, consentire tamen non debet, nec coadiutrix esse, sed feloniam & nequitiam viri quantum potest impedire. And by him if goods stollen be found sub clamibus vxoris, she shal be culpable with her husband of his felonie. Item, si vxor cum viro coniuncta fuerit, vel confessa fuerit quod viro consilium vel auxilium praestiterit, tenebuntur ambo, nam licet obedire debeat vxor viro in atrocioribus tamen, & latrocinij, nec est ei obediendum. Poterit vir ligare & tenere, atque vxor sponte & non coacta occidere, & ira tenetur de maleficio vterque: libro 3. ca. 32. In the end he sheweth how execution of iudgement shall bee deferred when the woman condemned is with child, siue ante delictum conceperit, siue post. Hee coteth ciuill Law soz it. But Stanford hath it perfected.

If a woman bee arraigned of felonie, it is no plea to say she is with child, but she must plead to the felonie, and if she bee found guilty, shee may then claime the benefit of her wombe, whereupon the Marshall or Vicount shall be commanded to put her in a chamber, and cause some women to examine and try her, whether she be ensoint de vn infant, which if she be not, she shall be hanged maintenant: And though she be quicke with child, yet Iudgement shall not be delayed, but onely execution deferred. If after such respite when she is once deliuered, she become great againe, and obiect to prolong her life, the Iudge ought to command execution presently, soz this benefit shall bee claimed but once, If the Iudge inquire further of it, it must be but to set a fine on the Marshall or Sheriffe soz looking no better to her. Stanford, lib. 3. ca. vltimo. And by the booke which he cõteth the obiectiõ must be not priuiment ensoint, but ensoint de viue enfant.

SECT. XLIV.

Of Treasons.

AND this objection of entitlement is likewise to delay execution for treason as for felony. A woman for committing either grand or petty treason shall be burned. The latter part of the statute 25. of Ed. 3. c. 2. is, That if any servant kill his master, any woman kill her husband, or any man secular or religious person kill his relative to whom he owes obedience, this is treason, and every 1. 2. 3. shall have the deathes for such treasons of his own law per se, the statute is but declaracion of the common law.

Cirilo Coronar, in Fitzh. A woman compasseth with her husband the death of her husband, they assailed him in the on the ship way, beating, wounding, leaving him for dead, and then they fled: The husband got up, sent for and cry, came before the Justice, they sent after the offenders, which were gotten, arraigned, and the matter found by verdict, the adulterer was hanged, the woman burned to death, the husband living, voluntas reputatur per factum. 15. E. 2. A woman servant conspired to rob her mistress, and brought a stranger to the bed-chamber where the mistress lay asleep, the stranger killed her, the servant silent nothing doing but holding the candle, the two other Justices and Henry thought the servant a traitress, and a parliament, 2. & 3. Eliz. Dyer, 128. yet Justices is not verbatim in the statute, Stanford was one of them against this chiefe Justice opinion in this case: yet in his own booke the searcheth that abettors & procurers, are within the meaning and intent of the Law: The servant another Justice conspire the husbands death, he is killed by the servant, in absence of the wife, this is petty treason in them both, by opinion of divers Justices, otherwise it is in the murderer be no servant, Dyer 16. Eliz. 332. For Saunders wife justice

procured Browne to kill her husband, but barely hanged as
accessarie, because the principall was but a murtherer.
8. Eliz. Dycr 254.

SECT. XLV.

Actions by Baron and Feme together.

The baron and feme may isyme in a writ of trespasse,
quare vi & armis clausu fregit, &c. for trespasse done in
the wifes land, either befoze coverture, or during coverture.
See 21. H. 6. fol. 30 such a Writ brought of trespasse
in the Close of Baron and Feme, and fixing by
blada sua. Judgement is asked of the Writ, because a
Feme covert hath no proprietie in goods and chattels during
the coverture. The Declaration, saith Markham,
is blada sua dum sola fuit depastus fuit. That, saith New-
ton, is not possible, but it ought to be blada ipsius Katherine,
&c. Yelverton saith, that both the Writ and Declaration
ought to haue bene Dum sola fuit, which Newton
denies, and saith, that the Count ought only to be so,
and affirmeth, that as the matter is brought forth, there
is an intendment of depasturing befoze coverture, and
of breaking the Close after coverture, of which the Baron
and Feme may haue a Writ Clausum tunc fregit, &c.
So the Action seemeth to be by two severall titles: But
in the end the record was viewed, which was Quod clausum
ipsius Katherine fregit & blada eiusdem Katherine
depastus fuit; and the Declaration Dum sola fuit, which
made the Writ to be awarded good. And there it is said,
that by the Register the Writ is not Dum sola fuit but
generall, and the Declaration speciall. Met 7. H. 7. fol. 2.
Upon the like Writ of Quare clausum fregit & boni & ca-
tella sua cepit, which Declaration of trespasse to the Feme
Dum sola fuit, judgement being given, was afterwards
found erroneous, for fault in the Writ which should haue

for the land cannot remaine to one of them, but it must remaine to them both: But a Formidon in D. scender, 02 Reueter, 02 a Writ of Escheat differeth, 11. H. 4. fol. 15. 24. Ed. 2. fol. 10. a Writ of Dower was brought by Baron and feme, and the tenant pleaded, that the former baron had never any thing in the land during the espousals, which the Demandants did not deny, therefore the Tenant prayed they might be barred, and their confession recorded; but it would not be granted, because it should be prejudiciall to the wife, yet at the request of the Tenant they were received to acknowledge their right by fine, and the woman was examined. Quod nota, for she shall not be examined upon confession of an Action.

S E C T. XLVI.

Actions against Baron and Feme.

Actions are rightly pursued by Baron and Feme when right is withholden from her, or wrong done to her selfe, her interest or possession, so when the wife is, or is supposed a wrong doer, or her husband doth wrong vnder pretext of her interest, wits must be sued against them both; for as it hath bene shewed already, if a Feme couert bee condemned in any ciuill Action without her husband, she and her husband may haue a Writ of error. Therefore if a woman which is indebted take a husband, an Action of Debt shall be against her and her husband in the Debt, 9. E. 4. fol. 24. 7. H. 7. fol. 2. agreed, and if any thing were owing to the Feme before marriage, the Writ of such a debt shall bee Quasers debt. If a man baile goods to a Feme sole, which marrieth afterward, an Action of Detinue shall be against her and her husband for these goods percuriam, 39. Ed. 3. 17. And 1. H. 4. fol. 31. a Writ of trespass for le case, was brought for not repairing certaine banks, upon lands which the defendant had

had in Dale, by reason wherof the plaintiffs ground was surrounded, and because the Defendants whole interest in Dale was only jure uxoris, which wife was not named in the Writ, it abated, for they ought to have been joyned. 3. H. 4. fol. 1. Upon a Lease made to Baron and Feme for yeares, rendring rent, the Lessor brings a Writ of Debt, &c. against Baron and Feme, and Judgement was asked of the Writ, because it was not brought against the Baron onely: Thiming holdeth the Writ good, aswell as an Action of waste shall bee against both Baron & Feme upon such a Lease, and so doth one other Justice, but some pleaders argued contra. And in Actions against Baron and Feme, the woman must be named wife, 42. Edw. 3. fol. 23. A writ of trespassse is brought against Iohn and Alice with others, Alice saith shee was and is the wife of Iohn, iour del briefe purchase judgement del briefe, and this is a good plea in abatement of the writ. So if a writ be against Iohn and Alice his wife, Alice if shee be single may plead, not the wife, ludgement del briefe. But Iohn shall not have that plea per totam curiam, for none as Brooke maketh the reason, shall plead Misnomer, but the partie, 7. H. 6. fol. 9. In Aulse against Baron and Feme the Account returned, that hee had attached the Baron per centum oues matricis, but the wife had nothing to be attached of, within his Balliwicke, nec est in eadem inuenta, the best opinion is, that the returne is not good, for he was commanded to attach the wife, which the Law would neuer command if the thing were impossible, but it is possible enough for the wife to be attached, by her husbands goods, and by him shee must bee brought into the Court. Babington saith, an Attachment must bee by a meere chattle, which shall be forfeited by Default, but not by any Chattell reall, as a Lease for yeares, or a ward, or by apparrell, &c.

Now note, it hath bene said, that in an Action of debt or trespassse, or other personall Actions, if the Baron appeare, and the wife make default, or if the wife appeare,

and the baron make default, they shall not answer the one without the other, 44. Ed. 3. fol. 1. A writ of debt was brought against Baron and Feme, the wife outlawed, the Baron rendered himselfe at the Exigent, at returne whereof hee appeared in ward, and the Plaintiffe prayed because the Procelle was determined against the wife, that the husband might answer sed non alocatur. But see in the next lease a writ of trespassse pursued against Baron and Feme to the Exigent, the Vicount returned that hee had taken them at the day, the Baron came in ward without the wife, &c. The Plaintiffe declared against him, he was compelled to answer, and pleaded not culpable le Vicont suit charge de le corps le Feme. & amerce, and a writ went out to have the wife at Westminster at a certaine day, with a Venire facias betwixt the Plaintiffe and the husband, returnable the same day, see 34. H. 6. fol. 19. A writ of trespassse against Baron and Feme, and the Baron as seruant to the Chancelloz brought a Supercedas for himselfe and his wife. Littleton said it was to be allowed for neither of them, no more than where trespassse is brought against one of the Chancery, and another man, &c. Say not so much, saith Prisot, for in that case the Plaintiffe may take his bill in Chancery against him which is of the Chancery, and leave out the other, but hee cannot doe so here, specially the trespassse being supposed to be done by the wife. The privilege being dissolved, Littleton praieth that the Defendant may answer: say, saith Billing, the wife neuer yet appeared, therefore take your Procelle against her, and we will pray an Idem dies for the husband. In an Action of Debt, saith Littleton, against Baron and Feme, it is true that one shall not answer without the other, and in trespassse also the wife shall not answer without her husband, but the husband may answer without the wife, if she make Default. Truth, saith Prisot, all is one, in euery writ of trespassse, whether it be of battery, or otherwise, and in euery other personall Action one of them shall not plead without the other. But in a
 Prcipe

Præcipe quod reddat the default of a wife is the default of the husband and wife, aliter in trespass or debt against baron and feme, for there if the baron appeare by cepi corpus or exig. nr. and the wife makes default, the baron shall haue an idem die p. mainprise, and if the wife waite he, the husband shall goe sine die, for in every case where the wife is party to the writ it must be intended prima facie, that the cause of action beginneth from the wife. Bryan a Protonotaries Clarke said it had bene holden by the Court before this time, that if the baron came in gratis, he should answer sans sa feme, but if he come by coercion, &c. then vt supra. But saith Prisot all is one, and there is no diuersity, to whom all the Iustices, and many Serjeants agreed, qd il ne respondra vnques sans sa feme en nul case: yet after ward 36. H. 6. fo. 1. in an action against baron and feme vpon the Statute 8. Hen. 6. of forcible entries, the Sheriffe returned the plur' copias mandauit balliuis, &c. which answered they had taken their bodies, &c. the Bailiffes were demanded to bring in their prisoners, the Baron appeared, and the wife made default. It is a doubt whether the husband should answer maintenant, and a writ goe out to the Sheriffe ad habendum corpus vxoris, or whether the baron should haue an idem die with the wife, and goe in the meane season sans mainprise, for by Wangford he might not answer without his wife, because of the imprisonment, &c. Prisot here asked, what was the supposal of the writ: and when he vnderstood it was of an entry by baron and feme ioynntly, hee affirmed the baron should answer presently without the wife. And so said he in trespass & battery, when it is supposed by the writ that baron and feme together did beat the Plaintiffe, the baron appearing, sans le feme, shall answer, otherwise should it be here if the writ had supposed the forcible entry dum sola fuit, for it were vnreasonable when the action riseth and is caused from the wife, that then her default should bee her husbands default. And likewise is it in action of debt if the wife bee waite, the baron appea-

ring at the exigent, shall goe sans mainprise, for it cannot be intended, but that the action riseth onely from the wife. But if an action of trespassse done by baron and feme ioynly, the baron appeare at the exigent, and the wife be waive, the husband shall answer, and if the issue bee found against him, and afterward the wife sue her Charter of pardon, it shall not be allowed, vnlesse shee bring her husband with her. By Prisor also in this case, a man cannot haue a writ in the Chancery against baron and feme, supposing a forcible entry *dura sola fuit*, but the entry must be supposed ioynly as in an action of trespassse. And Laycon declares against the baron in the end of the case. And note 40. E. 3. that in trespassse if the baron be outlawed, and the wife appeare at the exigent *el alera sans iour*, if the baron purchase a pardon, and sue *scire facias* against the party, he must bring his wife with him, or his pardon shall not be allowed: But it is otherwise if the baron appeare, and the wife be waive, &c. for the baron alone may answer. There is much of this matter in the *peere booke*, 43. Ed. 3. fo. 18. in action of detinue against baron and feme, the wife was waive, the husband appeared at exigent, praying that the plaintiffe might declare against him, which hee did vpon a deliuey to the feme *dum sola fuit*: Because the procelle was determined against the wife, whose acts the baron alone could not answer vnto. It was awarded *que il alast quit*, for though to losse of issues returned to baron and feme, the wiues default is the barons default, yet it is otherwise vpon a *capias* or exigent for the corpozall punishment. But in a *praecipe quod reddat* a grand Cape shall goe out vpon the wifes default, And see 41. Ed. 3. fo. 24. in a writ of *disdower* against baron and feme vpon the default a grand Cape went out, and at the day the baron only appeared, and pleaded that he alone was tenant, &c. *sans eco*, that his wife had any thing in the land, here the wiues default was so far, a default of baron and feme both, that the demandant recovered *seisin*, 41. Ed. 3. 24. in libro veter.

But the Barons default is neuer any default of the wife, therefore 16. Assis. p. 5. In a præcipe quod reddat against Baron and Feme, the Baron made default, and the wife was here receiued and pleaded to issue, which being found against her, shee and her husband brought an attainr. Though indeed it were challenged, first, because the Baron (they said) by default had lost his Interest, and then because he was not prouid to the verdict, 3. H. 6. fol. 9. In a writ of debt at the pluries capias the Sheriffe returned Capi corpus for the wife, and the Baron non est inuentus, the exigent here went out only against the Baron, and an idem dies was given to the wife. But it was said if the Sheriffe had returned, the husband taken, and the wife non inuenta, exigent should haue gone against them both; for the wife is to be brought by the husband.

For by Chok. & Danb. 9. Ed. 4. f. 23. If in an action of debt the Baron appeare, and the wife make default, capias shall goe against them both, quod mirum, saith Brooke, where soeuer all punishment shall bee, indeed it seemeth to be no law, for 9. H. 6. f. 8. in an action of debt, at the exigent the Baron and Feme sued a superedeas, but notwithstanding they were returned outlawed, and at the same day the Baron appeared alone, and a new exigent went out against the wife only, and an idem dies given to the husband, car il nauera soeuer all paine, &c. and if he make default at the returne of the exigent, a distringas shall goe against him. Againe, 11. H. 4. a pluries capias went against Baron and Feme, the Baron appeared, and the wife made default, the Plaintiffe could not obtaine exigent against them both, but he had it against the Feme, and an idem dies given to the Baron: For though in a præcipe quod reddat in regard of the grand Cape, and such like, and for losse of issues returned vpon Baron and Feme, the wifes default be the husbands default, yet the wifes default onely shall not bee so mischieuous to him as to prouid him to a soeuer all punishment, as to the capias or exigent. Likewise 39. Ed. w. 3. fol. 18. in trespass against
Baron.

Baron and Feine, at the exigent the Baron appeared, the wife made default, and because this was misnamed in the writ, a new exigent went out, and an idem dies to the husband, yet he was compelled here to answer maintenanente, 8. H. 4. fol. 6. in appeale of Mayhem against Baron and Feine after exigent awarded, the Baron alone came and found suerty, and had a superledeas, though the wife neuer appeared, 12. H. 4. fo. 1. in a writ of debt against Baron and Feine, pprocelle continued till capias was awarded, then the Baron appeared of his owne accorde, and the wife made default; an idem dies was given to the husband, and a capias sicut alias went against the wife, which came and finding suerties, had a superledeas to the Soberiste, then at the day of appearing the wife came, and the Baron made default, therein was awarded that the wife should haue another day of mainepprise, and pprocelle went out against the husband. But this, he said, should be no example in temps a vener.

SECT. XLVII.

Of Fourching.

This interchanges or shifting of appearance and default by Baron and Feine is called fourching or fourcher: The terme being of no greater linage than from a hay sozke or pitchsozke, which in french is fourch: The Logicians call their dilemma a sozke: And our Ancients haue given a like name to a subtil kinde of delay which parteners, ioyntenants, and married couples had at the common Law when suits were commenced against them called sozcher, soz enen as a cunning fighting bull when he is hayted, offering to the dog first one horne, and then another, might be said to sozch, so these conioyned aduersaries were wont to play with both tyues, when first one should appeare, and his fellow be esloyned, and at the next day

day of appearance he should make default, which formerly appeared and be essoyned by him which first made default. Against this West. 1. ca. 42. complaining that demandants were greatly delayed by parceners, which might not answer but together and by ioyntenants which knew not their owne seuerall, that vsed to souch by essoine, till euery one were once essoined; Ordeineth that such tenants henceforth shall bee allowed no essoine more than at one day, and as one person. The Statute of Gloucester made 6. eiusdem Regis scilicet Edw. the first reciteth the former Statute thus: Whereas it is established, that parceners and tenants in common shall not souch by essoine, after they haue once appeared in Court; It is ordeined that the same Law shall bee observed, when a man and his wife are impleaded, &c. In the booke 12. H. 4. fo. 1. Culpepper affirmeth, that souching which was at the common Law in a writ of debt is not to be remedied by this Statute of Gloucester. And Thome confesseth, that the Statute is only touching pleas of land, but yet saith he, at the common Law Baron and Feme might neuer souch by distresses, in fine in a writ of debt, for that they are in a manner one person in law. Thus much of souching.

SECT. XLVIII.

The Baron and Feme appeare.

But admitting that there is no delay vsed, how shall Baron and Feme plead? I suppose it is hardly comprehended within rules. Brooke setteth downe that in a quid iuris clamor against Baron and Feme they may deny the deed, by which the Feme should bee bound, and a quid iuris clamor was brought against a Feme court, 18. H. 6. fo. 10. Titulo Baron & Feme 83. And where the Baron is esopped from pleading non tenure, the wife is so too Titulo Iounes accompts Br. 17.

26. assisar. p. 44. An Assise was brought against Baron and Feme, the Baron came in proper person, and pleaded the Plaintiffs release, the wifes Atturney was asked if he would assent to the plea, who answered he would be advised, therefore the dæd was deliuered back againe to the husband, to the intent that it should not be allowed, vntil the wifes Atturney consented, who afterward agreed. Thus doth Fitz. titulo Assise abridge the case 243. very nere the oziginal, For Brooke miswrote it, so I mistake him, in the title of Baron and Feme, 72. In an action of debt against Baron and Feme executrix, It is a good pleading to say that the wife hath fully administered, and a good replication to say that the wife hath assents sans parler del Baron, 28. Hen. 6. fo. 4. And there it is said, that a wife executrix, may administer and distribute goods without the assent of her husband: And if that she sell the Defatoz goods and redæme them, yet till they remaine assents. If a Feme tenant for life take a husband, and they two, being impleaded, pray ayd of a stranger, if the Baron die, he in reuerision cannot enter, for that is the act of the husband.

If a Feme tenant for life take a husband which alieneth in Fee, and hee in reuerision entereth, if now the Baron dye, the wife shall haue the land againe, 29. assisar. p. 43. Brooke 86, Titulo Baron and Feme. The case is of an estate made to baron and Feme in the booke of assises, in a writ of entry in nature of assise against Baron and Feme, the Baron pleaded non tenure for his wife, and for himselfe ioyntchancie with a stranger: This was holden a good plea per Curiam and not double, for he must answer for both, 16. H. 6. fo. 22.

12. Rich. 2. Baron and Feme were acquit in appeale, & it was found by verdict that they had bene imprisoned to damages C. l. By Thirne & Hull Justices, the damages ought to be seuered, the Baron to haue one iudgement for himselfe, and he and his wife another iudgement for his wife, for if the husband should dye before execution,

tion, the wife ought to have execution of her damages, and not the husbands executors, which could not bee if the recovery were in common, Fitzh. Titulo Judgement 108.

S E C T. XLIX.

Outlawrie of Baron and Feme or of one of them.

44 Ed. 2. fo. 3. The Baron and Feme being outlawed in an action of debt, got each of them a severall Charter of pardon, sued scire facias against the Plaintiffe, and found maineprie toynly, the Count returned that the scire facias came tardy, at which returne the Baron appeared without his wife, and praying to have scire facias sicut alias vpon the first maineprie, or a new scire facias by new maineprie, neither of them might be allowed without his wife, yet it was agreed that if two men were outlawed, one might sue pardon and scire facias without the other, for in that case, the one may plead alone vpon the first originall without his fellow, against whom the proccesse is determined; but the Baron cannot plead here without his wife, see the booke 11. H. 4. fo. 89. Baron and Feme being outlawed, the wife appeared and brought a Charter of pardon, she was suffered to goe at large, but the pardon might not bee allowed because the baron appeared not, and the wife could not plead without him.

14. 1. 6. fo. 14. Iune said that one kinde of divorce betwixt baron and feme is, when an action of trespassse is brought against them, and the baron only appearing, proccesse goes out against the wife till she be waivus, &c. Shee can never purchase her pardon, vntlesse her husband appears, so that if he will he is divorced. The like subtilty hath M. Littleton 13. Ed. 4. fo. 4. where he affirmes, that if a woman be outlawed by erroneous proccesse, if the husband will not bring a writ of error, hee may be rid of a hrew; for that counteruayles a divorce.

11. H. 4. Sheweth that a woman may be suffered to goe at large, though her pardon bee not allowed till her husband appeare with her, &c. And see Dyer 10. Elz. 271. In debt against baron and feme, proccesse was continued till the baron was outlawed, and the wife waive, afterward the wife came in ward by proccesse, brought the queenes pardon for her waivery: Though the pardon could not bee allowed, because the wife without the husband could not sue scire facias against the plaintiffe, to make him declare vpon the first original, for the pardon had a condition in law, ita quod ipsa staret recta in curia, which shee could not doe alons, yet by the opinion of the Court shee was to bee discharged of the imprisonment, I thinke the Shrew went home. But that a woman outlawed by her selfe alone for an offence touching her in an action brought against her husband and her, and the husband appeared before outlagary was discharged of her imprisonment vpon sight of her pardon, I find not here nor no where else, and therefore it may be M. Lunces way will serue sometime to bee rid of a Shrew, and that by a like manner a woman may be voided of a flouin, or burmbzed of a Churle. An action of trespassse is brought against baron and feme, and the baron outlawed, the wife appearing at the exigent, goeth sans iour: if a capias velagatum lay hold of the husband, I perceiue not well how he can get loose without his daines fauour.

SECT. L.

Of Diuorce.

But it is time to make an end of marriage since wee have come to matter of diuorcement, of which I reckon this of outlary for none. 47. Ed. 3. in the very end of the yeere setteth downe five waies; *Causa professionis*, *Causa pcontractus*, *Causa consanguinitatis*, *causa a finitatis*,
and

and *Causa frigiditatis*, with an obseruation, that when diuorce is *Causa professionis*, the wife shall be indowed, and the heire inherit, contra. in al the residue, *Immaritit* also, or *minoritic* of age at the tyme of espousals, may be one cause of diuorce, As 39. Ed. 2. fo. 32. John & Alice his wife brought an assise, the Tenant said that Alice had sued diuorce in the Archbishopricke of Warwicke, because she was vnder age of consent, *tempore sponsaliu*, neuer consenting after ward, and diuorce was had iudgement del bziere. And Broke *titulo garde* 124. remembzeth that 5. Ph. & Mar. the Doctors of Law declared for diuorces vpon this case, That if an heire, or other body be married *infra annos nobiles*, and doe dissent at the age of discretion, or after (before assent) to marriage it is sufficient, and the party may be wooed to some other body, without either diuorce or testimony of the disagreement, before the ordinary, who though hee may punish *p arbitrium iudicis* here, yet the second espousals are good, by Law of both Realme and Church: But when diuorce is had, for kindred, *præ contract*, *frigiditic*, or such like case, the Law is cleane contrary, for tryall of diuorce when it is pleaded in a temporall Court, must bee by certificate of the Bishop, and not *p pais*. 5. Hen. 4. fol. 2 and sentence of diuorce belongeth to the Bishop in his spiritual Court.

Of which there is authority, 2. Eliz. 179. in Dyer, This *piere* he saith, sentence of diuorce was giuen *Causa frigiditatis naturalis*, in the Archbishops Court of Audience, and the woman was *actrix & querulans de impotentia procreandi in viro*, who was adiudged impotent by the Physicians: The same *piere*, or next *piere*, another case and iudgement hapned like, and the woman which complained married to a second husband of better stufe, by whom she had childzen, and gaue him all her land by fine, &c. her first husband also was married to another woman, and had childzen by his second wife, (*vt asserbatur*) in which case the Doctors held that the parties diuorced were compellable to liue againe together, *vt vir & vxor, quia*

quia sancta Ecclesia decepta fuit in Iudicio priori, There-
 fore much adoe was made to stay the ingrossing of the
 fine, yet the Justices made it be ingrossed, contra mandat
 domi Custodis, &c. But see Sir E. w. Cokes 5. Report. fo.
 98. in Surveys case, that the Doctors were deceived, for the
 parties divorced causa frigiditatis cannot live together
 againe, and the issue by the second wife is legitimate, for
 a man may bee habilis & inhabilis diversis temporibus. A-
 gaine, 13 and 14. of Eliz. Dyer fol. 205. teacheth that
 right and lawfulness of marriage is ever to be iudged, not
 by the temporall, but by the spirituall Judge: And there-
 fore in an issue of ne viques accouple in loyall matrimo-
 nie, if the Bishop certifie not the lawfulness of wed-
 locke, but the circumstances hee shall be amerced, and a
 melius certiorando awarded. Seeing therefore right of
 marriage is to be discussed by the spirituall Judge, they
 which are married ought in no case to sever themselves,
 and remarry without the spirituall Judge: if they doe, the
 second marriage is no marriage, the childzen had in it are
 illegitimate, and the woman not dowable, except in the
 case first specified. And generally where espousals are
 not merely void but defensible, if they bee not avoided by
 divorce, the issue which is had without deserting that
 shall inherit: as if a man marry his cousin or his sister,
 saith the booke, and have issue by her, and die before divorce
 had, now nothing can bastardize the issue, for though the
 Commisary was wont in his visitation to make a kinde
 of divorce in such cases after death of one of the parties, it
 was never any more than an Inquisition of office, Ad in-
 quise adum de peccatis, for the heire could not be bastardi-
 zed, when the parents both or one of them were dead, and
 therefore not citable to appeare, &c. And it is holden
 strongly by Thorpe 39. Edw. 3. and in the Parliament
 24. H. 8. see Brooke titulo Bastardie 23. 37. 44. 47. And a
 divorce cannot bee had but of a marriage consisting, and
 not yet by death dissolved, for there cannot wel be a reuer-
 sing of any divorce when the parties divorced be dead, as
 Brooke

Brooke vnderstandeth Coningsby 12. H. 7. 22. for saith he, it was adiudged in Corbers case, where the baron and feme had issue, and afterward were diuorced, the baron taking another wife, by whom he had issue and died, that when the first issue sued in spirituall Court to reuerse the diuorce and bastardize, the second issue, after his fathers death a prohibition lay: But it was said that the title and descent were comprised in the libell, or else the prohibition could not haue bene granted. Thus saith Brooke titulo Deraignment. But titulo Bastardy 47. hee setteth downe the same case, that a man may be bastardized after the espousals, wherein he was begotten and borne, or by death determined. See Sir Edw Cokes 7. report Kennes case, that some diuorces dissolue the matrimony, scilicet à vinculo matrimonii, and bastardize the issue, and barre the woman of her Dowry, and some à mensa & Thoro, which dissolueth not the marriage, nor barre the wife of her Dowry, nor bastardize the issue: And therefore if any action be brought and diuorce pleaded, the cause of diuorce ought to be shewed: And there it is said that a diuorce may be repealed in the spirituall Court after the death of the parties, but a suit after the death of the parties to diuorce them, and to bastardize their issue may not be, for that the triall of bastardy, or not belongeth to the temporall Court, originally if sentence doe not hinder. And see Sir Edw. Cokes Institut. ca. Dowry f. 33. & ca. Estates vpon condition fol. 381. the deriuation of the word diuorce à diuertendo or diuorcendo, quia vir diuertitur ab vxore, and see there the severall causes of diuorces, and how far any of them respectively doe extend in power and effect, and in Littletons time many diuorces were of force, which the Statute of 32. H. 8. cap. 28. take away, and there see that a man may marry the sister of his first wife, since that Statute.

By Na. br. fol. 44. in the writ of prohibition, and Na. br. 139. and Dyer 28. H. 8. 17. agree, if the woman shall haue the gods not spent, and that detinue lyes for them,

If gods be giuen in marriage with a woman, shee shall re-
couer them in the spirituall Court after diuorce, and there
lyeth no prohibition, 6 Hen. 8. fol. 7. is that if the husband
before diuorce had, haue giuen or sold without collusion,
such goods as were the wifes before marriage, she is with-
out remedy for them being diuorced.

But if he aliened them by collusion, and being a wife of
detinue, for so much of them as the property may bee
decerned of, and for the residue, money and such like, shee
shall sue in spirituall Court. If a man which is bound to
a woman by obligation marry her, and they be diuorced,
she hath her action againe, which was suspended ibid by
Fitzh and Norwich. But see the booke of 11 Hen. 7. 4. p. Cur.
contrary where the diuorce is *causa pra contractu*, and it is
so cited, Dyer 4. Mar. fol. 140.

If the woman diuorced were an Inheritor, &c. and the
husband before diuorcement hath done waste, felled her
woods, receiued her rents, granted her wards, presented
to her Churches, giuen away her goods; none of these
things past in possession executed can be reuerfed or recal-
led: But if the Inheritance it selfe were discontinued or
charged, or a release made of it, or his villaines manumit-
ted, shee shall haue remedy for these things by common
Law.

If baron and feme jointpurchasers be disseised, and
the baron release, &c. the wife shall haue a moiety if they
bee diuorced, although before there were no moieties be-
twixt them, for the diuorce conuert that into moieties,
which see Brooke title Deraignment and diuorce 32. H.
8. In *St. Edward Cokes 5. Rep. in Olands case* it was
hielden, that if a lease bee made to baron and feme during
the Courture, and the baron so weth the land, and after
there is a diuorce *causa pra contractu*, the baron shall haue
the Cozne, and not the lessoz, for although the baron pro-
secuted the suit, yet the sentence which dissolues the mar-
riage is the iudgment in Law, and *iudicium redditur in
iurium.*

And as by diuorce, that which was intire may be conuerted or diuided into moities, so by it, inheritance may be made franchtinement. And if baron and feme doo in taile, haue issue and be diuorced, now they haue but franchtinement, and the issue shall not inherit, for it is not like here as where lands are giuen to two men, or to a man and his mother, or to a man and his daughter, and to the heires of their bodies, where severall heires shall severally inherit, for it was never lawfull for them to marry, 7. Hen. 4. 16. Brooke 9. in titulo Taile, see also, 13. Edw. 2. titulo Deraignment, If land be giuen to baron and feme in taile which be diuorced causa præ contract, &c. they shall hold toyntly for terme of their liues, and the land goe to the Survivor. But by the Reporter, if the gift were in franchmarriage, the party which did not cause the diuorce shall haue all: and agreeing to that difference is Perk. Chap. feoffment; Sect. 238. and also agreeing is Sir Edw. Cokes 9 Rep. in Beaumonts case.

12. Assise p. 22. Dorees in franchmarriage were diuorced at the womans suit, the baron continued possession till he died, and after ward the we man died, the possession was adiudged to haue remained alwayes to the woman, because shee never made any debate for it, so that the man neuer had it by disseisin, and agreeing to that is Plowden Wymbysles case fol. 58. & Dyer 2. M. fol. 126. 19. Assise. plac. 2. The Doree in franchmarriage wedded infra annos nubile, sued diuorce by the barons motiue and the wiues agreement, at their full age, and the woman recovered all the land against her quondam husband by assise. And Titulo Assise in Fitzh. pl. 413. 447. is this case, A man of certaine tenements, infeofed his selfe, & his wife in taile, the remainder to the right heires of the baron, they were diuorced, at the suit of her husband, which kept the woman out of the lands, and she brought an Assise, where by she recovered a moiety of the tenements by iudgement presently. And propter difficultatem it was adiudged for the other moiety to the Commonpleas, where shee had iudgement

iudgement of that also, because diuorce was at the husbands suit. As a woman may haue an Aſſiſe againſt her companion diuorced, for lands wherein ſhee claimeth inheritance, or eſtate for life, ſo if he haue aliened in fee, fee ſimple, or for life, the lands which he had in fee ſimple, fee ſimple, or for life, to a ſtranger, ſhe may as ſoone as ſhe is diuorced, bring a Writ called a *cui ante diuortium* againſt the Aliene: And this Writ may be in the per. cur. & poſt. If ſhee dye befoze action commenced, or befoze recovery, her heire may haue a Writ called a *ſur cui ante diuortium*, and the Aunt and Heire may joyne in it. But for her eſtate taylor her heire ſhalbe put to a ſorſumdone. But note Reader, that it ſeemeth both the woman and her heire may enter after the Statute of 32 Hen. 8. and neuer bring *Cui in vita* nor *ſur cui in vita*, &c. for the opinion in *Grethies Caſe*, Sir Edw. Cokes 8. Rep. fol. 72. is, that if the baron alien, and after the wife is diuorced *cauſa præcontract*. which diſſolue the marriage à vinculo matrimonii, the wife during the life of the husband, or after his death, may enter, for the words of the Act are no fine feoffment, &c. during the Couerture betwene them, and althoꝛgh the Statute ſaith, But that the ſame wife, &c. that is to be intended of her which was his wife at the time of the alienation, &c.

Note that whereas West 2. cap. 2. giueth a *cui in vita* vpon recovery by default againſt the husband, &c. ſhee ſhall haue a *cui ante diuortium* vpon the like recovery by equity & extension of the Statute, and the proceſſe is *ſummons*, *grand cape*, & *petit cape*. I wil here ſet the bounds and limits of my third booke, not becauſe this ſequell and conſequence diuorce, I meane, whereby the iſſue had, is baſtardized, and the woman reſtoꝛed to her goods and lands, conſorteth with the marriage ſo perfectly begun as I meant it, for this is not the vntying of true wedlocke, but rather a diſſipation of marriage tainted at the beginning, and in Chriſtian Court adiudged to a nullity, as if it had neuer bene, the Baron and Feme that I haue ſpoken

spoken of all this while, if they were not married in their infant love and very first flowing age, yet were they not frokbitten or so blasted either of them when they were young, but they might well have fructified, neither was either of them a common Law breaker, intangled with prain se or præcontract, and as for consanguinity, or affinity, there was no more betwixt them, than is betwixt Jack Flecher and his bolt. You may imagine some matter by onely imagination, perhaps more visible than it could have bene, being true, whereupon a publike sentence of separation being published a Thoro & mensa, but then there was a monition of chaste living, and prohibition to both the parties, that neither of them should slee to other marriage so long as both of them were living. And the Authoz of separation, that is the party suing divorce, did put in sufficient caution to doe nothing contrary to this prohibition. So that the holy liues of matrimony were not cleane broken, and pulled asunder, but within a yere or two they were reconciled, voluntarily of their owne accord. And some after (so I will make it) having the Distaffe, Spindle and Wheres all in mine owne hand, the husbands life was suddenly cut off, or else the wife had bene sole executrix.

Q 3

THE

of all this paper, it they have not written in their
 own law, and certainly it being not yet so far
 as to be able to differ of them upon the
 point, but they might well have foreseen, whether
 either of them a common law doctor, although
 from a great contract, and as to conveying, as
 to a point was more difficult than, than to
 back to the point, from which the law
 is by early investigation, perhaps more difficult than
 could have been, being true, together with a possible
 sense of reputation being finished a Thoro & more,
 but in there was a mention of that thing, and pro-
 bation to both the parties, that neither of them
 to other matters so long as both of them being
 that the Rules of Reputation, that in the party being
 more, did not in sufficient caution to be waiting
 to this reputation, so that the help lines of reputation
 to be not less broken, and being a number, but within
 was of two, they were received, voluntarily of their
 own accord, that was after (so I will make it) being
 the Justice, might and should all in mine own hand,
 the Justice, his own authority cut off, of the the
 will be the late

1783

LHE

Q



THE WOMANS LAWYER.

The fourth B O O K E.



Ale death equo pulsans pede pauperum ta-
 bernas regnumque turres: Death, I say,
 to whom the Poet did attribute so much
 power in this his verse, Omnia sub leges
 mors vocat atra suas, hath called the hus-
 band hence, left the house full of mour-
 ning, and specially the wife cannot chuse but sorrow and
 lament. If any foure legged beast should fall into halues,
 the one halfe starks dead without motion or spirit, and the
 other halfe standing still vp-right, sensing, seeing, feeling,
 gazing; must it not, thinke you, be wonderfully astoni-
 shed? If an Elephant, in whom (as some doe write) is
 vnder standing of his countreyes speech, a wonderfull in-
 mozic and recenting of things past, a great delight in love
 and glorie, besides prudence, equitie, and religion; should
 haue his head cut off, his body remaining still for e all that
 vegetable and sensitive, would he not (trow ye) be exco-
 ding sorrowfull for the forgoing such an ornament, I dare
 be bold to giue a woman as much as Pliny gaue the Ele-
 phant:

phant: She hath vnderstanding, and speech, firme memorie, lone naturall, and kindnesse, desire of glorie and reputation, with the accomplishment of many meritorious vertues: But alas, when she hath lost her husband, her head is cut off, her intellectuall part is gone, the vertic faculties of her soule are, & will not say, cleane taken away, but they are all benumbed, dimmed and dazled, so that she cannot thinke or reuiew her when to take rest or reseruation for her weake body. And though her spirits and naturall moysture being inwardly exhausted, with sorrow and extreme griefe, she be called and enforced to seeke re-
 stauration, by such aliments as life is prolonged by, yet is she nothing desirous of life, hauing lost a moytie of her selfe, yea the principall moytie now best prized and esteemed, but neuer best loued: Tune must play the Physitian, and I will helpe him a little: Why moune you so, you that be widowes: Consider how long you haue bene in subiection vnder the predominance of parents, of your husbands, now you be free in libertie, & free propriours at your owne Law, you may see num. cap. 10. That maidens and wiues bowes made vpon their soules to the Lord himselfe of heauen and earth, were all disallowable and infringible, by their parents or husbands, vnlesse they ratified and allowed them, either expresse or by silence, at the day when such bowes came first to their notice and knowledge: But the bow of a widow, or of a woman diuorced, no man had power to disallow of, for her estate was free from controlment. But a woman needs waite thus for the loss of her Buckler, Shield, and defence, in the person of him with whom she held daily commutation of all offices proceeding from loue and superlatiue kindnesse. Let her learne to cast her whole loue and deuotion on him, that is better able to loue and defend her than all the men in the world, Him I meane that hath forbidden to assault widowes or orphans, with promise to heare their cries, and vindicate their wrongs, by killing them by the sword, and making the wiues widowes, and
 their

their child:zen fatherlesse, of them which breake this Com-
mandement, Exod. cap. 22. Then because a sober care-
fullnesse and moderate sedulitie, in businesse of profit or
disprofit, doth mitigate greatly the sorowling for such a-
ccions, as opinion or farric makes thus grievous, let her
looke to her affaires as cause and need requircth.

SECT. I.

Of Executorship and Administration.

She is not made an Executor, because the office is
stroublefome, let her take heed she make not her selfe an
Execut^r de son tort demesiac, by her owne wrong, 23. H. 6.
fol. 31. Action of debt was had against a woman as Cre-
cutrix to her late husband. She pleaded that her husband
made B. and C. his Executors, which taking Administration
from the Archbishop, did de iure to this Defendant
three robes, which her husband gave her by will, sans ceo,
that euer she administrated in any other manner. A Justice
held this for no good plea, because here was no co-
lour of any Administration, for that is no Administration
to use her owne goods. But if one administer (quoth he)
about funerall expences and nothing else, hee may in a
Writ brought against him, plead the Administration for
this onely cause, sans ceo that he administrated in any other
manner, for here was a kinde of Administration which
shall charge the partie no further than the goods admini-
strated will reach. But for a woman to take her owne
goods is none Administration at all, Concessum per Cu-
riam. And there it is said, that the Law allowes a wo-
man convenient apparrell, but not excessive, &c.

Anno primo Eliz. In an Action of debt as against an
Executor, upon a plea of ne vnques execut^r ne vnques Ad-
ministrater & de executor. A speciall verdict was found, how
the Defendant had recovered 10. l. that was due to the
Defuncte,

Defunct, and made an acquaintance for it, taking also into his hands all the goods and chattels, that were the said Wyrials, so was his name, using them as his owne, this was holden a sufficient Administration. And saith Justice Dyer fol. 166. I take for a rule, that occupation and possession of a dead mans goods, giueth sufficient notice of the person which shall bee charged to administer, bee it either ordinary or Executor. 17. Edw. 3. Action of debt was mainteynable against a Deane onely, gardiam spirituum sede vacante ad cuius manus bona deuenerunt, without naming the Chapter, and issue was taken vpon the deuenerunt, viz. the possession. And such an action may be against an Executor alone, which hath possession of the goods, 8. Edw. 3. In a Writ of Dower against one Executor alone that held the ward in his only custody, hee was named Custos and not Executor.

And for this reason it is, that though an Executor bring action must shew how hee is Executor for the most part, yet the like is not needfull in an action against an Executor, for hee may be an Executor sundry ways, by Testament, by letter of the Ordinary, or by his voluntary Administration, and taking vnto him possession, use, and occupation of the goods la. g. 50. Ed. 4. fo. 72.

And if an Administrator bringeth an action, hee shall say in his Count qui obiit intestatus, and not vt dicitur, but where one declares against an Administrator, it is the usuall forme to say qui obiit intestatus vt dicitur for the Plaintiffe, there is not intended to know certainly whether the Defendant be Administrator, or not. And see Greysbrooke ca. Plowd. fo. 276. b. & c. that where letters of Administration are pleaded in Law, they need not be shewed to the Court otherwise in the Count, &c.

And a woman taking more apparel than is conuenient for her degree, without legacy or licence is an Executor de son tort demesne, 33. Hen. 6. yet there is some possession or meddling, that the Law tolerateth, and is callorabie, and yet it doth not lynch with it, as experiences
about

about funerals, or if one be made Coadintor, or Superbisitor, or if he haue letters ad collegendum, or if he were Executor, by a former will dispyoued by a latter will.

Likewise if a Feme Couert bee made Executrix, not meddling with any goods, &c. refuse to administer when she is sole. In all these cases there is a Cullor of authority, and the party shall plead the especiall matter, sans ceo, that he administered in any other manner.

But he which claimeth by guist, shall plead absque hoc quod vt Executoris. In the principall Case Dyer concludeth, that the Plaintiffe should be without remedy, if he might not haue the action. And if (saith he) a lawfull Executor by his euill administration, viz. Conuersion of goods to his owne vse shall be charged, it must needs be thought reasonable, that he should be in better case and discharged, that executeth but by wrong of his owne carriage: Thus saire Dyer. Sometime the husband dyeth in so good time, that it were madnesse in his widow to refuse administration. Know therefore that by the Statute 21 Hen 8. ca. 5. When the husband dieth intestate, or the Executors named in the Testament doe refuse to proue it the ordinary or persons, which haue authority to take probat of Testaments, shall grant administration to the widow of him which is deceased, or to the next of his kin, or to both, as by his discretion shall bee thought good, taking suerty of him, or them, to whom such commission shall be made, for true administration of the goods, debts, &c.

And where diuers persons claime Administration as next of kin, which are all in equall degree, or where one claimeth where indeed diuers be in equality of kindred with him, the ordinary shall haue liberty to grant it to one or more of them which require it. And where one or more, but not all of them which are in equality of degree, doe make request, the Ordinary may admit the widow, and him or them onely making request, or any one of them at his pleasure, taking nothing, &c. vnlesse the goods doe amount to aboue the value of five pounds, the penalty is forfeiture.

for seizure of so much money as was received contrary to this Act to the party grieved, and ten pound to the King and party grieved besides.

But by the ancient custome of the Realme: If any man dyed intestate, the Ordinary might dispose of his goods in such uses, he might lease, present, give or grant them, if it was he not chargeable in any action prosecute, by creditors of the intestate, because forsooth hee was a Judge spirituall, and not subiect to temporall suit, for things committed to him bypon conscience.

But West. 2. ca. 20. n. a. c. An. 17. F. 1 is Cum post mortem alienus decedens intestat. & obligati aliquibus in debito, & the goods come to the Ordinaries hands, it is ordeined that hee answer to action as an Executor shall doe, quatenus bona defuncti sufficientia. Then againe, because Will the Ordinary might neither meddle nor be meddled with, for things in action as debts, &c. 31. Edw. 2. cap. 11. ordeineth, that in Case of intestate the Ordinary shall deputate the most trusty and nearest friends of the dead to Administer, and that they shall haue action of debt, or answer in action of debt, and bee accomptable to Ordinaries, &c. as Executors. I will waide no further here in the office of Executor or Administrator, except it bee onely to shew unto you, how next of kin in the Statute of 21. H. 8. hath bene taken.

A sonne of Charles Duke of Suffolke, by a second wenter, having certaine goods by his fathers Will, dyed intestate, and without wife or issue, his mother who was daughter to the Lord Willoughby toke Administration, which was afterward renoked after great argument in the spirituall Court, as well by common Lawyers, as Cullians, in the behalves of the said mother Dutchesse of Suffolke, and Lady Francis wife to the Marquis Dorset, Sister of the halfe blood to Henry the Incestate, which sued to reverse the Administration, and obtined it her selfe, though shee were but sister, de demy sanka, for the mother is not next of kin to her sune sonne in this matter, but

must descend and not ascend, either by one Law or the other, and childzen be de sanguine patris & matris, sed pater & mater non sunt de sanguine puerorum. Contrary it is of bethzen and sisters, 5. Edw. 6. 47. in Brooke titulo Administrator. There is also this Case, William Rawlins Clericus died in estate, administration was committed to Sir Humphrey Browne, who had married Rawlins his sister, William Shelton, and John Shelton, sonnes to the Lady Browne by her first husband, reuered the administration and obtained it for themselves.

But see in Sir Edward Cokes 3. Rep. in Ratcliffs ca. fol. 40. it is said that the booke of 5. Edw. 6. haue bene often times resolved to bee no Law, and that the goods of the sonne or daughter ought to be granted to the father or mother as the next of blood, and there is Littleton cited who saith, that although the sonnes lands goe to the vncler, yet the father is next of blood.

SECT. II.

Reasonable part of the goods.

If there bee a will proued, the widow must take such goods as were bequeathed her by delivery from the Executors, but whether here were a will or none in some places, she shall haue a third part of all her late husbands goods. For this there is an ordinary writ to the Sheriffe, where she cannot haue a third part of that which remaines after funerals discharged, and legacies payd and performed, to summon the Executors to appeare and make answer why she should not haue, as the custome of the Court is, that women ought to haue *rationabilem partem de bonis & catallis virorum*. The like writ is for childzen, whether they be sonnes, or daughters, or both. And this writ speaketh of a custome in the County, that childzen which are not heires nor prouided in the fathers life time, shall haue

haue their reasonable part, 3. Edw. 3. A Writ of debt was brought by a man & Alice his wife against the Executors of his wifes father, & declaration was upon custome of the Shire, that childzen not advanced should haue their reasonable part of their fathers goods, the Executors said, that Alice was married by her father in his life time, iudgement si action, &c. It is no answer said one, to say that she was married by her father, except you say also by, or with her fathers goods, and to her conuenient advantage, and here the husband at time of the marriage, or after had neuer any land. The Executors said still she was conueniently married by her fathers procurement, &c. And in the end the Baron and Jeme offered to auerre, not married by the father, on which point the issue was toynded, Fitzh. Dett. 156.

40. Edw. 3. In a rationabili parte honorum, brought by a daughter counting on the custome of the Towne, that euery son and daughter should haue a reasonable part, the defendant pleaded a reuerfion descended to her, which she might sell for her advancement in marriage, iudgement si action, &c. Mowbray said, the Lozds in Parliament would not agree that this action is maintainable by any common custome or Law of the Realme. Doctoz and St. fol. 132. n. by the custome of some Country, the childzen (the debts and legacies paid) shall haue a reasonable part of the goods of the dead. 39. Edw. 3. fol. 9. 10. One brought a Writ of Detinue for certaine goods, shewing the custome of Sulter: That where the father dyed intestate, his heire should haue a reasonable part of his Chattels, and upon this custome hee demanded goods come to the Descendants hands: It was argued whether the custome were good or no. Morris: such a custome hath bene allowed in Eyre 21. Hen. 6. fol. 1. & 2. In fine casus a woman brought a Writ of detinue against her husbands Executors for a moiety of his goods, as for her reasonable part by custome, and the Descendant was compelled to answer. 7. Edw. 4. fol. 30. & 21. In a rationabili parte honorum,

rum, iudgement was asked of the declaration, because the custome was, that where the Baron dyed sans issue, the wife should haue a moiety of his goods, after debts and funerals discharged, but if there were issue, shee should haue but a third part, and here the Plaintiffe had a demurder moiety without alleaging that the baron died sans issue, &c.

The Plea was amended by permissance of the Iustices, for Dauby said, t. e. widaw had es god tit'e to the goods as to lands at the common Law. But Car. by spied another fault in the Count, viz. Continuance of the custome not alleaged.

18. Hen 6. fo. 4. in a racionabili parte honorum one Executor appearing, confessed the action, and the others made default, wherupon the Plaintiffe recovered presently by equity of the Statute 9 Edw. 3. cap. 3. by which the Executor comming first must answer. Like, or the same learning is in the former Booke 7. Ed. 4. where Choke said, that alwayes if ne vnques executor, ne vnques administrat'or eoe executor be a good plea (ve hic) the Executor first appearing must answer.

For that many times in stead of this writ de racionabili parte honorum, a writ of debt sometimes, and many times of detinue hath serued, and you may finde further 52. and 53. Seculo Decimo in Fitz. And the great variance is in this, that the action is founded on a custome sometime of the Towne, sometime of the County, and sometime of the Realme, for indeed many haue ioiden that it is generall like an action of the Case against an Hostler, or an action de igne custodiendo. So teacheth Glanuil. and so Fitz. who telleth vpon magna Charta cap. 18. which prescribing how the Kings debts shall be leuied of his goods that is dead, willethe the surplussage to remaine for the Executors ad testamentum defuncti p'implend. Illius uxori & puenis eius partibus racionabilibus, which being of a reasonable part may be restrained to places where custome peebleth it, for ought that I perceiue Bracton in this passage, is like a peece of Romane ancient coyne that time hath rusted and defaced.

If a man (saith he) make a Testament, he ought to remember his Lord of whom he holdeth his land with the best thing he hath, and the Church with the next: If the wife dye before the goodman, the Church must have likewise the second best beast of all the stocke heard or drome, but hee saith, this is of grace and permission of the husband, and though a man bee not bound to give any thing to the Church nomine sepulture, yet if he doe it is a laudable gift, and Dominus papa will not be against it. A woman that is at her owne commandment may make a Will, and dispose the fruits and corne growing on her Dowry lands, whether they be severed from the soile, or not severed, quod olim non potuit sed nunc de gratia potest. She that is sub potestate viri, can make no Will without her husbands ratification, though by custome sometimes women doe make Wills of that which might have fallen to their reasonable part, &c. or of things given them, ad ornatum sicut de robis & iocalibus.

A man may make a Will of all his things moueable, excepting so much as he oweth, for debts are before legacies, and the King before all Creditors. It is lawfull for the Viscount or Kings Bayliffe, shewing his letters Warrants out of the Exchequer, to attach all the goods and chattels of him which is dead found within his lay see to the value of the debt, &c. and to imprison them, by view of lawfull men, so that nothing bee amoued till the debt bee payd, and the remainder of all such chattels shall bee to the Creditors debitum, vero defuncti quod debetur. Iudeis non visurabitur quamdiu haeres infra statem extiterit, neither shall the King when a Jewes debt cometh to him take any more than the principall, neither shall a womans Dowry be chargeable with her husbands debt. Dos debet esse libera, and when a man dieth intestate, the execution of his goods belongeth to the Church and his friends deducting first out of them his chere debts, amongst which must bee reckoned his seruants wages, certaine and incertaine, if incertaine they shall be taxed by the incertaine friends,

friends, & the charges of his buriall, & funerall expences taken out of the stocke, that which remaineth must be diuided into thre parts, whereof one shall goe to the wife, the second to the childzen, and the Testator hath absolute power to dispose of the third. If there be no childzen, *vna medietas defuncto, alia vxori reſeruaſur*: If there be no wife, *vna medietas defuncto, alia liberis tribuitur*: And where there is neither wife nor childzen, *tunc id totum remanet defuncto*: The heire is bound to pay his predecessors debts, so farre sozth as the inheritance fallen to him will extend and further as his owne grace and good liking leads him. *Ea que dicta sunt locum habent & tenent*, all this is Law, saith Iohn Bracton, except custome sway otherwise as in Citics, Boroughes and Townes. London he saith hath a custome, that when certaine Dowry is appointed to a woman, either in money or other chattels or houses, shee shall demand no ouerplus of her husbands goods, except it be the increment which he giveth by his voluntary bequest. And the reason why shee shall haue not plus quam dotem constitutam, is because ipsa preduccet dotem suam ante omnes debitores. His conclusion is that Citizens wiues and childzen shall haue no more than is bequeathed to them, but be exempted from the generall custome: *vix enim inueniretur aliquis Ciuis qui in vita magnum questum facerit, si in morte sua cogeretur inuitus bona sua relinquere pueris indoctis & luxuriosis & vxoribus malemeritis, &c.* I am sozry that Bracton seemeth to conceiue no better hope of Citizens wiues, but it may be he was deceiued not onely in his opinion of Borough women, but of Law also, for he makes his diuision of a mans goods into thirs or seconds, shutting it cleane out of Citics and Townes Corporate, to bee generall which Mowbray ere while told you, the Lords would not confesse to be Law, 40. Edw. 3. And many arguments may be made to the contrary, for indeed it might most properly fit and be convenient for Citizens, whose estate consisteth very often rather in moueable goods than in lands,

lands, and seeing the custome serueth not for heires that haue their fathers inheritance, widowes may most reasonably be barred from it that haue ioyntures, or reasonable part of inheritance, which are not the widowes of Citizens, for the most part. But let vs end this matter with Sir Thomas Smith De republica Anglor. lib. 3. cap. 6. Though our Law may seeme somewhat rigorous towards wines, yet for the most part, they can handle their husbands so well, and doucely, specially when they bee sicke, that where the Law gives them nothing, their husbands at their death of their good will give them all, and few there be that be not either made sole, or chiefe Executors of the husbands last Will and Testament, hauing for the most part the government of the childzen and their portions, except it bee in London, where a peculiar order is taken by the City, much after the fashion of the Law euill.

 SECT. III.

Of Quarentine.

ALl this while the widow remaines still in the house where her husband dwelt, for as Breton saith, en bone Chriffien, though perhaps not in excellent French, ne assiet mye que feesmes soient botes hors ouelq; le cors de lour barons. Therefore Magna Charta cap. 7. giueth a Widow quarentine or forty dayes aboue in the capitall messuage of her husband after his decease, except the house be a Castle. If shee must leaue it because it is a Castle, there must presently a competent habitation bee provided for her, in which she may honestly dwell till Doctor be assigned her, and in the meane season shee shall bee allowed reasonable costours in the common, &c. The writt that goeth out to the Sheriffe, or Kings Bailiffe, upon execution is a commission commanding speedy Justice, and therefore

therefore proces is to be awarded vpon it against the party offending to appeare within a day or two, not tarrying for the County day, and the proceeding is as in a commission of Oyer and Terminer.

See 6. Edw. 6. fol. 76. in Dyer, A Writ of Dower was brought, and the Tenant pleaded in abatement of the Writ, that since the darren continuance the Demandant had entred into part, &c. Shewing incertaine which, and this was holden a good Plea, and the demand being of franchtenement, the demandants entry hath abated the whole Writ, yet 45. Edw. 3. in a scire facias to haue execution of Dower, such an entry pleaded was not good; The Demandant to maintaine her Writ said, that her husband dyed seised in fee, and that hee and shee the same Demandant, cohabitabunt super eodem manerio vt vir & vxor vsque ad diem obitus sui, with protestation, that it descended to the Defendant which entrod, and that shee continued possession cohabiting with him, and shee held the same at the pleasure and will of the heire, & non aliter: This, saith Dyer, is holden no good pleading for the quarentine, but shee should haue shewed the death of her husband certaine, and the time of the forty dayes continuing, therefore the opinion of the Court made her waive her plea, and traues the entry, nota prolege: If a woman marry within the forty dayes, shee loseth her quarentine Dower. Brooke ty. Dower 101. 1. M. But if otherwise she be ousted by the heire within the forty dayes, shee shall haue a Writ de quarentena habenda no na br. 161. b.

SECT. IV.

Assignment of Dower.

Now to assignment of Dower, it is true that when it appeares certaine what it is that a woman shall haue in Dower, shee may entor presently when her hus-

band is dead, and carry for none Assignment, per Littleton, yet Perkins saith, if a man dye seised of iij. s. rent charge in fee, though here the third part bee certaine enough, his widdow shall not distraine for iij. d. before Assignment. Nay further, if she recover this Dower by action, yet shee shall not distraine for it before execution. But if the Lord of a Manor doe marry with a woman tenant by iij. s. rent and dye, here shee shall have iij. d. Dower by way of retenir without any Assignment. And in case where rent is recovered in Dower, the Uscount may deliver seisen by grasse, by a bough, by a clad of land, or by the distress of beasts, taken vpon the land, though the day of payment be not yet come. But the party cannot charge any those beasts, 40. Ed. 3. fo. 22.

SECT. V.

Who may assigne Dower.

Sometimes Dower is assignable by the husbands heire, as if a man seised of two acres of land in one County, make a feoffment of one acre with warranty and dye, the heire may indow the widdow with parcel of the acre remaining in allowance and full satisfaction of the whole Dower, & here, for if in a writ of Dower brought by her against the feoffee of her husband, shee vouch the heire, &c. shee shall recover conditionally against the voucher. And if the heire make a Lease for life of part of such lands as are to him descended, and indow his mother of the parcell remaining in allowance of all, &c. it is good, yet in this case in a writ of Dower against the Lessee, if hee vouch his Lessee, the recovery shall not be against the voucher, because he is not bound to warranty as the heire of his father. But if he had bene generally vouched, the heire, and had generally entred into warranty, iudgement perhaps should bee conditionally against him. Sometimes the

the husbands one seofce, or vendee shall assigne Dower for the rest. And if a woman accept Dower from one of her husbands seofces, in parcell of his land, in allowance of her Dower of the rest, it seemeth this shall binde her against the other seofces, yet some have doubted thereof, because the other seofces, say they, cannot plead this in an action of Dower against them, neither is there meane to bring into Court him which made assignement, being a stranger. If divers Joyntenants bee of certaine lands assignement of Dower, by one of them shall bee good against them all. But if one Joyntenant of land assigne rent in allowance of Dower, his fellows shall not be distrained for this rent, for there could be none inforcement to assigne Dower after this manner. Likewise if the Dessoior assigne a rent charge out of the land, this shall not bind the Desselise, *canta qua supra*.

Assignement of Dower may be by one which is a Disseisor Abator, or Intruder, &c. if this assignement be without fraud in the woman indowed, and sans tort to any other person, it is good, though the Assignor be a tortious Possessor, but if there bee any such couine, or tort, the assignement is voidable, for the most part by entry. 44. Ed. 3. fol. 46. A woman that had title of Dower, with intent of defeating the Tenants warranty made a stranger to enter, and against him she recovered Dower, it was holden in an Assize, which shee brought afterwards, that her recovery would not serue her, but her estate was gained by deselisein, because of the couine.

Assignement of Dower by him which hath Franchement is good, and if the wife hath not right of Dower of that which is so assigned by the Tenant of the Franchement, yet that shall stand until it bee defeated. And if tenant per elegit, Statute Staple, or Statute merchant assigne Dower, it is not good. And Assignement of Dower by gardian in socage seemes not to be good, saith Perkins, for a Writ of Dower lyeth not against such a gardian, see 29. Ass. p. 68. But Assignement by gardian in Chival-

ry is god till it be defeated, and it shall neuer be defeated, if the womans title of Dowry be full.

SECT. VI.

Assignment to her selfe, or de la plus beale.

If a man seised of forty acres of land, 20. by Chivalry, and 20. by soccage die, &c. and his wife being gardian in soccage, bying her Writ of Dowry in the Kings or some others Court, against the Lord which is gardian in Chivalry, he may plead this matter, and pray to haue it aduaged, that the woman indow her selfe of the fairest in her owne possession, and if she cannot deny the case, it shall be iudged for the Lord, to retaine quietly the lands which hee hath during the nonage of the Infant. And after this iudgement the woman may indow her selfe in presence of her neighbours, by limits and bounds de la plus beale part of the soccage lands, to haue & to hold to her selfe for terme of her life. This manner of indowment is neuer before iudgement bee given for it, either in the Kings or some other Court, and it is to saue the state of gardian in Chivalry, Perkins giueth this matter, which Linceton lea- ueth thus raw, a turne or two moze. And so doth Kebic 14 Hen. 7. 26. If, say they, the land which the woman hath by her gardianship, bee not the whole balew of her iust Dowry for the smalnesse of it, or because it is charged with some rent, she may shew the matter in her replication: And if the Lord cannot deny it, or doe trauers it, and it is found against him, then shall the woman haue so much of the lands holden in Chivalry, as together with that shee hath in possession already, may make by iust a third part of her husbands inheritance. If the inheritance were all of soccage tenure, the widow being gardian cannot indow her selfe de la plus beale, but shee shall be allowed a third part in her account for so long tyme, as shee is Guardian,

Gardian, for if she bring her Writ of Dower in this case against the heire he cannot plead her guardianship, and that she may indow her selfe, See 45. Edw. . . fol. 6. If such a Feine gardian bring a Writ of Dower against one whom her husband infeofed with warrantie, hee shall not pray that she indow her selfe, for he may vouch the heire which Gard ne in Chivalry cannot doe. It is no good plea for Gardine in Chivalry to say the Demandant was gardian in soccage, &c. but hee must shew that she is gardian in soccage our del brief purchase, and this is good till she haue shewed by replication the land deuicted from her possession.

If a widdow gardian in facto, of some lands that were her husbands, and holden in Chivalry, purchase her Writ of Dower against another Gardian in Chivalry, hee shall not plead the speciall matter, and plead *vs supra*, for the wardship is here to the widdowes owne use and profit.

SECT. VII.

*Assignment of Dower by the King. Statutum
prerogative ca 4. fol. 17. Ed. 2.*

The Statute is that after the deaths of husbands which held of the King in Capite, the King shall assigne Dower, yea although the heire be of full age, Videlic *si voluerunt*. And such widdowes before assignation of Dower, whether the heire be of full age or vnder, shall sweare not to marry without the Kings licence: If they doe marry without licence, the King shall take into his hands as a distresse all the Lands and Tenements holden of him in Dower so that the woman shall take no profit of it, till shee or her husband haue satisfied the Kings will by fine, which was wont to be *rempote regis Henrici patris regis*. Ed. 2 saith the Statute, at full yeerely value of the whole Dower, nisi *veriorem gratiam habuerint mulieres*. And

Women which bee themselves Tenants in Capite of inheritance, what age soener they be of, shall swear likewise not to marry without the Kings licence. Si fecerint, & capiantur eodem modo in manus Regis, &c. This Statute is proved to bee but confirmation of the common Law, 24. H. 3. Prerogative 27. in Fitzherbert, and by man. Char. c. 7. *ul a vidua distringatur ad se maritandum dummodo voluerit vivere sine marito: Ita tamen quod securitatem faciat, quod se non maritabit sine assensu nostro, si de nobis revertit vel sine assensu domini sui si de alio tenerit.* Firzb. in natu. br 263. Shows the manner of indowment by the King: The widdow must come into Chancery, and make oath not to marry sans licence, whereupon the King may make the Assignement in the Chancery, and direct his Writ to the Escheator, certifying him that hee hath assigned a third part of such lands, with a third part of the liberty of Court view of franckpledge, &c. commanding him to make livery of the same to have in Dower, or the woman may after she hath sworne, have a writ reciting her oath, and commanding the Escheator to make assignement. But the most usuall course is *ut antea.*

And the King though hee hath committed custody of lands to another person, may assigne Dower to the widdow in Chancery notwithstanding, and shee shall have a Writ to the Escheator, yea and the King may grant a Writ to the Escheator, commanding him to take surety of the widdow not to marry sans licence, and then to assigne her Dower, as *precipimus tibi ut capto sacramento, &c. assignari & libari facias, &c.*

If the Tenant which is dead held by Chivalry of some Bishoppe or such like which is in the Kings hands by vacancie, the widdow must demand her Dower in Chancery, and shee shall have a Writ for her Assignement to the Escheator, but in this case shee swears not to marry sans licence. So is it also when Dower is demanded of lands, holden of a common person in Chivalry, where the heire is in the Kings ward per nonage.

And

And the King may assigne Dower in Chancery rendering rent to him, because the lands assigned doe exceed a iust third part of the Tenements, wherof Dower is assignable. If the widdow be so weake or impotent that she cannot trauell to the Chancery to take her oath, and demand Dower, she may sue a speciall Writ to some person, both to take her oath, and to receiue a Attorney. whom she will constitute to sue in her stead. If livery be made to the heire being of full age with a reseruatiō of Dower, to be assigned to the King, and then the widdow cometh into the Chancery for Dower as shee must doe, there shall goe a speciall Writ to the Escheator, to warne the heire that he be in Chancery at a certaine day, and the widdow shall be appointed the same day to receiue her Assignement. But if the Writ of Livery directed to the Escheator be generall, without clause of *salua dora per nos assignanda*, the widdow must now sue for her Dower by Writ of Dower against the heire. If the King when he makes livery reserves Assignement of Dower to himselfe in his Writ to the Escheator, now whether the widdow come and demand dower in Chancery, or demand no dower, yet the reversion is in the heire after assignement, for after the death of Tenant in Dower the heire shall not sue any new livery. Because the first writ commands all the lands to be deliuered, and so the Escheator doth deliuer all, nothing being reserved to the King, but onely Assignement of Dower. If after this Assignement it be furnished by the heire, or other body, that the land which the woman hath, is of far greater value than it was made by the extent, &c. if the excess be found and returned, a *scire facias* shall goe, forth to cause the woman to come and shew cause why she should not take a new Indowment.

If she appeare and cannot gainsay the matter, or if she were warned and make default, it seemeth in both cases, she shall be endowed a new. So that parcell of the lands which she hath, shall be taken from her, or the King may, if hee will, make assignement altogether new, by a new

Writ

Writ to the Countess. If the widow after she is swoyned and indowed, doe marrie sans licence, the King sends to the Excheator to seise those lands, which she holdeth in Dowry, by a Writ reciting the oath, the indowment and marriage with this in it, Nos contemptum huiusmodi nolentes transire impunitū, necnon in demeritū nostrę volentes prospicere, tibi præcipimus (si ita est) tunc omnia terras & tenementa quę tenet in Dote, &c. capias in manū nostrę. Ita quod de exitibus inde provenientibus nobis respondeas ad scaccarium nostrum quousque nobis de Forisfactura ad nos inde pertinetur satisfactus fuerit. Thus saith Fitzherbert. Stamford argueth, whether Fitzherbert deliuer the Law rightly or no, in this that he saith, the King may assigne Dowry in Chancerie, though hee haue committed ouer the wardship of land to some other body: for many writs are in the yeare booke brought against the Committees in such a case. And in some booke the woman recouers Dowry, the King neuer being made priuie: As titulus ad del roy 33. Fitz. is the case 4. H. 7. fol. 1. Action of Dowry was against the Kings Committees during the heires nage, the Defendant shewed how it was found by office, that the husbands father tenant to the King, died seised hauing issue, the husband which entred sans office, and died, leauing his heire vnder age, all which matter was found by office: whereupon the King seised, committed the land to the Defendant, &c. Iudgement si actione.

And the widow was adjudged dowable. Bryan, who at the first was in minde to proceed no further without aid of the King, when hee had considered the Statute de Bigamis, cap. 3. awarded presently that the woman should recouer Dowry. The Statute is, Vbi custodes hereditatis maritorum suorum custodias habent ex dono vel concessione regis, siue custodes rerum petitarum teneant, siue heredes dictorum tenementorum vocentur ad warrantiam si excipiant quod sine rege respondere non possunt, non ideo suspensedatur, quin in loquela predicta prout iustus fuerit procedatur. Stamford noteth some booke wherein is found, that

that heires in custodie of Committees touched to Warrantie, haue come in and had aid of the King, directly contrarie to this third Chapter de Bigamis. But whether the Kings grant in those cases were Durante minoritate, or Durante bene placito, it appears not in the booke, and that makes a great difference.

Likewise if the Writ of Dower be against the Committee of a Committee: And if Wardship be committed to the widdow without exception or forepize of Dower, she is concluded to claime any Dower during the Wardship. In Stamfords opinion the new Nat. Brev. and the case supra 4. H. 7. doe not agree. Howbeit for my part I finde not the repugnancie; for as the King may assigne Dower to his widdawes, though the heire be of full age, Vidua si voluerit, so Fitzherbert saith hee may assigne Dower if he will, though he haue committed the land &c. And this doth not denie, but rather affirme that in some case the Committee may assigne Dower: If the Committee (as Stamford himselfe confesseth) assigne Dower to one that is not dowable, or if his assignation exceed in measure, the King may reforme it. And if a woman endowed by the Kings Committee will marrie sans licence, because she stands unsworne, for in the Common place is no swearing in this point, her lands are neuer a whit less subject to seizure for the contempt, therefore in the end he concludeth, that where a Ward is committed ouer, the woman hath election, whether shee will sue to the King in Chancerie, or at Common Law against the Committee, vnlesse it be where the grant of a Ward is: but Durante bene placito, for in that case of necessity the suit must be to the King. See Sir Edw. Cokes Institutes, fol. 38. the reason why a Writ of Dower is maintainable against the Committee of the King.

Stamford thwarteth Fitzherbert also in that that hee saith, a widdow must demand Dower against the heire, which hath Lierie without clause of Salua dote per nos assignand; for when Lierie is before Assignment of Dower,

Dower, there is commonly a saying in the Writs of Liverie, if so be the woman were found to be wife, &c. by the office. And if she be not found by the Inquisition, then there is a leaving out of Salva Dote, &c. in suing of general Liverie. Indeed if she were not found to be the Kings Tenants wife in the office, the heire may safely sue Liverie without any such saying: But Stamford agrees with Onslow Plow 332. in the case of Myones, that for Assignation of Dower, if the King have not expressly relinquished it, though the Liverie be sans el-ue of salva Dote, &c. yet this makes no such waiving of the prerogative, but that the King may assigne Dower to a widow, that by an office is found to have bene wife to the Kings Tenant at the time of his death, for without so much it seems the can neither demand it in Chancerie of the King, nor of the Committee, nor of the heire in the Common place, quere vide fol. 109. Prerogative of not assigning. The King hath a prerogative as well of not assigning, as of assigning Dower. As if the husbands Feeffe in a writ of Dower against him call to Warrantie the heire in the wardship of the King, &c. the woman shall recover against the Tenant, and no recoverie shall be as yet against the heire: But neither any common person, nor yet the Kings Committee of wardship, shall have this prerogative: But for the King himselfe, if in the case Judgement to recover in value be given for the Tenant, he must stay for execution till the Kings hands be removed, &c.

If a woman be endowed by her husbands Feeffe, of such lands as the husband did not die seised of, whereof also for this reason the King can have no wardship. Stamford's opinion is, that she cannot marrie sans licence.

For by 26. Assisarum Pl. 57. it appeareth that where a woman was endowed, by Gardian in Chivalrie, who was afterward attainted of treason, and his Heignozie forfeited to the King, she must hold now of the King, and not of the heire which was in reversion of the land: See accordz with Fitzherbert, that the Statute of Prerogative is

is understood onely of lands holden in capite, and therefore he must demand Dower of lands holden of a Bishoprick, or of Tenant in capite, when the temporalities, or the heire are in Custodia regis, the must be indowed in Chancery, but she may marrie when she list, and shall take no oath to the contrarie: Also if a widdow will relinquish her Dower of lands holden in capite, she may marrie sans licence. And see Dyer 2. M. 122. b. affirmeth, that the wife of Tenant paravaile shall not be two, ne as widdow of the king in the Chancery, when her Dower is assigned to her. The reason per Stamford is the copulative conjunction of Et si se maritaueit, to the former words of the Statute of demanding Dower, and swearing not to marrie: The words si vidua voluerint, he takes to imply no more but election of refusal, or taking of Dower, and that is manifest by the last clause of the Statute.

But by Fitzherberts writ, which hee sets downe for forme of seisure, when a widdow is married sans licence, it appears that the king may grant to another the marriage of his widdow or widdowes, and for marriage before agreement with such a Grantee the king may seize, and composition with such a Grantee by Baron or Peine before or after marriage, is as good as if it were with the king himselfe. But now by the Statute 32. H. 8. cap. 46. This composition is given to the Master of the Ward and Liveries, with three of the Councell of that Court, who have also authoritie to tax according to the Statute of Prerogative, a reasonable fine for marriage sans licence.

How much it ought to be is plaine by the Statute, as also what lands are subject to the Statute, as also what lands are subject to seisure aswell of the husbands lands as of the wifes. If that were reason, saith Fitzherbert, a waimans inheritance might be seised too, Et semble a boy, the king cannot grant marriage of his widdowes as he may of his wards; for a widdow may remaine sole without penaltie, or paying for it, by Mag. Chart. cap. 7.

But

But Stamford includeth, that a widow endowd of lands holden in capite by the Kings Committee, or husbands heire, though the woine is not freed from marriage sans licence, soz she is presently as soone as she is endowrd, tenant to the King, and not to the heire which is in reuerſion, yet only the heire is he, which shall haue action of waste against her; but if trespass be done vpon the ground, she may haue a writ out of Chancerie, supposing entrie vpon the Kings possession. And Auowrie to be made, by the King resteth onely vpon her, as holdeth Wood, 1. H. 7. fol. 17. and 4. H. 7. 1.

Now note that Endowment in Chancerie is of such strength, that be it by wrong or by right, it cannot be auoyded by plea without suit in Chancerie: And if it be too little, the woman must stand in her owne harmes, that hath once attempted it in Chancerie, bee she within age, or of full age, as appeares, 18. Ed. 3. fol. 29.

If any office be trauesed, because the land is holden not of the King, but of some other Lord, who therefore hath an Ouster le maine vna cum exitibus, yet Dower which is already assigned remaineth vndebeated, till another suit be made in Chancerie to auoid it.

Yet in this case, because Admeasurement, is no prejudice to the King of whom the land is not holden, the Lord that tendreth trauesse, may haue a Writ of Admeasurement at Common Law. And the heire may haue Admeasurement of Dower assigned by his Ancestoz: But an Abatoz cannot haue Admeasurement, neither can Gardian in fait haue Admeasurement vpon assignment by Gardian in droit, noz if the heire were at full age at his Ancestozs death, and died, his heire being within age, can the Gardian haue Admeasurement, but where a woman is endowd in Chancerie, and after ward the heire, or some other for the King surmiseth exesse of value, it may be admeasured beginning with Scire facias, as Fitzherbert hath taught supra, and fol. 249. a. If the husband had land in diuers Counties, by reason whereof diuers writs of diem

diem clausit extremum were awarded after his death into everie of those Counties, the widdow cannot be endowed till such time as all the writs be returned into Chancery. If after she is once endowed in Chancery, her Dower be recovered from her by any title, she hath no remedie but to remoue the record of this recoverie into Chancery, and then upon the first record which she weth that she was endowed, and upon this other of recoverie she shall have Scire facias, reciting both the records against him which is tenant of the two parts, to reseise them into the Kings hands, and so to bee newly endowed, but not to recover any damages, though damages were recovered against her, Lib. 43. Assisar. Pl. 32. soz by the latter part of the Statute Pzerogative, cap. 4. It seemeth the King hath lost his pzerogative, and that he is bound by West 1. cap. 2. Note that a woman Joynt purchaser with her husband is not within this Law to fine soz her marriage, when she becomes a widdow. (say A) therefore well fare a Joynture.

SECT. VIII.

Suit for Dower at the Common Law:

THUS we have seene how; and when a widdow must sue soz Dower in the Chancery, viz. when either her husband died the Kings tenant in capite, or by knights service, his heire under age, or otherwise tenant to some other, whose lands are in the Kings hands by vacancie, or nonage of the heire. But if the husband, which held in Socage, or by knights service, not of the King, did give or alien any manner of way his lands, or were disseised of them, or died seised of them. The widdow, if by simple demand she cannot obtaine her Dower to bee assigned her, may have a writ of Dower Vnde nihil habet at the Common Law against him which is tenant of the Franktenement,

tēment by the old Nat. breuium this writ is maintainable against him which hath possession of the land, by what manner so euer, or against the Guardian in Chivalrie, in this ope like forme, Rex Vicecomiti, &c. command A. to render to B. which was the wife of C. her reasonable Dowry, quia ad eam conuenit de libero tenemento, quod fuit p̄dicti C. sometime her late husband in D. unde iuris h̄i habet, & unde queritur quod A. ei defortiat, &c. & nisi fecerit & B. fecerit te securum de clamore prosequendo, &c. Summoneas A. ut sit apud Westm̄. ostensurus, nisi the Dowry were ad. o. s̄ium. Ecclesie, or ex assensu patris, or otherwise there is mention made of it in the writ. In London there may be a writ from the King to the Justices and Sherifes in these words, Quod Iusticiis A. quod iuste & sine delatione, & secundam consuetudinem civitatis nostre London reddi B. quia fuit uxor C. rationabilem dotem, &c. Et Iusticiis D. quod iuste, &c. whereby appears that a widow in London may have a writ of Dowry against severall tenents by severall Justices, as well as at the Common Law severall Precipes against severall tenants all in one writ, the Process in the Common Place, is summons, Grand cape & petite cape, in the Common Place this writ of Dowry, unde nihil habet, must be returned into the Kings Court, Et per grand reason, saith Britton cap. 10. 4. For if two or more women should strive, euerie of them affirming her selfe to be the lawfull wife of him which is dead, not minding to be buried with him, as is the rose in India, but to get a third of his lands: This must be tried by Certificate from the Bishop, unto whom if any but the King should write for the deciding of debate, it might fall out to be all in vaine, because none hath power but the King to compell the Bishop to make Certificate. In the next Chapter Britton helveth, that if the Tenant touch to warranty one which appeareth according to summons, the Plea shall proceed betwixt the Plaintiffe, & the Warrantor, or Clouche, the Tenant keeping seisen till the Warranty be determined.

Then if the Garrantie cannot be denied, nor the womans right disproued, if that which she demandeth were certainly assigned to her for Dowry from her husband, shee shall recover against the Tenant, Et le tenant le value.

But if the demand bee of no other than reasonable Dowry, the woman shall recover in value against the Warrantoz, and the Tenant shall hold his lands in peace: If so be this Warrantoz be vnder age, yet the Law fauoureth widdowes so much, that the plaint shall not attend his full age. Therefore if the Tenant shew forth any Charter, Dēd, or speciall cause, whereby the Court may perceiue that the Infant is bound to Warrantie by the Ancestozs act, he shall answer presently, what age so euer he be of. And though the Infant in ward be aliened by his Gardian or Gardians from hand to hand, this shall not preiudice the Voucher, for alwayes he shall vouch to warrantie the Heire and not the Gardian, who is bound to present his ward so vouched in Court without difference, whether it be one or many parceners. Thus saith Britton, and 48. Ed. 3. fol. 5. agreeth, that he which voucheth an heire vnder age, must vouch him in ward de vniuersiel. If he be a ward, it is said there also, that hee which voucheth an heire at full age, must shew a Dēd, quere. But when the lands are in the Gardians owne possession to his owne profit and vse, the writ of Dowry must be brought against the Gardian, and not against the Infant. 46. Ed. 3. fol. 19. Where Mowbray saith, where an Infant is vouched in ward of the King, the woman shall recover Dowry maintenant. 3. H. 6. fol. 17. It was agreed per curiam, that in Action of Dowry, if the tenant vouch the heire in the Kings ward within the same Countie where the writ is brought, the Demandant shall not recover before the warrantie be determined: but the Law is contra, if the Voucher had prayed summonis in another Countie, for then the Demandant should recover maintenant, yet by the Register fol. 7. if in a writ of Dowry the tenant vouch in Durham, the Demandant shall abide

triall of the warrantie, and not recover presently. But by Fitzherbert for a rule in trials. Toucher, if the tenant vouch in a foraine Countie, shee shall recover maintenance, and never attend triall of the warrantie, but when Toucher is in the same Countie. If the heire vouched to warrantie, after hee hath appeared and counterpleaded the warrantie, or before appearance, being lawfully summoned do make default, the Demandant shall have execution against his maintenaner, if hee have lands within the Countie, Brooke Dower 5. And also Dower the 65. When the heire is vouched in the same Countie, the woman shall recover against the heire. Dyer 3. Eliz. 202. In Dower the tenant vouch the heire in the same Countie, who comes as one that hath nothing by descent in fee, and renders Dower, the tenant avors, that he hath assers by descent, quare if he should not say in fee, for by Westoff and Browne, if the lands be in taile, it doth not save the tenants lands. And the opinion of the Court was, that the Demandant shall have Judgement presently against the heire if he hath lands, &c. and if not against the tenant, and that before the issue of the assers tried.

Ed. 3. fol. 24. In a writ of Dower against Tenant for life, if he vouch his Lessee, which is heire to the husband, the woman shall recover against the Tenant, and he over against the Toucher. But when the heire is vouched by Charter of his Ance for, the Demandant shall recover against the Toucher, and the Tenant shall hold in peace: Yet in a Writ of Dower against Lessee for life of the Barons demise, if the heire bee vouched to warrantie (though here the reversion which is the cause of the warrantie were made by the Baron) the Demandant shall recover against the Tenant, and he against the heire. If the tenant vouch in a writ of Dower, and the Toucher counterplead the warrantie, the woman shall recover maintenance, though in other actions it bee otherwise. 46. Ed. 3. fol. 25. and 49. Ed. 3. fol. 23. In a Writ of Dower the Tenant vouched himselfe, to save the estate taile.

taile. 2. H. 4. fol. 18. in Dower the Tenant vouched the heire, Proceſſe went on to ſequatur ſub ſuo periculo ſicut alias, the Vouchèr came not, it was awarded the Demandant ſhould recover againſt the Vouchèr, if hee had lands in the ſame Countie. If not, that ſhee ſhall recover againſt the Tenant, and hee over in value. But firſt it was examined if the Vouchèr were heire to the Baron.

21. Ed. 3. fol. 30. In Dower the tenant voucheth the Barons heire in ward of the demandant per cauſe de nurture, ſhewing the Anceſtors Dèd, he was compelled to plead in barre, becauſe now the woman might be endowed De la plus beale, ſoz Gardeine pur nurture, hath alwayes indentment to ſocage tenure. Vide Brooke Dower 42.

5. Ed. 3. The fathers wiſe was endowed, the Grandmother brought a writ of Dower againſt her, ſhe vouched the heire in reuerſion, the Demandant recovered againſt the tenant, and ſhee againſt the heire a third part of two parts remaining, but not in value. See Brooke Dower 79. If the Grandmother die, the mother may enter into the firſt dower, and the heire into the ſecond.

SECT. IX.

Plees in a writ of Dower.

Admitting there were no Voucher, let vs run over other matters uſually pleaded. 14. H. 4. 33. in Dower was demanded a third part of two mills & of other lands, & tenant asked Judgement of the plaintiffe: ſoz they were during the whole time of coverture, but the ſite of two mills, viz. tofts. 38. Ed. 3. id. 13. In a writ of dower againſt one as Gardian of land, and heire of K. de R. the defendant answered that the Infants father was l. de R. Judgement del briefe, and if the writ were good, hee was ready to render dower: Pou cannot, ſaid Knyvet, plead to the writ & render dower both at one day, ſo the demandant

praying Judgement, seisen was awarded her. And because she averred that the defendant was not courts temps pritt, to render dower, an Inquest of damages was awarded, and that execution should cease till the Inquest were pass.

13. Ed. 4. fol. 7. In action of dower the tenant pleaded courts temps pritt de render Dower, & vncore est. The demandant said that J. S. her husband died seised, and that such a day and yeere she required the tenant to indow her at Dale, which refused, &c. he replied that at the same day he offered to goe with her to the lands, and to assigne her dower, but she refused, sans ceo, that he refused: The Court held the Issue well taken by this special pleading. But if hee had said generally and barely hee refused not, some thought it had not bene sufficient, inso much as it denies not the request.

Bryan said the demandant here might not haue feverall Judgements of one thing, for note, shee was to recover dower upon the first plea, but all the other Iustices were of opinion cleere, that shee should haue Judgement of Dower maintenant, and 18. Ed. 3. In action of Dower Judgement was to recover dower with an inquest for damages. As in a Quare impedit the Plaintiffe may haue one writ to the Bishop, and another to the Sheriffe to enquire of damages.

Likewise 14. H. 8. fol. 25. in a plea of dower upon confession the demandant recovered Judgement, and after Judgement averring that her husband died seised, shee prayed a writ to enquire of damages, & habuit: for if the demandant in dower will recover damages, shee must euer surmize that her husband died seised, though the Tenant confesse the Action, or plead but onely to the Writ, and in the end of her Demise shee may maintaine the Writ, for sur plee & briefe, the dying seised appears not, without surmise, &c. 22. H. 6. fol 44.

SECT. X.

Detainer of Evidence.

By Perkins, none may deteine Dower for deteining of Evidence but only the heire to whom the evidence belongeth, and the heire, when he pleads, must shew what the evidence is, &c. And they must concerne the lands descended, vnto him wherof Dower is demanded: for hee may not deteine Dower of land which the Charters concerne not, or for Charters concerning his purchased lands, or those wherof he hath no seisin. Aliter, if they concerne some reversion descended; But if the heire come in touched to warranty by the Barons seofe, hee cannot plead this Detainer of Evidence, because in verity the land is another mans to whom most rightly the Charters belong. But one coparcener may have this plea after partition against her mother or other Demandant in Dower, though the evidence concerne the other parceners and her all alike; see 41. Titulo Dower in Brooke, If a widow that is with child deteine evidence against her husbands daughter and heire, or other heire collaterall, it shall bee no sufficient plea to delay Dower. 1. Perkins 70. & 71.

18. Hen. 8. fol. 1. The heire said, the Demandant deteined a bagge ensealed with the evidence, concerning the land, which if hee would deliuer hee was ready to render Dower, bone plee per Curiam.

32. Hen. 6. fol. 51. The Tenant pleaded for part of the land, wherof Dower was demanded non tenore, for another part detinue of Charters, for another part Joyntenance which his father, for another part demanded view: but it might not be granted, because he toke notice to himselfe of that part by pleading to the rest. And the Plaintiffe to his plea of surtins; pleaded his release made to the father her husband in his life time, Asinc seifi que Dowe, &c. The plea of Evidence deteined as Littleton

cleton said, went to the whole action, quod fuit, regatum, vide Brooke ry. Dower 4. but he was forced to shew what evidence he deteineth, viz. a speciall Charter.

41. Ed. 2. The Tenant pleaded a withholding of Evidence certaine, concerning his inheritance, and shewes what: Et que il a en terre toutes temps prest li, &c. the woman made title to two deeds, by gift to her husband and her selfe: and for the other Evidence, shee said whereas the Defendant claimed as brother and heire to her husband, shee kept it to the vse of her child: si oue q̄ loie infent q̄ terra heure si dien iuy done nostre, and issue was taken, whether she were infent die obitus mariti, not whether shee were infent per son baron die obitu. And that booke of 41. Edw. 2. is cited for law, in Sir Edw. Cokes 7. Rep. fol. 9 that a woman may deteine Charters for the heire in ventre sa mere. And 22. Hen. 6. fol. 16. It was agreed that detainer of Evidence is no plea in an Action of Dower, vnlesse it concerne Inheritance descended. Et sic videtur bidem. saith Brooke, that if it concerne Inheritance, though it be not the very land, wherof Dower is demanded, the plea is good, 9 Edw. 4. to plea of Charters detained, the Demandant answered veies cy le fan & pr a dower: the Court reading and perceiuing it to bee the deed, re. gatte iudgement for Dower.

14 Hen. 6. fol. 4 The Tenant pleaded detinue of a chest with two furs and other Charters: p Martin Justice, if the Chest were open he ought to declare euery deed, specially by it selfe, and so it is likewise in action of detinue, for a Chest open with evidence, quod curia concessit.

2. Hen. 7. fol. 6. Is set downe, the reason why the certainty of evidence detained must bee sholue, v. 2. That the Jury may be moze able to make their verdit, and the Court to iudge to whom they appertaine: for if they belong to the Defendants purchase, he is put to a Writ of detinue.

And 6. Eliz. Dyer 230 see, a man seised of four acres soccage land, and of one deed or Charter concerning those lands,

lands, by his last will in writing devised three of his acres to his youngest sonne in fee, the fourth acre to his wife for life, the remainder to a stranger in fee, he died, his wife got the deed, entred into her acre, and the sonne into the t. 2^e acres devised to him, the woman byings a Writ of Dower, for a third of these three acres. The sonne pleads detinue of the Charter, which if she would deliver, he is and allways had bene ready to render Dower: She shewed the whole cause by way of replication, & upon that the other side demurred. It seemeth (saith Dyer) that this plea serveth for none, save only the Barons heire, and for no land, but that which is descended: And not for the heire himselfe if he come in by voucher, or as Tenant by receipt in default of Tenant for life: Where hee is no more but tenant per admittance, for such a one cannot say, that he hath bene touts temps pritt a render Dower si &c. Neither can guardian in chivalry have this plea, for he cannot have a writ of detinue of the heires evidence: And this plea is a bar for no lands but t. ose which the Charters deteined do concerne. 22. H. 6. Where Newton saith, the reason of this barre is, because the evidence being seene and looked into, may yeeld matter to barre the Demandant of her Dower, for such lands therefore as the Charters doe not touch, Dower shall be granted of them, this plea notwithstanding. Also certainty must ever bee allegeded in this case, if the evidence bee not in some bag, box, or chest, sealed or locked up. And note, the Defendant supra was not named heire by the demandant, neither had he impleaded himselfe to this plea as heire, therefore the Court might take it indifferently: As in a quare impedit if the incumbent bee named Cleric, the Court takes him for a Disturber if hee implead not himselfe as incumbent, or person impersoned. Another fault was for no in the Tenants conclusion of his plea, because hee said, yndee pritt a render Dower, but in very deed hee relied not againe on the condition if the Demandant would deliver the Charter according to the ancient booke of entites.

And at the last iudgement was given pro hoc.

See Sir Edw. Cokes 9 Rep. in Anna Beddingfelds case

1. That the Charters ought to concerne the land where-
of Dower is demanded, and not other lands descended to
the heire. 2. He that pleads that plea ought to shew the
certainty whereof a certaine issue may be ioynd, or that
they are in a chest or box sealed, which import sufficient
certainty, whereof certaine issue may be taken, and in
both cases action of detinie may be brought by the heire.
3. No stranger although that he bee Tenant of the land,
and hath the evidences conveyed unto him, may plead in
a Writ of Dower detainer of Charters, but that plea is
only in privity for the heire of the husband. Also the heire
shall be in the degree of a stranger in five cases. First, if
the heire hath the land by purchase. Secondly, if the heire
hath deliuered the Charters to the wife. Thirdly, so the
heire be not immediate vouchee, namely, by the Tenant
in the Writ of Dower, but by his vouchee. Fourthly, if
the heire comes in as vouchee, having no lands in the
County where the land is demanded. Fifthly, if he comes
in as Tenant by receipt. And Gardian in Chivalry may
not plead deteincment of Charters, for hee may not con-
clude his plea if the Demandant will deliver to him the
Charters, &c. for the Charters which concerne the heri-
tage of the heire shall not be deliuered to the Gardian as
it is adiudged in 10. Ed w. 3. 49.

SECT. XI.

Deveining of the heire.

As the heire only may deteine Dower for deteining
of evidences, so the Gardian in Chivalry onely may
deteine Dower for deteining the heire, and that he may
plead and conclude *q il ad en tours temps prist*, for the
ward belangeth to him.

If a widow eioigne the infant or heire of her husband, though some other body haue him by her deliuey, yet the Gardian in Chinalry may detaine Dower, except shee can redeliuer him to the Gardian in as good plight, as hee was at the time of the eioignment, that is, vnmarried if he were eioigned vnmarried. But a woman nourishing her owne Infant, the sonne or heire which her husband left her, if a stranger claiming as Gardian take him from her, the right Lord shall not detaine dower for this cause. But if a woman take and remoue the heire from the place where hee was nourished at time of the Barons death; Now if a stranger wrongfully take him from her, the true and right Gardian may detaine dower. And this matter is pleadable by Gardian in Chivalrie, though hee come into Court, by reason that the heire is vouched to be in his ward; for by right the custodie of the Infant can appertaine to none but to him, vnlesse it be by his grant or agreement. Certaintie is required in pleading of this detainer, as well as in the other, viz. that the which demandeth dower hath eioigned or detained *J. S.* by name, son, or daughter *M. &c.* 22. H. 6. fol. 16. 2. H. 7. fol. 6.

SECT. XII.

Possession in the Demandant.

39. Ed. 3. 17. **D**ower was demanded, a third part of a carue of land; the tenant said the demandant her selfe was seised of a third part of it already; Iudgement de brieve per Knyvet it was no good plea, without shewing who assigned it, or that she recovered it. For if she were in by disseisen, shee must haue dower of the other two parts remaining: neuertheless by which the tenant was chased to answer for the two parts. 7. of H. 6. 32. & 34. In action of dower against two, one said he had assigned rent, out of the land six shillings and eight pence annuall

annuall to the demandant for terme of her life, which she accepted, &c. The other pleaded tous temps prisi, &c. The assignment was holden a good plea, &c. the demandant said she never agreed. *Robt. per de rargo.* she was to recover a moitie maintenance, though the other plea were not yet tried: for this was a confession of one, and plea-der in bar of the other.

2. H. 4. fol. 7. A Lady sued in Chancerie to be endowed of divers Mannors which were her husbands, where the heire was in gard of the king, as was found by the Diem clausic extremum there returned, and because it appeared that King Richard had committed wardship of the lands and body of the heire till full age of the said heire to her by patent without sozeppise, or mutation of dower, she was ousted of dower per agard de toutes les justices, till full age of the heire, *finle, 11. of H. 4. in case of the Lady Arrundel.* Fitzherbert saith likewise, If a woman take a lease for yeares of land, whereof she is dowable, she shall not sue for Dower during these yeares, *Nat. br. 149. c.* Bracton propoundeth to be considered what shall be done when the widdow brings her Writ of Dower, *vide nihil habet*, and yet it is so that she hath part of her Dower already: If (saith he) it be yzoned, or she cannot deny it, *cadit breue*, and she shall not recover the residue, but by Writ de *recto de dote*: Therefore let her accept no part of her Dower, befoze she purchase her Writ, and let it containe all the Deforcets, be they in one Countie, or in many.

When they are so put together, if now she accept any thing of her Dower without Judgement, the acceptation of part shall be no exception against her, for she may confesse satisfaction for that part: If peradventure shee have already taken part of her Dower from some one person befoze the obtaining or purchase of her Writ, let his name and the summons for him be in the Writ notwithstanding, and then if it be objected she hath accepted part, shee may acknowledge that shee hath satisfied her for his part,

part, and whether before or after suit is not greatly to be stood upon. But if he of whom she received part be not named in the Writ, she cannot against the objection of acceptance reply, that the land which she accepted is not in the same Towne, but in another. For unde nihil habet in the Writ non debet referri ad villas sed ad dotem. It is nothing worth therefore, to say she hath nothing in tali villa, if she hath any thing nomine dotis, where soever it be it is not then materiall. And when a woman replyeth nihil habet, her defence shall not be per legem that is wagger of Law, but per patriam. Likewise, if a woman plead that she hath nothing nomine dotis but by some other title, as ratione custodiae & huiusmodi, Inquisition may be in the Countie where it is supposed she received Dowry, to finde whether shee haue any thing in Dowry of the tenements which were her husbands, and if shee had, and now hath not, to enquire what is become of it, this was a Poss. case of Holda the late wife of W. in Trinitie Terme, 4. 1. 4. as Bracton in his fourth Booke 1. Chapter and fol. 312. relates vnto us.

 SECT. XIII.

Ne vagues seisi que Dowry, &c.

There are other pleas that goe to the aucion and verie right of Dowry, as *Ne vagues seisi que Dowry, &c.* id est, The husband had neuer any seisin or state of Inheritance, whereof the wife can claime Dowry, see 45. E. 3. fol. 17. The tenant in Dowry leased her whole estate to the heire, rendring rent for terme of her life, the heire died, and this was adiudged a seisin, whereof the heires wife might demand Dowry, though the first tenant in Dowry were still aliue; for the lease was a Surrender, and if a stranger had entred immediately after the heires death, his heire must haue had a Mordantcier: Ergo, said one,

one, the wife dowable. Yet marke this case *ibid.* a man soiled, &c. in fee simple dies, his sonne entred and he dies, the sons sonne enters and endowes his Aylelle: he dies, a stranger abateth. In this case it is clere, the sons wife shall have no Dowel of the portion assigned to the Aylelle: though the sonnes sonne may have a Mordancester per Kirton, Finch, and Mowbray: But betwixt this case and the other, they say, is great odde; for here the Grandmother endowed, was in from her husband, and the sonnes possession and estate howsoever, to his heire in whom the fee rested it were not destroyed, but hee might bring a Mordancester, yet to his wife it was cleane aduillate, whereas in the first case, the Fee and Franchisement not a whit impeached by the life of her which surrendered, were perfectly consigned in the Baron to whom the Surrender was made. And if a reuerſion be granted to J. S. of certaine lands per ſait in pais, in which lands J. T. and his wife haue estate for life, which doe atturue and afterward surrender, there is no doubt but J. S. his wife, if hee die, shall haue Dowel, though it bee indeede defeasible after death of J. T. if his wife suruiue and will bindoe the Surrender: whereas in our first case the Surrender is no way auoydable, but the heires wife shall pay rent according to her portion per Finch, *ibid.* 14. Ed. 4. fol. 6. Tenant by the courtelle granted his estate to him in reuerſion, renozding rent with clause of re-entrie for non payment, the Grantee married, the rent was arreare, tenant per le curtesie re-entred, hee in the reuerſion died, his wife was barred of Dowel, for the Surrender might well be upon condition.

2. H. 4. fol. 22. In action of Dowel it was pleaded, that the Demandants husband had nothing in the land, but by Disſeiſin done to the tenant, Iudgement in action, &c. The woman showed how her husbands father, hauing two sonnes, leased his land to the eldest sonne, and to his wife for terme of their lines, and that thee her selfe married

ried with the yongest sonne, the eldest died, and his wife married with the tenant: the father died, the reuerſion descended to the second sonne, being her husband, the tenants wife died, and he kept possession, the Demandants husband did put him out, he re-entred, she prayed seisin, &c. Brooke thinketh she ought to haue trauesed the Disseisin. And if the Baron had not entred after the death of the eldest sonnes wife, she should not haue bene endowed: yet saith he, quere if without entrie there had not bene a seising in Law, and whether the Francktenement which the tenant had once in right of his wife be determined in puncto by her death.

II. H. 4. 73. In action of Dower the Tenant saith, That B. gaue the land to the Baron and his first wife for terme of their liues, the remainder in taile to the tenant, remainder in Fee to the right heires of the Baron, his first wife died, he married this demandant and then he died, and the tenant entred, &c. he demands Judgement if of this estate she shall haue Dower.

This amounted plaine to *ne vnaque seisi que Dower la puit*, but per Hauke & Thirn, that plea might not serue, by reason of the Fee simple in remainder, which might ingender doubtfulnesse a layes gentes. But where a lease was made to Baron for life, the reuerſion to the Heiress, or remainder to a stranger, there in action of Dower *ne vnaque seisi, &c.* is good, for no manner of Inheritance was in the husband.

II. H. 4. 83. Dower was demanded of twentie pounds rent, responderur, the Baron had nothing, but isynctly with J. B. who is yet aliue, Iudgement si Dower, &c. (and he was not compelled to shew whether he pleaded as Tenant, or as Heir of the rent) the Demandant replied, that J. B. had released all his right in the rent to her husband. But because she shewed not the Deed of release, shee pleaded by aduise ment of the Court *seisi que Dower la puit*: Quere of the generall Issue, against the speciall matter.

11. H. 4. 88. A woman shall haue Dower of rent purchased by her husband in fee, though hee die befoze day of payment: And if it be pleaded against her *Ne vnques seisi que Dower*, &c. she shall not shew the speciall matter, but say seisi que Dower la puit, and shew the matter in euidence.

22. H. 6. 42. per Newton. In action of Dower the tenant plead Joynt estate to the Baron, and J. B. in plea vy, whose estate he hath, the demandant shall not say seisi que dower, &c. vnlesse shee shew how, or traueise that J. B. toke nothing by the Feoffment.

29. H. 6. fol. 9. Against Dower the Tenant pleaded that J. B. seised in fee, infeoffed him, and hee leased to the Baron, to hold at will, which estate hee continued all his life time, sans ceo, that he was seised of any such estate que Dower la puit, the Judges ordered that for the long continuance of the possession, and doubt deslais gens, all should be entred.

10. H. 6. 17. It is not a good plea against Dower to say the Baron had nothing, but for terme of his life: for this amounts to the generall Issue *Ne vnques seisi que Dower la puit*: But to say the Baron had nothing, but Joyntement with A. in fee, and that A. suruiued, &c. This by the Fee simple confessed makes a good plea.

14. H. 6. 5, & 6. In action of Dower the tenant said he was seised, till by the Baron disseised, vpon whom he re-entred, Judgement, &c. the Demandant said, that befoze this tenant had any thing in the land, &c. being seised in fee, infeoffed her husband in fee, &c. and she prayed to be endowed, per Martin, the replication is not good, for this might be befoze the Disseisin, and befoze couerture tw, and if so, then the Baron *Ne vnques seisi que Dower la puit*. That y^e may yet perceiue further how cunning a point it is to take or relinquish this plea rightly, marke well the case, 30. H. 8. Dyer, fol. 41. In a Writ of Dower the issue was *Ne vnques seisi que Dower la puit*: It was given in euidence to the Inquest on the Deman-
dants

dants behalfe, that a feoffment was made to the Baron in fee, & y^e deed of feoffment was shewed to the Court, it was answered that long time befoze the feoffment, the Baron was seised to him and his first wife in speciall taile, and how afterward hee discontinued that, and takes backe an estate in fee simple to himselfe by the feoffment aforesaid, of which estate hee died seised so that the heire in speciall taile was remitted, and the second wife being now Demandant, not dowable.

Mountague would have demurred and dismissed the Jury, but the Justices were cleare in opinion that the Jury ought to find for the Demandant, because their charge was only upon the issue, viz. whether the Baron had ever seisin of such estate, that the wife might have dower. And they were not to regard the Remitter, but onely to looke to the generall issue given them in charge. But if the speciall matter had bene pleaded, the Demandant must needs have bene barred; for if he which makes a feoffment, with condition to reenter for the condition broken, and then in a writ of dower brought by the feoffers wife, hee will plead ne vngues seisc que dower, it shall be found against him, Knightly therefore would have the speciall matter found by the Jury, and a verdict at large, but the Justices would not consent.

Yet tempore Edw. 1. there was a case, that the Baron discontinued his wifes inheritance, and died, his wife recovered against the discontinue, and he died, the discontinues wife brought a writ of Dower against the woman Recoverer, and she pleaded the generall issue ne vngues seisc que dower la puit. All this matter was found by speciall verdict, and iudgement given upon the issue, thus foolishly ioyned, that the Demandant should recover Dower, which shee should never have done, had the plea bene good: See and marke well this case: and 21. Edw. 4. fol. 60. and the case 28. Ass. pl. 4.

SECT. XIV.

Recoverie against the husband.

14. H. 4. 33. **I**n an action of Dower the Tenant pleaded a recovery in Assise against the husband, judgement si a dion, &c. the Demandant said her husband was seised, &c. and married her, and infeofed the Tenant, and afterward disseised him, against whom the Tenant recovered in Assise, the Baron died, she prayed to bee involved. The Tenant said he was seised, till by the Baron disseised, against whom hee recovered by Assise sans ceo, that the Baron was seised befoze the disseisin, que dower la puit, the Demandant said, seised befoze the disseisen, que dower la puit.

Likewise 47. Edw. 3. 13. the Baron makes a feofment, and ousteth the feoffee, the feoffee recovers in assise, the baron dieth now in a writ of Dower, if the feoffee plead recovery in assise, the widdow cannot falsifie the recovery, but she may plead that long time befoze it, &c. her husband was seised que dower la puit, and the Defendant contra.

12. H. 4. 20. 21. The Tenant said he brought a Formedone against the husband, which writ hanging, he shewed to the husband a deed of entailment, whereupon presently he rendered the land in pais to the Tenant, which entred and now averreth the entails: Judgement si a dion, Thira said the Statute was si vir reddat adversario suo de pleno Iusticiarii adiudicent mulieri dorem, but he and the whole Court agreed, that rendering in pais doth not defeat meane estates of them which were neither parties nor party to the rendering, and therefore they awarded the woman should recover Dower. Hanke said, she simple might not be rendered without livery and seisin, and where there is Lord and Tenant, the Tenant may not surrender to his Lord: Of falsifying of recoveries I have spoken already.

Note, If land bee recovered in value against the husband,

band, because of warranty made by his Ancestors, the widow shall have Dower of those lands notwithstanding: for if the Baron had aliened the land before voucher, it should not have bene rendered in value: Consequently, therefore the womans title is moze ancient than the vouchers, which beginneth but the day of vouching. By Fitzh in his Abridgement Dower. 129. And his natu. bre. 150. d.

SECT. XV.

Ne vnques accouple, &c.

Sometime the vnlawfulnesse of marriage is pleaded in barre of Dower. As 39. Edw. 3. 15. the Tenant pleaded the Demandant was first married to A, and hee living she married B. of whose dowerment she claimeth, A. being still aliue, this was holden no good pleading, and therefore he added & issint nient accouple in loyall matrimony. The entry was only *ne vnques accouple, &c.* and a writ awarded to the Bishop to certifie, but for all such pleas deduced at length by old Writers, as stand upon the inualidity of marriage, I will referre widdowes to that which is gone before of marriage and diuorce. The pleas also of vnder 9. yeeres of age of attaunder, of non tenure, ioyntenure, or seuerall tenure, I will not tarry on them. 39. Ed. 1. fol. 4. A woman brought Dower against two by seuerall preceses, and one of them prayed ayd of the other as parceners, so that it appeareth that seuerall tenancie is a good plea in action of Dower, Contra in Assise, Brooke 99.

SECT. XVI.

Plea that the Baron is yet alive.

The Writ de dote unde nihil habet affords another reception against Dower, because it saith quondam viri sui, soz though the fundamentall cause of dower be matrimony quoad le title, yet as to the possession a woman cannot claime it till matrimony be dissolved, therefore by Fitzherbert, if the Baron take habit of religion, the wife shall not be endowed, till the husband be dead & vera, yet by Britton it is issuable, whether the Baron be entered into religion or no, and that issue shall be tried by the Ordinary, and iudged according to his certificat. But when the defozcer will barre Dower by plea that the husband is yet alive, if the widdow reply he is dead, the profe regularly belongs to the Plaintiffe. But if the Defendant say the husband is in plain vy & ceo & est pritt auerter, he must proue his auerment, and sometime both parties shall be heard to make their profe, which if it be alie wrong on either side, the Demandant may have iudgement of seisin, finding surety, such as the Court shall award, to colloze, if her husband hereafter bee brought into Court, the land with the issues and profits thereof, in the interim received. But if the matter be doubtfull, and the woman cannot finde such surety, the seisen shall remaine where it is, and the plea in suspence to be renewed, & re-summons as occasion shall serue. Britton fo. 25.

SECT. XVII.

Iudgement.

Iudgement in a Writ of Dower is framed according to the substance of the title, and circumstance of the pleading.

pleading. It is touched about when o; how a woman shall recover damages by surmise, that the husband dyed seised.

20. Hen. 3. The Statute of Merton cap. 1. o; de ineth concerning widdowes, qui post mortem virorum expectantur de dotibus suis & dotes suas vel quarentenam habere non possant sine placito. That whosoever shall desorce them of Dower o; quarantine in any tenements, whereof their husbands dyed seised, if they bee convicted de iniusto deforciameto, they shall render damages to the widdowes, so much as the Dower should haue bene wo;th to them from the tyme of the husbands death, till the day where the widdowes recover seisen of Dower per Iudicium Curie. And the Desorcers shall bee in misericordia Regis neuer awhit the lesse.

It is p;aine now that the w;aron dyng seised, if the wife be desorced she shall recover damages, which are sometime comprised in the iudgement of seisin, and sometime awarded after iudgement vpon auerment o; surmise vt supra. But for all this Statute of Merton de iniusto deforciameto, a widdow shall not in all cases recover damages by this dyng seised; for if the Tenant plead touts temps prist, &c. and it be confessed o; found to haue bene so, there is noly fault in him per Chyng & Hill. 11. Hen. 4. fol. 40. 41. for euery heire hath right to all the parts of his Ancestors inheritance, till the widdow will be indowed.

The case they say objected, viz. that in a Writ of Cou- sinage touts temps prist, will not excuse the Tenant of damages, is no thing like: for the Occupour there hath not iust title; et. Doctor and Student tels vs fol. 82. & 83. that though the husband dieth seised, if his widdow doth not demand Dower, she shall recover no damages, for it is a god plea in a Writ of Dower when the Tenant appears the first day, to say touts temps prist a yels der Dower, if it be demanded; and that plea shall excuse him of damages; but if he had made refusall, he shall bee chargeable

chargeable as well for damages before the request as after. But in Sir Edward Cokes 4. Rep. 30. b. in Shaws Case, a woman recovered Dowry by plaint in a Court Baron; and she recovered damages from the death of her husband because he died seised, and it doth not appeare that there was any request and refusal. I dare not say that it is Idemius, whether the heire or his seoffor pleades his plea; though I cannot finde any president of damages giuen vpon it being true, but often sur plea de cours iumps prist, the iudgement ended thus, nihil de materia quia venit primo edis, vide 12. Ed. 4. fol. 7. I doe referre the Reader for his better instruction touching this matter, where hee shall finde variety of stozes, Sir Edward Cokes Comment. vpon Littleton fol. 32. b. The second Chapter of Merton giues power to all widowes to make wils, as well of Cozne growing vpon their dowry lands, as vpon their inheritance, saluis seruitiis dominorum de teodis, que de dotibus & aliis reuementis suis debentur. Britton seemeth to be taken with a Chancery spirit, vpon sight of this Statute cap. 105. fol. 250. where he saith, that in euery iudgement of seisin awarded of reasonable Dowry, there ought to be a forzeprise or exception de bleis crescaun's & tenies fauches, I will subioyne Bracton as an Adinto, perhaps more orthodox, Dowry, saith he, lib. 2. cap. 40. shall be assigned by the heire, if he be of full age, or by the Lord in the heires name, if he be vnderage; And this within forty dayes after the husbands death, for otherwise occurrit tempus & sequantur damna, nisi rationabilis causa excuset. This assignation must be made of the land, as it was by the husband, tilled or vntilled, with the fruits growing vpon it, allowing nothing to the heire or Executors for manuring, husbanding, or culture of it, for of old time it was obserued, that in what case or plight a woman had receiued her Dowry, whether it were tilled or vntilled, shee must restore in like plight to the heire, &c. she might not make her Will of any cozne growing, or fruit not separated, from the fraughtment. Sed

nova superueniente gratia sicut patet de prouisionibus apud Merton: A woman may now ordeine her Testament of royn, or fruit growing on her dowry, or severd growing, all is ene. If the husband alien all his lands, and the Tenants need not yeld dower to the widdow as sone as she demandeth it, if there bee iust cause of calling to warranty, one or more, successuely till the heire bee douched; And all that time the Tenants are not charged with dammages or costs. But when the heire entreth into warranty, if he doe not presently yeld Dower, but stand out obstinately, hee shall pay dammages, as much as dower might haue bene worth to the woman from the time of the husbands death, to the day wherein she hath iudgement, and the heire shall be amerced. In like manner is it, if a widdow without any assignation enter into her Dower that was certainly nominated to her ad ostium Ecclesie, and which she findeth empty at her husbands death, if she be elected, or put to suit and delayes, she shall recover dammages: So shall she if she be elected the tenthment assigned for quarentine during the forty dayes, or before dower assigned after the forty dayes. So likewise is it if she haue no place at all assigned to dwell in, vbi reclinat caput suum, &c. Thus Bracton: and thus long wee haue bene in the Writ de dote nihil vnde habet, which though it bee applyed brought in the common place for the reason aboue declared, yet it may bee sued in the County before the Sheriffe per iusticies, as saith Fitzherbert in his na. bre. 148. But then it seemes it must be removed by recordari facias, if the Tenant plead ne vogue accouple, &c. so the booke of Entries 223, 224. for in the hafe Court that issue cannot be tryed.

SECT. XVIII.

The Writ de recto de dote.

There is another Writ called the Writ of right of Dower, not because the former Writ hath any contentiousnesse in it, or claimeth by an wrong title, but because this second Writ hath fewest ambages in pleading, and the forme of it is upon pure right. Britton saith, there are cases wherein a woman is dziven to a Writ of right of dower pleadable in Court.

One is where a woman hath lost seisin of her dower, as if shee were disseised, and after long peaceable seisin of the disseisor shee reentred with force, if the disseisor recover against her by assise, she hath no remedy, but onely by Writ de recto de dote, counting of her owne seisin: Another is where a woman demands lands or tenements which were her husbands, as part of her dower, when shee is seised of a surplus or greater part already: And the third is when shee demands something as appertenant to her dower. Fitzherbert seems not to allow Bractons relation of vnde nihil habet in the other Writ, for hee saith, where a woman that hath recovered part of her dower of one Tenant already, demands the remnant against the same Tenant in the same Towne, because the words vnde nihil habet will not serue, this Writ de recto de dote is used of necessity, and is directed to the heires Guardian, if he be in ward, or to the heire himselfe, or to a deforcour: And some say, that a woman losing her dower by default in a præcipe quod reddat, she shall recover by this Writ de recto de dote by the opinion of some. But it seems shee may have a quod ei deforceat by equity, the Statute W. 2. cap. 4. Whereas before shee had no remedy but by this Writ, or by action of deceit, if shee were not summoned. Fitzherbert holdeth also, if a woman lose her dower by assise or other action tryed, shee may

may have an attainte, but not this *Writ de recto*, for the land was assigned her once to hold in dower, and by that title she had possession, so that that title est execute, and so she ought to sue an action of her owne possession if shee bee desozed, and not demand dower againe. *quare.*

The forme is: *Præcipimus tibi vt plenum rectum teneas B. quæ fuit vxor: C. de tertia parte decem acrum cum pertinentiis in D. quam clamat tenere de te in dote p liberum seruitium tertiae partis vnius denarii per annum, &c.* And this *Writ* may bee of the moiety of land, according to the custome, &c. or of the profits of an office. *Fitzherbert* sets downe one for example; *Rex Andreae salutem, we commaund you that you yeeld vnto B. which was wife of A. her full right and thiro part of the profits issuing of the Custody of Westm. Abbay goale, with a thir d part of thre Acres arable, of one rood of meadow, of bread, meat, and bottles of ale weekly, &c. which shee claimeth as belonging to the franchtenement, which shee holds of you in dower, &c. by free service, and bearing a third part of cost and charge towards the keeping the goale and gate of the Abbey aforesaid, &c. whereof you your selfe desozce her: hereby appeareth plaine that a woman desozced from any thing appendant, or appertenant to dower assigned her, may haue remedy by *Writ de recto de dote.* The old *na. bre.* notes that of a *Bailiwick*, or any such office in fee, which a woman may execute her selfe, or make substitute or deputy of it, she shall haue dower, but not of *Stewardship* or *Marshallship* of England.*

And of a common of beasts without number a woman is not dowerable, *9. H. 7. 4. & Park. Sect. 341.* And of an use befoze the Statute of *27. Hen. 8.* of uses shee was not dowerable, as it is said in *Vernons ca.* *Sir Edward Cokes 4. Rep. fol. 1.* And of an annuity shall bee no dower, but of prediall tithes dower shall be, as appeares by the *Countesse of Orfordes Case*, cited in *Harpurs Case* in *Sir Edw. Cokes 11. Rep. fo. 256.*

The paroll or plea is sometimes remained in this
Action:

Action; As if the Writ be to the husbands heire, which heire being himselfe Tenant of the Land will not doe right: the Demandant may have out a pone to remove the matter straightway from the heires Court into the Common place, but a toll to remove it first into the County, for the original is, nisi feceris vicecomes faciet, and from thence it may be removed by the Plaintiffe to the Common place by a pone without any cause mentioned in the Writ. But the Tenant in a droit patent cannot remove the Plea out of the County without shewing cause in the pone; yet as well in a Writ de recto de dote as in a Writ of droit patent the tenant may remove the plea, shewing cause, and that immediately out of the Lords Court, into the Common place by recordare: and so out of the heires Court, quare.

If a man seil all his land and dye, so that the heire hath nothing by descent, now this Writ must be directed to the fease, of whom the Widdow when shee is indowed must hold, as of her Lord by fealty. But if before the Statute of quia Emptores terrarum, &c. if the husband had infeased a stranger of part of his Lands to hold of the husband, &c. a Writ of right of Dower must have bene to the heire, in whose Court the matter was to be pursued, by reason of the remaining Seignory.

So is it if at this day the Baron give part of his Manor to hold in taylor: But if a man give away all his land to his holden of him in taylor, and dye, now the Writ de recto de dote must be againe directed to the Sheriffe returnable in the Common place, for the heire having only a Signory in grosse can keepe no Court. And in the Writ shall be inserted quia B. capitalis dominus feodi remisit nobis curiam suam.

If the Baron having leased all his lands for terme of life die, &c. And though there be not in Chancery, or any where else, any matter whereby to prove the Lords remission of the Court: yet if the Lord have not any demises whereupon to hold a Court, he can have none action against

gainst the Demandant for the false supposal, or surmise:
nor let nor hinder the proceedings in Common place.

But if he had a Court to hold plea in, and did not remit
his Court to the King, he may have prohibition to the Ju-
stices, commanding them not to proceed any further. But
saith Nat. Brev. quere of that matter. And see Plowd.
fol. 74. a. where the Lord hath a Court, and he will remit
his Court, his Certificate must be to the King in his
Chancerie, and thereupon a Writ of right shall be retur-
nable in the Court of Common Pleas.

In the Common Place, when the plea is removed thi-
ther, your processe is Grand cape, and Petit cape: In the
Lords, or heires Court is used first a precept in nature
of summons, and of a Grand cape, and Petit cape. And
note that in this writ if the parties appears, they never
proceed to grand Assise, or triall by battaile (from which
the Demandant is exempted) and so consequently here is
never per Bracton any Essoine de malo lecti. But the te-
nant may vouch his warrant, if he haue any. And after
the woman hath made her narration or demand pursuing
her writ, the tenant may in bare, say that shee rendered
the land to him of her owne accord: Or if she said he dis-
seised her of her Dower: he may plead her Relcege, saith
Bracton, Et poterit veritas per patriam declarari.

SECT. XIX.

What things shall be assigned in Dower, &c.

When Judgement is given in curia regis against the
tenant, either upon his default at the Grand cape
returned, or upon confession, or issue tried, the chief sub-
stance of the entrie is no more but consideratum est ut re-
cuperet seisinam de tertia parte, and then either presently,
or after ward, at the petition of the demandant, there is
awarded a writ, De habere facias seisinam de tertia parte,

to the Sheriffe, who must make returns, how he hath executed the Kings commandment. But I finde by Dyer, 11. Eliz. fol. 278. that an Alias habere fac' shall not be awarded after the Sheriffe hath executed the Formedon; the case was that the Sheriffe upon the Habere fac', &c. profer seisin by meanes of a third part, and the Demandant refuse, yet by Harpur and Dyer her entrie was afterwards lawfull, for the certaintie appeared, and they that an Alias habere fac' by no president shal be granted, and as images of this course must be the proceedings in all base Courts which hold of Dower.

So that it is now moze than sufficiently perceived, that the third part of euerie mans inheritance is assignable for Dower, by the husbands heire, or the heires Gardian, or by the Feoffee or Feoffees of the husband, or heire, or by some other tenant, or tenants, or by the Chancelloz, Cheatoz, or Discount. But it ought to appeare yet moze fully, how these thre parts shall be assigned, and wherein. See Dyer, 2. Eliz. 187. In Dower against eight, two confesse the action, and the rest plead in barre, for had iudgement for a third part of two in eight divided, and afterward upon verdict against the six, iudgement was of six parts in eight divided.

Parcell of any thing, whereof a woman may rightly claime Dower, is assignable, &c. But other lands than those whereof she is by title dowable, or not assignable.

Acceptance of a greater or lesse part than the third, in name of Dower of all the franktenement, which the Baron had, bindeth a woman. But assignment of all the land which the Baron had is not good. But I referre you to Sir Edw. Cokes Commentarie upon Littleton, fol. 346. how Assignment is to be made, and what Assignment is good, where it is said eight things are observable to a perfect Assignment of Dower.

The heire is not bound to assigne any widdows Dower in his capitall messuage, or in any part thereof. But Assignment of such house in allowance of all other lands, or

of other lands whereof she is dowable; for the house is good when it is accepted, And Assignment of a chamber in the husbands dwelling house, when other lands are not, whereof to make assignation is good, being accepted. But a woman is not bound to accept this kinde of Dowry, except she list: A rent may be assigned her out of the house, and this shall be good sans fail. Likewise it is of Common, of Closures, of Pasture assigned in allowance of lands, or other things whereof a woman is dowable. And lands in Wales may be assigned for a whole Dowry: and thereby a woman may be excluded from her Dowry in England. If upon Judgement of Dowry, and before execution, the tenant assigne a rent per paroll, issuing out of the land, whereof the Judgement was given, and the woman accepts it in stead of Dowry, this is a good barre in a Scire facias, and it is distrainable of common right; but if the Assignment had bene by paroll of other lanes, than of such as wherein the woman might have claimed Dowry, it would not have barred execution, because it was not pursuant to the first Judgement, Dyer, 1. Mar. fol. 91. It is said in Sir Edw. Cokes 4. Rep. fol. 1. in Vernons case, that at the Common Law no collaterall satisfaction or recompence made to a woman in satisfaction of her Dowry, was any barre of her Dowry, for no title of Franchisement or inheritance may be barred by any collaterall satisfaction.

When the Writ of execution comes to the Sheriffe, he shall deliver seisin by metes and bounds, but this rule cannot stretch to things not boundable. Therefore if Dowry be demanded or recovered of three Shillings rent, assignation of one shilling is sufficient: And when dowry of a Wapliwicke or mill is demanded, a third part of the profit, &c. shall be assigned, and it is a good Indowment without certaintie. Et elemosera toll free, & terra contributarie. And so dowry of a villeine, either the third daye a worke, or euerie third weeke, or moneth. And so of the profit of the third part of Stallage, of the third part of

the profits of a Faire, and so of the third part of the profit of a Parke, and of a Dove-house, and so of the third part of a Piscarie, viz. Per tertium piscem, vel iactum tertium rectis, &c.

SECT. XX.

New Indowment.

IF that which a woman holdeth in dower be lawfully against her will, and without her fault doubted and evicted, &c. She shall be new indowed of the other lands, whereof the state which her husband had remaines still undevoted, for example: The Baron seised of three Acres dies, the widow is indowed of one Acre, which he gained by disseisin, if she be ousted she shall be indowed of the other two Acres. Tenant in taile of three Acres, discontinueth in fee, the Discontinuè marieth, and dieth, his wife recovereth dower against his heire: the issue in taile brings a Formedon against the widow, she voucheth the heire, he enters into Warrantie, loseth, and the demandant hath execution, though the estate which the heire hath in the other two Acres remaining be defeasible, yet the woman shall be newly indowed of them, till they be defeated: yea, though the Discontinuè his heire have aliened, the widow shall be newly indowed notwithstanding. Againe, a man seised of two Acres in fee, within one Countie, takes a wife, enfeoffeeth a stranger of one Acre with Warrantie, and dying having issue a sonne which entroeth into the other Acre, the wife brings a writ of Dower against the Feoffee, which voucheth the heire, and the heire loseth by default, so that the Demandant hath Judgement conditionall, and execution against him, to recover of the land which he hath by descent within the same Countie where the Writ was brought. If now the Feoffee be possessed by a Writ of deceit to the land which

which the woman recovered, she shall have Scire facias against the Feoffee that was tenant in her first Writ, to be newly endowed of the other Acre. And if he have thereof infeoffed a stranger, yet this stranger shall be bound by the first Judgement in dower that was conditionall.

If a woman that is dowable take a second husband, and be endowed by his assent per metes & bounds, if now the Baron discontinue in see, and die, the wife may have a Curia in vita: and Perkins leaves it not cleane out of doubt, whether she may not be newly endowed of such other possessions, as were her husbands during coverture, because the endowment was not by Writ.

This new endowment is when the eviction is loyall, & mangre le rest del feme; for when it is otherwise, she must recover the land againe by such meanes as she may, from him which recovered it.

50. Ed. 2. fol. 7. Joane, late wife of R. W. brought her Writ of dower against E. H. demanding the third part of a Mannor. It was pleaded, Que el ne poet oens demander, for anno 12. huius regis, a fine was levied of the said Mannor betwixt J. and C. and the tenant sued Scire facias out of the fine against the now demandant, which came and pleaded to parcell that shee held it in Dower, of indowment from her husband, by assignment of W. C. & propria aude delui, &c. for another part, she claimed for terme of her life, by lease from W. C. of whom likewise shee prayed aid, and had it granted. C. came in by procelle, and joyning in aid, pleaded a Feoffment made to himselfe in see, by R. the baron, sonne and heire to J. W. whereunto the tenant pleaded Riens pass per le fait, and the procelle continued against the Jury till a day certaine, at which day C. made default, and this demandant maintained the issue which was found against the now demandant, viz. that Riens pass per le fait, and execution awarded for the plaintiffe in the Scire fac. Judgement thincourte cest recouerie a quel el fuit party, el poet oens demander, and the demandant demurred.

Her pretence was, that by the recoverie she was remitted to her action paramount, because the recoverie affirms her husbands possession. But the better opinion was, that when her Dower once lawfully assigned was recovered against her, she had here no remedy, but by error or attain, for a writ of right she might not have: But if in the Scire facias she had alleaged to that part which she claimed in Dower, that she held it in Dower of the Assignment of M. C. Prist. gatte, dery, que le court voidle a garder, she had saved her estate by protestation, and the reversion might have bene iudged to him which had right, whereas pleading as she did, some thought she had forfeited her Dower, but that was denyed by Tresilian. Belknap, who said, that when one is in per tot, as if the Disseisee or his heirs enter upon him which is in by descent, or if a widow enter upon a discontinued or her husband, and then upon issue taken for seisin, or disseisin, it is found for the plaintiffe, the tenant is remitted to his Action paramount, Brieve & entrie in the one case, and in the other a Cuius vita. But if a recoverie be against a Tenant that hath rightfull possession, the remedie must be by errors, attain, or writ of right. And therefore in the last cases, if the tenants had pleaded a release, or other matter, which might exting the right: if it had passed against them, their remedie must have bene by writ of right, per Clopton, quare.

Wich. said, if a recoverie be had against the Baron upon a delato; plea, as non tenure misnomer of the town, or such like, a woman may falsifie such a recoverie in a writ of Dower: It seemes to be otherwise, saith Brooke, if a recoverie be had in that manner against the woman her selfe who is endowed.

Sec 26

S E C T. XXI.

Admeasurment of Dowry.

Admeasurment is in a kinde a recoverie against a woman, not of her whole Dowry, but of part of it; for if the heire while he is vnder age, or the Gardian while the heire is in ward, doe indow a widdow of more land than she ought to hold in Dowry, the heire when he cometh to full age, may haue a writ De admeasuracione dotis against her, and the Surplus or excelle shall be restored to the heire: but there is in this case onely an amputation without any nouell assignment. If the heire being vnder age assigne Dowry too largely, befoze his Lord and Gardian enter into the land, or seise his Ward, the Gardian may haue a writ of Admeasurment by West 2. cap. 7. And if the Gardian pursue the writ faintly against the woman indowd, the heire may haue a writ of Admeasurment by the same Statute, Custodi de cetero concedatur breue de admeasuracione dotis, nec per seclam custodis si facta & per collusionem sequatur versus mulierem tenentem in dotem, præcludatur hæres cum ad ætatem peruenierit ad dotem admeasurandam, &c.

If the plea be in the Countie, the Plaintiffe may remoue it without cause, and the Defendant may remoue it with cause shewd in the writ, as in a Repleuin. And when the writ is remoued by Pone into the Common place, the processe is summons, attachment and distresse, &c. according to the Statute. Then the Sheriffe cannot make admeasurment, but he shall extend the land particularly, and returning the Extent into the Common place, the Justices shall admeasure Dowry. Note if the Gardian assigne Dowry excessive, and then grant ouer his estate, his assigne shall neuer haue a writ of admeasurment. Likewise if the heire vnder age assigne Dowry, which his Gardian may admeasure when he hath entred, &c. but
the:

the Action is not grantable, for the Guardian assigned or grantee shall not admeasure: But an heire may have the admeasuring of Dowter assigned in his Ancestors time. And if a woman be indowed in Chancery per le Roy, &c. the heire may have a Writ of Admeasurement, if a woman after shee is indowed make any improuement of the land, so that it becomes of farre greater value than it was of at the time of the Assignement, there lieth no admeasuring vpon this improuement. And Bracton saith, Non erit estimanda melioratio mulieris quã fecit in dote sua post assignationem, tempus enim assignationis dotis erit spectandum. But if this improuement bee by casualty in some myne of coale or lead, which had bene formerly found and occupied in the husbands time, the matter is somewhat doubtful. But see Sir Edward Cokes 5. Rep. fol. 12. 2. in Saunders cap. 5. sc. That if the myne appeared at the time of the adassignement admeasurement lieth.

As for new mynes, a widdow may not make or dig any that is walle, thus farre Fitzherbert. Briton cap. 112. and Bracton lib. 4. cap. 17. shew with what circumstance admeasurement shall be made by the vicount iurisdictione de probis homes presentes & per bone & legale extent. They say, that the amputation is not onely of excessse and superfluity by this Writ of admeasurement, but also of that which ought not to bee assigned, admenuratio debet esse, tam de indebito, quam de superfluo.

And therefore if a Castell or head of a Barrony were assigned in Dowter by the Guardian without any necessity: the heire may have this Writ: for enter hee cannot, say they. They shew also what plea a woman may have against admeasurement, viz. that the Plaintiffe himselfe made the assignation, or confirmed or allowed it being of full age, &c.

SECT. XXII.

The charge of Dower.

Admitting the Dower assigned to be both for quality and quantity iust, there is yet to be declared with what immunity a woman shall hold her Dower. First Bracton saith, Si peculia mariti sufficient ad solutionem tenentur, sed vxori dos sua deonerabitur. Et heres defendere dorem & warrantizare eam mulieri debet & pro ea sequi comitatus hundreda & curia dominorum, vt viduata domui suæ intendat & nutritioni suorum (si qui fuerint) puerorum. If the husbands goods bee not sufficient for payment of his debts, the heirs must discharge Dower of the burden, &c. for he is the widdows warrant of her Dower, and ought to follow for her, County Court, Court laet, and hundred, &c. That shee may see to her house, and nurture of her children.

Fitzherbert in his Writ of Admeasurement, first affirms, that a woman shall not be disfreined in her Dower, in her Inheritance, or in the ioynt purchased lands to her or her husband, for her husbands debts. The Writ which he sets downe for remedy, saith almost as much, Rex Vicicounti, &c. cum secundum legem & consuetudinem regni anglia, mulieres in terris & tenementis quæ tenent in dotem de dono virorum, vel quæ sunt de ipsarum hereditate, vel quæ sibi quesuerint, pro debitis virorum distringi non debeant, &c.

And in some Writs is this Clause, Dum tamen heredes vel Executores testamenti ipsius, &c. ad debita illa reddenda nobis sufficient. But it seems reasonable, saith Fitzherbert, that a woman shall not be disfreined in her Inheritance for the Kings debts, neither in her Dower or Ioynt purchased lands which her husband, if her title commenced before her husband became debtor, and there is a Writ in the register imposing no lesse, yea hee allows it to be good reason that lands purchased by Baron

and

and Feme, after the Baron is entred in debt to the King should be discharged in the Widdowes hands. But let Widdowes agree with the King as well as they can, the heire is lpyable to the debts of his Ancestoz befoze the Widdow: The heire likewise dischargeth her of suit and seruice, and is so farre sozth her warrant, that by Britton, if shee be impleaded and bouch any other to warranty, she sozseteth her Dower pur sa malice, and though her husbands feofa be not called her warrant: yet if she be indolued by him shee must hold of him. And regularly Tenant in Dower must be Attendant to her husbands heire, or to the heires Gardian, or to the Gardens Executors, or to him in the reuercion, according to the rate of rent where by they hold ouer: if Tenant by fealty and iij. d. rent hee disseised and dye, his wife being indolued by the disseisor, shall be an attendant to the same disseisor of iij. d. annuall. And now if the heire will bring a Writ of entry in lo quibus against the woman thus indolued, shee may shew her speciall matter, and that shee is ready to attend to whom the Court will award: which shall award, that shee retaine her Dower still, and bee attendant to the heire; quare, saith Parkins if the heire haue any other remedy, soz hee cannot enter vpon the Tenant in Dower. D. R. 82. 2. saith, That a Feme tenant in Dower leaueth the reuercion in him against whom shee demandeth Dower, although he be a disseisor, and doth not reduce the reuercion by her recouery to him which hath right, as other Tenants soz life doe. And as it is said in Sir Edward Cokes S. Rep. 35. in Paynes ca. if shee recover against Tenant soz life, shee leaueth the reuercion in him. But by nat. br. fol. 265. 2. if the King assigne Dower in Chancery as Gardian, the reuercion reposeth in the heire, soz which he shall sue livery. If after iudgement the heire grant his reuercion, and the woman attorne, she shall be Attendant to the grantee. If Lord Speane & Tenant be, the Tenant holding by iij. d. rent, and the Speane by 20. d. If the Tenant marry, and the Speane release to him all his right in the tenancy,

tenancy, the Tenant dieth, the wife must bee endowed, according to her husbands best possessions, and therefore shall bee attendant to the heire by a penny, and not the third part of twenty pence. If hee which holdeth by fealty and xij. d. having a wife, sell the tenancie to his Lord, and the estate is executed, the Tenants wife shall be endowed sans attendancie, for the Seignory extinct is not reuiuable: If Lord Heasne and Tenant be, the Tenant holdeth by xij. d. which dieth, & his wife is endowed, shee shall bee attendant to the heire by iij. d. now if the Lord release all his right in the tenancy to the heire, the meanalty is extinct, and the attendance gone, for it was but in respect of the charge which the heire was at to his next Lord.

But where there is Lord and Tenant by fealty and xij. d. rent, if the Tenant make a gift in taylor of the land to hold of him and his heires by xx. s. rent &c. if the donee dye without issue, his wife endowed, shall be attendant to the donoz by v. s. and viij. d. although the Lord release to the donoz, for his attendance is not in respect of the charge ouer, but by a speciall reservation.

If there be Seignoz Heasne and Tenant by fealty and iij. s. rent, the Heasnes wife after he be forfeindged in a Writ of meane, and dead, shall be endowed without attendance. If Tenant by fealty and xij. d. make a gift in taile of the land, reseruing xij. d. rent, &c. and the donee having a wife and issue by her, discontinueth in fee, and dieth, now though the wife recouer Dolwer, and haue execution of it against the discontinuere, yet she shall not be attendant to him, for hee is not chargeable as the Baron was, because the Dolwers auowry resteth of necessity vpon the issue, to whom for all that the widdow shall not be attendant, till hee haue recontinued the estate taylor, quare tamen, saith Perkins. If the Tenant whilst hee liued held of his Lord by fealty, and a nag of forty Shillings price, the Tenants widdow when shee is endowed shall be attendant by xij. s. iij. d. But if the tenure were

by fealty, and a nag without expresse value, shee shall bee Attendant by a nag euery third yere. Perkins fo. 84. Pc.

SECT. XXIII.

Of the cui in vita.

I haue bene long in Dowry, and I feare mee some wo-
men had rather neuer be endowd. that is, they had ra-
ther die with their husbands, or soone after them, than bee
bound to learne this Catechisme, yet I must come to it
once againe.

But first let vs see how lands whereunto a woman may
haue right by ancient indowment, or by descent, or gift in
franchmarriage, or by some other acquisition, befoze or
during Couerture in see, see tayle, for life, or for yeres,
may bee reduced, if the husband haue aliened them, for if
the possession continued alwayes in the husband till his
death, then by his death the widdow is made sole Tenant
of them, so little needing sither assignation, or other cir-
cumstance, that without new entry, claime or challenge,
shee may haue action of her owne possession against any
other that shall enter.

If the husband aliened intirely any lease for yeres of
his wines, it is gone irrevocable, and if hee make no sale,
and the wife dyes, hee shall haue the lease, except shee bee
iointly posselt with another, and the seruing soyntenant
shall haue. Commentar. vpon Fitzherbert. 185. If he alie-
ned part of the estate, as for ten yeres next ensuing,
where the terme was for twenty, the widdow may enter
when ten yeres expired. But see in that Case, that if the
husband rested a rent, and dyes, the Creditors of the hus-
band shall haue the rent, for it was not incident to the re-
uerſion, yet the wife shall haue the residue of the terme,
Sir Edw. Cokes Commentar. vpon Fitzherbert fol. 57. b.
if he aliened for the ten last yeres shee may continue pos-
session,

session, till those ten yeeres be commenced. If the husband devise away by his last Testament, a terme for yeeres, which he hath by right of his wife, I suppose the devise is void as well as if it were made of some higher estate, as it appears by Perkins chap. Devises, and Plowd. 419. in Bracebridges case. And the Law is all one in all respects, where the Baron and Feine are possessed, of lease for yeeres by inticities (that if the estate be made to them during their coverture, or by moities that is to them jointly before marriage) or where the Baron is possessed of a lease iure uxoris. See Dame Hales case, Plowd. 260. And if the Baron possess of a lease for yeeres in the right of his wife, charge the land with a rent, and die, the rent is gone, Plowd. 418. in Bracebridges case, for the same is remitted. And if Feine Guardian in Sacrage be, and her Baron alienateth it and die, the wife may enter. And see Dyer, 8. Eliz. 251. the same is of Coppy holds per render, to the use of a Feine for yeeres, & the wife die, the estate rests in the husband without a custome be to the contrary. If an husband be possess of a terme for yeeres in the right of his wife, and Judgement is had against him, and the terme is extended, and the husband dieth, it shall be good against the wife, as appears by Sir Edw. Cokes 8. Rep. 96. in Mannings case. And see the 9. case of 50. E. 3. lib. Ass. note Sir Edw. Cokes Rep. in Fulwoods case, and Plowd. 361. in Dame Hales case, where a lease made to Baron and Feine is extended for the debt of the King after the wifes death.

If a man possess of a terme, deviseth it to one for his life, the remainder to a woman for her life, who takes an husband, the husband may release that to the particular tenant, although it be but a possibilitie, Sir Edw. Cokes 10. Rep. 47. Lampetts case. And if a woman hath a lease for yeeres as Executrix, and takes an husband, he may sell it per son. curiam, præter Fitzherbert, Dyer 28. H. 8. 7. A woman hath a terme as Executrix, the husband submits to arbitrement, upon which a moitie is awarded to

The pretence of the title, the wife is bound thereto, but
 because the defendant in demurr brought by the wife for
 the adventure of lease, pleads non demurr, and not the spe-
 cial matter, Judgment was against him, Dyce, 2 Eliz.
 148; 18. 27. H. 7. G. agrees. *¶* *quod si mulier quod ad rem suam*
 If the husband discontinue the Franchisement of his
 wife, the apt instrument whereby to recover it, when
 she is a widow is a Curia vita: Which, though it be not
 so necessary and needfull, perhaps since the Statute of 32.
 Which disableth husbands to discontinue as to was before,
 yet I perceive not by what reason the use of it is forbid-
 den, even in those cases where the entrie is congeable,
 so: the vertue of the writ is not delayed by lawfulnessse
 of the entrie, neither doth free libertie to take possession,
 by assise the way to justice and action at Law, when
 perhaps a Woman cannot, or dares not enter. *¶* *Curia vita*

By Common Law therefore if the Baron alien in
 fee, the heritage of his wife or her Franchisement, by
 Feoffment or by Demise, for terme of life, or in taile, she
 may have remedy after his decease by this writ. Of
 which the generall forme is, *Præcipe A. quod reddat B.*
quod tunc & ibi Curiam meam in rem cum pertinentiis, quod
clamat, esse ius, & hereditatem suam. Et in quod A. non ha-
beret ingressum, nisi per C. quondam vitam, &c. qui illud ci-
dem ius, & curiam vitam contradicere non potest. This writ
may be in the person and possessor, and some variety it hath
according to title of the Demandant, as Quod hereditatem
ius hereditatem, or Vi ius & maritagium, or Venus ex dono
et Inquitur B. & C. virum suum fecerat, & in quo, &c.
or Quam clamat tenere sibi, & hereditatem de corpore suo,
in sede & posside. Quoddam viri sui exuentibus ex demissio-
ne L. or Quam clamat esse dorem suam ex dono E. primi viri
quod secundum, &c. *¶* *Curia vita*

If Baron and Femme lose the wifes lands by default,
 she may have this writ when she is a widow. But if
 the wifes lands be recovered in a Cessanie, per default of
 the Baron and Femme, upon a Cessie during espousals, the
 shall

shall never have a *Cui in vita*, 4. Ed. 2. and 10. in 3. Ed. 1. and
 If Baron and Feoff, and a third person, being Joynt-
 tenants in Fee, the Baron alien the intierrie, and die, his
 widow shall have a *Cui in vita* of a moyle, during the
 life of the third person; for it seems the alienation was w^{ith}
 fenerance of Joynture, saith Fitzherbert. But he sends
 vs to 26. Ed. 3. in his Abridgement, citulo *Cui in vita*.
 Wh^{ich} take the wife in this case cannot have a *Cui in*
vita for any part, so long as the third person surviveth, he
 cause they two may toyne in a *Writ* of right, and if he
 dies she may have a *Cui in vita* of all. Vide *Hibash* or *one*
 Of lands which a man and woman purchase joyntly be-
 fore coverture; the *Cui in vita* shall be but of a moyle;
 but of lands purchased joyntly during coverture; the *Cui*
in vita is of the intierrie; and being bought of a moyle;
 the *Writ* is not good, 39. H. 6. 45. for in the one case they
 are seised by moyle, in the other by intierrie.

A woman by excepting lands, which she and her late
 husband toke in exchange; or by excepting rent reserved
 out of it; shall be barred in a *Cui in vita*; or any other *actio*
 on; Fitzherbert, and 66. Ed. 3. 8. *Idem* is, if she except
 parcel of her owne land in Dowry; but 79. *Ass. d. 1.*
 pl. 3. Brookes 24. *Cui in vita*. If the assignment of this
 Dowry be sans faic, it is no barre; conclusion, but a Re-
 mitter; other wise if it be by Deed; or 10. Ed. 3. If a man
 give lands to a woman to marrie with him; and after
 spousals he alieneth the same lands and dieth, she may have
 a *Cui in vita*. And note, that the gift by demise alleged
 in a *Cui in vita* is trauefable. Thus much Fitzherbert
 64. Ed. 3. 28. In a *Cui in vita*, claiming to hold sibi &
 heres his de corpore without shewing of whose donati-
 on, the tenant pleaded to the *Writ*, and it was abated.
 But in a *Quia erit foreca*; the Demandant needs not
 shew by whose gift she claimeth. *Indiherent* 28. 10. 2. 1.
 49. Ed. 3. fol. 29. The *Writ* was; *Quia clamate nunc*
sibi heres de corpore et de suo W. N. The tenant
 said, she neuer had any thing of the gift of W. A. per Bel-
 knap,

knep, the answer was not good, for were the gift from one
 or other, if the husband aliened, she might have the action,
 and the writ may be *Quam clamat vt ius & hereditatem*:
 though she purchased the lands, & adornatur. The latter
 point is affirmed, 7. H. 4. fol. 5. & per Littleton accorded:
 but for the first, vide 50. Ed. 4. fol. 6. in a *Cui in vita quam*
clamat tenere ex dimissione per termino vite: N. it was
 admitted upon argument: a good answer per Curiam, for
 where one maketh title it ought to be true.

And there is a lease for lease made to Baron and his heirs,
 and to the heirs of the baron by 3. D. was holden no de-
 mise, for it must be supposed the baron and some were in
 possession tempore finis: And Peck said it had bene ad-
 judged, if a woman claimed in her writ ad terminum vi-
 tae, if it were found she had estate tail, the writ should
 abate.

So likewise if a woman claim by lease for term of
 life per A. and it was found that A. made no lease: she
 had now no estate, and consequently hath none action.
 Likewise (said Kirton) if in Assize of nonell disseisin, the
 plaintiffe make his title by feoffment of A. and is found
 that A. infeoffed him not; but B. did, hee shall be barred
 in the Assize, for where a man maketh his title upon a
 point which is bound against him, it cannot be intended
 that he hath a better title, and there he shall not have ad-
 vantage of any other.

In a *Cui in vita quod clamat esse ius*
suum ex dono: which infeoffed the Demandant and her
 late husband, with declaration, that they were seized as
 of Franktenement, and lye les explez, as tenants for
 life, &c. Priser said, that in cases speciall this writ
 ought to make mention of whose gift, lease, or demise,
 the Demandant claimeth, as, *Ad terminum vite ex dono*
I. S. or, Sibi & hereditibus ex dono I. S. But in demand of
 free simple it is enough to say, *Quam clamat vt ius & her-*
editatem, without shewing by whose gift or feoffment.

7. H. 7. fol. 2. If this writ be against baron and some

for lands holden in the wifes right, it must bee in quod
 vxor ingressa est per l. N. & non quod vir & vxor ingressi
 sunt per l. N.

SECT. XXIV.

west. 2. Case 3.

2. Ed. 4. **I**f a man be seised in right of his wife, and re-
 coverie is had against them by default, the wo-
 man after his death, may have a Cui in vita, but not a
 Quod ei deforceat, per Moyle Justice: It seems that at
 Common Law, this writ of Cui in vita was onely gran-
 ted upon actuall discontinuance by the baron: for West 2.
 case 3. is, Quando vir amiserit per defectum tenementum
 quod fuit ius vxoris sue, durum fuit quod vxor post mor-
 tem viri non habuerit, aliud recuperare, quam per breue
 de recto propter quod Dominus Rex statuit, vt mulier post
 mortem viri habeat recuperare per breue de ingressu cui
 ipia in vita, &c. But in this case, if the tenant can-
 proues that hee had right on his side when hee recovered:
 Mulier nihil capit per breuem. Note also by the way, that
 this heath wids. Si vir se absentauerit, & noluerit ius vxoris
 sue defendere vel si in vita vxoris reddere voluerit, si vxor
 ante iudicium venerit parata petenti respondere & ius suum
 defendere, admittatur vxor. Now note further for recou-
 ries If judgement of fozeiudger be giuen against Baron
 and Feine, this is not void as some as the Baron is dead,
 but boydable by error, for the woman cannot haue a Cui
 in vita, 9. Ed. 3. fol. 2.

A recoverie by sufferance is plaine alienation; and
 therefore upon such a recoverie, as soon as the husband is
 dead, the woman may haue a Cui in vita by the Common
 Law, 4. Ed. 3. Brooke, Cui in vita, 18.

If a recoverie be had by default in a writ of waste, the
 wife cannot haue a Cui in vita: either because the reco-
 uerie

uerie is not merely by default, or else because the writ of waste hath no demand of land, quere if she shall haue a Quod ei deforceat, 9. Ed. 4. 16. If Baron and Feme be impleaded, by one which hath good title, and the Baron confesse the action, the woman hath no remedie. Yet the Statute is that vpon renouing by the Baron, the wife may be receiued, &c. But if Baron and Feme be receiued vpon default of tenant for life, where the reuersion is in the wife, the Baron cannot confesse the action, for hee must be receiued, Ad ius vxoꝝis defendendum, 7. Ed. 4. 17.

SECT. XXV.

IF the which hath cause to bring a Cui in vita, of Fee simple lands, die before she hath sued, &c. her heire shall haue a Sur cui in vita. But if the wifes lands, which the husband aliened, were in state of Fee taile, and the wife neuer sued, her heire must sue & be remedon in disceder, and not a Sur cui in vita, for though both these writs be the children of the ancient Common Law, and were before West 2. yea, and this latter writ was maintainable for lands given to the mother in francke marriage, or to the heires of her body (which at the first was Fee simple) yet when West 2. made estates taile, it did also expressly set downe a writ, whereby the heire should recover such estates. The Sur cui in vita, for it is no more but Pecipe quod reddat, &c. quod clamat esse ius & hereditate suam, in quod non habuit ingressum nisi per E. and so in the Cui, or in the Post. And the Aunt and Sister may ioyne in it vpon alienation made by the husband of their common Ancestor, or vpon reuersion had against him and her. If a second husband alien his wifes Fee simple lands, and she die, the issue by her first husband may sue a Sur cui in vita presently, the second husband still living, if she were neuer

never intituled to be Tenant by the Curtesie. But if he were intituled by the Curtesie, the Action is stayed, so long as he liueth: And this Writ lyeth of a V. Mi. 21. Edw. 3. 4. & 5. A man seised in right of his wife discontinued, and after diuers alienations, hee repurchased the lands to himselfe, his wife died, the heire brought a surcur in vna against him: præcipe W. 4. quod reddat, &c. cum contradicere non potuit: exception against the Writ, because it was not by another name, but it was disallowed, and the writ awarded good. If the Baron alien his wives see simple with warranty, and leaving a heire to descend in fee, he and his wife dye, and the heire alieneth the assces and dieth, his heire shall be barred in a sur cur in vna: But if an heire intalle, alien the assces and dye, his issue shall not be barred.

SECT. XXIV.

The quod ei deforciat.

The quod ei deforciat, though it be not merly a wo- mans Writ, yet perhaps it comes not moze aptly into consideration any where than in this place, after the

Acquisitio. If Tenant in taile, or Tenant in Dower, or Tenant per Curtesie, or Tenant for terme of life, lose their land by default in any præcipe quod reddat brought against them, they haue no remedy, if they were summoned according to Law, but by this Writ which is given in express forme by West. 2. cap. 4. And see the Comment. vpon Fitzherbert, the Writ lyeth against the recoverer and his heires, in which case the particular Tenant was without remedy at the Common law, for a writ of right hee could not haue. The Statute having first appointed holy a woman shall recover Dower, where the husband lost his land by

by default, viz. by writ of Dower (in which the Tenant
 must not plead the iudgement alone, but he must also prove
 her right) sheweth also how actions run together. When
 a woman already indowed, or Tenant by the curtesie, or
 in franchise marriage, or by other in taile, or for life, demand
 the estate which they themselves lost by default, in which
 cases when it is come to that, that the Tenant must prove
 his right, the Demandants, which cannot answer without
 them in the reuerſion, may vouch them as sufficient tenentes
 in priori breue. And so the Tenant est loco actoris, and
 if the Action were a Writ of right, they may proceed to
 the grand assise or battaile; And further, Cum mulier ius
 non habens impeterit breue de dote super custodem, & cu-
 stos per fauorem mulieris dotem reddiderit vel defaultam
 fecerit, vel placitum ita facti per collusionem defenderit, vt
 dos fuerit mulieri adiudicata: prouisum est quod cum ad
 iratum uenerit hæres habeat actionem petendi seisinam
 antecessoris sui, &c. ita tamen vt salua sit mulieri exceptio
 quod ius habeat in dote, quod si ostenderit recedat quiesca
 & sit hæres in misericordia & grauitur amercietur secundū
 discretionem Iusticie. Then to the quod ei deforciat. Si
 hæres vel alius, de dote sua implacitauerit mulierem, & si
 dotem suam per defaultam amiserit, fiat ei tale breue:
 præcipe A. quod iuste reddat B. qui fuit vxor C. vnum
 messuagium cum pertinentiis in N. quod clamat esse ratio-
 nabilem dotem, vel de rationabili dote sua & quod idem A.
 iniuste ei deforciat. So is Fitzherbert, but by the old na-
 bre. it must not be called an iniust forcing. Ps. car le poll-
 iniuste non habetur in Statuto, which is true, ad istud bre-
 ue habeat tenens exceptionem ad ostendendum quod mu-
 lier ius non habeat in dote, quod si ostendat, recedat quies-
 cas, &c. Last of all because vntill this time the Law gaue
 no remedy vpon losse by default, but only a writ of right,
 which serued not for them, that could not speake de iero
 iure, viz. Tenants for life, in free marriage, or in taile;
 The Statute to auoid that p̄iudice, giues them likewise
 their severall writs of quod ei deforciat, framed according
 to

to their title, either, quam clamat ad terminum vite, vel
 ve ius & maritagium, vel sibi. & heredibus de corpore. Te-
 nant by the curtesie, likewise though it be not expresse
 by the Statute, may have a quod ei deforciat, quam cla-
 mat tenere per legem Angliæ, which is by equity, saith
 Fitzherbert. If any Tenant of those particular estates,
 lost by default, by reason of non summons, he may have a
 quod ei deforceat, or a writ of deceit, at his pleasure.
 If a man lose by default in a writ of waste sued against
 him: hee shall not have a quod ei deforciat, because the
 waste must be found by verdict: nouell na. bre.

Pet. 2. Hen. 4. fol. 2. Hanc. said, if a writ, to enquire
 of waste, were awarded, the Defendant which lost the
 land might have a quod ei deforciat, videtur lex esse con-
 tra, saith Brooke, for it was there agreed by all the Court,
 that attaint lieth in an Action of waste: and the party
 may challenge the Jury: yea, the booke at large is that
 the Wiltount may quash the pannell, though it be of his
 owne making so, that this kinde of recovery is by ver-
 dict, and not by default. Note, that 21. Hen. 6. Challenge
 is denied, but by Newton and Vaston Iustices, Markham
 and Portington, Sericants, attainct lieth. But see Sir
 Edw. Cokes Comment. vpon Fitzherbert fol. 355. that is
 resolved, that if the Tenant in a Writ of waste in the
 tenet lose by default, a quod ei deforceat lieth, as well as
 in assise, and it is no reason to say that attaint lieth a-
 gainst the Jury, for so it doth in assise, yet it is there
 said, that attainct doth not lye after a Writ of, inquirie
 of waste, for it is but an inquest of office. But there it is
 said, that if the iudgement be a nihil dicit, there a quod ei
 deforceat lieth not, for that is after appearance, and is
 not a iudgement per defaultam.

And note there, that if Tenant for life make default
 after default, and he in the reuerſion is receiued and plead
 to issue, and it is found by verdict for the Demendant, the
 default and the verdict are causes of the iudgement, and
 yet the Tenant shall have a quod ei deforceat, & vide Dod.

fol. 556. more est quod ei desorceat. 33. Hen. 6. 46. Littleton saith, that Tenant for life, or in taile, may haue a quod ei desorceat, as well vpon disseisen done to them, as vpon recovery against them by default, for before West. 2. there was a quod ei desorceat at Common. And all is one, whether it be brought vpon a disseisen, or a recovery, for neither Writ, nor Declaration make any mention of any recovery, and the Tenant may chose whether hee will plead the recovery or other matter in barre, which if he doe, the Demandant cannot vouch, nisi effect teneas: Neither is nul tiel recovery a good plea prima facie, saue only for the Demandant, when the Tenant pleads a recovery by default.

2. Edw. 4. fol. 11. Littleton stands to his old opinion, that there was a quod ei desorceat at the Common law, and hee would haue it maintainable still by one that hath cause to bring a formedone, or an assize, or writ of entry, sur disseisin: But the Court seemes to wonder at his sayings, and also at the first, when Billing comes, and demands oier del record: for the Tenant in a quod ei desorceat, the Court askes him quæ intendes per ceo: so that with questions of admiration they seeme plainly to reject both opinions, that there is any quod ei desorceat at the Common law, giuen otherwise than vpon recovery by default, and then the Tenant may plead nul tiel record: for neither the writ nor the declaration makes any mention of the recovery: But Littleton comes once more, 10. Edw. 4. fol. 2. and said, that once he brought a quod ei desorceat for his mother, of lands which shee claimed to hold in Dowry, the Tenant said, there was no record to proue, that the lands were lost by default. And Littleton challenged the plea, because it might be the recovery was in a Court Baron by default in a Writ of right, in which case a quod ei desorceat lyeth: and therein is no record, yet it is a record by default: the Tenant said, there was neither record nor recovery where any losing by default appeared, and this was holden a good plea, per les Iustices. And Littleton relinquished his suit.

44. Edw. 3. fol. 42. A quod ei deforciat was brought against the heire of one which recovered in an assize, he prayed the plea might stay for his non age, and vouched to warranty. *Et sic*. the vouchor was allowed but not his age: because he might not haue had it in his first action: So that it appeares, this writ lyes vpon recovery in assize, and the Tenant may vouch: But by Thorpe, if it had bene the party himselfe which recovered, he could not haue vouched; *Et mirum* saith Brooke, that vpon a recovery in assize, which is by iury and not by default, this writ should be. And if yee loke this booke at large, yee shall finde againe, that this writ and the proceeding in it, is merely by the Statute vpon a recovery by default, therefore a quod ei deforciat lieth, and that vpon a recovery by default in a quod ei deforciat. As 13. Edw. 1. a woman recovered in a Writ of Dower, by default against Tenant for life of rent, and afterward the Tenant, which lost by default, brought a quod ei deforciat against the woman, and she lost by default, and then sued a quod ei deforciat, &c. This is the highest writ which these particular tenants can haue of their owne possession, as it were their writ of right, and it lieth against him which is Tenant, though he be not party to the recovery, as against the feoffee of him which recovered. But it lyes not done or neuer for a stranger to the recovery. *Pet* 41. Edw. 3. fol. 30. the Baron and Feme ioyned in a quod ei deforciat of lands lost by the Feme before marriage, & bene. And by Belknap it lyes vpon a recovery in a scire facias, and it lyes without shewing the record.

The Tenant in this writ, whether it be he which recovered, or his aliene, shall not haue view. 41. Ed. 3. 8.

If a man lose by default in a writ of right brought in a Court Baron, he may remoue the record, and haue a quod ei deforciat, in the Common place, and quere saith Fitzherbert, if he neuer remoue the record, if he then may not sue his quod ei deforciat in which Court he will, either the common place, or the Court Baron. He agreeth if a woman

woman lose by default, and then marrie, she and her husband may haue this writ: but if Tenant in taile lose by default and dye, his heire must sue a Formedon: for that is his writ of right.

If lands be giuen to Baron and Feme in especiall taile, the remainder to the Baron in generall taile, and the wife die sans issue, now if the Baron lose by default, in a Præcipe quod reddat, his wyif of Quod ei desorceat must be Quod clamat tenere sibi & hæredibus de corpore suo, for so soone as the wife died, the state apres possibility drowned in the remainder, 50. Ed. 3. fol. 4. If in a Scire facias brought in Chancerie by an heire of full age, to a uoyd indowment assigned in Chancerie, whilest he was ward, he recouer by default, the woman may haue a Quod ei desorceat in Commune Banco. So likewise if a man recouer land by default in Scire facias, out of some record in the Kings Bench, the Tenant which lost by default may sue a Quod ei desorceat in the Common Place. If two coparceners tenants in taile, lose by default, they may toyne in a Quod ei desorceat, yet the default of one is not the default of the other. 46. Ed. 3. in Fitzherbert, Nat. Breu. Brooke hath it also. A Quod ei desorceat brought by two men, heires in taile, of Gaull kinde, Quam clamat sibi tenere & hæredibus de corporibus exunctibus was awarded good, though they could haue none issue of their two bodies. 46. Ed. 3. 21. If tenant for life, or in taile appeare in a Præcipe quod reddat, and afterward depart in despite of the Court, he shall lose the land, but yet he may recouer by Quod ei desorceat, for the recouerie is by default, for that he doth not appeare when he is demanded. But if tenant for life, or in taile, after the wife toynd in writ of right, depart in despite of the Court, they shall lose the land, and not haue a Quod ei desorceat, for the Iudgement is final. If Baron and Feme seised in droit le feme for her life lose by default, in a Præcipe quod reddat, they may haue a Quod ei desorceat, by Fitzherbert, which is denied in the old Nat. Breu. 155.

If tenant for life lose by a default in a Cessavit, he shall have a Quod ei deforceat by this Statute of West. 2. If he in reversion upon default of tenant for life pray to be received, plead, and lose by action tried: yet the tenant for life may have a Quod ei deforceat, for the Judgement must be against him by his default. If in a Praecepto quod reddat, the Tenant vouch, and the Vouchee will not appear, so that the Tenant loseth by default of the Vouchee. Fitzherbert makes it a question, whether he may have a Quod ei deforceat, or no, because the Judgement is not given upon the tenants owne default. But clere it is, if the Vouchee appeare, enter into Warrantie, and lose by default, that now the Tenant shall not have a Quod ei deforceat, but Judgement to recover in value against the Vouchee. If Baron and Feme, tenants for life in the wiues right, lose by default, and the Baron dye, a Quod ei deforceat lieth not, but a Cui in vita, as upon a Demise made by the baron: In a Quod ei deforceat the Demandant must count, that he was seised, &c. in his Demesne as of Francktenement, or in his Demesne as of Fee taile, layng the Esplees in himselfe, but he needs not shew of whose gift, lease, or demise, though he claime for life, or the claimes in Dower, or sibi & hæredibus de corpore. And the Defendant must deny the Demandants right, &c. and shew how he recovered in a Formedon, or in some other Action: concluding that he is ready to maintaine his right and title aforesaid, &c. unde petit iudicium. When the Demandant must either traaverse it, or shew matter in barre: but he shall not make defence, and then plead in barre, as he shall doe in a Formedon. Fi. zh.

10. Ed. 4. fol. 2. Dictum fuit, and the tenant may plead a release of all the Demandants right in a Quod ei deforceat. But the old Nat. Brev. obserieth, that if the Demandant vouchone that entreth into Warrantie, he which recovered shall not plead the Vouchees release made after recoverie. In a Quod ei deforceat the Tenant may vouch, and so may the Demandant. 50. Ed. 3. 25. But if

the Demandant vouch, his Toucher cannot vouch over. 10. H. 7. 29. The old Nat. Breu. acknowledgeth, that in a Scire facias there lies no sucher: yet if a man recover by default in a Scire facias, out of a fine, againe Tenant in taile, which bringeth a Quod ei detorceat: if the recoverer maintaine the title of his first writ, the Tenant in taile may vouch. The Law seemes to be otherwise: see Plow. 112. & 206. & 14. H. 7. 18.

The questions arose upon the Demandants vouching, 10. H. 7. fol. 10. The first, whether he must shew cause of the Warrantie, or no. The second, whether hee may vouch one that hath nothing in the reversion. The third, whether he shall recover in value. Frowicke answered: The Voucher is by Statute, and hee needs not shew any cause, for the Statute of W. 2. cap. 3. saith, *Concedatur ei quod vocet ad warrantum si esset reuocatus in priori breui;* in which case he should shew no Dred: Second, hee shall not vouch any stranger: for the Statute is, *ideo concedatur eis quod vocentur ad warrantum quia non possunt sine his ad quos spectat reuersio responderere.* Third, the Statute giuing vouches, meanes that he shall haue the effect of his vouching, *id est*, to recover in value. And if a Statute giue action for a thing, whereof the action did not lye at Common Law, the partie shall haue iudgement; proccesse and execution incident or belonging to that action, and a reversion is a cause of vouches, and of recoverie in value. Frowicke said further: That though he which leased cannot disclaime, yet his Grantee may, and a ward his charge, and if vouches here should be no more, but an aid prayer, the Grantee might not disclaime: for if Tenant for life pray in aid of him in reversion, hee shall not disclaime. And Tenant by the courtelle cannot vouch, for he shall neuer recover in value.

SECT. XXVII.

*Admonition for women to take heed of him
in the reuerſion.*

THe rest of this fourth booke shall consist most in warn-ings to widowes and women tenants in particular estates, that they doe nothing prejudiciall to their warrant. It is true for the most part, Ex quibus rebus maxima vitulus, ex istem lumina pernicies: Water washeth and drowneth, fire roasteth and it burneth, the Sunne ripeneth, and it scorcheth and seareth. They that can help can hurt. The reuerſioner of a widowes estate, of whom she shall haue aid to defend her shall take her estate from her in many cases, if she offend him in his reuerſion.

SECT. XXVIII.

Of waste.

Even by the antique Law of England, if Bracton say truth, fol. 316. The Guardian in Chivalrie, committing waste, did lose the wardship, was auerred, Et damna restaurabat. But if Tenant in Dower committed waste, there was no forfeiture of her land, or parcell of it, but he in reuerſion might stop and let her, from doing waste, and such hinderance was no Disseisen. Also he might haue, if need required, a Non permittas to the Sheriffe, commanding him not to suffer waste, vendition or erile in lands, tenements, houses, woods, garden, &c. and he might haue attachment against the widowes, or a Pone per rados & filuos plegios, to make her come, &c. Now why she committed waste. If the waste in a wood were found by Inquisition, the paine was no more, but that from thenceforth she should take no manner of Closures, either to

build, burne, or inclose, but it must be per visum forestari-
 orum heredis. And Bracton sets forth the Writ for pla-
 cing and appointing of the Forrester, or by the heire ad
 predict' boscum custodiendum. But now by the Stat. of
 Glouc. cap. 5. A writ of waste lyeth against Tenant in the
 courtlesse, or for life, or for yeares, or in Dower, and the
 partie attainted in waste shall lose the thing wasted, and
 make gre to trebble value of so much as the value shall be
 taxed at. This Statute made 6. Ed. 1. ordaineth also that
 the Gardian which loseth his wardship for committing
 waste shall render damages, if losse of wardship be not
 equivalent to the harme. Peradventure Bracton wzote
 after the Statute, for in one part of his Booke Ed. 1. is
 named H. 3. But it is said Sir Edw. Cokes 3. Rep. fol.
 40. 7. that Glanville wzote temp. H. 2. Bracton, temp. H. 3.
 Britton, temp. Ed. 1. and in Sir Edw. Cokes 8. Rep. in
 John Webs case, fol. 46. b. he saith, that Bracton wzote in
 fine del Roy H. 3. and Fleta wzote in temp. E. 1. But
 note a woman shall not answer for waste done before her
 time: yea, if land be leased to Baron and Feme, for
 terme of their lives, and they commit waste: if the Ba-
 ron die, now the widdow is not punishable for this waste:
 For that which the Baron did during coverture, was only
 his act and offence, dead and determined with his person.
 Concessum per comam. 2. H. 4. and B. 59. in his Writ of
 waste. Yet if the lease had bene made to a Feme sole,
 who takes a husband which commits waste, otherwise
 it is by 9. H. 6. 5. 2. Women need no further warning to
 take heed of waste, they are of themselves so having.

20. & 2. all
 54. 7. 11. 11.
 74. 59. 6.

The writ of Entry in casu prouiso.

But let euerie good woman take heed, how she maketh
 any gift or alienation of such lands as she holdeth in
 dower.

Dower. For Glocest. cap. 7. is, if a woman sell, or give away in fee, or for life, the tenement which she holdeth in Dower: the heire, or he which is in reversion, may maintain haue his recoverie by Writ of Entry, and this is termed a writ of Entry in Casu prouiso. There is no doubt but Fee in this Statute signifieth both Fee simple and Fee taile. And he which hath Fee simple, Fee taile, or Estate for life in the reversion, may haue this Writ against the Alienée, or against him which is tenant of the Franchtenement. And this during the life of the tenant in Dower which aliened, for when she is dead, it lieth not per tiel Nat. Breu. The Statute expelleth not the writ, but the forme is, Præcipe A. quod reddat B. vnum tenementum quod clamat, in quod non habet ingressum nisi per C. quæ fuit vxor. D. qui illud ei demisit & illud tenuit in dorem de dono prædicti D. quondam, viri sunt cuius hæres, &c. & quod post cessionem per istud C. præfat' A. contra formam Statuti Glocest. &c. ad præfatum B. reuerti debet per formam eiusdem Statuti. And it may be in the Per, Cui, or Post. If a woman recouer Dower against the heire, and then alien in Fee, the recoverie must be mentioned by the heire in his writ of Entry in Casu prouiso. In like manner as it must be in a writ of Entry ad Communem Legem vpon an alienation by tenant in Dower, and though this alienation be but in taile, or for life, yet the forme of the writ varieth not; If he which hath the reversion in Fee grant it to another, and the Tenant in Dower after Atturment, alieneth in Fee, the Grantee of the reversion shall haue Writ specifying the grant. Likewise if the heire grant his reversion with Atturment, and the Grantee grants it ouer with Atturment, the third Grantee may haue a writ specifying that the woman held of the first, second, and third, ex assignatione, &c. The Aunt and Heire having the reversion by descent, may ioyne in this writ, and the proccesse is summons, grand and petit cape.

1017777
 X. Sect.

SECT. XXX.

The writ of Entry in Case co simili.

This Writ is in nature like the other, and it lyeth when Tenant by the courtlesse, or Tenant for his owne life, or another mans, alieneth in fee, or in taile, or for terme of life, he in the reversion which hath it for life, or in taile, or in fee, may haue this Writ of Entry in Case consimili, during the life of him which aliened, and this is forned and granted vpon West. 2. cap. 24. which willety, That as often as there is a Writ for no in Chancerie for one case, and another case falling sub eodem iure, and requiring like remedy, there is none in the registrie of the Chancerie, for that the Clerks of the Chancerie shall concord in framing a writ Vel attinentium quæritur in proximo Parlamento, & scribantur vsus in quibus concordare non possunt, &c. & referant eos ad proximum Parliamentum, & fiat breue de consensu Iurisperitorum in hoc contigat de cetero quod curia Domini Regis debeat conuenire acibus in In iura perquirenda.

The Writ is, Reverti debet per formam statuti in consimili casu prout. And it supposeth alwayes alienation in fee, although the Tenant leased or demised it, but for terme of another mans life, or in taile: And so the writ is in Cui prout. And that of Entry ad Communem Legem: This writ may be in the per, cui, and post: And without title made in the writ, if it so be that the Demandant himselfe made the particular estate of him which aliened: But if the father or other Ancestor make a lease for terme of life and die, and then the Tenant for life alieneth in fee, now the heire in reversion shall have a writ comprising his title in it selfe. And if this writ be brought vpon alienation made by Baron and Feme, the writ supposeth that the wife aliened with her husband, but yet shee may haue a Cui in vita after her husbands death, the alienation

nation not letting it: If Tenant for life grant his estate to another, and the grantee alieneth in fee, the Writ shall be in quod non habet ingressum nisi per C. cui D. qui illud tenuit ad vitam ex demissione B. demisit ad eundem terminum, &c. If a man make a lease for life, and dye, and his heire grant the reversion to B. and the Tenant attunes, If now the lessee grant his estate to another, which alieneth in fee to A. B. shall have a Writ comprehending the assignation and grant of all the estates.

If lands be given to two men, and to the heires of one of them, and he which hath the fee simple dies, and then the Tenant for life alieneth in fee, now the heire of him in remainder may have this Writ, for it lyeth as well for him as for Tenant in reversion.

If any Abbot or Prior make a lease for life, the lessee alien, the Prior dye, &c. the successor may have this Writ; Also tenant in tail may have it, if hee make a lease for life, and his lessee alien in fee. And it seemes if Tenant in tail make a lease for life of the lessee, and dye, the issue in tail may chose to bring a Forfeiture, or Writ of Entry in Confinch casu against the alienee, whilst the lessee for life is yet living, for the alienee, which is Tenant in the Action, cannot plead in Abatement of the Writ, that the Demandant hath title to a Forfeiture: But if Tenant in tail make a lease for terme of his owne life, which is no discontinuance, if now the lessee alien in fee, and the lessor dye, his heire cannot have a Writ de confinch casu; but he is given to his Forfeiture, for in this case, he hath no title to other Actions by colour of any demise. But in the former case he had title, by reason of the discontinuance made for life, to claime by right of the new reversion descended; so that hee had a double title, the reversion reserved for the lease and the title in tail, consequently election of Action: *Quere.*

P. 17. Ed. 3. A lease made for life, the remainder to another in fee, the lessee aliened in fee, and a writ de confinch casu brought by him in the remainder, and it abated, for
the

the Court said, that hee in remainder, was not possessed in fact, till the remainder did fall after the death of the lessee.

W^har^t Fitzherbert, the Law is not so taken at this day, but that hee in remainder hath the remainder bestid in him, as well as hath hee in the reversion, for hee may have an action of waste, and enter for alienation of his tenement, as well as hee in the reversion may: Ergo hee hath his remainder in fact, and mee seemeth, this Judgement was not well given, saith Fitzherbert, And Hill 18 E. 2. it was held by Herle Justice, that the Writ lieth well enough for him in remainder. And Tri. 31. E. 1. the heire in tail maintained a writ of entry in Consuili casu upon alienation made by tenant le curtesie, and the Court

S E C T. XXXI.

The Writ of Entry ad communem legem.

The Writ of Entry at Common law, is given in Case where Tenant in Dower, or per curtesie, or for life doth alien in fee, or in taile, or for life, &c. now if the Tenant which aliened doo dye, hee in the reversion must take this Writ of Entry ad communem legem, which is very like the former Writs, and may be in the per, cur, or poss; If a woman recover Dower, alien, and dye, the Writ of Entry ad communem legem, must make mention of the recovery; And if Tenant by the curtesie alien in fee, and dye, he in the reversion if he be heire in fee simple, may sue this Writ, or his Assigne of Mortdancester, given by the Statute of Gloucester. ca. 3. If Tenant for life alien in fee, and dye, the Writs for him in reversion are in divers formes, for if hee have the reversion in full rent, the Writ is in quod idem. *Ad non habet ingressum nisi per C. ca. D. pater vel necessarius*, of the Demandant cuius heres, &c. *Ad non habet*; or *Ad non habet*; But when the Demandant himselfe

himselfe made the lease to him which aliened, then the Writ is so may be *Præcipe quod reddat*, &c. omitting these words, *quod clamat vicius & hereditatem*; and note, if Tenant for life alien in fee, and dye, hee in reversion may chuse whether he will haue this writ, or an *ad terminum qui præterit*. If Tenant for life grant his estate, and hee in reversion grant his reversion with Attourment, if now the Tenant which attourned alien in fee, the grantee of the reversion shall haue a Writ, mentioning the grant and assignation, &c.

SECT. XXXII.

More of forfeitures, and how a particular Tenant may forfeit his estate without alienations.

NOte. If Tenant for life, lease the land to J. S. for terme of life of J. S. which dyeth, the first lessee still living, hee shall not haue the land againe, because hee leased more than was in him, and therefore, hee in the reversion shall haue it: But if two be seised for life, the inheritance in fee to one of them, and toyne in a lease for life, and the lease dyeth, they shall bee ioynt tenants againe. p Littleton 13. E. 4. fol. 4. Because hee which had the fee was piyng to the lease, and so the other gained no new reversion.

It is yet further to be vnderstood, both that he in reversion may enter vpon alienations made by particular Tenants, *vs supra*, to his disinheritance, without suing the aboue mentioned Writs: And also that there are sundry other forfeitures to the Reversioner besides expresse alienations, which I would haue widdowes to take heed of.

16. Edw. 3. fol. 17. In Action of waste by an Infant against Tenant by his fathers demise, he pleades, that the father

father confirmed his estate to have and to hold to him and his heires in fee, by his deed shewed to the Court, Judgment si, &c. It was said for verity, that if the claime were found false, the heire might enter, Page 64. in Fitzh.

And if a reversion bee granted by fine, and the confesse bzings a quid iuris clamor against the Tenant for life, which pleadeth that shee hath estate in talle, by devise in Testament from the Common Lawes, if it be found by verdict that shee hath but estate for life, that estate is forfeited, Quod vide Plowd. fol. 212. in Saunders in Fremans Case, where the entry for the confesse is consideratum est, pro lesina & reddit præd cum partium versus &c. occasio-
na & clam' & placit prædict' foris a'ci' habend' (si voluerit) persequatur ac etiam quod finis præd' si voluerit ingrossetur. Plesingtons Case 6. R. 2. was this A man made a lease for yeeres, and granted further by Indenture, if he aliened the reversion, & dyed within the terme, that the lessee should have franchetement, and livery was made, the fee simple was granted by fine. &c. and in a quid iuris clamor, the lessee claimed franchetement, iudgement was given that the cognisee might enter for a forfeiture, and that the fine should be engrossed, (si voluerit) See 3. & 4. Eliz. Dier. 209. in a like case the iudgement was, not quod querens recuperet lesinam, but quod prosequatur pro lesina si voluerit, & finis ingrossetur, &c.

S E C T. XXXIII.

The Statute of 11. H. 7. cap. 20.

The Common Law restrictive of it selfe, and helped something by the Statute of Gloucester, was sufficient, a great while, to byde women from making alienations for any land that they held in Dowry or Joynture, as arguments of their owne good deserts and testimonies of their husbands love; But time, which made the

the art of fencing more fine then it was at the first, when Combattants fought all at head and shoulders, and it was greater shame to strike vnder the girdle than it is now, made law also more subtle than in the beginning it was, when lands went altogether, or for the most part by livery of seisin.

And women witty of themselves, instructed by crafty men, grew cunning at the last, that they could alien lands, holden for life, or in taile, to whom they listed in fee. And hee which suffereth disinheritaunce should not easily helpe himselfe by Writ of Entry, either ad communem legem, or in casu pro: so: for remedy whereof was made this severe statute in effect as followeth. 11. H. 7.

If any woman, which hath had or hereafter shall have any estate in Dowry, or for life, or in taile, to ynt p with her husband, or only to her selfe, or to her vse in any Mannors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments of the inheritance, or purchase of her husband, or given to the husband and wife in taile, or for terme of life by any Ancestors of the husband, or by any other person seized to the vse of the husband, or of his Ancestors, and haue, or shall hereafter being sole, or with any other after taken to husband, discontinued, or discontinued, aliened, released or confirmed, alien, release, or confirmed, with warranty, or by cohen, suffered, or suffer any recovery of the same, against them, or any of them, or any other seized to their vse, or to the vse of either of them, after the forme aforesaid, that all such recoveries, discontinuances, alienations, releases, confirmations, and warranties, so had, and made, and from henceforth to be had, and made, be utterly void, &c. And that it shall be lawfull to every person and persons, to whom the interest, title, or inheritance, after the decease of the said woman, of the said mannors, lands, or tenements, or other hereditaments being discontinued, aliened, or suffered to be recovered, after the first day of December next coming in the forme aforesaid should appertaine, to enter into all and every of the Pre-

wifes, and peaceably to possesse and enjoy the same, in
 such manner and forme, as he or they should have done, if
 no such discontinuance, warranty, or recovery had bene
 had or made: And if any of the said husbands and wo-
 men, or any other seised, or that shall be seised to the use
 of them of the estate aforesaid, after the said first of
 December, doe make or cause to be made, or suffer any
 such discontinuance, alienations, warranties, or recou-
 ries, in forme aforesaid, that then it shall be lawfull to the
 person or persons, to whom the said manors, lands, and
 tenements should or ought to belong, after the decease of
 the woman, to enter into the same, and to possesse, and en-
 joy them, according to such title, and interest, as they
 should have had in the same, if the woman had bene dead,
 no discontinuance, warranty, nor recoveries, had as a-
 gainst the said husband, during his life, if the discontinu-
 ance, alienation, warranties, and recoveries, be hereafter
 had by or against the same husband and woman, during
 Couverture and espousals betwixt them; Provided, that
 the said women, after the decease of their said husbands,
 may reenter and enjoy, &c. according to their first estate;
 And over this it is enacted, that if the woman, at the time
 of such discontinuance, alienation, recovery, warranty,
 &c. be sole, that then shee shall bee barred and excluded of
 her title and interest in the same from thenceforth, and
 the person or persons, to whom the title, interest and pos-
 session of the same should belong, after the womans de-
 cease, shall immediately after the discontinuance, aliena-
 tion, warranty, and recovery, enter, possesse, and enjoy,
 the same Manors, Lands, &c. according to his or their
 title; Provided that this Act extend not to avoid any re-
 covery, discontinuance, or warranty, after the forme a-
 fforesaid, heretofore had, made, or suffered, but only where
 the husband and wife, or either of them, now being alive,
 or any other to their use, now have title and interest to
 the said Manors, &c. or take the issues and profits to their
 use; Provided also, that this Act extend not to any reco-
 very

very or discontinuance, where the heire next inheritable, to the woman, or he, or they, that next after her death, should have estate of inheritance, &c. be assenting or agreeing to the recoveries, where the same assent and agreement is of record or enrolled. Provides also, that it shall bee lawfull to every woman being sole, or married after the death of her first husband, to give, sell, discontinuance, &c. for terme of her life only, after the course of the common law.

SECT. XXXIV.

The Exposition.

BEfore this Statute, if Tenant in Dower had aliened in fee with warranty, and dyed, the warranty descending upon him in reversion, had barred him, for against collateral warranty of Tenant in Dower, or for life, the Statute of Gloucester cap. 2. determined nothing. Littleton fol. 164. He addeth, that if the heire were under age, both at time of alienation, and also when the warranty descended, hee should bee at no prejudice by this collateral warranty: But if he were under age at time of the alienation, and came afterward to full age, during the womans life, and never entered, then perchance hee should be barred; This was Law when Littleton wrote, and had continued so above two hundred yeeres, and during the raigne of nine Kings after the making of Gloucester cap. 3. which Statute Dyer comparing with the latter, he reputes the last cruell against women; for by this Act of 11 Hen. 7. all alienations, recoveries, releases, and warranties of Tenant in Dower, or Joynture of the husbands lands are of no strength. And where Gloucester alloweth Tenant by the curtelie to alien with warranty and assent; this from women is cleane taken away, this, he saith, is in case fori dure. That if a woman Joyntresse

in taile, whose warrantie is lineall to her heires, doe alien, and leave assets, yet the heire may enter; Therefore hee is of the minde that this Statute being rigorous of it selfe, ought to receive a strict and literal interpretation, fol. 148. But Stamford, Browne, & Brook, expounded these words, (given by the Ancestors) to be intencible of all manner of assurances, for money or otherwise: There are two Cases in Plowden that in ved great Arguments upon this Statute; The first is betwixt Wimbishe and Falboies, a man enfeofed divers persons to the use of himselfe and his wife in special taile, before the Statute of 27. Hen. 8. of uses, and after the Statute the husband died, a stranger recovered in a formedone, per ment deduc, the first day, by couin, and upon false title, he to whom the title appertained, after the womans death entred, and the entry was adjudged lawfull, though hee could not have Judgement for a default in the pleading, and that was want of certaintie in his replication, and not shewing how he was heire, or the party to whom the entry was given by the Statute.

The greatest matter upon the Statute objected to inforze a pzoofe, that the widow, which suffered the recovery, was not bound by this Act, was, that she held not jointly with her husband, any lands or tenements, but only she was seised of an use in taile. (for they take it cleare on all parts that the case came into consideration, as if the Act of 27. had not bene made) and that seems to be directly within the letter of the Lawes; But Montague chiefe Justice, shewing how greatly the marriage of women, and their advancement by it, is respected in Law, as appeareth by the Writ of causa matrimonii prolocuti, and the curante diuortium taken by equity of West. 2. cap. 7. and also by that, that where dones in frankmarriage are diuorced, the woman shall haue all the lands: affirmeth it to be reason against such women thus favored, and who abuse such fauors as the Law bestowes upon them, and will be of Couin and Falshity, to impaire their deceased

deceased husbands inheritance, and disinherit their heires, to construe this Law for their correction: for the Law-makers of the statute were bent extremely against them, though it be penall in some sort of it selfe. And so it was agreed, that if the widow were not within the words, yet she was within the intent and meaning of this Statute.

The other case was this betwixt Eiton and Scud. Baron and Feine levied a fine of lands of the wifes inheritance, taking backe an estate in taile the remainder to the right heires of the wife, the question was whether the woman after her husbands death, might alien without danger of this Statute, adjudged that she might, because she was cleare without the intent and meaning of the Act: For whatsoever the words import, the matter that this Statute aimed was, and is, to restraints women which have Joyntures, proceeding originally from their husbands, or the husbands Ancestors, that they should doe nothing prejudiciall to the heires. But in this case there came no Joynture from the husband, but contrariwise, the wife had made a Joynture to her husband, and after his decease, to hinder the woman to doe what she liked with her owne inheritance, were against all reason, and as farre from any affinity with 11. H. 7. as it should be, when a woman seised in fee simple gives lands to the father of him whom she intends to marrie, to the intent that he regrant this land to his sonne and her after marriage, with a remainder in taile, &c. to restraints her, when after marriage regranting, and death of the husband, she should lovie a fine to other uses, or suffer a recoverie, which case though it be cleane out of the Statute, yet it is within the words, for the Joynture was made by the Barons Ancestors, though not originally, &c. And so note those two cases of Plowd. one is taken to be within the intent, though out of the letter, and the other though within the letter, yet out of the intent, and yet both constructions most reasonable and iust.

And see Sir George Brownes case, Sir Edw. Cokes 2.
Rep.

Rep. that a lease made by a woman tenant in taile of the gift of her husband, &c. make a lease for three lives that is not warranted by the Statute of 32. H. 8. and although the lease be without clause of Warrantie, yet it is within the Statute of 11. H. 7. for those words in the act (with warrantie) refer to releases and confirmations which makes no discontinuance without warrantie, for the intent of the Act is, to prohibit not onely euerie barre, but euerie manner of discontinuance, which puts the heire to his reall action. And in that case it was resolved, that if the issue in taile had before the womans forfeiture granted his remainder onely in that case, hee by the expresse letter of the Act shall enter upon the discontinuance of the woman, for his act doth not binde his estate. But when the issue in taile leuie a fine with proclamation, in the life of the woman tenant in taile, &c. that shall binde the taile, and therefore there the Conuey shall enter, for he which hath the immediate title, interest, or inheritance, at the time of the forfeiture, shall enter by that Statute. And it was said by Anderson, Chiefe Justice of the Common Pleas, that where it was intended for to make euasions out of the Statute, that if such a woman tenant in taile accepts a fine sur conusans de droit come ceo, &c. and by grant and renders the land for a thousand yeares, that is an alienation within the intention of the Act, although the words of the Act are discontinuance, alienation, &c. and of that opinion was Wray Chiefe Justice, and Dyer, and all the Court of Common Pleas was of the same opinion, 18. Eliz.

And in Sir Edw. Cokes 3. Rep. Lincolne College case. It was resolved, that if the heire in taile conuey the lands to others, and the woman tenant in taile release, or make confirmation with warrantie, which is not but to perfect and corroborate the estate which the heire in taile hath made, such a warrantie is not restrained by the said Act, for that which the woman hath done, is for the benefit of the heire, and not for his prejudice, and by his assent. And
 he

she and the heire might have formed a fine, and so barre the estate taile, notwithstanding the Statute of 11. H. 7. therefore such Acts by the woman shall not be hold, to grant the heire, or any else, any advantage by the Statute of 11. H. 7. And note the opinion of Sir Edw. Coke in the said case of Lincolne College, that the sonne borne after, shall by this Statute out the daughter, who entred for forfeiture, and shewes other opinions concurring, yet in Dyer 21. Eliz. 362. the heire in such a case is said to be in by purchase.

And note, Reader, that it hath bene adjudged, that although the Deed of conveyance, and assurance of the womans Joynture or estate, doth expresse her marriage portion, as well as her marriage, to be the cause and consideration of such Joynture or estate, yet if the estate proceeds from the husband or his Ancestors, she is within the said Statute of 11. H. 7. and see Villers and Beaumonts case, 4. Mar. 146. But enquire if the portion monsy appeare to be the full price of the land, if that differ not the case.

See Sir Edw. Cokes Comment upon Littleton, 265. These cases put a man seised in Fee, leuie a fine to the vse of himselfe for life, and after to the vse of his wife, and of the heires males of her body by him begotten, and had issue male, and after he and his wife leuied a fine, and suffered a common recouerie: the husband and the wife died, and the issue male entred by the Statute of 11. H. 7. and the entrie was holden lawfull, and yet this case is out of the letter of the Statute, for the neither leuied the fine, &c. being sole, or with any other saue her husband, who made the Joynture, Sed qui hæret in littera, hæret in cortice; and therefore this case being within the mischief of the Statute is within the remedy. But note, Reader, that this case was denyes for Law by the Recorder of London, in his argument in the case hereunder specified, betwene Copland and Pyar. Another case in Sir Edw. Cokes Commentaries upon Littleton, which agree with Eiston and Studs case in Plowd. is; A man seised of land

are vxoris and they two leuie a fine, and the Comisee grant and render the land to the husband and wife in speciall taile, the remainder to the right heires of the wife, they haue issue, the husband dieth, the wife taketh another husband, and they two leuie a fine in Fee: the issue entereth, this is within the letter of the Statute, and yet is out of the meaning, because the state of the land moued from the wife, so as it was the purchase of the husband in letter, and not in meaning. But where the woman is tenant for life by the gift, or conueyance of any other, her alienation with Warrantie shall binde the heire at this day.

The case of Copland and Pyar adiudged Hillar. 7. Car. in Banco Regis, in effect was thus, I. S. his sonne was to marrie to the daughter of I. N. And the Deed declareth that I. N. for the consideration of foure hundred pounds paid by I. S. and of a marriage, &c. and for the preferment of the blood of I. N. covenants to stand seised to the vse of the sonne of I. S. and his daughter whom the sonne of I. S. should marrie, entaile the remainder to another daughter of I. N. the remainder to the heires of I. N. the husband dieth hauing issue, and the wife alieneth by fine. And it was resolved, that it was not within the Statute of 11. H. 7. notwithstanding the foure hundred pounds paid by the husbands father, for the land first moued from the wifes father, and the preferment of the blood of I. N. shewed the intent that the husbands heires should not be preferred, but the wifes. And the Bishop of Cretors case was in that case cited, which was that in consideration of kinned to the woman, and seruice done by the man, the Bishop gaue the land to them in taile, the remainder to the heires of the Bishop, it was said to be adiudged, that the woman Doner after her husbands death, had no estate within the said Statute of 11. H. 7. but that she might sell it without danger of the Statute.

SECT. XXXV.

what Actions concerni^g chattells due
for a widdow.

I Hold it good wilsdome for a widdow, and for all persons, to have greatest care of matters of greatest moment: And not to contemne the lesse: Now that we have done with matters of Franchtenement, we will see a little, in what Actions concerning Chattels reall, or personall duties a widdow may be Plaintiffe, or Defendant, to make an end of reckonings begun before, or whilst she was a wife. If Feine covert deliver D^{ed} to J. S. she may have Action of Detinue for the D^{ed} after her husbands decease, for though the delivrie were voyd betwixt J. S. and the Baron, yet it is good betwixt J. S. and the wife, if the Barondye, 3. H. 6. 50.

If a lease be made to Baron and Feine for yeares, and the Baron die, the wife shall have the terme, and if the Lesso^r out her, she may have Action of covenant, 47. Ed. 3. 12.

If a man be bound to Baron and Feine in Statute Merchant, the Baron alone may make default, and by some opinion the Audita querela must be against him alone: but if he doe not release, i. e. the Statute serveth to the wife, and she may sue execution, & execute onemy. And, per Finch, the Law is all one of an Obligation and a Statute. Likewise in a plea of land, if Baron and Feine recover the land with damages, and the Baron die, his wife shall sue for damages, and not his Executors.

So likewise by Belknap, If an Obligation be made to Alice the wife of Robert, this is a good Obligation, and Alice and Robert may toyne in an action upon it, and if Robert die before he have released, for he may alone release it, Alice alone shall have the Action, 48. Ed. 3. 12.

simle 7. H. 6. fo. 2. See the Commentaries of Sir Coke upon Littleton, fol. 350. It is said that Chattels reals of a mixt nature, namely, part y in possession, and partly in action, happening during coverture, if the wife haue her husband, she shall haue them by the Common Law, as if the husband be seised of a rent charge, rent seruice, or Secke, iure vxoris, the rent incurreth during coverture, if the husband dye the wife shall haue the arerages, and so of an Adouision of the Church during coverture, & sic de similibus. And in those cases the husbands shall gaine them by suruiuership: but for arerages, or auoydance of the Church before marriage, the husband could haue no help by suruiuership, and so of releases. But now by the Statute of 32. H. 8. cap. 27. By suruiuership the husband shall haue the arerages as well incurred before the marriage as after.

If an *Executrix* happen within the Spanne of the wife, if the husband dye before seisure, the wife shall haue it, for that the proprietie was not in the wife before seisure.

But as to personall goods there is a diuersitie betwene a proprietie and a bare possession, for if personall goods be deliuered to a woman: or if she finde goods, or if goods come to her hands, as *Executrix* to a *Bayliffe*, and taketh an husband, this bare possession is not given to the husband, but the Action of *Detinue* must be brought against the husband and the wife.

If *Baron* and *Feme* make a lease for yeares, and the *Baron* die, the wife may bring an Action of *waste*, 22. H. 6. 24.

If an *Obligation* be made to *Baron* and *Feme*, and the *Baron* die, the *widdow* may haue the *Obligation*. 4. H. 6. 5. *Quere*, for the booke is not so cleare, as *Brooke* makes it, the woman was *Obliged* with her husband, and sued as *Executrix*.

Generally where title, or cause of Action, is given to a woman before marriage, or during marriage, and the husband releaseth not, &c. the Action suruiureth when he dies.

dre. But there may be a release in land as well as in fact implied, as well as expressed. And therefore the case is 8. Ed. 2. Br. Decr. 136. and cite Plowd. 184. in Woodward and Darcy his Case, If a man be bound to a woman, and to another, and the Obligor marry the woman, all the obligation is extinct although the wife over-live her husband, or although she dies, living the other obligor, for either of the obligors hath power to release, and that inter-marriage is a release. And gifts in Law of the chattels of the wife as well real as personall are outlawy or attainer of the husband. If a man marry with a woman executrix, and then release to Creditors, all manner of Actions generally, this extendeth to his proper accords, and to those which his wife hath, either in her owne right, or as executrix. Baron and feme 80. in Brooke. See Brooke covenant 6. Action of covenant was brought against Baron and Feme, lessors of a Manor for terme of life, rendering 20. li. per annum, and they were bound to the Plaintiffe, that he should have such surety for his rent as his Conncell devised; the Counsellors devised the Assurance, and the Defendants refused to make it, it was ruled for Law, that if the Baron died, nothing should bind his widdow, save onely the lease and reservation, if shee agreed to the lease post mortem viri: And shee shall be charged with payment of the rent, or double it, or pay fine nomine pence, or hold it subiect to reentry, according as the lease was made: But a collaterall covenant, as that the lessor shall discontinue in other lands for his rent, or a covenant, to charge the lessors persons in twenty pound for non payment, &c. such like agreements binde not the widdow, when the Baron is dead, and the Writ abated.

Note, that widdow is a good Addition, to be put to the Defendants name in any original Writ of Action personall, appeale or inditement, wherein exigent lieth, &c. According to the Statute, 1. Hen. 5. cap. 5. And 14. Edw. 4. fol. 7. Starkey demanded of the Justices in the Chequer chamber, if an Action were brought against a woman that

was neither maid, wife, nor widow, what addition should be given her, some say she should be called single woman: and there it is doubted, whether servant bee a good addition, or not; for it was no addition by the Common Law, as some said.

These are past the greatest, and most difficult part of Law, peculiarly belonging to a widow, and come now to consider, whether she shall marrie againe, or no. If Iohn Boccace de Certaldo, in his Booke De curis mulieribus, may be believed, When the sister of covetous King Pigmacon, and widow of Sycheus, Hercules his Priest, had built the Walls, Temple, Market, Towne house, and private dwellings of Carthage, giving lawes and rules of life to the inhabitants, amongst the rest that were filled with love of her great vertues and singular beautie, the King of Malaca was one, he grew so vehement in his desires, that he threated the Citizens of Carthage with warres, and utter subuersion of their new Citie, vntlesse he might haue the Foundresse of it to be his wife: They knowing how highly their Queen would remaine displeas'd by any direct sollicitation to a second marriage, & not knowing how otherwise to saue themselves, determined to win her assent without asking. The chiefe of them went therefore to Dido, and told her how the King of Malaca required Masters and Instructors of humanitie to be sent him out of Carthage, from whom he and his people might learne to doe off their naturall barbarousnesse and inciuilitie, and further, how hee had menaced fire, sword, and extreme dissolution, vntlesse his request were accomplished: But they knew not (they said) whom to send, or who would be willing to goe, and leave his owne habitation, to dwell with a King of such sauage nature, and wilde behauiour, as was this King of Malaca. Dido, when she heard them, answered, that she was alhamed there should be found in any Carthaginian, such a steepe and cowardly feare, affirming plainly, that men were not hozne quelp for themselves, and whofoeuer he were that

would

would not adventure lesse, perill, yea, and death, though it were certaine, for safegard of his Countrey, hee was (he said) unworthy to dwell in Carthage, or that either he or his posteritie should ever be receiued to any honour or reputation amongst them. The Carthaginians thought they had obtained their desire, and vncouered their counsell to the Quene, telling her plainly the Kings demand. Dido not knowing how to reply against her owne redargutions, replenished with sorow and anrietic, was enforced to yeld her assent to wedlocke, and craved a day, before which she said she would goe vnto her husband; but before the terme was expired, she caused a great fire to be made in the most eminent place of the Citie, and there in view and concourse of all other people, after many ceremonies and offering of sacrifice, as it were to appease the ghost of Sicheus, she suddenly with a knife strake her selfe to the heart, and told her subiects that now she went to her husband, her Sicheus, her deare Sicheus, on whose name still inuocating, she sunke to the ground, haning chosen rather to shed her dearest lifes blood (as she said) than to violate the vowes of chaste widdowhood. Boccace mine Authoz here may haue some colour of reason, to extoll the resolution of Dido, but not to condemne so bitterly (as he doth) all women that marrie a second husband. Some of them are destitute of friends, their parents, bzythen, and kindred dwell farre off, suitors come euerie day, who can obliuise them? Another widdow hath lands, rents, stoe of goods, some suits at Law, and no body that she can trust, in help to governe that which she hath, or to inherit it when she is gone. Another is tolled to marrie by mightie perswasions of her dearest friends and kindred. Another hath seruent youth on her side, and let Indians leape into the dead mans fire, if they will, she hath learned that it is better to marrie than to burne.

SECT. XXXVI.

*A Case is to marrie sh^d, t^t at it be not uncertaine
who shall be father to the next childe.*

For my part, that am like neuer to be feared, vnlesse
some widdow be moued with compassion towards me,
will not speake villanie of Bigamie, or Polygamie, let
euery woman marrie when she seeth her time, but festi-
nare leuie, a slow speed perhaps will be best, and let her
examine well whether the panner be emptye, or no. If
(saith Sir Thomas Smith, in his Treatise De Repub. An-
glie. fol. 164.) I marrie the widdow of one lately dead,
whiche at the time of her husbands death was with childe,
and the childe is borne after marriage solemnized with
me, this childe shall be mine heire and lawfull sonne, so
precisely doe we take the letter, *Pater est quem nuptiae ce-
monstrant.* Littleton saith, 18. E. 4. fol. 30. If a man mar-
rie a woman which is grossement enfeint by another, and
within foure dayes after marriage she is deliuered, this
childe shall be his that hath newly married the woman,
and inherit his land, for it is no baskard. It seemeth hee
would haue it vnderstood of a woman enfeint: by hap-ha-
zard, and in such cases it is reason, that hee which takes
the Dame should haue the sole. So is it also when a wo-
man elopes with a stranger in adultery, and hath a childe,
her husband John at Noke being betwene the foure seas,
must father the childe, and it shall be his heire, if he die:
for the Law will not bring into triall directly, who begate
the childe, 44 Edw. 3. fol. 10. and 7. Hen. 4. fol. 10. But
though issue may not be taken, whether a woman were
enfeint by her husband, at the time of his death, leaving
out the question by whom, as appeareth by the former
Bookes. and 1. H. 6. fol. 3. Then if it may be found by
Enquest, that a woman was with childe at her husbands
death, the Law which permits not to enquire by whom,
affirmes


affirmes it to be the husbands, and that husbands which might lawfully beget it. I thinke surely, Sir Thomas Smith miske the Law: for by Thorpe and Willowby, 21. E. 2. fol. 29. If a man dye seised of land in Fee simple, and the wife which is orieneit enfeint with a sonne, marrie againe, and after is deliuered, this sonne shall be adiudged sonne and heire to the first Baron, and not to the second: Though Justice Ben there were of opinion, that the Infant might chuse his father: It were better reason perhaps, that the second husband might chuse whether he should be his sonne, or no, and by allowance make him his heire.

Sir Ed. Coke in his Comment vpon Littleton, fol. 82. saith, If a man hath a wife, and dieth within a verie short time after, the wife marieth againe, and within nine moneths hath a childe, so as it may be the childe of the one or the other, some haue said in this case the childe may chuse his father, Quia in hoc c. si filiatio non potest probari, and so is the Booke to be intended: For auoyding of which question, and other inconueniences, this was the Law befoze the Conquest, Sit omnis vidua sine marito 12. mensibus, & si maritauerit perdat dorem. But if women had all bene of such sobrietie, as many are, many of these questions had neuer risen, and I must confesse it is great petulantie in any Widdow, that stippeth to second wedlocke, whilst she yet nourisheth in her wombe, the pledge of vnion and loue, betwirt her and her late husband: I thanke God, I cannot say that I haue knowen in my life time any Widdow so wanton. In old time women vsed now and then to saine themselves left with childe, and to bring forth borrowed bzats, to deprive the Deceaseds right heire of his inheritance, sometimes of their owne mischieuous malice and deceitfulness, and sometime by consent and combining with the Lords of whom the lands were holden. Bracton in his second Booke, cap. 32. hath a large discourse, De partu legitimo: and there is a Writ to the Sheriffe, to call befoze him, and the keeper of Pleas
of.

of the Crowne, the woman that pzetenoeth to be enfeim,
 fo haue her examined, by tractation and fearch of god and
 laifull women, per vbera & per ventrem, whether ſhe be
 pregnant or no, and if the matter be found doubtfull, to
 commit her to a Caſtle, and warie cuſtodie, without ac-
 ceſſe of any ſuſpected woman, *Quoſcuq; de partu tuo cor-
 ſtare poſſit.* But this is a peece of learning ſo obſolete and
 woꝛne out, that I thinke ſince I was boꝛne, and a long
 time befoꝛe, there neuer was any ſuch Treat put in vꝛe,
 I conclude theſe, that our widdowes now adayes are
 honeſter than they were in Henry the thirde time, in the
 fifth yeare of whoſe reigne, Mariell widdow of William
 Conſtable de Mauton in Comitat. N. rff. practiſed this
 couſenage: widdowes of this age are nothing ſo
 deceitfull, though deceived ſome
 times by bad hulbands,

(, ,)

THE



THE
WOMANS
LAWYER.

The fifth B O O K E.

The widdow married againe to her owne great liking, though not with applause of most friends and acquaintance. But alas! what would they haue her to haue done, she was faire, young, rich, gracious in her carriage, and so well became her mourning apparrell, that when she went to Church on Sun-
dayes, the casements opened of their owne accord on both sides the streets, that bachelours and widdowers might behold her, *Hic trahebatur & ille, & erat cunctis amor vnius habendi.* Her man at home killed her pantables, and serued diligently; Her late husbands Physitian, came and visited her often; The Lawyer to whom she went for counsell, took opportunity to aduise for himselfe. If she went to any feast, there was euer one guest, sometimes two or three, the more for her sake; If she were at home, suitors ouertooke one another, and sometimes the first commer would answer the next, that she was not within; All day she was troubled with answering petitions. And

at night when she would go to rest, her maid Merion was become a Mistress of requests and humble supplications. This kinde of life the widow liked not. Aske againe what she should haue done; he to whom she gaue a deniall would not take it; if shee denied him twise, hee said two negations made an affirmation; and hee challenged y^r wife; therefore to set mens harts and her owne at rest, shee chose amongst them, one not of the long robe, not a man macerate and dzyed by with study, but a gallant gulburd lad; that might well be worthy of her, had hee bene as thrifty as kind hearted, or halfe so wise, as hardy and aduencurous; This youth within lesse than a yere, had set the Nuncios, which his p^recessor kept in prison at liberty round about the Countrey, the bags were all empty, the plate was all at pawne; all to keep the square bones in their amble, and to relieue Companions; One of which notwithstanding, that had cost him many a pound, for none other quarrell, but vous mentes challenged him one day into the field, which was appointed, and there my new married man was slaine; Now his wife will bring her Appeale.

Sect. I.

Appeale of the husbands death.

By Bracton li. 3. cap. 29. A woman can haue an Appeale, but only in two cases; per quod alicui lex debet apparere ad iudicari. As in case where iniury and force is committed against her person by ravisment, or when her husband is killed inter Brachia tua: This forme of appeale therefore is, A. late wife of B. appeales C. that whereas B. her husband was at such a place, such an houre, such a day, and such a yere, C. came with force, nequiter & in feloniam contra pacem regis, and killed him betwixt her armes, and that he did this against the Kings peace,

peace, and feloniously, shee will proue and maintaine as the Court shall thinke good; Again, the same A. appeales C. of this, that at the same place, the same yere, day and hower, C. came with C. feloniously, and against the Kings peace, and held B. till C. killed him, &c. If he which is appealed, de facto, were taken vpon the fact, with his knife or sword all bloody, and this verified by Testimony of good and lawfull men, non erit vltimus inquirendum. *It is Bracton.*

Now let vs see how shee shall be vnderstood, there is no doubt, but a woman may haue other Appeales, besides these two, of rape, or death of her husband.

11. Hen. 4. fol. 9. An Appeale of Robbery was brought by a woman, the defendant said, the Appealant was his niece, iudgement, si el terra respondet, and to the robbery, non culpabilis. So that hee pleaded for the felonie, and the niece admitted a good plea. And a woman may haue an appeale of mayhem. 13. Hen. 7. 14. Husley saith, it was demanded of him for a doubtfull question, where parish Clarke fell out with another man, and threw the Church doore keyes at him with such force, that they sang out at the Chamber window, and put out a womans eye, whether it were mayhem or no? And for the euill intent of the Clarke, it was deemed mayhem; but consideration ought to be had in assessing damnages. But true it is a woman shall not haue appeale of any mans death, saue only of her husbands, therefore if a man bee killed that hath neither wife, nor sonne, but his next heire is either daughter, sister or female Cousin, albeit he hath many other kindred, Cousins, or Uncles, the proximity of a female heire, takes away the Appeale quite and cleane; for of his Ancestors death, if he had no wife, the Appeale belongs ouer to the heire, who here cannot haue it, because it is a female, for Mag. Char. doth directly deny it. (cap. 34. Nullus capitur aut imprisonatur, propter apellum feminæ de morte alterius quam viri sui. And vpon such an Appeale brought by an heire female, the Defendants cannot

cannot bee arraigned at the Kings suit, because the Appa-
 peale was neuer good. Neither shall the Descendants re-
 couer damages, because (as Sherd maketh the reason)
 hee may bee arraigned and condemned otherwise ad Se-
 ctam regis, for any thing yet done to the Contrary. 17. Aff.
 p. 25.

A daughter or sister, &c. can haue none Appa-
 peales of a fathers or brothers death, no more can a mother haue Ap-
 peale of the death of her sonne. If a woman haue issue a
 sonne, which is murdered, and there is no heire to him on
 the fathers side, by Billing chiefe Justice, Needham, and
 Choke, none vncle nor other kinsman which must conuey
 as heire by the mother, can haue the Appa-
 peale, befoze remembred, excludeth her, from whom
 they must deriue: Brian, Littleton, Neale, and the chiefe
 Baron are contra. For, said they, the vncle on the fa-
 ther side may haue Appa-
 peale of the Nephewes death, which
 the father from whom the vncle must conueigh, cannot
 haue any moze than the mother. But Billing tels them,
 the Cases are nothing like, for a father may haue an Ap-
 peale of his Ancestors death; but so cannot another in
 any case: the bridge therefore being once broken, id est,
 the meane of conuoyance stopped and disabled, the Ap-
 peale is altogether, and for euer taken away. 17. Eiw. 4.
 fol. 11. And so is it aduoged likewise 20. Hen. 6. fol. 43.
 where there was grandfather, mother and sonne, the mo-
 ther died, the grandfather was murdered, the sonne
 might not haue Appa-
 peale, because hee conueyed by a wo-
 man, scilicet, by his mother, and there it was stoo-
 d, that an Appa-
 peale shall neuer descend, but hee to whom it
 first falleth, shall haue it; and if he dye, the Action dieth.
 It is a good case well argued in the booke at large. See the
 booke of 11. Hen. 4. 17. It appears that in Appa-
 peale of Rape by the husband ne viquez accouple, Sec: next plea
 for the husband in Act of possession shall haue that where
 the marriage is not void, and yet that plea is good in Ap-
 peale by the wife of the death of her husband, for those that
 shall

Shall not reuenge his death to whom she was not lawfully married, and see 50. E. 3. 15. Britton agrees with Bracton qui null fecit, puisſeare appellee de felonie, de mort forſique de mort ſon baron, rue deins lin & le iour enter ſis bras. And it is true, that by the ancient Law neither woman or other perſon might haue appeale of death, vnleſſe the appellante were preſent, or die ſa t. e. dead man, at the time when hee was ſlaine. But the Law is changed by Glouc. cap. 9. which willet that no Writ hencefozt shall goe out of Chancery, for the death of man, to enquire whether a man killed another, by miſadventure, or in his owne defence, or otherwiſe felonioſly, but he shall remaine in priſon, till the coming of Juſtices errants, or gaile deliury, and befoze them, put himſelfe to the country, for triall of god and euill. And if it be found by the country, that what he did, was in his owne defence, or by miſadventure, the Juſtices shall doe the King to wit, and the King doe the party grace, & luy pleit. Alſo it is prouided, that no Appeale shall be abated ſi legier ment come auanc ad rem: but if the Appellour ſhew the deed, the yere, the day, and howe, le temps le Roy, the Towne where, and the weapon wherewith the ſlaughter was committed, t. e. appeale shall ſtand good, and none appeale shall bee abated for want of freſh ſuit, if it bee perſued within a yere and a day after the fact committed. Befoze this Statute the Appellour alwayes counted of his proper view, now it neede not. The woman that shall bring this appeale, muſt be wiſe to the party ſlaine, & to the ſure, for when accouple in loyall matrimony is a good plea, in barre of her appeale, as befoze is ſaid: But this plea is not ſo peremptory, but that after the Biſhop hath certified loyallment accouple, &c. the Defendant may afterward plead non culpable and this in fauorem vix, but he cannot plead on to the felony immediately vpon the firſt plea. Therefore here is requiſite two trials, as it ſeemeth 50. E. 3. f. 15. Idem 27. Affil. p. 3.

Furthermoze it is requiſite, that ſhe be ſole and vnmarrried,

married that made this Appeale, for if she marrie againe her Appeale is gone, though the new married husband be dead within the yeare and day after his death that was laine. Yea, and not onely a widdow which hath an Appeale, hanging abateth her Appeale, and loseth it for ever, by new marriage, but also if after Judgement and before execution, she take an husband, she loseth execution of the Judgement, 11. H. 4. fol. 48. By Brian and Husley, 21. E. 4. fol. 72. 7. If a woman pursue her Appeale till the Defendant be outlawed, and then marrie, she may sue execution. And so did Skreene hold the Law to be in the Booke, 11. H. 4. But Gascoigne Chiefe Justice denyes it. And 1. e2. 2. Maria, Brooke Appeale 100 the Justices of the Kings Bench did all agree, that a widdow loseth her Appeale, by taking of a second husband. Et idem videtur, (saith Brooke) de executione; for the reason wherefore this Action is given to a widdow, is not as Glanuell makes it, Quia una caro est vir & uxor. For then the Baron might have an Appeale De morte uxoris, which is never granted, but her heire shall have it. And if the wife kill the husband, his heire shall have the Appeale. And I heare, saith Stanford, Plees del Coron, fol. 59. it hath been adjudged, If the King pardon the woman all manner of treasons, the heires Appeale is gone. But the true reason why a woman hath the Appeale De morte viri, is because by his death, she is thought lesse able to live and maintaine her selfe; so said the Judges in Quene Maries dayes, and that therefore when she taketh another husband, cessante causa, cessat effectus, and her Appeale is gone, like as a widdowes Quarentine is determined, when she is once remarried. But where a woman continueth sole, she and none other shall have this Action, either in her life or after, though she dye within the yeare, and before Appeale commenced, 20. H. 6. 41.

It is not requisite that the Appellant here be dowable of his possessions which is laine, for though a woman elope from her husband, and never be reconciled, yet she may

may have Appeale of his death, per Inglebie, 50. E. . 15.
 Sir Edward Coke's Comment upon Littleton, fol. 32. saith,
 That if the Baron be attainted of Treason, &c. his wife
 shall not be indited, and yet if any doe kill him, the wife
 shall have an Appeale. So likewise agrees the Booke of
 35. H. 6. 58. where, in an Appeale de morte viri the De-
 fendant said, the Baron was indited, arraigned, found
 guilty, and judgement to be hanged &c. and to the felo-
 nie niere culpable: It was agreed, that there is no such
 corruption betwixt a man and his wife, by Attainder, as
 is the corruption of blood betwixt a man and his heire, for
 the heire of a man attainted shall not have an Appeale,
 and she is his wife notwithstanding the Attainder, but the
 other is not heire. And per Markham, If an Appeale be
 not good, the Defendant shall not bee arraigned at the
 Kings suit, when the Plaintiffe is at non suit: Also in
 this case it was delivered, that the Marshall of the Kings
 Bench, the Viscount, or such Officer, that is commanded
 to execute a man condemned, is a Felon, if hee execute
 him in other manner than he is commanded, as if he cuts
 off his head where the judgement was he should be hanged.
 But if he doe execution according to the judgement, then
 he may iustifie in an Appeale, and needs not plead non
 culpable: Yet in Appeale against a Judge, for adiudging
 a man to death, he cannot iustifie, but must needs plead
 non culpable, and give the matter in evidence, Simile 27.
 ass. pl. 41. where, in Appeale de morte viri, the Defendant
 pleaded vilagary de felonie. Judgement si, &c. Shard said
 it was no more lawfull to kill an Outlaw, than to kill
 another man, and therefore the Defendant pleaded non
 culpable. Ludd said, that one was excused of the death of
 the Baron of Woodhall by the Outlawrie, &c.

It appeares now what wife, and of what husbands
 death she may have an Appeale. Stanford in his third
 Booke, cap. 15. notes, that in ancient time there were
 certaine presumptions so vehement, that they were a
 condemnation of the partie without other triall, they bee

not so at this day, but everie man shall have his triall, how great soever the presumption were. But the vehemencie of presumption may ouer battaile. For 6. 11. 2. The Coroner and others testified, that the Defendant was taken cum culcillo sanguinolento, &c. ideo consideratum est, quod se non defendat per duellum.

SECT. II.

How a woman shall sue this Appeale.

It seemes that all Appeales ought to be sued in proper person, and not by Attorney, as Appeale of Mayhem must be in proper person, 21. E. 4. 72, & 73. A woman which was grosslye enseint, sued this Appeale, and the Defendant was attainted, the womans appearance was recorded for the whole terme, and yet by the better opinion, she might not pray execution, by her Councell, but ought to come in proper person; therefore one of the Judges did ride to Kingston to her, to see if she were alive, and desired execution, which she required, and the Defendant had iudgement. An Appeale is called but a suit of reuenge, and therefore is not much fauoured, Dyer 5. M. 152. If one of the Defendants in an Appeale makes default, the Court cannot proceed, but otherwise in an Inditement, as it is there said. This by Common Law; If any Liege subject be slaine by another subject in any foreign Realme, the wife of him which was slaine, may haue an Appeale in Eng'and, before the Constable and Marshall, &c. And this is by Statute, 1. Hen. 4. cap. 14. Stamford, fol. 65. Feme auct' appeale de mort viri tue in elcote per common Ley comme semble, 13. H. 4. Brooke 153. By the said Statute it is also ordained, that none Appeales from henceforth bee pursued in Parliament. Likewise I finde by Statute, viz. 15. R. 3. cap. 3. That of the death of a man, and of Mayhem done in great ships, being

being and hovering in the streame of great rivers, onely beneath the bydges of the same, nigh to the sea, and in none other places of the same rivers, the Admirall shall have conuifance, &c. saving to the King all manner of forfeitures, &c.

SECT. III.

The Statute 3. H. 7. cap. 1.

But for the ordinarie course of suing of Appeals, 3. H. 7. cap. 1. layeth the best foundation: This Statute reciteth the Law of the land to be, that if any man be slaine in the day, and the Felon not taken, the Township shall be amerced. If any man be wounded, and in perill of death, the offender should be arrested, and put in suretie, till knowledge be had, whether he which is hurt will live or no. And where any man is found dead, the Coroner upon view of the body, should enquire who were the murderers, their abettors, consenters, and who were present at the murder committed, whether man or woman, and he ought to inroll, and certifie their names. The use had bene also (as saith the Statute) that within a day and yeare after any death or murder, the felony should not be determined at the Kings suit, and that for saving of the parties suit, or else the partie was agreed with, by which it is the moze chargeable, and thereby murders were increast: and also, he that will sue in Ap-
peale, must sue in proper person. The constitution of this Law therefore is, that euerie Coroner henceforth doe his office, and that if any man be slaine or murdered, the slayers, murderers, their abettors, maintainers, and comforters should be indicted, arraigned, &c. at the Kings suit, within the yeare after the felony or murder done, without tarrying a yeare and a day for any Ap-
peale. And if any, either principall or accessarie thus arraigned, be

acquited at the Kings suit within the yeare and day, the Justices before whom he is acquitted, shall not suffer him to goe at large, but either reuint him againe to prison, or let him to baile, till the yeare and day be past: And the wife or next heire of the partie slaine, may take their Appeale within the yeare and day, after the felony or murder done, (if the benefit of Clergie be not yet had, with all advantages that acquitall or Attainder at the Kings suit notwithstanding. Furthermore, the wife or heire of the person slaine or murdered, may commence their Appeale in proper person, any time within a yeare after the felony done, before the Sherifes and Coroners, &c. or before the King in his Bench, or Justices of Gaole delivrie: And the Appellant in any Appeales of murder, of death of man, where battaile by the course of Common Law lieth not, may make Atturney, and appeare by the same in the said Appeales, after they be commenced to the end of the suit, and execution of the same. And if the murderer doe escape untaken, the Township, &c. shall be amerced, and the Coroners shall deliver their inquisition afoze the Justices of the next Gaole delivrie, which Justices shall proceed against the murderer, if they bee in Gaole, or else the said Justices shall put the Inquisition before the King in his Bench. The Statute also giveth the Coroner thirtene shillings and foure pence, for taking inquisition super v. san corporis.

By this Statute and the other of Glouc. cap. 9. a woman perceives that within a yeare and a day, she commeth timely enough with her Appeale. Scamond notes, that (though the Law have bene taken otherwise) if she which is robbed make fresh suit, albeit she commence not his Appeale, two or three yeares after the robberie, yet his Appeale is good: for if the partie robbed haue his endeavour to take the Felon, he may commence his Appeale at any time, at the Justices discretion. For Glouc. if it be rightly understood, seemeth to speake only of Appeales de morte. And where it saith, Deius Pan & iour apres le fait, this

this (le fait) is understood the felony, whereupon Appeale must commence. There ore if a man bee stricken and wounded on one day, and dye within the yeare another day, the Appeale must be begun within a yeare and a day after the wound given: And if a yeare after a murder committed, one become accessarie, there lyeth an Appeale against this accessarie, as it seemeth within the yeare and day after he became a Felon. And the Appellant is not confined to a yeare and a day next after the murder committed, Scamford fol. 63. a.

But in Heydons case Sir Edw. Cokes 4. Rep. fol. 42. Wray Chiefe Justice said, that the common experience of the Kings Bench was, and so was the Law without question, that the yeare for the bringing of the Appeale, shall be accounted from the death, and not from the Stroke, against Scamfords opinion. And the rest of the Judges there said, that there is no felony untill the death. And in the 7. Rep. f. 1. 20. it is said, If the Appeale be deliuered to the Sheriffe within the yeare, and befoze its returne, or that the Sheriffe hath done nothing, and the King dieth, and the yeare ends befoze the returne, in that case the Plaintiffe shall haue a Certiorare to the Sheriffe, returnable in the Kings Bench, and vpon that the Plaintiffe shall haue Reattachment, &c. and that for necessitie, &c. otherwise she should lose her Writ lawfully purchased.

SECT. IV.

*within what Countie an Appeale must
be brought.*

Regularly this Appeale ought to be brought into the Countie, where the homicide or murder was committed. But admitting that a man be wounded in one Countie, and gos into another and there dyes, where shall the appeale commence, by Common Law: Tulo corona.

In Fitzherbert 59. it appeares that it was commenced in the Countie where the wound was given: but both Counties ioynd in triall, as well where the wound was, as the death. And in the same title Placito Co. in such case the Appellant commenced in the Countie where the partie died; and triall by ambideux Counties. By these booke it should seeme, that at Common Law the Appellant might chuse his Countie, but now the Statute, 2; & 3. E. 6. is plaine, which ordaineth, whereas Jurors in one Countie could not take knowledge of things done in another by the Common Law. That in cases, vt supra, an Indictment found by Jurors of the Countie where the death happeneth, whether befoze the Coroner, supra visum corporis, or befoze Iustices of Peace, or other Iustices; or Commissioners, which haue authoritie to enquire of such offences, shall be as good, as if the stroke, wound, or poysoning had bene in the same Countie, where the partie shall die, &c. And the Iustices of Gaole deliuerie, or of Oyer and Terminer, in the same Countie where such Indictment shall be taken, And the Iustices of the Kings Bench (after the Indictment remoued befoze them) may proceed as if the stroke, or poysoning, and the death had bene all in one Countie. And the partie to whom Appeale is giuen, may commence, take, and pursue in the same Countie, where the partie feloniously stricken or poysoned shall dye, against the principals, or accessaries, in whatsoeuer place or Countie the same accessaries shall be guiltie. And the Iustices befoze whom the Appeale shall be commenced, sued, and taken, within the yeare and day after the slaughter committed, shall proceed against all such accessaries in the Countie where the Appeale shall be so taken in like manner and forme, as if the offence of such accessarie had bene done and committed in the same Countie, where such Appeale shall be taken, as well by triall of twelue men of the same Countie wherein such Appeale is so sued, upon plea of not guiltie, or otherwise. And further it is ordained, that where murder, or

any manner of felony shall be committed in one County, and another person or more shall become accessory, or accessories in another County; an Indictment found or taken by Justices of Peace, or other Justices or Commissioners, to enquire of felonies, in the County where such offence of accessories is committed or done, shall be as good, as if the principall offence had bene committed and done in the same County, wherein the Indictment of accessory is found. The Statute appointed further, how the Custos rotulorum, or Keeper of the Records, of the principals attainer, or acquittal shall certifie, &c.

Before this Statute, if one man had committed murder in one County, and another had bene accessory in another County, there was no remedy against this Accessary by the Common Law, Seantord fol. 63. yet Kinest said, 43. B. 2. fol. 18. If a man were slaine in one part of the Towne, and another man received the Panqueller in another part of the Towne, which is in another County, Appeale might bee sued against them both in the County where the killing was committed, and that so it had bene adjudged.

SECT. V.

Before whom appeale shall be sued.

By the afoze recited Statute it appeares before whom appeale must be sued: but Stanton sets it out yet more largely, Libro 2. cap. 14. The party entitled to an appeale, is at election to take it by Writ or by Bill. If he take it by Bill, he must sue al prochein County maintenance, as soone as the felony is committed, and by Brington fol. 5. the Plaintiffe must finde two sufficient pledges, lyable to the Wiscounts distresse, to pursue his appeale, according to the Law of the land, and the Coroner shall enter the appeale, and the name of the pledges. Then

it shall bee commanded to a Bayley or seriaunt du pais, wherein the felony was done, that hee haue the bodie of the appellor at the next County, to make answer, &c. If the Seriaunt testifie at the next County, that hee cannot finde them, it shall be awarded, that the principals which are appealed & his, be so'only demanded to come to the Kings peace and due triall of the felony, whereof they be appealed, and so they shall be called from County to County, until they appeare, or until they bee outlawed. So saith Britton, and with him accordeth 22. Assis. 97. 98. which saies a marvellous matter to Stanford, viz. that any Viscount or Coroner should award proccesse of outlawry in such a case. Because, Magna Charta. 17. (written long time before either Britton, or the book of Assizes) is, that no Viscount, Constable, Escheator, Coroner, or other the Kings Officers may hold any pleas of the Crowne. Therefore many doe hold opinion, that when appeale is commenced, before the Sheriffe or Coroner, although they may award proccesse till erigent, yet the erigent if selfe they cannot award, neither if he appeare, can they put him which is appealed to answer, but onely commit him to prison, because of the Statute. And when appeale is commenced before the Viscount or Coroner, it may be removed into the Kings Bench by a Certiorari, out of either the Chancery or Kings Bench, and this Certiorari shall be directed to the Viscount and Coroners, as appeares by the Register fol. 76. So that by the register, and by West. 1. cap. 10. which willet that Coroners shall attach and represent the pleas of the Crowne, and that the Viscount shall haue Counterroules with them, as well of appeales, as of enquest of Attachment, or of other things which belongs to that office, &c. as also by the booke, 4. Hen. 6. fol. 15. (where a Certiorari directed to the Viscount onely, for remouue of an Appeale was holden void) and so it is euident, that an appeale is of record as well before the Viscount as before the Coroner, and so did the makers of the Law. 3. Hen. 7. cap. 2. take it, as is to be seene by the Letter. Also

Also appeale by Bill may be begun before Iustices of Goale deliuey, but then the appeller must be in prison in the same Goale, &c. at tyme of the appeale so taken against him, or at the least one of the Appellees must bee in prison, &c. else the appeale ought not to be taken, and if it be it is not good, 17. H. 4. fo. 12. 9. H. 4. fo. 2.

But an Approuer may appeale them which be at large by the Statute de Appellatis. Note that, when appeale is commenced before Iustices of Goale deliuey, against diuers, where of one only is prisoner before them, the appeale must be removed, into the Kings Bench, and from thence proceffe shall goe against such as are at large. And if Iustices of Goale deliuey haue power to receiue appeales by Bill, the Iustices of the Kings Bench may doe it much more, for as Scot said 17 E. 1. 3. fol. 13. they are the chiefe Coroners of the land.

If a man be in prison for felony in the Kings Bench, or before Iustices of Goales deliuey, and afterward he is let to Baile, appeale by Bill may bee against him notwithstanding: for hee is prisoner till when hee goeth by bailment. 21. Hen. 7. fo. 32. 32. Hen. 7. fo. 4. & in the Maineprise in Fitzherbert, for there Sharpe said, that they which take him to baile were his Gardenis, and should bee charged vpon his escape. And some said, that they might be hanged for him. 33. E. 3. mainepri. But p. 13. in the same title Fitzherbert saith, semble q. non. for the entry is vntiel & vntiel manuceperunt. And by the booke of 23. Edw. 3. a. y. said, the entry is traucuris baltum. And where a prisoner is deliuered vnto two in baile, they may imprison him if they will, p. Wilby. 26. E. 3. And 21. Hen. 7. supra. he which is let to baile shall finde surety to answer all men.

But a man cannot haue appeale against him which goeth at large by mainepri. 9. E. 4. fol. 2 & 29. Hen. 6. 37. for he is not in ward. There is some difference betwene baile and mainepri. but learne how it stands, and whether appeale may bee commenced before Iustices of the Peace

Peace or no, quere, for their Commission is to heare and determine felonies. Also, quere, if a man be stroken in France, and dieth in England; Whether appeale lieth thereof (if the parties were not in the Kings service in France,) before the Constable and Marshall, &c. by the Statute of 1.H.4. ca.14.

SECT. VI.

Of Appeale by Writ.

How an appeale shall bee begun by Writ, Scamford saith no more thereof, but onely chescun seic comen or a ceopurchaser: And as his knowledge made him presume that other men were not ignorant of it, so his ignorance makes me presume, that many doe not know it. Bracton l.3. cap.30. saith, that sometime it happeneth by negligence of the Viscount and Coroner, that the appeales must be attached by the Kings Writ in hac forma: Rex vicecomiti, &c. si A. fecerit te securum de clamore suo, prosequendo, tunc attachiari facias B. per corpus suum, qd' sit coram Iusticiariis nostris ad primam assisam, cum in partes illas venerint: responsurus eidem A. de morte L. mariti, &c. unde cum appellat, &c.

He sets downe likewise the Writ for removing of appeales begun, and already attached: to fetch them into the Kings Bench with a pone per vadium saluos plegios, for the Defendant to be there ad respondendum praedicti le plainiffe de praedicto Appello. But if this Writ bee granted at the instance of the Defendant, then it is with a summonneas per bonos summonitores: to the Appellant ad sequendum appellum, &c. and those words per vadium & plegios are omitted. After much like matter not unworthy to be observed, he comes to the Writ when appeale is begun before the King in his Bench immediately: Rex vicecomiti, &c. salutem. N. A. fecerit te securum

de clamore suo prosequendo, pone per vadium & saluos plegios. B. & C. qd' sint coram &c. tali die ad respondendum eidem A. de morte. D patris vel alterius antecessoris, unde eos appellat. And at the day, he saith, they which are attached may esloine themselves, vnlesse they be appealed for death of man, or for a moze hainous crüine. West. 2. cap. 13. is against the appellee, non iacet de cetero appellatori in appello de morte hominis esloinum, in quacunq; curia appellum fuerit terminandum; Now whether Bractons forme of the Originall pone per vadium & saluos plegios, be good or no, when any appeale of murder cometh in the Kings Bench, learne, for the booke of Entries is preceptum fuit vicecomiti quod si A. fecerit cum lechurum de clamore suo prosequendo: attachiaret B. per corpus, &c.

SECT. VII.

Diuers appeales for one felony is but in few Cases.

By the ancient Law one might haue diuers appeales, against the principall, one; and against the accessory, another, as appeares by the old Writers. And 28. E. 3. fol. 90. But since that time the Law hath bene changed, so that vnlesse in a few speciall cases a man can haue but one appeale, which must comprehend both principals and accessaries. And therefore 9. Hen. 4. fol. 12. in appeale against two, where of the one was present, and the other appeared not, the plaintiffe declared against them both, and the Law which compelleth to declare at one time against all the appeales, compelleth to make but one appeale. The case was, 47. E. 3. that a woman brought an appeale against one as principall, which was attainted and hanged at her suit, and then shee brought an appeale against two others of the same fellony, against one, as principall,

principall, and against another, as necessary, and awarded
que el prendrariens p ton brief.

And so should it have bene if the first appealr had bene
acquitt, or if the appelland had bene at non-suit after ap-
pearance. 47. E. 3. to 18. and see more of this matter Stan-
ford li. 7. cap. 15.

SECT. VIII.

The Declaration in Appeale.

THe Count or Declaration in Appeale of murder, ac-
cording to the ancient forme was thus. A. appellat. B.
de morte C. fratris sui, &c. quod cum ipse A. & C. essent in
pace Dei & Domini regis apud S. &c. ven't idem B. cum
salib. &c. & nequiter & in feloniam, in assultu premeditato,
contra pacem domini regis fecit idem B. p'cedit' fratri suo
& vnam plagam mortalem in capite cum quodam gladio,
vel quouis alio genere, armorum multorum, &c. ut obie-
rit infra triduum de plaga illa. Et quod hoc fecit nequiter
& in feloniam, & contra pacem Domini regis. offert se dira-
tionare versus eum per corpus suum, sicut ille qui p'rensus
fuit & hoc vidit, sicut curia Domini regis consideraverit, Et
si de eo male contigerit per corpus fratris sui, vel alterius
parentis, &c. Et sic plures possunt appellare vnum de vno
& eodem facto, si loqui possunt de vno sui testimonio. So
that Bracton sheweth, if one of the appellants had died, or
made default, the other might take the appeale, and bee
admitted ad dirationandum. But if the Appeale had de-
fended himselfe against one, or bene acquit by iudgement:
hee was freed from them all. The reason why no man
was admitted to bring appeale of morte, vnlesse hee could
speake of his owne eye witness, was (saith Stanford) the
reasonableness, which seemed to bee in it, that a man
should not combat for the truth, when the Accuser was
not able to verifie it, but by relation from others. And
therefore

therefore in a writ of right, untill West. 1. cap. 40. had changed the Law, the Demandants Champion in his oath, did ever affirme, that he or his father, had scene the seisin of his Lord or Master, so that his owne sight, or his fathers, caused him to combat. And as it seemes battaile did not lie in any appeale de morte in such time, except the wound were given with some sword, dagger, or such like, as he calls his moters. Also his forme speaketh nothing of the length, breadth or deeptieth of the wound, as the Declaratiōns doe at this day; I will leaue Sir and for president, and take one of two out of the booke of Entries. There fol. 42. Katherin Johnson, late wife of Robert Johnson, comes in person and doth instantly appeale, John Bishop late of Warling, in the County Dorset. Peoniam, and W. F. late of the same Towne and County, Peoniam, and R. W. late of W. in the same County, Peoniam, of the death of the aforesaid Robert Johnson late her husband. videlicet, of that, that whereas the said Robert Johnson was in Gods peace and the Kings, at Warling aforesaid, upon Monday next before the Feast of Saint Michew the Apostle, in the second parte of our late King H. 7. about two of the clocke after none, of the same day, John Bishop, and W. F. there came feloniously, and as Felons of our Lord the King that now is, of their premeditated assault, against our Lord the Kings peace, Crowne and dignity, in the day, yere, houre, place, and County aforesaid, and the aforesaid John Bishop with a sharpe pointed weapon called a dagger of twelve paires, which he had and held there in his right hand, did feloniously strike the aforesaid Robert Johnson, upon his breast, and into the hart, giving to the same Robert Johnson then and there, a mortall wound some inches deepe, of the which mortall wound, the said Robert Johnson, did shortly with then dye, at Warling aforesaid. And so the aforesaid John Bishop, did then feloniously kill and murder the aforesaid Robert Johnson, at Warling aforesaid. And W. F. the same monday, in the same yere, at the same towne

of Harling, was present, feloniously procuring, consenting and keeping the same Iohn Bishop, to doe the felony and murder, in forme aforesaid done and committed. And after the felony and murder aforesaid committed by the aforesaid Iohn Bishop, the same W. J. and R. W. the same Sunday in the same second yeere of our Lord the King, at Harling in the County aforesaid, did feloniously receive the said Iohn Bishop, harbour, comfort, and maintaine him, knowing that he the said Iohn, had done the felony and murder in forme aforesaid, and as soone as the same felons had committed the said murder and felony, they fled, and the said Katherin did freshly follow them from Towne to Towne, into foure of the next Townes, &c. And if the Felons will deny the felony abovesaid, in forme aforesaid alleaged against them, Katherin the Appellant, is ready to proue it against them, as the Court shall thinke meet.

Againe fol. 51. is another Declaration. Thus, Elizabeth, &c. in person doth instantly appeale the aforesaid Iohn Clerke of this: That whereas the aforesaid Iohn Browne was in peace of God and our Lord the King that now is, at W. in the City of S. in a certaine place called Carrow, the twelfth day of January, &c. about ten of the clocke aforesaid; There came the aforesaid Iohn Clerke which now appeareth, and the aforesaid William Clerke which appeareth not, and whom the aforesaid Elizabeth would likewise appeale, of the death of her said husband, if he were present; And they two did feloniously, and as felons, of our Lord the King that now is, in the day, yeere, houre, and City aforesaid, giue to the aforesaid Iohn Browne a certaine drinke, which they, the said Iohn Clerke and William Clerke, had mixed and compounded with powders, and intoricate spices, viz. Katsbane, and others, and they did feloniously incite and prouoke the said Iohn Browne, to drinke by the said drinke so intoricate, which said Iohn Browne hauing god trust & confidence in them, and being vtterly ignorant of the intorication

cation aforesaid, did then and there, and at their persuasion, drinke by the said drinke, and therewith was then and there, by the said Iohn and William feloniously poisoned: And afterward the said Iohn Browne at Billingsford in the County of Dorset. the 20. day of January next ensuing in the same yere, being so poisoned of the same poison, died, and so the aforesaid Iohn Clerke and William Clerke, feloniously, and as felons of the King, at Billingsford aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, the 20. of January, the aforesaid Iohn Browne did kill and murder, &c. And if Iohn Clerke, which now appeareth, denpeth the felony aforesaid of death and murder layed against him, the aforesaid Elizabeth is ready to proue it against him, as the Court shall thinke good.

It might bee collected out of these presidents without any moze helpe, that a woman may maintaine her appeale, without erpelling any arma molura, as the fashion was: Bracton saith, the Appellant needs not set downe the houre wherein the party was slaine, but the Statute of Glouc. makes it materall, yet Stanford acknowledgeth, that the Declaration which was at Common Law, with out the houre may be vsed at this day, because Glouc. is but affirmatiue and prohibits nothing. But the place where, &c. must needs be set downe certainly in the count, for so commandeth the Statute, therefore in Appeale against diuers men, naming them to bee of sundry places and Townes, if it be said afterward, at the place aforesaid, this is not good, there are diuers other formes of Declarations in this Appeale: As 44. E. 3. fol. 37. in Appeale against thre as principals, the Appellant declared that one of them, such a day, and houre, wounded her husbands to the bzaine, whereof hee died, and at the same houre another, with a dagger strooke him to the hart, so that if hee had not died at the first wound, he must haue died of the second, and the third wounded him in another place, &c. counting severally against them, that euery one gaue him a mortall stroke, according to the fact. For so willety the Statute

que

que il contra le fact, and this fact must bee declared as it was done, so as the Law doth expound it to bee done: Therefore if two bee present at the death of a man, and one of them striketh never a stroke, but onely commandeth the other to kill, &c. in the appeale, declaration must be, that they both did wound him mortally, 21. E. 4. fol. 71. And there it is said, that where the Count goeth, that they all did strike, &c. the striking is not criminal. So is it in Appeale of Rape, where one doth the Rape, and the other being present doth abet him, for there the Count shall goe that both ravished her, for so the Law saith. In the same booke 21. E. 4. in appeale de mort against two, whereof but one appeared, the Plaintiffe declared against him which appeared, and would have counted against them which made default, that they likewise wounded, &c. and the Justices bade him speake, but only of him which appeared. Gascoigne was of contrary opinion 9. Hen. 4. fo. 2. and with Gascoigne agree very many presidents. But see Waits Case Sir Edward Cokes 4. Rep. fol. 47. there ought to bee but one Appeale against all the principles and accessaries, except where there bee accessaries after the Appeale brought, for there they may bee another appeale brought against them, for that they could not bee named in the first Writ, and if an Appeale bee brought against diverse, and all but one make default, yet the Plaintiffe ought to count against all, saith that booke.

SECT. VIII.

Defence in Appeale.

The Defence in Appeale, is that the Defendant came and defended all felonies, assaults, foethinkings, and all that is against the Kings peace, Crowne, and dignity, and pleaded non culpable, Et ponit se super patriam de bono & malo. This is the generall plea, &c.

SECT. X.

Pleas to the Writ.

Against the Writ to abate that, may be pleaded false Lative, or want of forme: And note that none may haue moze writs of Appeale than one of one felony hanging at once. 7. Hen. 7. fol. 6. Yet where there are two such Writs hanging, they must not be abated, but by notifying to the Court, that they bee both pursued by the Plaintiffe, and that must appeare by some act of his. As that he hath appeared and declared vpon them both. For though one Writ were deliuered to the Sherrif of Record to serue it, this might be as well the Act of a stranger as of the Plaintiffe, and therefore no conclusion towards him, but that he may say, it was not at his suit.

So where an Appeale is commenced in the County by bill, remoued to a Court of Record, and there hanging, if now the Plaintiffe pursue another appeale of the same felony by writ, the appeale by writ abateth: But where the Appeale by brieve is purchased, before the Appeale by bill remoued out of the County, there the Court ought to send, for the Appeale in the County without abating the Appeals which is commenced by Writ, for the Appeale by Writ is moze worth than that Appeale commenced in the County, which is not but a plaint, vntill it be remoued in an Appeale against two; one may plead that his companion named with him in the Writ died at such a place before the Writ purchased; or that there was no such person in rerum natura, when the Writ was purchased, as is named with him, for there is no body else to plead these pleas, but only he which appeareth: But he cannot plead, that the partie named with him in the Writ is entred into religion, or is a married woman, &c. for there is another party to plead so, but in the other cases there is none. And in these cases of appeales against moze than one, an

appeale abated towards one is abated towards all. In appeale where misnomer of the Plaintiff is pleaded, if it be confessed, the Plaintiff shall be examined whether it were by covin or no. The case is 9 Hen. 5. fol. 1. A woman sued appeale by name of Cicely, W. whereas her name was Iohan, and after the defendants imparlance the came and said, her name was Iohan, thee was examined, and it was found to be done sans covin. p q el a sa sans faire fins. quere l' el a vera nouell appeale p nosme. Iohan Brooke Appreale 38.

It seemeth in appeales the Defendant may have 1, 2, 3, or 4 or more pleas to the Writ, as well as hee which is Tenant in an Assise may; But then hee must take good heed, that one be not Contrary to another. Bracton, Et in omnibus appellis maioribus vel minoribus non potest appellans variare vel appellum suum in aliquo mutare, adiuere tamen potest interdum, vt si prius non dixerit, quibus armis &c. potest nominare arma, scilicet gladium vel bisacurum, Et potest, qui actionem ciuilliter intentauerit mutare eam, & agere criminaliter & sic accrescere & appellum augere, sed non contrā. In the booke of Entries fol. 47. the Defendants came in proper person, & defenderunt vim & iniuriam, quando &c. omnem feloniam & quicquid, &c. and they said that in the said County of W. there were two Townes called P. one old P. and another new P. absque hoc, that in the County, there was any Towne, Villadge, Hamlet, or place, knowne and named by the name of P. only, without addition, & hoc parati sunt verificare, vnde petunt iudicium de breue illo & petunt inde allocationem & quoad feloniam predictam seperatim dicunt quod ipsi in nullo sunt inde culpabiles, & inde de bono & malo ponunt se super patriam. It was found non habebatur aliqua villa, &c. named P. tantum. Ideo consideratum, vt nihil capiat per bre. and that the Defendants came inde sine die, and the Plaintiff capiat. 9. H. 7. Ro. 37.

SECT. XI.

Pleas in Barre of the Action.

In Barre of the Action may bee pleaded, that the woman which bringeth the Appeale, &c. hath taken another husband, or that shee was neuer accoupled in loyall matrimony, to him of whose death shee brings the Appeale; And if it bee brought by the heire, it is a good plea in Barre, to say, the wife of him which is dead, is yet aliuē, and the Action giuen to her.

In the booke of Entries fol. 50. Prædicta Alicia dicit quod tempore mortis prædicti Thomæ eadem Alicia fuit vxor prædicti Thomæ, in quo casu, eidem Aliciæ, & non prædicto Nicholao, de iure pertinet habere, & prosecui appellum, &c. Et ulterius eadem defendens dicit, quod prædictus Nicholaus appellum prædictum versus eandem Aliciam inter Alios per couinam ea intentione, ad eam de prosecutione appellinus de morte, prædicti Thomæ excludendam impetrauit, que oia & singula, &c. & petit inde allocationem &c. & quoad feloniam, non culpabilis. Et inde, de bono & malo, ponit se super patriam. 30. H. 6.

Also it is a good plea in Barre to say, that the Plain-tiffe hath succeeded her time, in that shee hath not brought her Appeale within the yeere and day after his death, which is supposed slaine; or to say, that he of whose death the Appeale is brought, is yet aliuē at such a place, and to bring him in the Court, that hee may bee viewed and knowne; see thereof 43. Assis. pa. 26. in Appeals de morte viri, the Defendant pleaded le Baron in vie, &c. and the Plain-tiffe contra; day was giuen to bring in their proses, which, when they came, were found, one both sides defective; The Defendant therefore, for his safest way pleaded non culpabilis videtur ergo, that the first issue if it had bene found against him, should haue bene peremptory, and that hee may waue it befoze triall, in fauorem vice.

And note, that if a man plead not guilty, and puts himselfe vpon the Jury in an Indictment of felony, and hee may confesse the fact befoze verdict and pray a coroner, other wise in an Appeale as it was holden 1. Hen. 7. 5.

8. Hen. 4. fol. 18. In Appeale de morte viri, and at the day the Baron was brought into Court examined and knowne: and the woman for her false Appeale was committed to prison, till she payd a fine. The generall barres against all Appeales, of which some may bee objected against the Plaintiffe here, are these, That the Plaintiffe is attainted of felony or treason, or a Honke, or a Pzell, a maimed body (by some other than by the Plaintiffe) or of non sane memorie, or deafe and dumb, or a lazar, or a naturall foole. Attainder by outlawry, if it be erroneous, is a barre no longer than vntill it bee reversed; It is a good plea in barre also; that heretofore the Plaintiffe brought an Appeale of the same felony, in which shee was at non suit after Declaration, or withdrew her selfe from her Action: Or that heretofore shee sued Appeale of the same felony against another person, which was acquitted or condemned at her suit. Or the Plaintiffes release may bee pleaded in barre, if it were made to the Defendant himselfe; for release made to another will not serue, though it were made to one, ioyned with the Defendant in the Appeale. Corone in Fitzherbert 9. and 1. Rich. 3. 9. agrees. And so if the Plaintiffe withdrew her selfe, as against one of the Defendants, her Appeale shall stand good against the other. And note where the Defendant pleads in barre any of these pleas, yet in fauour of life the Law permits him to plead ouer to the felony, and his pleading shall not therefore be counted double, except in the case of release, in which indeed he may not plead to the felony, for not guilty is contrary to accepting of release, which implieth guilt. So also if a woman bring Appeale of robbery, and the Defendant pleads villenage in the Plaintiffe, hee shall not conuolue ouer to the felony rien culpable, for that were an infranchisement.

But perchance when the villenage is found against the Defendant, hee may then take his plea of rich culpable as well, as hee shall have when hee plead any other pleas, for if he plead them without concluding to the felony, hee may after his barre is found against him plead rich culpable notwithstanding. quod vide 28. E. 3. fol. 91. 22. E. 3. fol. 38. 18. E. 2. fol. 32. except only in pleas of release, as is said, which implieth alwayes a confession of felony, 9. Hen. 4. fol. 2. in Appeale de morte viri, the Defendants pleaded the wives release, made since the barraine, continuance of all accords, reall and personall, and the demurred, the best opinion was, that reall actions are of things reall and durable, as lands, rents, &c. and personall actions are of damages and such like, yet p. Hulls, personall is as well the punishment of the person as damages, and the punishment here is death, which is released & le barre is good.

But Littleton teacheth vs contrary in his booke, for hee saith, that Appeales of robbery, rape or death, or any Appeale wherein the iudgement is of death, are moze high than personall Actions, and therefore they are not barred by release, vnlesse it be of all manner of Actions, or of all Appeales.

See Sir Edward Coke in his Commentaries vpon Littleton fol. 287. b. in any Appeale wherein iudgement is of death, a release of all Actions reall and personall is no barre, for that release extendeth but to common or ciuill actions, and not to criminall, but if a release of actions personall is good in an Appeale of mayhem for every Action wherein damages are onely recovered, is in Law taken for personall, fol. 288. a. And in Sir Edw. Cokes 4. Rep. in Hudions Case it is said, although the Appeale of mayhem runneth feloniously, luy mayma, yet he shall recover but damages, and therefore recovery in trespass is a good barre therein.

SECT. XII.

Aufersoit's acquit.

Although it be now no plea in Appeale of death, for the Defendant to say, that he was heretofore acquitted of the same felony; yet because *Stanfords* handling of it containeth good learning, and it may still serue in appeales of rape: And likewise in Inditments of death, for he that was acquitted in appeale may haue it: I will not omit it. By Common Law therefore, in all Appeales of Inditments of felony, for the Defendant to say, that hee was *Aufersoit's* arraigne de meisme le felony, befoze such Iustices, and acquitted (vouching the record) is a good plea; and he needs not to haue the record in Court, because this plea is not delatoze, but in barre, *Coron. in Fitzherbert, 2. 2.*

This plea the Common Law disalloweth not, because it alloweth, that a man should not put his life in jeopardy twice for one and the same offence. The acquitall then must be of the very same offence, or else this plea is to no purpose: Therefore if two men be indicted of felony, as principals, and after ward by another Inditement, it is found that one of them did the felony, and the other did feloniously receive him; after the felony committed: hee that is secondarily indicted and arraigned as accessarie, shall not be discharged, by pleading arraignment, and acquitall upon the first Inditement; for the offence is not supposed the same and one; but committed at diuers times, 27. Aff. p. 10. And this for accessaries after the felony: But when felony is done by force of commanding, and procurement of another, he that shall be arraigned as accessario, may plead that he was acquit, &c. though it were as principall, and the offences were at diuers times; for, *Vulnus, preceptum, & factum, sunt quasi vnum factum.* Yet *Stanford* noteth the antient Law to haue bene taken

taken otherwise. See 8. E. 2. is; Potest quis in acquietari pro morte alicuius per patriam; & hoc non obstante ex indictamento, vel secta alicuius de auxilio, abetto, vel procuramento, potest suspendi pro morte eiusdem. And note that hee that was indicted and arraigned of the death of Iohn at Stone, may plead that hee was heretofore indicted and acquitted of the death of Iohn at Noke, avouring that Iohn at Stone and Iohn at Noke were one person. Et sicra discharge. Fitzherbert Corone, 189.

So likewise if a man were slaine two yeares since, and one which was indicted and acquit of his death, is againe indicted of the same mans death, supposing that he killed him this present yeare; he shall plead the first acquittall, and bee discharged notwithstanding the variance; for a man can be slaine but once, and the Court in this case shall charge the Inquest with the time of his death, which is supposed slaine, and whether it were the same person supposed to be slaine, by the last Indictment. So likewise if a man be indicted, and acquit in one Countie, and afterward indicted of the same death in another Countie, the acquittall at first shall discharge, &c. But in robberie it seemeth otherwise; for one and the same man may be robbed by one other man sundrie times; and therefore acquittance of a robberie done at one day, is no discharge of a robberie done at another day. Now if a man be indicted of robberie in one Countie, he shall not plead that he was indicted and acquit, of the same robberie, in another Countie, 4. H. 7. fol. 5. But it is said there, that in appeale of robberie it is a good plea; because the Plaintiffe is to recover his goods againe by the Common Law; not so in Indictments, in the booke at large the Defendants pleas, that hee was indicted of taking the same goods, &c. which Fisher said must be taken beneficially for the King, that the same goods were stolen twice. Fairefax said the Counties might not ioyne in triall of the averment del iusone de felonie; when one Countie had acquitted him. Froewiske said; That by the same reason, where;

by he might be found culpable in one Countie, of felonye done in another, by the same reason acquittal in one should discharge him in another.

¶ Sit Corone in Fitzherbert 220 41. ass. p. 9. A man indicted in the Kings Bench of rape and robbrie, pleaded acquittal at the Countie of Cornwall, at the Assises, and it was adjudged good. Stanford bids us enquire where the Kings Bench was at the taking of the Indictment, and whether any other Indictment in Cornwall, of that matter, were removed into the Kings Bench, because the Booke saith, one indicted in bankes Roy, &c. ¶ You must know, that if there were not sufficient matter of felony in the Indictment or Appeale, upon which the acquittal was had, afterwards acquite is no plea, to stay a man indicted of new from new arraignment, for it falls out upon the matter, that the parties life was never in jeopardy.

¶ And so sit if a man be acquite in an erroneous Appeale, which acquittal is reversed by error, he may be arraigned at the Kings suit upon Indictment, for by the reversal he is become as never acquitted. But before reversal the acquite is god plea, and if the error were onely in the process, it is not materiall, for appearance saves those defects: And if seemeth also, that he which was once acquitted in appeale, shall not answer any more to the Appellant, though the acquittal be reversed by error howsoever, for so the Court might be delivred in infinitum, and the Defendants never be delivred.

¶ But if one bring an Appeale, which hath no cause or title to it, as perhaps one which is neither wife nor heire, &c. and the Defendant takes none advantage of it, but pleads rien culpable, and is acquitted, this will not serve to barre the right heire or wife in their appeale, nor the King upon arraignment him upon Indictment, or upon the new Appeale, if the wife or heire be at non suit therein.

¶ And if one be arraigned upon Indictment at the Kings suit and acquitted, whereas by order of Common Law,

the King should have stayed, till the Appeale hanging had bene determined. Yet this is no error, for the plea of auterfoits acquite shall serue the Defendoant in Appeale well enough. And Auterfoits acquite in Appeale is no plea against the King, in an Indictment of the same felony: if the acquitall were by battaile and not by Inquest, 12. E. 2. Corone in Fitzherbert, 275. For battaile lieth not against the King, and therefore that triall against another shall not binde. Quare, saith Stamford, for Bracton is contra. Si à pluribus appellatus, sit de vno facto & vna plaga, & versus alios appellantes, & eciam de lecta regis, qui per hoc purgat innocentiam suam, &c. Before the Statute 3. H. 7. cap. 1. Whereby Auterfoits acquite is become no plea in appeale of death, if a man were indicted of another mans death, the Iustices would not arraigne him, (as appeares by recitall of the Statute) till the yeare and day were past. And in Corone Fitzherbert, 44. We may see that in 22. E. 4. the Iustices of England advised, all men of Law to obserue this order and course thozowout the Realme; yet before this time it appeares, 7. H. 4. fol. 61. & 21. H. 6. fol. 22. That where there was no appeale hanging, if suggestion had bene made to the Iustices, that the offence was manifest and apparant against the party indicted, they would arraigne and try him upon the Indictment, althoughe it were within the yeare. Likewise if the Appellant were vnder age, the Iustices did use to arraigne and try him that was indicted in intention; For otherwise the partie indicted in right cause by Count, that the Appeale should be brought by an Infant vnder age, as perhaps three yeares old, and so perish the Kinges suit for ever. And all this seemeth how to be remedied by the Statute, in Appeales whiche are of death; but other Appeales are left as they were before. The Common Law therefore unchanged is, that if a man be indicted of robbery, wherof there is an Appeale hanging, and the Appeale is proceeded so farre, that the Iustices may perceive

the

the felony is all one, they ought to surcease triall vpon the Indictment, as it is 1. 21. H. 6. fol. 3. For note that in Appeals of robbrie when it is by Writ, the robbrie cannot be certainly knowen before Declaration. Otherwise it is, if it be commenced by bill, or that the Appalear be of death of a man any.

Sect. XIII.

Attainder is ainted.

This is a soze saying, which some men haue to plead for themselves, viz. that they are already condemned to be hanged, and aske Judgement, whether during the Attainder, they should answer to the felony whereof they are condemned, or to any other: And this plea serueth, where the partie condemned hath already forfeited as much as he can forfeit, so that it is to no purpose to trauell him any further. But in some speciall cases, when there is some end of it, a man already condemned may be arraigned againe. As if a man attaint of felony, were guilty of treason also, at the time of the felony committed, he may now be put to answer the treason: because thereby the King shall haue the Escheat of his land, of whomsoever it were holden, 1. H. 6. 5. Otherwise it is if the treason were committed after the felony, or at the least, if it were after the attainder had of felony, for then the title vested in the Seigniors, before the Kings title, might not be deuested by matter accruing ex post facto. And if diuers men haue diuers Appeals of robbrie against one, to the end that euerie man may haue againe his goods, whereof he was robbed, by making fresh writ, he shall be attaint at euerie one of their suits. But note (saith Scamford) in cases where the Defendant will discharge himselfe of answering, by attainder of any other felony, than that whereof he is arraigned: it may be replied

plied either for the King or the partie, that since the Attainder the King hath pardoned him in the said Felonie and Attainder, whereby he is now restored to the Law, and ought to answer to all other felonies, though they were perpetrated before the felony whereof he saith he was attainted. Titulo Coronæ in Fitzherbert, 227. 10. H. 4. &c.

But to the felonies whereof a man is attainted he shall answer no more after he hath his pardon of it. Thus far Stamford. See Brooke, Titulo Coronæ, 11. Quere. Whether a man attainted of felony, and pardoned, shall answer at the Kings suit, to other felonies before committed, and whereof he was not indicted at the time of the Attainder, per aliquos videtur quod ita, as well as at the suit of the parties in Appeals; yet some held otherwise, 10 H. 4. That a man can die but once at the suit of the King, and he that is pardoned is as a new man, all former Judgements, as against the King, being determined: Quere de Appelles, Car il est fort dure de maintenir Appelle in le case. For all Appales were determined once by the Judgement upon Indictment.

Note that it was resolved in Wroes case, Sir Edw. Cokes 4. Rep. fol. 45. That Auteursits count of manslaughter upon an Indictment of murder, and Clergie allowed is a good plea in an Appale of murder, and that although the conviction was had hanging the Appale. But it was also there resolved, that if the Indictment upon which the conviction was had were insufficient, the offender may, notwithstanding that conviction, be indicted or appealed againe, for that his life in judgement of Law was neuer in jeopardy: and so it was resolved also in Yauxes case in the same Report.

10 H. 4. 21. In the case of the King's Bench, the King's Bench was held to be a good plea in an Appale of murder, and that although the conviction was had hanging the Appale. But it was also there resolved, that if the Indictment upon which the conviction was had were insufficient, the offender may, notwithstanding that conviction, be indicted or appealed againe, for that his life in judgement of Law was neuer in jeopardy: and so it was resolved also in Yauxes case in the same Report.

SECT. XIV.

Clergie,

If the Defendant in Appeale crave his Clergie, and the Plaintiffe say that he is Bigamus; if he be so certified it is peremptorie, and he shall be hanged without pleading Ouster to the felony. See 11. H. 4. fol. 10. That Clergie is allowed in Appeale de morte viri. In the Booke of Entries, wherein icil. fol. 5. is the Kings writ to certifie, whether the partie appealed were Bigamus as C. which appealed him of the death of A. her husband alleged: But at this day Bigamus shall have his Clergie, by the Statute of 1. Edw. 6.

SECT. XV.

The Kings pardon.

If a woman which bringeth an Appeale de morte viri, let fall her suit, the Kings suit is not prejudiced thereby, and if the wife release all Appeales, and afterward by her oit in Appeale brought by her, the release is found, the entrie is, De appello predicti quoad sectam predictam Allicz sit quietus, & quod ipse eat inde sine die, &c. Sed quoad sectam Dom. Regis in hac parte instante allocutus est qualiter se velit acquirere, & dicit quod in nullo est inde culpabilis, &c. See the Booke of Entries, fol. 47. b. So likewise in Appeale De morte patris, or De morte viri, the Kings pardon cannot take away execution, 13. H. 4. But it is a good plead against the King, when an Appeale is once determined. And if the Appeale be determined not by act of the Appellant, but by act of Law, the Kings pardon shall not be allowed without the Appellants privity. As if the Plaintiffe pursue her appeale till the Defendant

pendant be outlawed, by this Outlawie the appeal is ended: and now if the King pardon the felonie, &c. this pardon shall not be allowed without Scire facias against the partie; at whose suit the Felon was outlawed. And at the day of Scire facias returned, the partie may appeare, and pray execution, which is grantable, the pardon notwithstanding. But if the Sheriffe returns, that hee warned her to appeare, and she make default, the pardon shall be allowed without moze adoe. And this Scire facias, upon pardon granted, may be required against the Appellant, though the Appellee neuer desire it, and though he shew no release or other matter in discharge of the Appeal: For he shall come timely enough with that, when the other appeares upon the Scire facias. Also the Scire facias is grantable, though the Charter of pardon have not the clause. Ita quod sic rectus in curia.

Vide Fitzherbert, p. 17. titulo Charter, 11 R. 2. In an appeal against Principall and Accessarie, the Principall was pursued till Outlawie, and Crigent went out against the Accessarie, and at the day of the returne, the Plaintiffe was at non suit in his Appeal, and then came the Principall with his Charter of pardon, and prayed it might be allowed, because the Plaintiffe was at non suit. Gaicoyne made answer, That the non suit could not help him, for the Appeal had run his full course; and was determined as towards him, by the Outlawie.

SECT. XVI.

Dammages in Appeals.

Now to draw towards an end of this matter, though a woman cannot be put to triall by battaile in an appeal, any more than the King may in his suits, yet she prosecutes appeals, not altogether without danger, as we may perceive by the entrie made in the Booke of Cases.

tries fol. 49. b. and by the Case 8. Hen. 4. fol. 18. likewise 41. Affis. pl. 8. In appeale Je more viari in the laings Bench, the Plaintiffe was at non suit after appearance, wherefoze it was awarded, that shee should bee taken to pay a fine, and she came and paid it, the Appellee was afterward discharged, and inquiry made of dammages and abettours, and two abettours being found, dammages were tared to a hundred pounds, and the appellant was not worth about a hundred shillings, yet it was awarded, that the Defendant should recover his dammages tared at a 100. li. against the woman, and that hee should sue against the abettours if hee would, but no Capias against the woman, because she had fined befoze.

It is by the Common Law, saith Justice Seanford, that dammages in Appeales of felony are alwayes for the defendant, when hee is acquit, for common reason wils, when a man is put to vndergoe a triall, whereby his lands, goods, life and reputation are all put in hazard, without desert or matter of god foundation, by only the malicious accusation, of his aduersary, and he is found by due acquittal of Law, a loyall true man, that he haue amends against his false Accuser, and (if the Accuser be himselfe insufficient) against them, which p. ocured and abated the Plaintiffe to pursue the Appeals, but for so much as dammages were not recoverable against Procurers and Abettours, but by originall Writ of conspiracie, which was no such speedy redresse or satisfaction, as the great mischiefousnesse of the offence required, a Statute was made for a more quicke remedy.

SECT. XVII.

West. 2. ca. 12.

As followeth. Because many men of pure malice and purpose to grieue others, procure false appeales, to

to bee brought of homicide and other felonies by Appellants, which are nothing worth, and therefore can neither answer the King for their safety, nor yield damages to them whom they Appeale. It is provided, that if any man be appealed of felony, and acquite himselfe in due manner in the Kings Court, at suit of either the King, or of the Appellour, the Justices befoze whom such Appeale shall be heard and determined, shall punish the Appellour by one yeeres imprisonment; and neuerthelesse such Appellours shall render damages to the Appeales, according to the Justices discretion, having regard to the arrest and imprisonment, which the Appeale hath sustained, and to the Infamy, which by the imprisonment or otherwise the Appelles haue incurred. And neuerthelesse they shall bee grievously fined towards the King. And if peradventure such Appellours haue not wherewith to make amends for the damage aforesaid, it shall bee inquired, by whose abatement the Appeale was maliciously thus formed, if he which is appealed doe so require that. And if it bee found by the Inquisition, that any man were an Abbettour by malice, he shall be distrained by a Iudiciall Writ at the Appelles suit, to come befoze the Justices; And if he be in due manner convicted of abetting by malice, he shall be punished by imprisonment, and restitution of damages. *hinc de Appellatore superius dictum est.*

And from henceforth in appeale of death of a man, there shall lye no essone for the Appellour, in what Court soeuer the Appeale shall bee determined. The Statute is against Appeales by malice, &c. therefore if the Defendant were indicted of felony, befoze the Appeale sued (though he be acquit afterward,) he shall recover no damages, for it is to bee intended, that the indictment induced the appeale, and not malice; Otherwise it is, if hee were not indicted till after the appeale commenced, or if there be a variance betwixt the appeales and indictment, as the acquittal of him vpon the one, is no acquittal of him vpon the other, as if he be indicted as a principall, and ap-
peales 5

peales as an accessory, vel contra But if the variance be in things of no substance, so that the acquittal in the one be an acquittal in the other, there shall be no damages. And though the word malice by the letter of the Statute doth seeme to reach onely to the Appellours and Procurours, yet it is to bee understood by the bookes, that it reaches well to the Appellant as to them. And the word felony in the Statute stretcheth to felonies, so made after this Statute, and ancient felonies made so before the Statute. Acquited in due manner is as well where the Defendant is acquit by battaile, as if it were by the Countrey, and he is intended acquit by battaile, when the Appellant acknowledgeth in the field his appeal to bee false (which is a kinde of vanquishment) for if the Appellant beeaine in the field, the damages are gone; Now there is as well an acquittal in Law, as an acquittal in fait. Therefore if two be appealed, one as principall, and the other as accessory: the accessory shall recover damages, vpon acquittal of the principall (if the enquest, which tried the principall, were charged with the accessory,) though they gaue no verdict of the accessory, for the accessory in such case may haue by the Common Law his Writ of conspiracy, as appeares 33. Hen. 6. fol. 2. But if the principall bee acquitted, the accessory neuer appearing, but hanging still in proesse, he shall neither recover damages by this Statute, nor haue a Writ of Conspiracy by Common Law, till he come and be acquitted by verdict, as appeares 41. Assis. p. 24. vn bone case. If the Defendant barre the Plaintiffe in appeale, hee shall not recover damages, except the barre did acquit him of the felony; Therefore if his plea were barrard in the Plaintiffe, or that he hath an elder brother, or ne vnques accouple in legall matrimonie, and such like pleas, although these pleas may discharge the appeale as well against the King, as against the party, yet notwithstanding any such plea in barre, he may be after ward indicted, and attaint of the felony, and therefore hee is not to recover damages, for those pleas try
not

not his innocencie any moze, than pleas which are onely in abatement of the Writ. So is it likewise, if the Plaintiff be barred vpon a demurrer in Law, and so, where it is found by verdict a killing *se defendendo*, or by misadventure, for this is none acquittal of the felony, in so much as the Defendant can never be cleared thereof without purchasing his pardon: So is it also, when the Defendant vpon arraignment takes him to his Clergy, and the Court takes an enquest of office, whereby hee is found *riens culpable*: this is none acquittal, whereby hee may recover dammagés; for claime of Clergy, is rather by implication, confession of felony than otherwise: But hee that will waue his Clergy, and put himselfe in inquest, if he be a quit hee shall recover dammagés: So if the Appellee haue both the Kings pardon, and the Appellants release, and yet he will waue them, and plead *riens culpable* hee shall recover dammagés, if the Country acquit him, yet hee hath done a matter of record, which by implication acknowledgeth the felony, *quare*: for if the pardon were by Parliament sans question, hee might not waue it. See thereof 11. Hen. 4. fol. 40. He is not acquitted *debito modo*, that is, acquitted erroneously, without dew proesse, As 9. Hen. 5. fol. 2 the Defendant came in by exigent, vpon which the Writcount had returned *cepi corpus*, whereas he should haue returned *exigi feci*. and the Defendant appearing vpon the exigent, without taking advantage of the proesse, pleaded *riens culpable*, to the appeale, and so was found; but yet he could not get iudgement to recover dammagés, for the cause aforesaid *quare*. for 19. E. 3. Titulo Corone in Fitzherbert 444. is contra. that error in the proesse is not materiall, so long as there is no error in the Writ of appeale, Declaration or pleading; for the Defendant is arraigned vpon the originall, and not vpon the meane proesse.

The Statute speaks thus, *vel ad sectam domini Regis, vel appellatoris*. The Kings suit here is vnderstood in appeale, when after arraignment of the Defendant,

the Appellant having declared, is at non suit, for if the Defendant be acquit at the Kings suit upon an Indictment of the same felony, he shall recover no damages.

And the manner of recovering damages, when acquitall is at the Kings suit, differeth somewhat from recovery upon suit of the party, &c. for in the first case he which is acquitted, shall recover no damages, till he have sued, scire fac. to bring the Plaintiff into Court, which by non suit was become out of Court. But in the other case hee shall recover damages without other proesse. Titulo Damages in Fitzherbert 7. 7. Where the Case was, that the Appellant took a husband after non suit, and yet scire facias was awarded against the woman only. The Statute is further, that the Justices before whom, &c. shall punish the Appellour, &c. this cannot be understood by Justices of Nisi prius, though by the Statute 14. Hen. 6. cap. 1. they have power to give Judgement in treason and felony tried before them, and that as well where the Defendant is acquitted, as where hee is attained; But yet within this Statute they are not, because the plea of the whole appeale is not heard before them, nor any more, save only the triall, as you may see, 10. E. 4. fo. 14. The Statute is further, that the damages shall be considered, having respect to the imprisonment, &c. Therefoze if appeale bee against divers men, and they all are acquitted, damages shall be taxed to them severally, because perhaps one is more dammished than another, for one may be appealed as principall, and another as accessory, and one may be a Gentleman, and another none, 8. Hen. 5. fol. 1. and 40. E. 3. titulo Damages in Fitzherbert p. 77. But note that this recovery of damages is not for euery one, for if an appeale bee against a Donke, or Feme couert, without the topping the Dower raigne or husband, as it must bee, (except the Seneraigne with his Donke, or the Baron with his wiffe committed the felony) the Donke or Feme couert shall recover no damages, though they be acquit. Titulo Corone in Fitzherbert

276. 22.E.2. The p^rincipall Case was an appeale against a Monk, and the Iustices said it was all one for Law, if it had bene a Feine covert. quære. for if an appeale bee against Baron and Feine, which are acquitted, Dammitages shall bee tapered, and recovery severally, viz. The Baron sole shall recover for his owne imprisonment, and the Baron and Feine jointly for the imprisonment of the wife. The Statute is mozeover, versus Dominum regem graviter redimantur. This fining to the King is neuer, but where the Defendant is to haue dammitages also, for otherwise the Plaintiff shall not fine, but only bee amerced, as 9.Her. 5. fol. 1. the appeale abated for misfeiner, and the Plaintiff was but only amerced. vide 41. Assis. Corone 279. the appellant was at non suit after Declaration, and the Court presently awarded processe against the Appellant, to come and make fine, agreeing that if the party were after ward acquit, at the Kings suit, so that hee recovered dammitages against the Appellant, yet the should not pay a new fine. But the case theresoze, that at the Kings suit the Defendant had bene found culpable of the felony, what remedy there might be, for the Plaintiffe to recover his fine againe, which hee payd befoze none, as it seemeth, for it seemes the Plaintiffe which is at non suit in the appeale, shall pay a fine by the Common Law, and this was the cause why they awarded it to bee payd mainenant. Then for enquiry of Abbettours, &c. Cum appellatores non habeant unde predicta damna restituere, inquiratur per quorum abettum. These words imply, that if dammitages be not by Law recoverable against the Appellours, there shall be none enquiry of Abbettours. And where the Statute is, that if the Appellants are not able to restore dammitages, it is intendible all the dammitages, for if the Appellant bee sufficient to render part, but not all the dammitages, enquiry shall be of the Abbettours, and they shall be charged. 8.E.4. fol. 2. & 8.Hen.5. & 21. titulo Corone in Fitzherbert. The Statute is, si appellatus hoc petat. Of office only theresoze, and without request,

as it should seeme, the Court cannot enquire of Abbet-
toys. And 48. A. 11. titulo Corone. where they had en-
quired of Abbettoys, at the desire of one Defendant, and
they found none, and after wards another of the Defen-
dants, being acquitted, prayed enquiry likewise, it might
not bee obtained, because it appeared by the first verdict,
that there were none Abbettoys, there remained therefore
no more to be enquired of, but what damages were su-
sained. This Stamford affirms to bee in appearance
against Law, for saith hee, it is against the words of the
Statute, and against reason, for what reason is it, that a
man should bee bound by an enquest, whereunto he is not
pplur, and against which hee can have no remedy, because
it was but an enquest of office, for albeit that commonly
the enquiry of Abbettoys, is by the same enquest that ac-
quited the Defendant, yet their enquiry in this point is
but of office, for if they finde Abbettoys, these Abbettoys
when they come may traueise all that is found in this
point; As if it be found, that the Appellant is not suffi-
cient, and A. and B. were Abbettoys, A. and B. may
come and say by protestation, not knowing the felony for
plea, that the Appellant is sufficient, or that they neuer
abotted. 8. E. 4. fol. 7. and the words, Si legitimo modo
conuictus fuerit de huiusmodi abetto per maiorem, pro-
uocet also that answer is allowed, to that which is found by the
enquest. And note that it is a good answer for the Abbet-
toys to thew matter, wherefore the Defendant ought not
to have damages, or to thew that hee was acquitted, not
lawfully, but erroneously. But the Abbettoys shall not
take exceptio, against the Inquisition, for that it is not
found at what day, yere, or place they abettes, for the A-
betment simply found satisfieth the Statute, which wil-
leth, vt inquiratur per quorum abetum. And when that
it is once found, the Defendant may supply that which
wanteth, adding to the inquisition, the yere, day and place.
Titulo Corone in Fitzherbert 45. 21. E. 4. By the words,
per breue de iudicio ad festam appellati distinguntur ad
ueniendum.

venendum coram Iusticiariis, &c. And the procelle should seeme to bee distresse infinite. But Titulo Corone, 102. the Court awarded first a Venire facias, & then Distresse, which otherwise hath little authoritie for it, for all the other Bookes give a Daringas for the first Procelle, which is alwayes sued out by him which is acquitted. And for his better speed, he may pursue this if he will, though the Appellant bee not in Court. As if the Appellant bee at non suite and the Defendant arraigned at the Kings suit is acquitted, his damages taxed, and his Abettors found, now he may have Procelle against the Abettors maintainant, though the Judgement of damages bee suspended till Scire facias be sued, and returned against the Appellant: and note if the Defendant which is acquitted in an Appeale, be non suit in his Procelle against the Abettors, this is not peremptorie, but he may commence procelle againe of new, if he will, Corone, 386. And 2.E. 2. titulo Aetion for le Seauce, 28. An originall Writ brought for Abetment and Declaration against the Abettors, for greater damages than were assessed in the Appeale is awarded good. For of damages taxed in Appeale, there lyeth no attain, because the Enquest, as to the damages, is but of office, and the Defendant cannot compell the Justices to encrease damages, therefore it is reason that he rid himselfe by Action. So saith Stamford.

SECT. XVIII.

Of the old Law.

I have waded further into this vindicative Action than I thought to have done, and yet not touched what the Princes warrant of a mans life may availe him, against the instant appeals of a Widdow. I know one or two that are thought to be buckled against Appellants, by a lease of their stune lives from the King; but how true it is, or

how concording with Law, I know not: Howsoever it be, I advise a widdow, that is full of spleene for the slaughter of her husband, to read ouer mine instructions here, to allay chollier, and then if composition be offered, not to refuse it. For first I doe you to wiet, that appeales du mort are but stypperic Actions. Be iudged by the case, 33. H. 8. Dyer fol. 50. Warwford of the Temple was sued in an appeale of murder: the Writ was, Ad respondendum A. B. alias dict' A. B. fratri & heredi, to him that was murdered, and the Defendant was discharged because the Plaintiffe was not named brother and heire in the substance of the Writ, but onely in the Alias dicto, for it ought to haue bene, Ad respondendum A. B. fratri & heredi, alias d' & c. This was the chiefe cause why the Defendant was discharged. Then, I say, it is a moze Christian thing to take five hundred pounds of a man-killer, for a release, leauing him to agree with the King for his necke, as god cheape as he can, than to seeke blood and death (though of one which hath deserued it) in anger, malice, and reuengefulnessse. Last of all I affirme, that it agreeth with the eldest custome, and ancientest English Lawes. For that which learned M. Lambeert in one place speaketh but as coniecturall, is (me thinketh) true without all peradventure. Id est, that this forme of proceeding against an homicide giuen to the dead mans heire, or widdow, is a reuengefull Action first giuen to appeale such quarrels and capital enmities of families and kindreds, as the Northerne men yet vse and call Feawds, which heretofore (but a long time since) were generall, and ouerspread the Realme. So that an Appeale du mort, is but an image of deadly Feawd. The inducements to thinke so are these. The action of Appeale is preferred before the Kings action: the offer of triall by the Appellant, by Bracton is, per corpus, &c. & si de eo male conuigerit per corpus fratris, &c. And the ancient vse was, when the Appellee condemned went to execution, that all they which were of blood to him that was murdered, should

draw the man-slayer to the gallows, by a long rope, or cord, to shew loue to their kinsman, and desire of reuenge, per Bro nley in Plowdens Commentarie, 206. And 11. It. 4. fol. 12. When Turwin had affirmed, that by the ancient Law in Appeales to mort. the dead man; kindred and his wife should draw the Felon to execution. Galoigne adeed, Hoc fuit in dictis nectris. By these dayes Appeales de mort. shewed, by their outward face and phisnomie, from whence they sprung. But by the old Lawes of King Inas, King Edmund, and the rest ye shall plainly perceiue, that Feard was their mother, and that money was the quencher of the quarrell, verie often, if not alwayes. See therefore in Sp. Lamberts Booke, De priscis legibus, the Law 7. of Inas: If a bond man kill an English man, his Lord shall deliuer him into the hands of the Lord of kinsman of him which is slaine, or redeme him at sixtie shillings: If the Lord will not pay the money, he shall at the least emancipate his bondman, and the kinsman of the murderer so emancipate, may vndertake for him, to pay the price of him which is dead. If hee haue no kinsman that will doe so much for him, Mecuat sibi malum ab aduersariis, Let him be at the hazard of his enemies. And I haue read an old Law which I cannot finde againe, Parentibus occisi fiat emendatio, vel guerra eorum portetur. But in the same booke, De priscis Legibus, ye may finde that King Edmund, which reigned an hundred yeares and moze befoze the Conquest. by the aduice of Odo of Canturburie, and the Archbishop Wolstan of Pozke, with many other of the Clergie and Laptie, made Lawes, amongst which one hath this Preface; Etenim nos omnes harum tædet pugnarum quotidianarum: and therefore we ordaine as followeth.

SECT. XIX.

King Edmunds Law.

IF any man hereafter doe kill another man, hee alone shall take vpon him, and sustaine the deadly crumitie of the dead mans kindred, vnlesse he can by the helpe of his friends pay the whole price and estimation of his head, whom he hath killed, (what condition soeuer he were of) and that within the space of twelue moneths: If his kindred forsake him, and refuse to pay any thing for him, hee alone shall beare the quarrell, and his kinsmen shall not be reputed as enemies: But if they giue him sustenance, or haue any peace and societie with him, he that doth so shall forfeit all that he hath to the King, and be taken also as an enemye to the bloud: But otherwise, if any man to reuenge his kinsmans death, pursue and kill any one, but only the first murderer, he shall lose all that he hath to the King, and be deemed an enemye to the King, and to all that loue him. This Statute abridges Feawas, excepteth the Felons kindred, forbidding to kill in Withernam, and for money it saues the Feawd was scipped.

SECT. XX.

of Rape.

Chuse now whether yee will imagine, that the widow hath agreed with him which was her husband, or that she hath pursued him to death: She remaineth from henceforth a widow, giuing her selfe to almes and deeds of charitie, and of this good minde are many of our widowes, which purpose constantly to liue out the residue of their dayes in a deuout remembrance of their deare

deare husbands departed, to whom perhaps they made
 vowes neuer to marrie againe after their deaths. But
 to what purpose is it for women to make vowes, when
 men haue so many millions of wayes to make them break
 them? And when sweet words, faire promises, tempting,
 flattering, swearing, lying will not serue to beguile the
 poore soule: then with rough handling, violence, and
 plaine strength of armes, they are, or haue bene hereto-
 fore, rather made prisoners to lusts thēues, than wiues
 and companions to faithfull honest lovers: So drunken
 are men with their owne lusts, and the popson of Quicks
 false precept,

Vim licet appellat, vis est ea grata puellis.

That if the raiplier of Lawes were not betwixt women
 and their harmes, I verily thinke none of them, being a-
 boue twelue yeares of age, and vnder an hundred, being
 either faire or rich, should be able to escape raiuiling.

This is therefore a matter concerning maids, wiues,
 widdowes, and women of all degrees and conditions, if
 either they be, or possesse any thing worth the hauing, and
 because the ignorance of Law may here turne a mollify-
 ing heart to harne, I were to blame, if I left my Schol-
 lers without warning to take heed.

SECT. XXI.

Rauishment is in two sorts.

There are two kindes of Rape, of which though the
 one be called by the common people, and by the Law
 it selfe, *Rauishment*; yet in my conceit it borroweth the
 name from rapere, but vnproperly, for it is no more but
 Species stupri, a hideous hatefull kinde of whozedom
 in him which committeth it, when a woman is enforced
 violently to sustaine the furie of brutish concupiscence:
 but she is left where she is found, as in her owne house or
 bed,

hed, as Lucrece was, and not hurried away, as Helen by Paris, or as the Sabine women were by the Romans, for that is both by nature of the word, and definition of the matter: The second and right ranshment, *Cum quis honesta fima femina, sine virgine, sine vihus, sine sanctimonialis sit inuitis illis in quorum est potestate, abducit. Neque refert, an quis (volente vel nolente rapta) id faciat, nam vis quae Parentibus vel Curatoribus fit, maxime spectat.* It seemeth the first kinde of rape deserued alwayes death by Gods Lawes, vnlesse the woman ranshed were vnbetrothed, so that the ransher might marrie her; as you may read Deuteronomy, chap. 22. vers. 23. and by the Ciuill Law. *Raptores, in the second kinde, subiciebantur poena mortis rapta si fuerit ingenua.* How harmful they be both, and haue a long time bene, by the Lawes of England, yee shall now perceiue.

SECT. XXII.

The old Law of libidinous Rape.

RASON in the eight and twentieth Chapter of his third Booke sheweth, that by the antique Law of King Adelskan, Hee that meeting a virgin sole, or with company, did but touch her vnhonestly, was guiltie of breaking the Kings Edict, *Et emendabit secundum iudicium comitat.* If against her will hee thzew her on the ground, hee lost the Kings fauour; if hee discovered her, and cast himselfe vpon her, hee lost all his possessions; if hee lay with her, hee suffered iudgement of life and member: yea, if he were an horse man, his horse lost his taile and maine, (as Scamford citeth it to be, lib. 2.) But the words are, *Equus suus ad dec. ecus suum decori abatur de superiore labro, & cauda quae proprius natibus abscondere debent; item canis si secum habeat, &c. eodem modo decorabitur.* His Hawke likewise lost her beake, talons,
and

and traine. And the virgin had in recompence all his land & money by the Kings warrant. This was in King Aed-
 stanes daies at least an hundred and twentie yeares before
 the Conquest, when Corruptores virginitatis & castitatis
 were hanged, and their fautors also. But in Bractons
 time it saimeth, that these kinde of raulshers were other-
 wise punished, they lost their eyes and were gelt. She
 that brought an Appeale was to complaine her selfe pre-
 sently to the next neighbour, or to the chiefe men of the
 Hundred, or to the Cozoner, or Discount, shewing her
 garments bloody and tozned, and in the first Countie to
 enter her Appeale, and pursue it, at coming of the
 Kings Justices. Before whom, unlesse the offender aid
 himselfe by exception, that the Appellant was still a vir-
 gin, (which was tried by inspection of women) and if she
 were found a virgin, the Appellant was imprisoned for
 her slander, or that he held her before tins as his Concu-
 bine, or that she consented to his unbracements, or some
 other like plea, he lost his eyes and stones, for they colo-
 rem stupri induxerunt. Except the woman before iudge-
 ment giuen, demanded him for her husband, for that was
 onely in the womans election, and not in the mans, be-
 cause of the inconvienience which otherwise might have
 happened, if some hardy strong Leacher had raulshed a
 Dame noble, or of great birth, he should either goe away
 unpunished, or else by means of one pollution, perpetu-
 ally desire her, to the disgrace of her whole stocke. Thus
 saith Bracton. And in the Booke, De prisonis legibus, it is
 set downe for a Law made by King William the Conque-
 rour; Interdico ne quis occidat vel suspendatur pro ali-
 qua culpa, sed eruantur oculi, & abscondantur testiculi, vel
 pedes vel manus, ita ut truncus vivus remaneat, in signum
 prodicionis vel nequitie. A command that from hence-
 forth no man bee hanged, or put to death for any trans-
 gression, but let the offenders eyes be pulled out, or his
 stones, feet, or hands cut away, that the trunk or mutil-
 ate body still left alive, may remaine as a testimony of
 his

his prohibition and lewdnesse. Now if this manning Law of King William were still in force in Bractons time against raiuers, was it Mag. Chart. cap. 29. Or what was it that made the Law so make in Edward the first his time, that the first Statute against Rape, speaketh of it so mildly, as if it had bene at Common Law a verie small trespasse.

SECT. XXIII.

West. 1. cap. 14. anno 3. E. 1.

The King commands, that no man raiue or take by force any damsell within age, either with her consent or without. Nor any dame or damsell (of full age) or other mans wife, against her will. If any doe, the King will doe iustice and common right, at his or her suit, that shall sue within 40. dayes, if none commence suit within 40. dayes, the King shall haue the suit, they which are culpable shall bee impzisoned two yeeres, and bee ransomed at the Kings pleasure. And if they haue not satisfied the ransome, they shall suffer a longer impzisonment, as the trespasse shall require, a man may well suspect that there was something, which had allayed the rigour of former Law, befoze this Statute was made. It may bee the impozition of Clergy men bying satisfaction according to Moises Law, if the woman raiued were unmarried, and otherwise the bashfulness of those which are betrothed and espoused, kept in the truculent Law of King William. Howsoeuer it were, this Statute of West. 1. (in my poze opinion) being rather affirmatiue than otherwise, runneth not in fauour of raiuers, to abrogate their old punishment, but inflicteth a greater punishment vpon them, than that which had lately bene put in practice. Or it may bee very well that the common right, which King Edward promised here to doe for them

that

that would pursue within forty dayes, was according to the severity, which Bracton speaketh of.

SECT. XXIV.

West. 2. cap. 35.

The mitigation of the old Law, one way or other, in a few yeeres brought forth so many enovinties, That at the next Parliament, which King Edw. held ten yeeres after, it was ordeined as followeth.

It is ordeined, that if any man ravish any woman espoused, or damsell, or other woman, which consenteth not afore, nor after, that hee shall haue iudgement of life and member. And whosoever ravisheth any woman by force, though she consent afterward, shall haue iudgement as afore is said, if he be attainted at the Kings suit. And if any woman bee carried away with the goods of their husband, the King shall haue the suit, for goods so carried away. This Chapter conteineth also the ordinance against Clopement, and another for Nunnes, qui monachialem a domo suo abducat, licet monachi. his consentiat, puniatur per prisonam in termino annorum, &c. & satisfaciat domui, a qua abducta fuerit & nihilominus redimatur ad voluntatem regis.

SECT. XXV.

6. Richard. 2. cap. 6.

A man would haue thought, that this Statute should haue repressed for ever, all violence towards the persons of women, but quantos motos scies, reclamante ratione Prince: In the first yeere of King Richards reigne, and about the 16th. of his age, this villany of rape was

so encreased, and women so little offended with the injury, or so ashamed to confesse the outrage, that a new Law was made to punish women, which consented to their rauishors, *vi sequitur*. Against rauishors of Ladies and daughters of Noble men, and other women in euery part of the Realme, now a dayes more violently offending, and oftener than was wont: It is ordained, that wheresoever, and whensoever such Ladies, daughters, or other women bee rauished, and after rape doe consent to such rauishors, that as well the rauishors, as they which be rauished, bee from henceforth disabled, to haue or challenge Heritage, Dower or Jointfeoffment after the death of their husbands, and ancestors. And that incontinently the next of the blood of those rauishors, or of them that bee so rauished, to whom such Heritage, Dower or Jointfeoffment ought to reuert, remaine, or fall, after the death of the rauisher, or of her that is so rauished, shall haue title incontinently after the rape, to enter vpon the rauisher, or her that is rauished, and their Assignes and lands, tenements, in the same heritage, Dower, or Jointfeoffment, and the same to hold in state of Heritage.

And that the husbands of such women, if they haue husbands or if they haue no husband liuing, the father or other next of the blood, haue from henceforth the suit to pursue against the Offenders and Rauishors in this behalfe, and to haue them thereof conuict of life and member, though the woman after such rape doe consent to the rauisher. And the Defendant in this Case shall not bee received to wage battails, but that the truth of the matter shall bee tried by the Country. Sauing alwayes to the King and other Lords of the Realme, their escheats of the Rauishors, if they be conuict.

This is a thewed Statute. Till this time he that had rauished a woman might hope for a clemencie, at the least at her hands, because he had ventured his life for her sake, but what shall lusty leachers now doe: the more a woman is woorthy to bee won, because shee hath or shall haue wherewith

wherewith to keepe a man, the moze danger it is to medle with her. She that perhaps might haue bene perswaded, (had this Statute not bene) to forgiue a matter of greater astonishment, then damage, dares not now be mercifull, lest she bee cruell to her selfe; Therefore now men looke on faire Gentlewomen, heires, and widowes, as the catt looketh at a fish in the water, she would faine be dealing, but is loth to go wetshod.

And now comes in the second rape by abduction, where in auarice is as great an agent as carnality, and something wiser in auoiding of danger, now men turned themselves for loues sake into Centaures first, and toke on them the shape of Bulls afterward.

SECT. XXVI.

31. Hen. 6. cap. 9.

Therefore in the 31. yere of Hen. 6. was a Statute made, beginning with complaint, that in all parts of the Realme, diuers people of power, moued by insatiable couetousnesse, against all right and gentlenesse, had found new inuentions, to the danger, trouble, and euill intreatings of Ladies, Gentlewomen, and other women sole, hauing substance of land, tenements, or moueable goods, perceiuing their great innocency and simplicity, willing to take them by force, or other wise come to them, seeming to be their great friends, prouising them their faithfull loues, and so by great dissimulation, they caught them into their possession, conueying them into places where the Offenders were of power, not suffering them once gotten into their gouernance, to goe at liberty, till they had bound them by Obligation or Statute merchant, and enforced them to marry against their owne liking, otherwise they would leuy the said summe in the said Obligation or Statutes, to preuent danger of forfeiture of the same.

same Obligation of Statute, or further perill to their persons. The purueyance of this Statute, is but a Grant of a Writ, whereby to call befoze the Chancelloz, or befoze the Justices of Assises in the County, or befoze some other noble persons, assigned by the Chancelloz of England, the persons offending, to make void the Obligation of Statute, if there be cause, with a seuerer penalty of 300, li to bee forsitid by the Sheriffe, if hee did not execute the same Writ duly, according to the tenure thereof. This Statute was to meeke and gentle, something like him that made it. H. 6.

SECT. XXVII.

3. H. 7. c. 2.

But 3. Hen 7. cap. 2. beginning with a better complaint against takers for lucre, of maids, widdowes, or wiues hauing substance of lands or goods, or being heires apparent, which takers sometimes married them, and sometime desolued them, to the breach of Gods Law, and the Kings, the disparagement of such women, and bitter heauinesse and discomfort of their friends, or daeth, that whosoever taketh against her will unlawfully, any maid, widdow, or wife, shall together with the procurers, abettors and receivers of any such woman (knowing her to bee so taken against her will,) bee felons, and euery of them bene reputed and iudged as felons principal. But this extendeth not to taking, where a woman is claimed as a ward or bondwoman. And Mr. Lambard noteth, that anno 3. & 4. Phil. & Mar. this Statute was confuted to make no felony, vnlesse the woman married were either taken or desolued.

SECT.

SECT. XXVIII.

4. & 5. Phi. & Mar. cap. 8.

Therefore to supply what hitherto was wanting against takers, and also intisers, raulshing by allurements and flatterers, 4. & 5. Phil. & Mar. cap. 8. saith, that for want of sufficient Law, it remained still a familiar and common mischief in the Realm, That maidens and women children of Noble men, Gentlemen, and others, which were heires apparant, or had lands in great substance left by their Ancestors or friends, by flattery, trialing gifts, or faire promises of light persons, and also by subtilty of such as bought and sold them for reward, were many times allured to contract matrimony with unchristian persons, and thereupon oftentimes with sleight or force were taken from their parents, friends or kinsfolke, to the high displeasure of God, the disparagement of the children, and perpetuall condolence of their friends; Therefore it is ordained, that it shall not bee lawfull to conuey any maid or woman child, unmarried, or vnder the age of sixteen yeeres, out of the possession, and against the will of her father, or of such person, to whom by his will or otherwise in his life time, he shall haue appointed the keeping, education and governance of her, except such taking, as shall bee without fraud, by the Pastor or Minister, or Guardian in Dotage, or in Chivalry, or to such maid or woman child. And if any person that is above the age of fourteen yeeres, shall conuey, or cause to be conueyed, any such maid being within the age of sixteen yeeres, out of the possession, and against the will of the father or mother, or any other person which then shall haue by lawfull meanes, the order, keeping, education, or governance of her, the offender duly attainted or convicted (other than such, of whom they shall hold by knights service,) shall suffer two yeeres imprisonment, without

baile oꝛ mainprize, oꝛ pay such fine, as shall bee assessed by the Quenes Councell in the Star Chamber.

And if any shall take away, and desolue any such maid, oꝛ woman child, oꝛ shall against the will of her father, oꝛ he not knowing (if the father be in life) oꝛ without the assent oꝛ knowledge of the mother hauing custody and gouernance of the child, the father being dead, by letters, messiges oꝛ otherwise, contract matrimony with any such maid, (except it bee by the consent of the person oꝛ persons, by interest of wardship intituled to haue the marriage) he shall suffer (being lawfully convicted) five yeeres imprisonment, with out baile oꝛ mainprize, and pay such fine as shall bee assessed in the Star Chamber, &c. the one moiety of all which fines shall bee to the Quene and her successors, and the other to the grieued.

And the Councell in Star Chamber, by Bill of complaint oꝛ information, and Iudices of assise by inquisition oꝛ indictment, (in which pꝛocesse shall be awarded, as inditements of trespassse at the Common law) haue authority to heare and determine the offences.

Moreover, if any woman child, oꝛ maiden, being above the age of twelue yeeres, and vnder sixteen, doe at any time consent to such person as shall make contract of matrimony contrary to the forme of this Statute, the next of kin to whom the inheritance should come after her death, shall from time of such assent haue and enjoy all such lands, tenements, and hereditaments, as shee had in possession, reuerſion, oꝛ remainder, at the time of assent, during the life of such person, so contracting matrimony, and after her decease so contracting, &c. then the said lands shall descend, reuert remaine, and come to such person oꝛ persons, (other than to him that shall so contract matrimony) as they should haue done, in case this Statute had neuer bene made. But this Act extendeth not to diminish any liberty, custome, oꝛ authority, in London oꝛ like corporations, as touching Orphanes, their lands, goods, oꝛ chattels.

See Rarcliff's Case in Sir Edward Cokes 3. Rep. fol. 38. upon this Statute of 4. and 5. of Phil. and Mar. In an Eie-
 Etione firme upon speciall pleading, a speciall verdict was
 thus in effect, that William Wilcokes married the daugh-
 ter and heire apparant of Iohn Edols and Alice his wife,
 and hath issue by her, Iohn, Elizabeth, and Martha, Wil-
 liam Wilcokes afterwards by his will in wrighting ap-
 points the order, custody, education, and government of
 his said thre children, to their said grandfather and grand-
 mother, during the grandfather and grandmothers lives,
 and then dyes, the widdow of Wilcokes marrieth Raphe
 Radcliffe, Iohn Edols dyes, and his widdow being Tenant
 in fee simple of the lands in question holden in soccage by
 her will, deviseth them to her grandchild Iohn Wilcokes
 in taile, the remainder to Elizabeth and Martha, and the
 heires of their two bodies equally to be divided, the re-
 mainder in fee to her said daughter and heire apparant,
 the mother of these thre devises, and dieth, Iohn Wilcok
 dieth without issue, his sister Elizabeth married one An-
 drewes, and he, his wife, and her sister Martha enter the
 lands, and were seised accordingly, and Marcha abiding
 with Raph Rarcliffe, and his wife being aboute fourtene,
 and under sixteen yeres of age, with Raph Rarcliffe his
 consent, and of her owne accoꝝ departs eight miles off
 from them, where six houres after shee was married to
 Edward Rarcliffe, who enters and made the Plaintiffe
 his lease; And (the issue being whether Elizabeth Rar-
 cliffe the wife of Raph Rarcliffe had the custody of Mar-
 tha the wife of Edward Rarcliffe the lessoꝝ at the time of
 their contract and marriage,) all the Judges and Court
 of Kings Bench resolved that Elizabeth had the gover-
 nance of her daughter Marcha at the time of her contract
 and marriage within the intent and meaning of the Sta-
 tute.

It was resolved in that case, that those words father
 & mother within the second branch of the Statute shall bee
 expounded father or mother after the death of the father.

And it was resolved in that Case, that there bee two manners of custodies or wardships, the one by the Common Law, the other by the Statute: And that also at the Common Law there are foure manners of Gardians, namely, Gardian in Chivalry, Gardian in Storage, Gardian in nature, and Gardian for nurture, and now the Statute makes a new Gardian, namely by assignation; but the mother in that case cannot be Gardian for nurture, because her daughter was past 14. yeeres of age. But she had the custody of her within the provision of the Act iure naturæ, and the assent of Raph Raccliffe the mother's husband was not materiall, for the custody of a child is an inseparable incident to the parent, and marriage may not transference that to a husband. And that was resolved, that although the issue was whether Elizabeth had the custody of Martha at the time of the contract, and that did appeare, that shee departed from her mothers house six houres befoze the contract, yet in iudgement of Law her mother had the custody of her at the time of the contract. And that was resolved, that in that Case Edward Raccliffe, and Martha his wife, had good title to the land against Andrewes and his wife, for the one daughter, as that Case is, shall not take benefit of forfeiture of the other, for the statute gives the forfeiture to the next of kin, to whom the inheritance should descend or come after her decease, during the life of such person that so shall contract matrimony, so, that first shee ought to be of the blood, and secondly, to whom the inheritance should descend or come, &c. and although the wife of Andrewes bee of the blood, yet in that Case by the death of Martha, the land if shee hath issue, shall descend to her issue, and if shee hath not issue, that shall reuert to her mother, &c. but iudgement was against the Plaintiffe, for that the issue was found against him.

These are the Lawes, whereby rapes and ravishments of women are repressed, which if they bee well looked unto, will pprove that there is now no cause, why lying

lying Laonicus Chalcondilus should be believed, who writing of Englishmen, affirmeth that we haue no care what becomes of our wiues and children: That in our peregrinations and travels wee interchange and vse one the others wiues mutually: That we count it no repporch by whom soeuer our wiues or daughters bee got with child: That (with vs) if a man come to his friends house, hee must lye with his wife the first thing that he doth, vt deinde benigne hospitio accipitur. And though some of the last recited Lawes were vnuade, when Chalcondilus did write, about one hundred yeres since, yet there were then Lawes enough to proue him a deepe lye: and had hee bene in England, to haue trusted him by to perhaps for lechery, had his learning steaded him no better than his honesty; this is no lesse cause, why I should be thus bitter against Chalcondilus a dead man, for that it may seme he wrote by hearesay, nullo odio gentis: and in other matters hee reporteth honourably of vs. But it is strange that a man writing, not a great while since, but euen the other day, not at Athens, neither at Rome, or Keams, where they vse to belie vs head and sot, but here at London should be bold to write and put in print matter to this effect, That beggers and the poorest sort of our women, we doe vse to punish and to whip them, when they are taken for leachers and dishonest liuers. But Gentlewomen and Ladys of honour and worship, they are neuer punished for incontinency, but rather for their amorous wantonnesse, and lubricity the more esteemed and magnified. This fellow deserueth plainly better to bee hanged, than to bee belieued. For neither is it true that any woman with vs can better her reputation by dissolute life and manners; Neither can any woman learne a more deadly lesson, than so to be perswaded. And seeing the Lawes themselves declare what detestation they haue of brutish concupiscence, by punishing consent, with losse of inheritance; I would I could perswad all women to eschew, not only these gulfes, but also the ecclesiasticall Courses,

tures, (which I meddle not with) together with the infamy, which they purchase sometime with outward lasciviousness, from the report of them, which indoge a careless liberty in behaviour, an infallible argument of sensuality, whereby some men have bene imboldened to offer force, because they thought it was expected.

SECT. XXIX.

Appeale of rape.

NOW let us consider a little how these Lawes ought to be put in practice, if any virgin, widdow, or single woman be rauished, shee her selfe may sue an Appeale of rape, prosecute the felon to death, and the Kings pardon (as it seemeth) cannot helpe him. If a Feme couert be rauished, shee cannot haue an Appeale without her husband, as appeares 8 Hen. 4. fol. 21. But if a Feme couert be rauished, and consent to the rauisher, the husband alone may haue an Appeale, and this by the Statute 6. Rich. 2. cap. 6. The husband that this Statute speaketh of, which may sue the Appeale, must be a lawfull husband in right and possession, for he which couple in loyall matrimony is a good plea against him. 11. Hen. 4. fol. 13. So doth Justice Stanford affirme the booke to proue without question: and that the Law is so too, where Appeale is brought by Baron and Feme. Brooke abridging the case, 11. Hen. 4. seemeth to incline to the contrary opinion. The case at length is thus, Thomas Hauslegle sueth Appeale de rauishment in feme against Thomas V. and others according to the Statute. 6. Rich. 2. rehearsing in his Declaration the order of the Statute, and that they had rauished her against the forme of the said Statute. The Appellee said, the Plaintiffe had another Writ hanging, returnable the same tearme, of the same rape, and because the writ was not serued, he had obtained a

sicut alias, Ego, this Writ of the same nature should
 abate; Hall said, he might pursue which Writ he would.
 And by their writ a Præcipe quod reddat, or an Assise for
 the like cause shall abate, for of one land a man cannot
 have two recoveries. But in this case it may bee, there
 were two rapes at severall times, &c. and also the first
 Writ was not entered in the roll, nor the sicut alias in the
 Record, then the Declaration was challenged as insuffi-
 cient, because it was felonice rapuit, and not carnaliter
 cognovit: but to that it was answered, that felonious
 rape implied carnall knowledge, for rape without such
 knowledge is but trespass; Another exception to the De-
 claration was, that two had ravished as principall, &c.
 which, Rolfe said, could not be, therefore the Plaintiffe
 ought to have declared against one as principall, and
 against the other as accessory, or else to have brought se-
 verall Appeales, whereunto was answered, that if two
 or twenty goe and come together, to commit any felony,
 as robbery or murder, though one of them onely commit
 the Act, yet all the rest are principals. A third exception
 against the Declaration was, that the Plaintiffe had not
 shewed how his wife assented after the ravishment, and
 the Appeale was given by West. 2. to the Baron and
 Feine, and not to the Baron alone by the Statute of
 Rich. 1. But this exception also was disallowed, because
 the Count had recited the whole purueyance of the Act,
 and the ravishment was contra formam &c. Last of all, the
 Appellés pleaded, that long time before the espousals,
 betwixt the Appellour & the woman supposed to be rai-
 shed, one of the Appellés had affianced the same woman,
 after which affianced the Appellour married her, at a cer-
 taine Church against her will, (after which marriage,
 whereunto she never agreed) she came of her owne accord
 to the Defendant who had now married her, so that the
 Appellour and she were never coupled in loyall matrimo-
 ny. This manner of pleading was said to be a confession
 both of the first marriage and of the ravishment, which

the Councell would have taken by protestation. But Conscience told them, they might not have protestation, to proe them guiltie of felony. Therefore the Defendant pleaded generally, Ne viques accouple, &c. which the Plaintiffe accepted of his owne accorde, and a Writ was awarded to the Bishop. But all mens opinions seemed to be, that this was no good plea, because the Statute is, that the husband shall haue the Appeale, though they agreed that when the Action is by Common Law, as an Appeale De morte viui, ne viques accouple, is a good plea, for no woman shall reuenge her husbands death by Appeale, vntlesse she were wife as well in right as in possession.

The Statute of Richard giueth the Appeale, where the woman rauished hath no husband, to her father or next of blood, &c. which is vnderstood et supra. where the woman consenteth to the rauisher, for otherwise the woman her selfe must pursue the Appeale, vpon West. 2. cap. 34. For the father cannot haue by the Common Law, either Appeale of rape of his daughter, or of death, either of son or Daughter: But it seemeth that by this Statute, if a woman be next heire to her which is rauished, and consenteth, she may haue an Appeale of rape against the rauisher, as well as any procheinie heire male may. And learne, If a woman which is rauished dye, and her husband takes another wife, whether she may now haue an Appeale or no. It is said, that if a Lord rauish his Priest, she cannot haue an Appeale of rape against him; but the King may punish it by way of Indictment.

SECT. XXX.

Within what time Appeales of Rape must be commenced.

Braccon, Si virgo sit corrupta & oppressa contra pacem Domini Regis, she ought to goe straight way,
Dum

Damidem'stun recens est, and with Hue and Cry com-
 plaine to the good men of the next towne, shewing her
 wrong, her garments torne, & language suchas, and then
 she ought to goe to the chiefe Constable, to the Coroner,
 and to the Talscount, and at the next Countie to en-er her
 Appeale, and haue it enroled in the Coroners roll: and
 then day was to be giuen her, till the coming of the
 Kings Iustices, befoze whom she was againe to re-intreat
 her Appeale, and if she barred from the Coroners roll, she
 lost her suit. Britton tieth the commencement of this Ap-
 peale to fortye dayes after the fact, agreeing with West. 1.
 cap. 13. But by this Statute (saith Sta:ford) rape was
 but trespasse, insomuch therefore, as it is since made felo-
 ny by another Statute, and no time limited, within
 which the suit shall be begun, it seemeth a woman is at
 choyse to bring it when she listeth, so that she exceed not
 time reasonable.

*Within what Countie Appeale of Rape
 shall be brought.*

Appeale of rape must be brought within the Countie,
 where the ravishment was committed, and if a man
 take a woman against her will in one Countie, and lea-
 ding or carrying her into another Countie he there rau-
 isheth her, the Appeale must be where the ravishment
 was committed: and though the Declaration be, of taking
 in another Countie, yet the triall shall be onely where the
 Writ was brought; Titulo visne, in Firzherbert 28. And
 it seemeth, that to speake of the taking in another Coun-
 tie, in a Declaration of Rape, is but surplusage and more
 than needeth, for it abates not the Count if it be left out.
 But perhaps such a leauing out in Action of trespasse,
 would abate the Writ, because the Plaintiffe is to reco-
 ner

uer damages; for the taking in another Countie, and they of the Countie where the Writ is brought, cannot adesse damages for the taking: But in this Appeale there is nothing to be recovered, but onely that the offender suffer death for his offence.

SECT. XXXII.

The Declaration in Appeale of Rape.

47. E. 3. **I**n a good forme of Declaration in this Appeale, fol. 14. **I**where in a Writ of Appeale of rape, the plaintiffe counted, how she was in Gods peace and the Kings, such a day, such a yeare, and in such a place, and the Defendant came feloniously, and as a Felon against the Kings Crowne and dignitie, then and there did rauish her, and carnally know her, and that shee did pursue him from Towne to Towne, and from Countie to Countie, till he was taken at her suit; and that A. and B. were at the same time and place in force and aid of the same Felon, &c. And if the Defendant will this deny, she is ready to proue it, as the Court shall award, that a woman ought.

But know that the severall Statutes have made two severall formes of Appeales of rape, one vpon the Statute of West. 2. and in that there needs no mention of any Statute. But in the other which is vpon the Statute of Richard, the vse is alwayes to recite the Statute in the Declaration, and that the words, Contra formam Statuti, impliech sufficiently, that the woman hath consented to the rauisher.

S E C T.

SECT. XXXIII.

Pleas to the Writ.

Pleas to the Writ may be many, as false Latine, or want of fornye, or that the Plaintiffe hath another Writ hanging, of the same felony, as is shewed you before in the other Appeale. And s. H. 6. fol. 1. Exception was taken against the Writ in Appeale of rape, because it was ad respondendum the Plaintiffe secundum formam statuti, &c. Whereas it ought to haue bene, Unde cum appellat secundum formam statuti. Whereunto it was answered, that the Statute of 6. R. 2. giueth not the Appeale, for that is by the Common Law, but he must answer according to the Statute, which outeth battaile; for the Statute saith, Ad duellum vadiandum non recipiatur & issint le briefe bone.

Another exception was taken against the Writ, because it was not, felonice rapuit, but the Defendant durst not stand upon it, but pleaded ouer, rien culpable; for rapuit impleto felony. But in euerie Appeale of rape, if the Writ want the word rapuit, it shall abate, though it haue words amounting to as much as carnaliter cognouit, or any such like, 9. E. 4. fol. 26.

SECT. XXXIII.

Pleas to the Action.

Though it be true, that where one shall be charged with rape in Appeale or otherwise, it must be by the word rapuit, and not carnaliter cognouit onely; yet by Bracton it is a good plea in Appeale of rape to say, Non abstatit ei puce agium suum, quia adhuc virgo est, & veritas probabitur per aspectum corporis, & per quatuor le-

gales feminas iurat: s de veritate dicenda, quare Stamford saith it is a good plea for the Defendant, though he lay with the woman, yet he did not carnally know her, for the force of the Declaration resteth in that. And by *Bracton* fol. 45. If at the time of rape supposed, the woman conceive childe; there is no rape; for none can conceive without consent. Also by *Bracton*, it is a good plea, to say that before the rape supposed, he kept the Plaintiffe, and vsed her as his Concubine. But by the sayie *Bracton*, it was no plea to say she was another mans Concubine, for *Harlot*; Quia licet meretrix fuerit tamen, ceterum tunc temporis non fuit; cum nequitia eius reclamando. consentire noluit. And note; if she which is rauished, assent for feare of death at the time of the rauishment, it is a rape against her will, notwithstanding such consent; for assent must be voluntarie, per curiam, s. E. 4. *Cron-pron*, 44.

SECT. XXXV.

A question what is meant by rauishment with force; in *11. H. 2. cap. 24.*

Stamford leaueth it doubtfull, and to be learned what the difference is betwixt rauishment with force, and without force. *J. Lambard* thinketh the word to be but declaratorie, signifying all rauishment to be forcible. And it is true, that no woman is rauished in this sort only by parroll, or influence of Rheuoticke. But in mine opinion, the Statute must needs intend two kinde of rauishments, because it maketh one moze odious than the other, and p̄poundeth death ineuitable to him which rauisheth with force; though the woman forgive him, and consent to him. A moze detestable villany, I thinke, therefore was meant in this parase, of him which being himselfe overcome with concupiscence, overcome a woman hand

hand to hand, by length of breath, and strength of his owne
 knewes. You shall vnderstand therofoze, that about those
 dayes there was an Appeale of foze in vse, as it were a-
 gainst the raiuers yeomen of the Stirrup, viz. against
 him or them which were holders, and assisters to the prin-
 cipall carnall oppressour, as appeareth about the end of
 the 28. Chapter of Bracton, Lib. 3. Eadem A. appellat C.
 quod eadem die eodem anno, &c. quo predictus B. & ea-
 dem hora dum idem B. abstulit pucellagium suum fuit idem
 C. in fortia, ita quod tenuit eandem A. dum idem B. abstulit
 pucellagium suum, vel concubuit cum ea, postquam, &c.
 Such fellowes were termed appellati de fortia, and they
 which take such Coadintors, in ght verie well be called
 raiuers with foze and aid, of all other most hatefull, in
 iudgement of all indifferent honest women.

SECT. XXXVI.

De muliere abducta cum bonis, &c.

This Statute toucheth also the most conetous raiuis-
 mised together: so much against womans minde, that she
 is loth to leaue either money or plate behinde her, and be-
 cause some men vsed in those dayes, to let their goods goe,
 lest otherwise they might perhaps call their wiues home
 againe, the suit is giuen to the King, if the husband neg-
 lect it, 44. Ass. p. 12. A man brought a Writ of trespassse
 against a Knight and his Lady, and two others in Banke-
 le Roy, for taking away the Plaintiffes wife, and his
 goods, and they all came by Capias in custodie of the Writ-
 count, and the Plaintiffe counted of raiuisment of his
 wife, and his goods carried away, &c. a protection was
 shewed forth for the Knight and his wife, and allowed,
 and iudgement was demanded of the Writ, because the
 Plaintiffe and his wife were diuorced. Justice Kniuet
 said,

said, that though the woman were dead, the husband might haue the Action of rauishment notwithstanding, and so is it if they were diuozced. For he was not to recover his wife by the Action, nor any thing else, saue damages for the trespassse. Then it was said, the diuozce was causa frigiditytis; Knuet said, the weather might wax warmer with him, Il poer recoueret lon nature, & ouerer come home, & reauer sa feme; and therefore answered to the Writ. Then Iudgement was asked againe of the Writ, because it was against a man and his wife, and one woman cannot rauish another, sed non allocatur; for a woman may be assenting or aiding to any rauishment, therefore the Defendants pleaded non culpable. The verie same, or verie like case is againe, 23.E.3. 23. See 21. H. 7. fol. 12. The opinion of Fincux, that it is lawfull for a man to trauell with another mans wife to London, at her request, and to carrie her behinde him, when shee will ride to sue a diuozce, or a reuersment of Outlawrie, or for a warrant of the peace, against her godman. Yaxley was of contrarie opinion. And where the partie which taketh another mans wife, cum bonis, &c. is indited at the Kings suit of trespassse onely, the Iudgement is, Quod vi & armis, Mariam vxorem cuiusdam A. B. apud S. rapuit, & eam cum bonis & cattallis, viz. &c. ipsius A. B. cepit & abduxit, & ea eidem A. B. adhuc iniuste detinet, contra pacem, &c. & contra formam statuti, &c.

So likewise at the husbands suit the Writ is, Attachi- as B. quod sic coram nobis, &c. ad respondendum prefato A. quare vi & armis vxorem prefati A. apud N. rapuit, & eam cum bonis & cattallis, &c. ad graue damnum, & contra formam statuti, &c. as appeares by Fitzherbert. So that you see the difference betwixt rapuit in Trespasse, and in Appeale, or Iudgement of felony. Presidents whereof are in *Sp.* Lambards Booke, and *Sp.* Cromptons.

SECT. XXXVII.

The case of Elizabeth Venor.

NOW that women may learne to stand vpon their owne guard part. y, and not trust altogether to defence, or courtesie of Lawes, which are not moze rigorously penned, than sometime put in execution against them, let them marke this case. Lands were given in taile to William Venor, and to Elizabeth his wife, and to the heires of their two bodies, the remainder to the said Elizabeth and the heires of her body, the remainder to Robert Babbington in taile, the remainder to the right heires of T. S. father of Elizabeth. William Venor dyed without issue, and Elizabeth being sole seized, was afterward ravished by Iohn Worth, which after that hee had married her, was indited of rape, and toke Sanctuary at Westminster, Elizabeth his wife being there with him, was advised to disassent, and to part from him to saue her inheritance, which she refused to doe, and was afterward brought befoze the Councell in the Star-Chamber; being there demanded if she assented or not, and she answered, that Iohn Worth was her husband, and she would not forsake him, whereupon the issue of Robert Babbington, (Robert being dead) entred vpon her land by the Statute of 6. R. 2. which willet (saith Brooke) if any woman assent to the ravisher, that he to whom the land should descend, reuert, remaine, or escheat may enter. And though it were confessed, that there was another person, moze nere in blood to Elizabeth than was this issue of Robert Babbington, yet because he was next in remainder, his entrie was lawfull. But Elizabeth did oust him, and hee brought an Assise. Then to proue the assent, it was giuen in euidence that she had married him, assenting to him as well in Sanctuary, as befoze the Councell. And for Elizabeth, it was alleaged, that the espousals and all the assentings

tings were by dures and force, and for feare of the raul-
ther, which might not be called assenting, for none consen-
teth but frankly, voluntarily, and sans feare, Quod vide-
tur Lex ibidem. But in the end, because she might have
disagreed befoze the Councell, and did not, her assent was
holden voluntarie, and the Acte passed for the Plaintiffe.
And it was agreed for Law, that if title of entrie into
lands be given to a daughter by force of this Statute, and
the entret: that she shall retaine and enjoy them, not-
withstanding the birth of any sonne Posthumus coming
afterward, though he be moze nére, or worthy of blood.
And so it is generally where the entrie is given by Sta-
tute: but if by Common Law, a discent be cast vpon a
daughter which entret, shee must giue place to a sonne
hozne afterward. It was remembred in this case, that in
former tyme a woman being rauished, after she had conti-
nued seven yeeres with the rauisher, and had hozne him a
childe, escaped from him, and sued in Parliament in the
tyme of H. 6. against him, till he was attainted. And be-
ing demanded how she could now say, that she neuer assen-
ted, having conceived, &c. shee answered, that her flesh
consented to him, but her soule and conscience did euer ab-
horre him, s. E. 4. fol. 58.

SECT. XXXVIII.

The Statute, 18. Eliz. cap. 7.

I Am at the end of my voyage; but befoze I take these
I will shew you how our late most excellent Law-
giuer, renowned Queene Elizabeth, (whose vigilant
care hath alwayes bene, that all her people might liue vnder
her in peace, and without oppression) hath giuen
strength and perfection to the former functions of other
Princes, to make them a firme bulwarke against all man-
ner of iniurers that possibly might oppresse women; and

I can but marvel, that when so damnable a crime as rape, had given so often to the whole Realme, such cause of bitter complaint; and men in sundry ages, had beaten their braines so carefully in finding out remedy against it: how it was possible, so long space together, to leave such a privilege to him that could read the blessed Psalm of Misericordie, &c. that though he had ravished the fairest Lady in the Land, he might almost goe away without touch of breath for it. Therefore the eighteenth of Queene Elizabeth, for suppressing of felonious rapes, and ravishments of women, and of felonious Burglaries, it was enacted that they which were found guilty by verdict, or by confession, or outlawed of or for such felonious rapes or Burglarie, they should suffer death, and forfeit as in cases of Felony had bene used by the Lawes of the Realme, without allowance of privilege, or benefit of Clergie. Further, that they which were in other cases to have benefit of Clergie, should immediately after burning in the hand, according to the Statute in that case provided, be forthwith enlarged by the Justices, and not be delivered to the Ordinarie. But yet that the Justices, before whom the Clergie shall be allowed, may detaine such persons in prison for correction, as long as they shall think convenient, so it be not above a yeere: Then because in the fourteenth yeere of her Maiesties reigne (as you may perceiue in Dier, fol. 304. in the case of a Scot which had ravished a girle, being not past seven yeeres old, the Justices were in doubt whether rape could be of a childe of such tender yeeres, not yet nine yeeres old, and therefore they went not to iudgement of the Scot, though by evidence of diuers Patrons he seemed guilty, this Statute ordaineth, that if any person, unlawfully and carnally, know and abuse any woman childe vnder age of ten yeeres, euery such unlawfull and carnall knowledge shall be felonie, and the offender being duly convicted shall suffer as a Felon, without allowance of Clergie. And as M. Lambard and M. Crompron doe both of them note, it

is not materiall whether she consent or no, for the Law aduogeth her vnable to consent, at so tender age. The last prouiso of this Statute is, that they which are admitted to their Clergie shall answer to all other manner of felonies, wherof they haue not formerly bene acquitted, convicted, attainted, or pardoned, as they should haue done, if as Clerkes convicted they had bene deliuered to the Ordinarie, and made their purgation.

SECT. XXXIX.

The Statute 39. Eliz. cap. 9.

L Aety, because this exemption of Clergie was leni-
led onely against Burglaries, and felonious rapes by
violence, and of the antique Faulkoners fashion, leauing
vnto covetous rauishers by abduction, and I might say by
insinuation, the benefit of their Booke, by reason wherof
diuers maids, widowes, and wiues, had of verie late
dayes, bene first carried away, and then defiled, mar-
ried, &c. It was enacted at the first Parliament, begun
Ann. 39. of the late Queene Elizabeth, That whosoever
shall be convicted, or attainted, of or for any offence made
felony by the Act aboue specified, 3. H. 7. or which being
indited, or arraigned, of or for any such offence, shall
stand mute, or make no direct answer, or shall challenge
peremptozily aboue the number of twelue, shall in euerie
such case suffer death, without benefit of Clergie; prouid-
ed that nothing in this Act contained, shall extend to
take Clergie from any person or persons, which bee not
either principals, or proccuroz, or accessaries; before the
offence committed.

SECT.

SECRET. XLIIII

The Co. of the City of London

THUS haue I called betwixt the capes of Magna Charta, and Quadregesima of Queene Elizabeth, collected the Statutes principally belonging to women, conspyning customes, cases, opinions, sayings, arguments, iudgements, and points of learning of like sort and subiect, dispersed in our Law books: now comming to take haue, God grant I may fall in at your Grace, and good acceptance of all that shall read what I haue gathered, they which are lesse learned than my selfe in this studie (which I account to be those, that haue but newly taken acquaintance of Littleton) may spend some time here, not without some fruit and profit. They that are better learned than I, (into which company some may crowd, that perhaps might bee challenged of intrusion) will giue mee no thankses for my paines. Rather I must thanke them if they vouchsafe to read them without open scozne and bitter censuring; but they to whom my trauels are chiefly addressed are women, so many as beare the title of honest women, how good and vertuous soeuer they be, I see not how they can scape the taint of ingratitude, if they giue not a reasonable fauour and applause to my good intention and labour, whereby things behouefull for them to know are laid plaine together, and in some orderly connexion, which heretofore were smothered, or scattered in corners of an vncomely language, cleane abstruded from their sex. Which concealment, because it seemed to me neither iust, nor conscionable, I haue framed this worke, admonishing them not to take it for so strong and substantiall a peece as London bridge is, whereon you may boldly set by great buildings; but I will say to you,

as Littleton said in his Tenures to his sonne: There be
 some things in these Bookes which are not Law, yet even
 those may enable you the better to vnderstand the rea-
 sons and arguments of Law, and to conferre and
 enquire what the Law is, amongst

the sage Masters

thereof.

FINIS.

